



FILED

02-28-11

04:59 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Southern California Edison
Company (U 338-E) For Authority To, Among
Other Things, Increase Its Authorized Revenues
For Electric Service In 2012, And to Reflect That
Increase In Rates.

A. 10-11-015
(Filed November 23, 2010)

MOTION FOR PARTY STATUS

**OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,
ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF HARBOR COMMISSIONERS**

Howard V. Golub
Nixon Peabody LLP
1 Embarcadero Center
Suite 1800
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 984-8200
Facsimile: (415) 984-8300
E-mail: hgolub@nixonpeabody.com
*Attorneys for the City of Long Beach
California, a municipal corporation, acting
by and through its Board of Harbor
Commissioners*

February 28, 2011

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Southern California Edison
Company (U 338-E) For Authority To, Among
Other Things, Increase Its Authorized Revenues
For Electric Service In 2012, And to Reflect That
Increase In Rates.

A. 10-11-015
(Filed November 23, 2010)

MOTION FOR PARTY STATUS

**OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,
ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF HARBOR COMMISSIONERS**

Pursuant to Rule 1.4 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (“Commission”), the City of Long Beach, California, a municipal corporation, acting by and through its Board of Harbor Commissioners, hereinafter referred to as the Port of Long Beach or Port, files this Motion for Party Status in the above-captioned proceeding.

I.

BACKGROUND

The City of Long Beach is a California charter city which includes the Harbor Department, more commonly known as the Port of Long Beach. Pursuant to the City Charter, exclusive control and management of the Harbor Department is vested in the Board of Harbor Commissioners which, among other things, has the exclusive power and duty for and on behalf of the City of Long Beach to bring proceedings pertaining to any matters within its jurisdiction.

The Port of Long Beach is the second largest seaport in the United States, with about 4,900 vessel calls in 2010. A wide variety of vessels call at the Port including those transporting

containers, bulk cargo and liquids and the Port has numerous tenants serving these vessels. Approximately 6.3 million twenty-foot-equivalent units (TEUs, the standard measurement of container volume) passed through the Port in 2010.¹ It has been estimated that Port-related employment in southern California is over 300,000, about 1 in 22 jobs in the region, and about 1,400,000 jobs nationwide. It is estimated that one-quarter of all West Coast containers pass through the Port of Long Beach.

II.

INTEREST IN AND PLANNED PARTICIPATION

The Port and tenants are customers of SCE, primarily receiving service under SCE's TOU-8 rate schedules. In addition, in 2005 the Port adopted a Green Port Policy to establish environmentally friendly Port operations. Improving air quality is a major objective of the Green Port Policy and increasing electrification of operations at the Port is an important strategy to achieve that objective. It is expected that electric usage at the Port will continue to substantially increase for the foreseeable future.

The Port has identified several areas of interest and concern with regard to SCE's General Rate Case. These include the reasonableness of the proposed increase in revenue requirement, the reasonableness of SCE's proposed infrastructure program, the economic and job creation impacts of SCE's proposal and (at the appropriate phase of the proceeding) rate design. The Port reserves the right to address other issues as they arise.

¹ By way of comparison, the adjacent Port of Los Angeles, the largest seaport in the U.S., handled about 7.8 million TEUs and third largest port in the country, the combined ports of New York and New Jersey handled substantially less TEUs than the Port of Long Beach.

III.

SERVICE

All notices, orders, pleadings and other communications in connection with this proceeding should be addressed to:

Howard V. Golub
Nixon Peabody LLP
1 Embarcadero Center
Suite 1800
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 984-8200
Facsimile: (415) 984-8300
E-mail: hgolub@nixonpeabody.com

IV.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the City of Long Beach, California, a municipal corporation, acting by and through its Board of Harbor Commissioners respectfully requests that the Commission grant this Motion for Party Status.

Date: February 28, 2011

Respectfully submitted

By: /s/ Howard V. Golub
Howard V. Golub
Nixon Peabody LLP
1 Embarcadero Center
Suite 1800
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 984-8200
Facsimile: (415) 984-8300
E-mail: hgolub@nixonpeabody.com
***Attorneys for the City of Long Beach,
California, a municipal corporation, acting
by and through its Board of Harbor
Commissioners***

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Southern California Edison
Company (U 338-E) For Authority To, Among
Other Things, Increase Its Authorized Revenues
For Electric Service In 2012, And to Reflect That
Increase In Rates.

A. 10-11-015
(Filed November 23, 2010)

**[PROPOSED] ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE’S RULING ON MOTION
FOR PARTY STATUS
OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA,
A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS
BOARD OF HARBOR COMMISSIONERS**

On February ___, 2011, the City of Long Beach, California, California, a municipal corporation, acting by and through its Board of Harbor Commissioners (“Port of Long Beach”) moved for party status in A.10-11-015. The Port of Long Beach has a direct and significant interest in this proceeding, and the Port of Long Beach’s intervention will not unduly broaden the issues under consideration in this proceeding.

Therefore, IT IS RULED that, good cause appearing,

1. The motion of the Port of Long Beach for party status in A.10-11-015 is granted.
2. The Port of Long Beach shall serve all parties of record and any other entities designated for service with any pleadings filed by the Port of Long Beach in this proceeding.

Dated _____, 2011 at San Francisco, California.

Administrative Law Judge

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Chris Tanner, declare as follows:

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California; that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party this action. My business address is Nixon Peabody LLP, One Embarcadero Center, 18th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111-3600. On this date, I served the following document(s):

**MOTION FOR PARTY STATUS OF
THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA,
A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS
BOARD OF HARBOR COMMISSIONERS**

on the interested parties in this action addressed as follows:

See attached service list

- (BY CPUC E-MAIL SERVICE) By transmitting such document Electronically from Nixon Peabody LLP, San Francisco, California, to the electronic mail addresses listed above. I am readily familiar with the practice of Nixon Peabody LLP for transmitting documents by electronic mail, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business, such electronic mail is transmitted immediately after such document has been tendered for filing. Said practice also complies Rule 2.3(b) of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and all protocols described therein.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on February 28, 2011, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Chris Tanner

Chris Tanner

CPUC E-Mail Service List
A.10-11-015
(Updated February 24, 2011)

douglass@energyattorney.com
marcel@turn.org
JPong@SempraUtilities.com
npedersen@hanmor.com
mcnultfa@sce.com
JPacheco@SempraUtilities.com
carl.wood@verizon.net
rochelle@a4nr.org
hydroesq@schat.net
dbyers@landuselaw.com
rkoss@adamsbroadwell.com
ljt@cpuc.ca.gov
bfinkelstein@turn.org
nes@a-klaw.com
swf5@pge.com
epoole@adplaw.com
bcragg@goodinmacbride.com
dhuard@manatt.com
RobertGnaizda@gmail.com
speesapati@earthjustice.org
stephaniec@greenlining.org
pucservice@dralegal.org
jweil@aglet.org
kmills@cfbf.com
atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com
cleo.zagrean@macquarie.com
jleslie@luce.com
knakatani@calhrc.org
lauren.duke@db.com
naaz.khumawala@baml.com
alison@bartlewells.com
jheckler@levincap.com
jcorralejo@lbcgla.org
hchoy@isd.co.la.ca.us
scegrc@sce.com
kris.vyas@sce.com
Russell.Worden@sce.com

KMelville@SempraUtilities.com
learl@SempraUtilities.com
liddell@EnergyAttorney.com
onell.soto@uniontrib.com
marcie.milner@shell.com
pgirard@semprautilities.com
faith.mabuhayalliance@gmail.com
dand2847@aol.com
sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
jstoddard@manatt.com
pmarshall@manatt.com
rkeen@manatt.com
TKaushik@manatt.com
aaron.joseph.lewis@gmail.com
cem@newsdata.com
lencanty@BlackEconomicCouncil.org
dmarcus2@sbcglobal.net
rschmidt@bartlewells.com
brbarkovich@earthlink.net
garrick@jbsenergy.com
rmccann@umich.edu
blaising@braunlegal.com
steven@iepa.com
lmh@eslawfirm.com
roe@cpuc.ca.gov
crv@cpuc.ca.gov
ckt@cpuc.ca.gov
esc@cpuc.ca.gov
dlf@cpuc.ca.gov
dfb@cpuc.ca.gov
eg1@cpuc.ca.gov
fvr@cpuc.ca.gov
md2@cpuc.ca.gov
psp@cpuc.ca.gov
rmp@cpuc.ca.gov
tbo@cpuc.ca.gov

U.S. Mail Service List
A.10-11-015
(Updated February 24, 2011)

Melanie Darling
California Public Utilities Commission
Division of Administrative Law Judges
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5041
San Francisco, CA 94102-3214