



**FILED**

07-18-11

04:33 PM

KJB/acr 7/18/2011

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Operations, Practices, and Conduct of OSP Communications LLC and John Vogel, an individual, to determine whether OSP Communications LLC and John Vogel have violated the Laws, Rules and Regulations of this State in the Provision of Operator and Calling Card Services to California Consumers; and Whether The Billing Resource LLC, a Delaware Corporation, and The Billing Resource LLC d/b/a Integretel, a California Corporation should Refund and Disgorge All monies billed and collected on behalf of OSP Communications LLC.

Investigation 11-05-028  
(Filed May 26, 2011)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME  
TO RESPOND TO ORDERING PARAGRAPH 6**

The Commission designated Verizon California, Inc. (Verizon) an "interested party" in Ordering Paragraph (OP) 4 of the order instituting the above-captioned investigation (Order).

OP 6 of the Order requires Verizon to provide certain information, as follows:

[A] full accounting of . . . transactions with, or on behalf of, Respondents. Such accountings should include, without limitation, a statement on an annual basis of all amounts billed on behalf of Respondent OSP, amounts collected on behalf of Respondent OSP, amounts refunded or credited back

to customer accounts, amounts retained by the billing agents and billing telephone companies for their services, amounts paid to public purpose funds (universal service and the like), and any other amounts paid out of Respondent OSP's revenue stream, i.e., out of amounts collected on behalf of Respondent OSP for the collect call services described herein.

OP 6 also requires:

[T]o the extent possible, that the billing agents and telephone companies specify the amounts in each of these categories attributable to collect call service, administrative fee, and universal service fees or the like.

Verizon asserts that in the process of collecting the data responsive to OP 6 it has encountered administrative difficulties gathering responsive data for periods prior to April 2009.

For the above stated reason, pursuant to Rule 11.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Verizon requests an extension of time until July 22, 2011 to file and submit its response to OP 6. Verizon asserts that the commission's Consumer Protection and Safety Division does not oppose the requested extension.

Good cause for an extension having been shown,

**IT IS RULED** that the motion of Verizon California, Inc. for an extension of time to July 22, 2011 to respond to Ordering Paragraph 6 of the order instituting the above-captioned investigation is granted.

Dated July 18, 2011, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ KARL J. BEMESDERFER

Karl J. Bemesderfer  
Administrative Law Judge