



**FILED**

10-26-10  
04:59 PM

## STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

Q. Please state your name and business address.

Rochelle Becker, Executive Director of the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility,  
PO 1328, San Luis Obispo, Ca 93406.

Please summarize your recent work experience.

In 2005, I co-founded and have since been the Executive Director of the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility. From 1980 through 2005 I was a spokesperson for the San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace.

Since the early 1980's, I have represented the San Luis Obispo ratepayer community before the California Public Utilities Commission. Since the latter 1970's, I have consistently, but not regularly, attended and given a local public stakeholder perspective to local, state, and federal legislative bodies and oversight agencies regarding energy policies and nuclear power.

Since 2005 I have participated in virtually all California Energy Commission proceedings related to California's operating reactors, including filing comments, testifying and providing update information for the Commission to consider. In 2005, as the Alliance Executive Director, I also met periodically with state legislators to share concerns about the foreseeable relicensing of California's aging reactors.

In 2010 I have shared our state and local seismic concerns with Nuclear Regulatory Commission Chairman Gregory Jaczko and NRC Commissioner Svinicki, the California congressional representative delegation and Deputy Secretary of Energy, Dr. Peter Lyons. I have also met with local community and union representatives, renewable and seismic experts and thus bring an informed—if not expert—perspective that is valuable to local, state and federal decisions.

What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my Rebuttal Testimony is to address the inadequate factual information on which DRA based its recommendation to allow funding for PG&E's license renewal application. In addition, my testimony was to provide information relied upon in decision-making by local, state and federal decision-makers, yet ignored by the DRA.