

KK3/jv1 3/6/2013



FILED

03-06-13
04:45 PM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Policies, Procedures and Rules for the California Solar Initiative, the Self-Generation Incentive Program and Other Distributed Generation Issues.

Rulemaking 12-11-005
(Filed November 8, 2012)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
SETTING PREHEARING CONFERENCE**

This ruling sets a prehearing conference in the above-captioned proceeding (PHC) for March 13, 2013 commencing at 10:30 a.m., in the Commission Courtroom, State Office Building, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, to determine the parties, positions of the parties, scope and schedule of the proceeding, and other procedural matters.

In advance of the PHC, interested stakeholders and parties are requested to review the list of priorities identified by the staff of the Commission's Energy Division regarding program modifications to the California Solar Initiative, and file a PHC statement no later than March 11, 2013 with recommended prioritization for the Commission to consider. Parties' PHC statements are limited to 10 pages.

The preliminary proposal for prioritization of items is shown in the table below. The preliminary prioritization is based on the assumption that the Commission would take up high priority items in the second quarter of 2013, while medium and lower priority items would follow.

Issue	Priority
Post CSI data collection	High
Maintaining consumer protection post-CSI	High
Incentives for solar pool heating systems within CSI-Thermal program	High
Program Application Database and Confidentiality	High
Coordination of CSI Program Application Process with Utility Interconnection Application	High
MW Goals of MASH and SASH Solar Programs	High
Public Reporting via California Solar Statistics	High
SASH Workforce Development Benefit	Medium
SASH Design Factor Requirement	Medium
SASH Inspections	Medium
SASH participation for third-party owned systems	Medium
Administrative budgets	Low

Parties may comment on this prioritization proposal, or provide their own separate proposal, in a PHC statement limited to 10 pages and filed no later than March 11, 2013.

The PHC statements should not contain substantive evaluation of the issues identified in the above table. Instead, the PHC statements should focus on providing the parties views on the level of priority of the various items. The Commission will provide a future date for opening and reply comments to obtain parties' detailed substantive views on the issues identified.

Parties may also identify additional issue areas that are not covered in the Staff Proposal that parties believe should be addressed in this proceeding, along

with an explanation why the issue(s) should be included and suggested priorities for any such additional issues.

IT IS RULED that:

1. A prehearing conference shall be held in this matter on March 13, 2013 commencing at 10:30 a.m., in the Commission Courtroom, State Office Building, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102.
2. Parties and interested stakeholders may file prehearing conference statements, limited to 10 pages, no later than March 11, 2013.
3. The prehearing statements must address the level of priority and timing of the various issues identified by this ruling, and any other issues parties wish to identify for Commission consideration in this proceeding.

Dated March 6, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ KATHERINE KWAN MACDONALD

Katherine Kwan MacDonald
Administrative Law Judge