



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FILED
12-24-13
04:59 PM

Application of Southern California Edison)
Company (U 338-E) for Approval of its 2013) A1312015 Application A.13-12-____
Rate Design Window Proposals.)
)
)
_____)

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) FOR
APPROVAL OF ITS 2013 RATE DESIGN WINDOW PROPOSALS**

JANET S. COMBS
FADIA RAFEEDIE KHOURY

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6008
Facsimile: (626) 302-7740
E-mail: fadia.khoury@sce.com

Dated: **December 24, 2013**

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) FOR
APPROVAL OF ITS 2013 RATE DESIGN WINDOW PROPOSALS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Section | Page |
|---|-------------|
| I. INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| II. SUMMARY OF SCE’S REQUESTS | 2 |
| III. RATE DESIGN WINDOW REQUIREMENTS | 4 |
| IV. ORGANIZATION OF SUPPORTING TESTIMONY | 5 |
| V. STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS..... | 5 |
| A. Statutory and Procedural Authority | 5 |
| B. Proposed Categorization | 6 |
| C. Need for Hearings and Proposed Schedule for Resolution of Issues..... | 6 |
| D. Legal Name and Correspondence – Rules 2.1(a) and 2.1(b) | 7 |
| E. Organization and Qualification to Transact Business – Rule 2.2 | 8 |
| F. Balance Sheet and Income Statement – Rule 3.2.(a)(1) | 9 |
| G. Statement of Presently Effective and Proposed Rates – Rules 3.2(a)(2) and 3.2(a)(3) | 9 |
| H. Description of SCE’s Service Territory and Utility System – Rule 3.2(a)(4) | 10 |
| I. Summary of Earnings – Rule 3.2.(a)(5)..... | 10 |
| J. Depreciation – Rule 3.2(a)(7) | 10 |
| K. Capital Stock and Proxy Statement – Rule 3.2(a)(8)..... | 10 |
| L. Statement Pursuant to Rule 3.2(a)(10)..... | 10 |
| M. Service of Notice – Rule 3.2(b), (c) and (d) | 11 |
| N. Index of Exhibits and Appendices to This Application | 11 |
| O. Service List | 11 |
| VI. CONCLUSION..... | 11 |
| APPENDIX A STATEMENT OF INCOME AND BALANCE SHEET | |

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) FOR
APPROVAL OF ITS 2013 RATE DESIGN WINDOW PROPOSALS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

| Section | Page |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| APPENDIX B SUMMARY OF EARNINGS | |

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| Application of Southern California Edison |) | |
| Company (U 338-E) for Approval of its 2013 |) | Application A.13-12-____ |
| Rate Design Window Proposals. |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) FOR
APPROVAL OF ITS 2013 RATE DESIGN WINDOW PROPOSALS**

I.

INTRODUCTION

In Decision (D.) 07-07-004, the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) adopted a modified Rate Case Plan, which includes a procedure for Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and other investor-owned utilities to request rate design changes in years other than those covered by the rate design portions of their General Rate Cases (GRCs). Specifically, the Rate Case Plan provides that SCE may make a Rate Design Window (RDW) filing between December 20 and December 26 prior to an attrition year. In two other Commission decisions—the one adopting the Medium and Large Commercial Customer Rate Design Settlement Agreement from SCE’s most recent GRC Phase 2,¹ and the Phase 2 decision of Rulemaking 09-08-009 (the Alternative Fuel Vehicle Order Instituting Rulemaking (AFV OIR))²—the Commission ordered SCE to make specific rate design proposals in this RDW Application for

¹ D.13-03-031, Attachment D, p. 22.

² D.11-07-029, Ordering Paragraph #3.

Option R (an optional rate for certain non-residential customers with onsite renewable generation) and electric vehicle (EV) rates, respectively. Section II below summarizes the rate design proposals.

SCE's proposals will not result in any changes to SCE's authorized revenue requirements and are designed to maintain the allocation of revenues among rate groups that is reflected in the Marginal Cost and Revenue Allocation Settlement Agreement approved by D.13-03-031 (Attachment A).

The prepared testimony supporting this RDW Application has been preliminarily marked for identification as Exhibit SCE-1. That testimony is supported by SCE witnesses Robert A. Thomas and Cyrus Sorooshian, as reflected in the Table of Contents thereto.

In accordance with the schedule set forth in the Rate Case Plan, SCE requests that these proposals become effective on June 1, 2014.

II.

SUMMARY OF SCE'S REQUESTS

1. Option R

SCE proposes to preserve the current cap of 150 megawatts (MW) on Option R, applicable to the cumulative installed distributed generation output capacity of service accounts on this rate. SCE also proposes to redesign the methodology for calculating the rate. Though all generation-related capacity costs will continue to be recovered through volumetric energy charges on a cent-per-kilowatt hour (kWh) basis, the distribution component of the Facilities-Related Demand Charge will be modified to reflect updated cost studies, as described in Section II.C of Exhibit SCE-1.

2. EV Rates

For residential customers, SCE currently has two EV-specific optional rate schedules: (1) TOU-EV-1, a non-tiered TOU rate for customers who separately meter their EV charging;

and (2) TOU-D-TEV, a whole-house (one meter) TOU rate comprised of two inclining-block usage tiers. SCE requests approval to make the following changes to these rate schedules:

- **Schedule TOU-EV-1**: (a) Add a new monthly meter charge to recover the costs of the separate meter; and (b) change the summer season—currently defined as May 1 to November 1—to be consistent with summer season for other residential rate schedules, which is June 1 to October 1.
- **Schedule TOU-D-TEV**: Close this schedule, and migrate the customers to a newly created, non-tiered rate called Schedule TOU-D. In addition to eliminating the tiers, Schedule TOU-D will differ from Schedule TOU-D-TEV in the following ways: (a) the on-peak period will be from 2 p.m. to 8 p.m. on non-holiday weekdays (instead of 10 a.m. to 6 p.m.); (b) the super off-peak period will be extended to 10 hours, every day from 10:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m. (instead of midnight to 6:00 a.m.); and (c) the new rate schedule will be open to all residential customers, not just those who own EVs.³ The new Schedule TOU-D will have an “Option A,” designed for lower usage customers, and an “Option B” designed for higher usage customers, with an ability for customers to switch between options as their usage patterns change. Option A customers will receive a baseline credit allowance and will pay a customer charge that correlates with whatever the customer charge is for Schedule D (SCE’s default residential schedule). Option B customers will not have a baseline credit allowance, and the customer charge is proposed to be substantially higher than the customer charge for Option A customers.

SCE does not propose to modify its commercial EV rates with the exception of revising Schedule TOU-EV-3 to permit these customers to benefit from Schedule TOU-EV-4’s demand charge structure, which could potentially limit overall demand charges for the customers.

³ The definition of the summer season for the new Schedule TOU-D will be the same as it is for Schedule TOU-D-TEV, which, consistent with the proposed change to Schedule TOU-EV-1, will be defined as June 1 to October 1.

III.

RATE DESIGN WINDOW REQUIREMENTS

SCE's Option R and EV rate design proposals are properly considered in this RDW Application (filed prior to Phase 2 of SCE's 2012 GRC proceeding) because the Commission ordered SCE in two separate proceedings to modify their rates in this forum. First, with respect to Option R, the Commission approved a settlement between SCE and several parties to its last GRC Phase 2, in which the settling parties⁴ agreed as follows:

SCE will assess the cost-effectiveness of Option R after the Commission has completed the cost-effectiveness study described in D.12-05-036, Ordering Paragraph 5. SCE will use the results of the Commission's study, along with any additional information from other cost-effectiveness studies, including the study that SCE performed in this proceeding, to determine whether and how Option R rates should be modified or expanded. SCE will file these recommendations as part of a Rate Design Window (RDW) application in December 2013.⁵

With respect to EV rates, the Commission issued a decision in Phase 2 of the 2009 AFV OIR, D.11-07-029, in which SCE was ordered to as follows:

Southern California Edison Company shall file plug-in hybrid and electric vehicle rate design proposals in Rate Design Window applications in 2013 as provided for and in accordance with the schedule in Decision 89-01-040. These plug-in hybrid and electric vehicle rate design proposals shall include an analysis of plug-in hybrid and Electric Vehicles charging load profiles, the costs and benefits of plug-in hybrid and electric vehicle integration and charging, and consumer responses to plug-in hybrid and Electric Vehicles time-of-use price differentials. These rate design proposals shall also include an evaluation of the feasibility and benefits of plugin hybrid and electric vehicle demand charges in the residential and commercial context.⁶

⁴ The parties to the Medium and Large Commercial Customer Rate Design Settlement Agreement were as follows: SCE, the Federal Executive Agencies, the California Manufacturers and Technology Association, the California Large Energy Consumers Association, Energy Users Forum, Solar Energy Industries Association, the County of Los Angeles, and the Energy Producers and Users Coalition.

⁵ D.13-03-031, Attachment D, p. 22.

⁶ D.11-07-029, Ordering Paragraph (OP) #3.

IV.

ORGANIZATION OF SUPPORTING TESTIMONY

Exhibit SCE-1 is comprised of five sections, summarized as follows:

Section I is introductory. Section II encompasses SCE's Option R proposal, including a description of the current Option R, the regulatory background giving rise to SCE's proposal, the proposed redesign of the rate, and the reasons why SCE seeks to maintain the 150 MW cap on Option R. Section III opens with a summary description of SCE's EV rate design proposals, and proceeds with the regulatory background giving rise to the proposals, the policy considerations underpinning the rate design proposal, and a description of the changes to residential and commercial EV rates (including bill impacts). Section IV provides a statement of reconciliation with SCE's latest adopted revenue requirement and revenue allocation. Finally, Section V offers a brief conclusion.

Exhibit SCE-1 contains several appendices, including witness qualifications, Option R and EV rate design structures, bill impacts, study results, and data ordered to be included in this RDW from past Commission decisions.

V.

STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

A. Statutory and Procedural Authority

This Application is made pursuant to D.89-01-040, as modified by D.07-07-004 (Rate Case Plan), D.13-03-031 (decision approving SCE's last GRC Phase 2), and D.11-07-029 (Phase 2 of the last AFV OIR), as well as the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the California Public Utilities (PU) Code.

SCE's request complies with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure Rules 1.5 through 1.11 and 1.13, which specify the procedures for, among other things, filing documents. In addition, this request complies with Rules 2.1, 2.2 and 3.2.

Rule 2.1 requires that all applications: (1) clearly and concisely state authority or relief sought; (2) cite the statutory or other authority under which that relief is sought; and (3) be verified by the applicant. Rule 2.1 sets forth further requirements that are addressed separately below.

The relief being sought is summarized above in Section II (Summary of SCE's Requests), and below in Section VI (Conclusion), and is further described in the Testimony (Exhibit SCE-1) accompanying this Application.

The statutory and other authority for this request includes, but is not limited to, California PU Code Sections 451, 454, 454.3, 491, 701, 702, 728, 729, Article 2 and Rule 3.2 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and prior decisions, orders, and resolutions of this Commission.

SCE's Application has been verified by an SCE officer as provided in Rules 1.11 and 2.1.

B. Proposed Categorization

Rule 1.3(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure defines "ratesetting" proceedings as "proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities)." This application includes SCE's request for the Commission to set optional rates for eligible customers. Therefore, SCE proposes that this proceeding be categorized as ratesetting.

C. Need for Hearings and Proposed Schedule for Resolution of Issues

SCE does not believe that hearings will be necessary for Commission consideration of SCE's proposals. However, the need for hearings will depend on the degree to which other parties contest the proposals contained herein and whether contested factual issues arise. Consistent with the Rate Case Plan schedule for RDW applications, SCE proposes the following schedule:

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| SCE files Application | December 24, 2013 |
| Comments on proposed rate design revisions to be filed and served by all parties | January 23, 2014 |
| Replies to comments to be submitted and served | February 6, 2014 |
| ALJ ruling on the necessity to reopen the GRC for consideration of any or all electric rate design proposals | February 20, 2014 |
| Hearings begin, if necessary | March 10, 2014 |
| Last day of hearings, if necessary | March 12, 2014 |
| Concurrent briefs filed and served, if necessary | March 21, 2014 |
| ALJ Draft Decision filed and served on all parties | April 18, 2014 |
| Initial comments on ALJ Draft filed and served | May 8, 2014 |
| Reply comments on ALJ Draft filed and served | May 13, 2014 |
| Final Decision | May 15, 2014 |
| Rates become effective | June 1, 2014 |

D. Legal Name and Correspondence – Rules 2.1(a) and 2.1(b)

The legal name of the Applicant is Southern California Edison Company (SCE). SCE is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is primarily engaged in the business of generating, purchasing, transmitting, distributing and selling electric energy for light, heat and power in portions of central and southern California as a public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. SCE’s properties, substantially all of which are located within the State of California, primarily consist of hydroelectric and thermal electric generating plants, together with transmission and distribution lines and other property necessary in connection with its business.

SCE’s principal place of business is 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, Rosemead, California, and its post office address and telephone number are:

Southern California Edison Company
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-1212

SCE’s attorneys in this matter are Janet Combs and Fadia Rafeedie Khoury.

Correspondence or communications regarding this RDW Application should be addressed to:

Fadia Rafeedie Khoury
Attorney
Southern California Edison Company
P.O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6008
Facsimile: (626) 302-7740
E-mail: fadia.khoury@sce.com

To request a copy of this Application, please contact:

Case Administration
Southern California Edison Company
P.O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6015
Facsimile: (626) 302-3119
E-mail: caseadmin@sce.com

E. Organization and Qualification to Transact Business – Rule 2.2

A copy of SCE's Certificate of Restated Articles of Incorporation, effective on March 2, 2006, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on March 14, 2006, in connection with Application No. 06-03-020, and is incorporated herein by this reference pursuant to Rule 2.2 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

A copy of SCE's Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series D Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on March 7, 2011, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on April 1, 2011, in connection with Application No. 11-04-001, and is by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE's Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series E Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on January 12, 2012, and a copy of SCE's Certificate of Increase of Authorized Shares of the Series E Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on January 31, 2012, and presently in effect, certified by the

California Secretary of State, were filed with the Commission on March 5, 2012, in connection with Application No. 12-03-004, and are by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE's Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series F Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on May 5, 2012, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on June 29, 2012, in connection with Application No. 12-06-017, and is by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE's Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series G Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on January 24, 2013, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on January 31, 2013, in connection with Application No. 13-01-016, and is by reference made a part hereof.

Certain classes and series of SCE's capital stock are listed on a "national securities exchange" as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and copies of SCE's latest Annual Report to Shareholders and its latest proxy statement sent to its stockholders has been filed with the Commission with a letter of transmittal dated March 15, 2013, pursuant to General Order Nos. 65-A and 104-A of the Commission.

F. Balance Sheet and Income Statement – Rule 3.2.(a)(1)

Appendix A to this Application contains copies of SCE's balance sheet as of September 30, 2013, and income statement for the period that ended September 30, 2013, the most recent period available.

G. Statement of Presently Effective and Proposed Rates – Rules 3.2(a)(2) and 3.2(a)(3)

The presently effective rates and the illustrative changes proposed to be made to those rates are included in Appendices B and E of Exhibit SCE-1. Appendix B uses rates current as of November 18, 2013. Appendix E uses rates current as of October 31, 2013. The proposed rates are illustrative and will be updated consistent with the Commission's decision in this proceeding to reflect SCE's then-current authorized revenues when such rates are implemented. SCE's

current rates and charges for electric service are in its electric tariffs and schedules on file with the Commission. These tariffs and schedules are filed with and made effective by the Commission in its decisions, orders, resolutions, and approvals of advice letter filings pursuant to Commission General Order 96-A. SCE is not in this RDW application requesting a general revenue increase over 1 percent.

H. Description of SCE’s Service Territory and Utility System – Rule 3.2(a)(4)

Because this submittal is not a general rate application, this requirement is not applicable.

I. Summary of Earnings – Rule 3.2.(a)(5)

Rule 3.2(a)(5) requires:

A summary of earnings (rate of return summary) on a depreciated rate base for the test period or periods upon which applicant bases its justification for an increase.

SCE’s January 2013 Summary of Earnings is attached hereto as Appendix B.

J. Depreciation – Rule 3.2(a)(7)

Because this submittal is not a general rate application, this requirement is not applicable.

K. Capital Stock and Proxy Statement – Rule 3.2(a)(8)

Because this submittal is not a general rate application, this requirement is not applicable.

L. Statement Pursuant to Rule 3.2(a)(10)

Rule 3.2(a)(10) requires that the “application of electrical ... corporations shall separately state whether or not the increase reflects and passes through to customers only increased costs to the corporation for the services or commodities furnished by it.” SCE’s request does not seek recovery of any additional revenue requirements that have not been or will not be authorized in other Commission proceedings, and therefore, does not pass through to customers any “increased costs to the corporation for the services or commodities furnished by it.” The purpose of this

request is to design rates for SCE's Option R and EV rate schedules, but not to increase the overall level of SCE's revenues.

M. Service of Notice – Rule 3.2(b), (c) and (d)

Because this Application does not seek authority to increase rates, this requirement is not applicable.

N. Index of Exhibits and Appendices to This Application

SCE's submissions in support of this Application include the following, which are incorporated herein by reference:

Appendices to Application

Appendix A Statement of Income and Balance Sheet

Appendix B Summary of Earnings

Exhibits to Application

Exhibit SCE-1 Testimony of Southern California Edison Company in Support of Its Application for Approval of its 2013 Rate Design Window Proposals

O. Service List

The official service list has not yet been established in this proceeding. SCE is serving this RDW Application and supporting testimony on the official service list established by the Commission for the last SCE GRC Phase 2 (A.11-06-007), the new AFV OIR (R.13-11-007) and the residential rate design OIR (R.12-06-013).

VI.

CONCLUSION

SCE respectfully requests that the Commission approve its proposed changes to Option R rate design and its proposal to maintain the Option R cap. SCE also respectfully requests that the

Commission approve its EV rate design proposals as described herein and in Exhibit SCE-1 such that the new rates will become effective by June 1, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

/s/ Megan Scott-Kakures

By: Megan Scott-Kakures
Vice President

JANET S. COMBS
FADIA RAFEEDIE KHOURY

/s/ Fadia Rafeedie Khoury

By: Fadia Rafeedie Khoury

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6008
Facsimile: (626) 302-7740
E-mail: fadia.khoury@sce.com

December 24, 2013

VERIFICATION

I am an officer of the applicant corporation herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. I am informed and believe that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 24th **day of December, 2013**, at Rosemead, California

/s/ Megan Scott-Kakures

By: _____
Megan Scott-Kakures
Vice President

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Appendix A

Statement Of Income and Balance Sheet

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

STATEMENT OF INCOME
NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(In millions)

| | |
|---|----------------------|
| OPERATING REVENUE | <u>\$ 9,631</u> |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | |
| Fuel | 249 |
| Purchased power | 3,569 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 2,540 |
| Depreciation, decommissioning and amortization | 1,223 |
| Property and other taxes | 229 |
| Asset impairment and others | 575 |
| Total operating expenses | <u>8,385</u> |
| OPERATING INCOME | 1,246 |
| Interest income | 8 |
| Other income | 81 |
| Interest expense | (384) |
| Other expenses | (38) |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX | <u>913</u> |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | <u>196</u> |
| NET INCOME | 717 |
| Less: Dividends on preferred and preference stock | <u>75</u> |
| NET INCOME AVAILABLE FOR COMMON STOCK | <u><u>\$ 642</u></u> |

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

BALANCE SHEET
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
ASSETS
(in millions)

UTILITY PLANT:

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Utility plant, at original cost * | \$ 34,316 |
| Less- accumulated provision for depreciation and decommissioning * | <u>7,817</u> |
| | 26,499 |
| Construction work in progress | 3,099 |
| Nuclear fuel, at amortized cost | <u>136</u> |
| | <u>29,734</u> |

OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS:

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Nonutility property - less accumulated depreciation of \$68 | 70 |
| Nuclear decommissioning trusts | 4,332 |
| Other investments | <u>130</u> |
| | <u>4,532</u> |

CURRENT ASSETS:

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Cash and equivalents | 522 |
| Receivables, less allowances of \$72 for uncollectible accounts | 1,127 |
| Accrued unbilled revenue | 798 |
| Inventory | 272 |
| Prepaid taxes | 22 |
| Derivative assets | 47 |
| Regulatory assets | 506 |
| Other current assets | <u>167</u> |
| | <u>3,461</u> |

DEFERRED CHARGES:

| | |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Regulatory assets | 8,015 |
| Derivative assets | 207 |
| Other long-term assets | <u>372</u> |
| | <u>8,594</u> |
| | <u>\$ 46,321</u> |

* Detailed by class on following pages.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

BALANCE SHEET
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES
(in millions)

CAPITALIZATION:

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----|---------------|
| Common stock | \$ | 2,168 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 589 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (28) |
| Retained earnings | | <u>7,467</u> |
| Common shareholder's equity | | 10,196 |
| Preferred and preference stock | | 1,795 |
| Long-term debt | | <u>8,828</u> |
| Total capitalization | | <u>20,819</u> |

CURRENT LIABILITIES:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|
| Short-term debt | | 1,354 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 400 |
| Accounts payable | | 1,228 |
| Accrued taxes | | 148 |
| Accrued interest | | 101 |
| Customer deposits | | 199 |
| Derivative liabilities | | 174 |
| Regulatory liabilities | | 629 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 159 |
| Other current liabilities | | <u>842</u> |
| | | <u>5,234</u> |

DEFERRED CREDITS:

| | | |
|--|--|---------------|
| Deferred income taxes | | 7,033 |
| Deferred investment tax credits | | 106 |
| Customer advances | | 132 |
| Derivative liabilities | | 1,137 |
| Pensions and benefits | | 1,726 |
| Asset retirement obligations | | 3,371 |
| Regulatory liabilities | | 4,989 |
| Other deferred credits and other long-term liabilities | | <u>1,774</u> |
| | | <u>20,268</u> |

\$ 46,321

Appendix B
Summary of Earnings

**Southern California Edison
Summary of Earnings
2013 GRC Adopted Revenue Requirement
Thousands of Dollars**

| Line No. | Item | Total |
|-------------|------------------------------|------------|
| 1. | Base Revenues | 5,809,770 |
| 2. | Expenses: | |
| 3. | Operation & Maintenance | 2,447,594 |
| 4. | Depreciation | 1,472,605 |
| 5. | Taxes | 699,643 |
| 6. | Revenue Credits | (155,583) |
| 7. | Total Expenses | 4,464,259 |
| 8. | Net Operating Revenue | 1,345,511 |
| 9. | Rate Base | 17,118,290 |
| 10. | Rate of Return | 7.86% |