



**FILED**

12-04-12  
01:17 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Rulemaking Regarding Whether, or Subject to What Conditions, the Suspension of Direct Access May be Lifted Consistent with Assembly Bill 1X and Decision 01-09-060.

Rulemaking 07-05-025  
(Filed May 24, 2007)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING TO  
CONFIRM STIPULATION TO WAIVER OF COMMENT PERIOD**

This ruling is to confirm that all active parties stipulate to waive the 30-day public review and comment period for the Proposed Decision in this proceeding that grants the uncontested proposals of active parties relating to process improvements for administering Direct Access (DA) enrollments. These uncontested proposals were set forth in the July 10, 2012, joint response to the May 31, 2012 Administrative Law Judge ruling. The Proposed Decision also grants the February 23, 2012 joint motion for a waiver of the requirement to file new motions for subsequent status reports on the DA enrollment process. No response to this ruling is required unless a party objects to the stipulation.

Pursuant to Rule 14.6 (b), where all parties so stipulate, the Proposed Decision can be placed on the Commission's agenda directly for prompt action.

**IT IS RULED** that:

1. Unless a party affirmatively objects to stipulating to waive the 30-day review and comment period on the Proposed Decision granting the uncontested proposals relating to process improvements for administering Direct Access

enrollments, it is deemed that all active parties so stipulate, pursuant to Rule 14.6(b).

2. No response to this motion is required unless a party affirmatively objects to stipulation to waive the 30-day review and comment period. Otherwise, unless an affirmative objection is filed within five business days of this ruling, it is deemed that all active parties so stipulate.

Dated December 4, 2012, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ THOMAS R. PULSIFER

Thomas R. Pulsifer  
Administrative Law Judge