



**FILED**

04-11-12

01:36 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902E) For Authority To Update Marginal Costs, Cost Allocation, And Electric Rate Design.

Application 11-10-002  
(Filed October 3, 2011)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REVISING SCHEDULE**

This Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) ruling revises the dates for serving intervenor and rebuttal testimony and for evidentiary hearings in the above proceeding. The Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) shall serve its testimony on May 18, 2012; all other intervenors shall serve their testimony on June 12, 2012. Concurrent rebuttal testimony shall be served on July 10, 2012. Evidentiary hearings shall begin on July 23, 2012.

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) filed its application on October 13, 2011. The Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling (Scoping Memo), issued on January 18, 2012, directed SDG&E to file a revised rate design proposal and testimony that did not include a Network Use Charge. SDG&E's revised application was filed on February 21, 2012.

On March 14, 2012, SDG&E sent an email informing the service list that it had just discovered that certain inputs had not been updated in one of the marginal costs studies, the distribution customer costs.<sup>1</sup> SDG&E stated that updating the inputs would likely result in material change in the revenue allocation to customer classes. On March 19, 2012, SDG&E sent another email informing the service list that it would serve errata testimony on March 30, 2012, which would impact the following witness testimony, attachments and workpapers:

Chapter 2: Rate Design – Cynthia Fang  
Chapter 3: Revenue Allocation – William Saxe  
Chapter 6: Distribution Cost Studies – Matt Ehlers  
Chapter 7: Streetlighting – Matt Ehlers

On March 23, 2012, the DRA sent an email requesting that the schedule for the proceeding be revised. DRA states that it had consulted with all parties regarding its proposed schedule and no parties objected to the dates proposed by DRA. In an email sent on March 27, 2012, ALJ Yip-Kikugawa informed parties that a delay in the briefing schedule would mean that a final decision would likely not be issued until the first quarter of 2013. Parties were informed that absent any further input from the parties, the procedural schedule would be revised to allow for an extension of time to file intervenor and rebuttal testimony and to delay evidentiary hearings by one week. On March 29, 2012, DRA sent a revised proposed schedule. DRA also stated that the parties may request changes to the hearing dates based on the status of ongoing settlement discussions.

---

<sup>1</sup> A copy of this email, as well as all other emails referenced in this ruling, is found in Attachment A.

After consulting with the assigned Commissioner’s Office, the schedule is revised as follows:

EVENT	DATE
SDG&E Errata Served	March 30, 2012
DRA Testimony	May 18, 2012
Intervenor Testimony	June 12, 2012
Public Participation Hearings	<p>June 26, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m.                      Chula Vista City Hall                      Council Chambers                      276 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue                      Chula Vista, CA 91910</p> <p>June 27, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m.                      Al Bahr Shriners Center                      5440 Kearny Mesa Road                      San Diego, CA 92111</p> <p>June 28, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m.                      California Center for the Arts                      Escondido – Conference Center                      340 North Escondido Blvd.                      Escondido, CA 92025</p>
Concurrent Rebuttal Testimony	July 10, 2012
Mandatory Settlement Conference	Week of July 16, 2012
Evidentiary Hearings	<p>July 23, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. and at 9:30 a.m. each weekday thereafter through August 3, 2012, as needed.                      Commission Courtroom                      State Office Building                      505 Van Ness Avenue                      San Francisco, California</p>
Opening Briefs	August 24, 2012
Reply Briefs	September 14, 2012

Request for Final Oral Argument	September 25, 2012
Proposed Decision Mailed	November 2012
Comments on Proposed Decision	20 days after mailing
Reply Comments on Proposed Decision	5 days after Opening Comments
Final Commission Decision	December 2012

ALJ Yip-Kikugawa and I will consider further changes to the above schedule in the event parties seek additional time for settlement discussions. However, additional time will only be granted to the extent parties can demonstrate that they have settled issues. Furthermore, to the extent any additional time would delay the dates for evidentiary hearings or the filing of briefs, this would likely mean that a final decision in this proceeding would not be issued until the first quarter of 2013.

**IT IS RULED** that the schedule of the proceeding is revised as indicated in this ruling.

Dated April 11, 2012, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ STEPHEN C. ROSCOW  
Stephen C. Roscow  
Administrative Law Judge