



FILED
7-25-14
04:59 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric
Company To Revise Its Electric Marginal
Costs, Revenue Allocation, and Rate Design.

(U 39 M)

Application 13-04-012
(Filed April 18, 2013)

**MOTION OF THE SETTLING PARTIES FOR ADOPTION OF A LARGE LIGHT AND
POWER RATE DESIGN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IN PHASE II OF PACIFIC
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S 2014 GENERAL RATE CASE**

GAIL L. SLOCUM
RANDALL J. LITTENEKER
DARREN P. ROACH
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, B30A
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 973-6583
Facsimile: (415) 973-0516
E-Mail: gail.slocum@pge.com
Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

RONALD LIEBERT
Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P.
2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95816
Telephone: (916) 447-2166
Facsimile: (916) 447-3512
E-Mail: rl@eslawfirm.com
Attorneys for:
CALIFORNIA MANUFACTURES &
TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION

NORA SHERIFF
Alcantar & Kahl
33 Montgomery Street, Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415)-421-4143
Facsimile: (415)-989-1263
E-Mail: nes@a-klaw.com
Attorneys for
CALIFORNIA LARGE ENERGY
CONSUMERS ASSOCIATION

KATY MORSONY
Alcantar & Kahl
33 New Montgomery Street, Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 421-4143
Facsimile: (415) 989-1263
E-Mail: klr@a-klaw.com
Attorneys for:
ENERGY PRODUCERS AND USERS
COALITION

CAROLYN M. KEHREIN
Energy Management Services
2602 Celebration Way
Woodland, CA 95776
Telephone: (530) 668-5600 office
Facsimile: (206) 457-6432 fax
E-Mail: cmkehrein@ems-ca.com
Attorneys for:
ENERGY USERS FORUM

Dated: July 25, 2014

JOHN M. CUMMINS
One Avenue of the Palms, Suite 161
San Francisco, CA 94130
Telephone: (415) 743-4718
Facsimile: (415) 743-4700
E-Mail: john.cummins@navy.mil
Attorneys for:
FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

JAMES BIRKELUND
548 Market Street, Suite 11200
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 602-6223
Facsimile: (415) 789-4556
E-Mail: james@utilityadvocates.org
Attorneys for:
SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric
Company To Revise Its Electric Marginal
Costs, Revenue Allocation, and Rate Design.

(U 39 M)

Application 13-04-012
(Filed April 18, 2013)

**MOTION OF SETTLING PARTIES FOR ADOPTION OF A LARGE LIGHT AND
POWER RATE DESIGN SUPPLEMENTAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Rule 11.1 of the California Public Utilities Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) submits this motion, on behalf of the Settling Parties,¹ respectfully requesting Commission approval of the attached Settlement Agreement which resolves all but one of the issues for the Large Light and Power (LLP) and Standby Rate Design in this proceeding (collectively referred to as the Large Light and Power (LLP) Settlement). The LLP Settling Parties also identify in this Settlement the one issue on which they could not reach agreement, which they agree should proceed to litigation.

As described below, the LLP Settlement Agreement is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with law, and in the public interest, and therefore should be adopted without modification.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The procedural and settlement history of this proceeding was set forth in the Settlement on Marginal Cost and Revenue Allocation, filed on July 16, 2014 (MC/RA Settlement

¹ The parties to this supplemental settlement on LLP and standby rate design issues are: the California Large Energy Consumers Association (CLECA), the California Manufacturers & Technology Association (CMTA), the Energy Producers and Users Coalition (EPUC), the Energy Users Forum (EUF), the Federal Executive Agencies (FEA), PG&E, and the Small Business Utility Advocates (SBUA). (LLP Settling Parties.) The Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA) also participated in all discussions. Although SEIA has chosen not to sign this LLP Settlement Agreement, it has affirmatively indicated that it does not oppose this Agreement. Similarly, Direct Access Customer Coalition (DACC) has not signed on to this LLP Settlement Agreement, but has also affirmatively indicated that it does not oppose this Agreement. Finally, SBUA's interest in this LLP Settlement Agreement is limited to standby rate design, but SBUA does not oppose the LLP Settlement Agreement with regard to any of the LLP rate design issues.

Agreement), and is incorporated herein by reference. Testimony on LLP and standby rate issues was served by PG&E on April 18, 2013, and updated on August 16, 2013. Responsive testimony covering LLP and standby rate issues was served by intervenors on December 13, 2013.

III. SETTLEMENT TERMS ²

The LLP Settlement Agreement accompanying this motion is supplemental to the MC/RA Settlement Agreement. The LLP Settlement Agreement uses the revenue allocation agreed to in the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, and addresses certain rate design issues that were not resolved in that initial settlement. The LLP Settling Parties request that the complementary outcomes of at least the issues resolved without litigation in this LLP Settlement Agreement and the MC/RA Settlement Agreement be consolidated into the Commission's final decision in this GRC Phase II proceeding. The LLP Settling Parties agree that all testimony served prior to the date of this LLP Settlement Agreement that addresses the issues resolved by this LLP Settlement Agreement should be admitted into evidence without cross-examination by the LLP Settling Parties.

The LLP Settling Parties further agree that the subset of LLP and standby rate design issues that are resolved in this LLP Settlement Agreement are unopposed by any party. The LLP and standby issues resolved in this LLP Settlement Agreement are identified in Sections A1 to A7, Section B and Section C below. However, the LLP Settling Parties have also identified an issue on which no agreement could be reached. The one remaining LLP issue that was not resolved in this LLP Settlement Agreement, namely SEIA's proposal to allow NEM customers that are on Schedules E-19 and E-20 to take service on PDP rates, is described in more detail in Section A8 below. The LLP Settling Parties have agreed that this single, narrow, unresolved issue should proceed to litigation.

² This section summarizes the fundamental components of the rate design settlement agreement and necessarily simplifies some of the terms. To the extent that there is any conflict between the exact wording of the settlement agreement and this motion, the LLP Settlement Agreement should govern.

A. Rate Design for Schedules E-19 and E-20

The LLP Settlement Agreement describes the manner in which rates for Schedules E-19 and E-20 will be designed, and includes the following fundamental components that have been agreed to by the LLP Settling Parties as follows:

- 1. Illustrative Settlement Rates:** Rates to collect the revenue allocated to the LLP customer class under the MC/RA Settlement Agreement shall be designed consistent with the illustrative settlement rates set forth in Appendix A to this LLP Settlement Agreement. The LLP Settling Parties agree, however, that the customer group defined as E-19 Primary, with direct access/community choice aggregation service (DA/CCA), shall be capped at maximum class level increase for the DA/CCA customer classes.
- 2. Basic Rate Design:** The basic rate design for each of the applicable LLP rate schedules will be updated upon implementation of this LLP Settlement Agreement, using the methods underlying development of the illustrative settlement rates for Schedules E-19V (voluntary), mandatory E-19, and E-20 rates, as presented in Appendix A to this LLP Settlement Agreement.
- 3. Demand Charges:** Distribution demand charge principles and seasonal maximum demand and Time-or-Use (TOU) demand relationships are based upon a compromise between PG&E's August 16, 2013 filed proposals, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, and the distribution demand charge design advocated by SEIA (*see* SEIA Testimony, dated December 13, 2013, at p. 27). Generation demand charges reflect PG&E's August 16, 2013 filed proposals, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement.
- 4. Customer Charges:** Customer charges for Schedule E-20 are agreed to be set at \$2,000 (Transmission service), \$1,500 (Primary service) and \$1,200 (Secondary service), and for Schedule E-19 at \$1,800 (Transmission service), \$1,000 (Primary service) and \$600 (Secondary service). Residual distribution revenue will be allocated to distribution demand charges.

5. Energy Charges: Distribution and generation energy charge principles and seasonal and TOU relationships are based upon PG&E's August 16, 2013 filed proposals and methods, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement.

6. Peak Day Pricing Updates: PG&E's proposals for Peak Day Pricing (PDP) updates to Schedules E-19 and E-20 are limited to continuing the annual adjustments to PDP rate credits to conform PDP rates to updated customer and sales forecasts and billing determinants, as proposed in Chapter 5 of Exhibit PG&E-4. The LLP Settling Parties agree that these adjustments, as proposed by PG&E, are reasonable and should be adopted.

7. Transmission Rates: The LLP Settling Parties agree that PG&E would not seek changes to transmission rates at Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), such as the ones suggested by SEIA (*see* SEIA Testimony, pp. 32 and 33). Any of the parties to this proceeding may advocate positions at FERC consistent with their needs.

8. Issue Not Addressed by Settlement: The LLP Settling Parties were unable to reach agreement on one LLP issue through settlement discussions. Specifically, the Settling Parties were unable to agree to SEIA's proposal to allow Net Energy Metering (NEM) customers that are on Schedules E-19 and E-20 to take service on PDP rates. (*See* SEIA Testimony, dated December 13, 2013 at pp. 30 and 31.)

B. Rate Design for Standby

The LLP Settlement Agreement describes the manner in which rates for Standby Schedule S will be designed, and includes the following fundamental components to which the LLP Settling Parties agree:

1. Illustrative Settlement Rates: Rates to collect the revenue allocated to Schedule S under the MC/RA Settlement Agreement shall be designed consistent with the illustrative settlement rates set forth in Appendix B to the LLP Settlement Agreement. The LLP Settling Parties agree that the illustrative rates set forth in Appendix B are consistent with the revenue allocation set forth in Tables 1 and 2 of the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, which was based on May 1, 2013 effective rates.

2. Basic rate design: Schedule S will be updated upon implementation of this LLP Settlement, using the methods underlying development of the illustrative settlement rates for Schedule S as presented in Appendix B to the LLP Settlement Agreement. In addition to this initial rate change, illustrative rates are also provided for January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2017, as described in Section 3 below, entitled “Reservation Charge.” Again, the methods described herein shall be used to determine these subsequent rate changes at the then-required revenue levels.

3. Reservation Charge: Generation and distribution reservation charge principles are based upon PG&E’s August 16, 2013 filed proposals, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement. With the implementation of the GRC Phase II revenue allocation, as set forth in the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, Part VIII, Section 1, the distribution reservation charge for secondary service will be increased by \$1 per kW, and the distribution reservation charge for primary service will be increased by the amount necessary such that it is equal to the secondary distribution reservation charge. Any remaining distribution revenue after collection of the customer charge, the peak-related distribution energy charges and the distribution reservation charge will be collected as a distribution energy charge that does not vary by season or TOU period. Thereafter, in addition to any distribution reservation charge increases due to revenue requirement changes, the distribution reservation charge will increase by an additional \$1 per kW on each of January 1, 2016, and January 1, 2017. With each change on January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2017, the \$1 per kW increase in the distribution reservation charge will be offset by a commensurate reduction in the non-time varying portion of the distribution energy charge.

4. Customer Charges: Customer charges for Schedule S will be set at the same levels as recommended for the otherwise applicable rate schedules, except that PG&E proposes to retain the Schedule S customer charge for residential customers of \$5 per customer per month, and to set the agricultural customer charge at the level adopted in this proceeding for Schedule AG-4B. The LLP Settling Parties agree that the applicable

Schedule S customer charge for commercial and industrial customers is determined based on reservation capacity. The LLP Settling Parties agree to increase the eligibility threshold for the Small Light and Power customers taking service under Schedule S from 50 kW to 75 kW.

5. Energy Charges: Distribution and generation energy charge principles and seasonal and TOU relationships are based upon PG&E's August 16, 2013 filed proposals and methods, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement. In addition, as noted above, an energy charge for distribution will be determined that does not vary by season or TOU period as noted in part 3, above.

6. Standby Distribution Diversity Study: CLECA recommends that PG&E conduct a study of the diversity of standby load on the distribution system similar to that conducted by SCE (see CLECA Testimony, dated December 13, 2013, p.80). PG&E agrees to do such a study and provide it with its next GRC Phase II application. PG&E will confer with CLECA with regard to the study as the study design is being developed. The parties agree that conducting such a study does not determine whether or not it should be used in setting the standby revenue allocation or rate design. However, CLECA and other parties may use the study in their testimony in PG&E's next GRC Phase II proceeding.

C. Other

Unless otherwise specifically agreed by the LLP Settling Parties or addressed in this LLP Settlement Agreement above, the proposals, methods and explanations contained in revenue allocation and rate design Exhibit PG&E-4, Chapter 5 and Chapter 6, served on August 16, 2013, shall be adopted for the purpose of implementing rates under this LLP Settlement Agreement.

IV. TIMING OF RATE CHANGES

The provisions regarding the timing of this GRC Phase II rate change and rate changes between General Rate Cases agreed to in the MC/RA Settlement Agreement Part VIII, Subsections 2 and 3, shall apply to this LLP Settlement Agreement, unless specifically noted above or otherwise determined by the Commission.

To the extent that any elements of this LLP Settlement Agreement will require employee training and/or changes to PG&E systems beyond those required for a normal change in rate value, these structural and system changes will be implemented by PG&E diligently as time permits in a manner consistent with smooth operations of the systems involved. The LLP Settling Parties recognize that these changes could take several months to implement.

V. THE COMMISSION SHOULD ADOPT THE LARGE LIGHT AND POWER SUPPLEMENTAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

A. Commission Policy Favors Settlements

The Commission has a history of supporting settlement of disputes if they are fair and reasonable in light of the whole record.³ As the Commission has reiterated over the years, the “Commission favors settlement because they generally support worthwhile goals, including reducing the expense of litigation, conserving scarce Commission resources, and allowing parties to reduce the risk that litigation will produce unacceptable results.”⁴ This strong public policy favoring settlements weighs in favor of the Commission resisting the temptation to alter the results of the negotiation process. As long as a settlement taken as a whole is reasonable in light of the record, consistent with the law, and in the public interest, it should be adopted.⁵

Each portion of this LLP Settlement Agreement is dependent upon the other portions of that same agreement. Changes to one portion of the LLP Settlement Agreement would alter the balance of interests and the mutually agreed upon compromises and outcomes contained in the LLP Settlement Agreement. As such, the LLP Settling Parties request that this LLP Settlement Agreement be adopted as a whole by the Commission, without modification.

³ D.05-03-022, mimeo, pp. 7-8, *citing* D.88-12-083 (30 CPUC 2d 189, 221-223) and D.91-05-029 (40 CPUC 2d. 301, 326).

⁴ D.10-12-035, 2010 Cal PUC LEXIS 467 at *87; *and see* D.05-03-022, mimeo, p. 8, *citing* D.92-12-019, 46 CPUC 2d 538, 553. *See also* D.10-12-051, 2010 Cal. PUC LEXIS 566 at *55 (Commission decisions “express the strong public policy favoring settlement of disputes if they are fair and reasonable”); D.10-11-035, 2010 Cal. PUC LEXIS 495 at *17 (the Commission’s longstanding policy favoring settlement...reduces litigation expenses, conserves scarce Commission resources...) *and see* D.10-11-011, 2010 Cal. PUC LEXIS 533 at *50 (“There is a strong public policy favoring the settlement of disputes to avoid costly and protracted litigation.”)

⁵ *See, generally*, D.05-03-022, mimeo, pp. 7-13.

B. The LLP Settlement is Reasonable in Light of the Record, Consistent with Law, and in the Public Interest.

The Commission should adopt this LLP Settlement Agreement as reasonable in light of the entire record, as it represents reasonable compromises after careful review and discussion by all interested parties of the rate design proposals discussed in Sections A1 – A7, and in Sections B and C above, after incorporating appropriate revisions and updates. The LLP Settling Parties reached settlement after reviewing testimony and carefully analyzing each of the issues resolved in this LLP Settlement Agreement. This LLP Settlement Agreement was reached only after substantial give-and-take in arms-length negotiations, and after each party had made significant concessions to resolve issues in a manner that reflects a reasonable compromise of their litigation positions.⁶

The LLP Settlement Agreement has wide support and is unopposed. Although the LLP Settlement Agreement is not an “all-party” settlement, Rule 12.1 makes it clear that “Settlements need not be joined by all parties.” The LLP Settling Parties fairly represent the interests of LLP and standby customers. After earnest negotiations, the LLP Settlement Agreement has gained the support of and been signed by all but one of the active parties submitting testimony on these issues, with the exception being SEIA – however SEIA does not oppose this Settlement. Although the LLP Settling Parties reached a compromise on E-19 and E-20 rate design, SEIA chose not to sign this LLP Settlement Agreement. In testimony, SEIA also proposed to allow E-19 and E-20 customers that take Net Energy Metering (NEM) service to be eligible for PDP. The LLP Settlement Parties were unable to reach agreement on that issue and agree that it should be decided by the Commission after litigation, including rebuttal testimony, evidentiary hearings and briefs.

The LLP Settling Parties believe that their agreement is reasonable, consistent with law and in the public interest.

The CPUC recently reaffirmed its willingness to consider, and, when appropriate, approve settlements that are not joined by all parties. In A.10-12-035 (the QF Summit Decision),

⁶ D.13-11-003, mimeo, pp. 6-7; D. 13-07-029, mimeo, pp. 7-8; D.13-12-045, mimeo, pp. 10-11.

the Commission stated that, for many years, it has been willing to consider a settlement not supported by all parties under the following criteria:

[W]e consider whether the Settlement taken as a whole is in the public interest. In doing so, we consider the individual elements of the settlement in order to determine whether the settlement generally balances the various interests at stake as well as to assure that each element is consistent with our policy objectives and the law. (D.10-12-035, mimeo, p. 27, citations omitted.)

This LLP Settlement Agreement meets those criteria, as well as the usual requirements of Rule 12.1(d) outlined above.

First, this LLP Settlement Agreement generally balances the various interests at stake. The parties to it fairly represent the interests of the parties affected by it. That is, CLECA, CMTA, EPUC, EUF, FEA, PG&E and SBUA fairly represent the interests of LLP and standby customers. Indeed the LLP Settlement Agreement meets the goals and desires of most of the parties as to these rate design issues. Moreover, as demonstrated by the QF Summit Decision above, there is nothing in the Settlement Rules that requires that all the desires of all parties be accommodated.

Second, this LLP Settlement Agreement is consistent with current law, as it complies with all applicable statutes and prior Commission decisions. These include Public Utilities Code Section 451, which requires that utility rates must be just and reasonable.

Finally, the LLP Settlement Agreement is in the public interest because it saves the Commission and parties from the time, expense, and uncertainty associated with litigating these issues.⁷

VI. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the LLP Settling Parties respectfully request that the Commission:

1. Find the attached LLP Settlement Agreement to be reasonable in light of the whole

⁷ D.13-11-003, mimeo, p. 8; D.13-12-045, mimeo, p. 12.

record, consistent with law, and in the public interest;

2. Adopt the attached LLP Settlement Agreement without modification;
3. Rule that the single, narrow unresolved issue identified in Part III, Section A8. above (namely SEIA's proposal to allow NEM customers that are on Schedules E-19 and E-20 to take service on PDP rates) should proceed to litigation, and
4. Authorize PG&E to implement the changes in rates set forth in in this LLP Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms.

Dated: July 25, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Gail L. Slocum
GAIL L. SLOCUM

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, B30A
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 973-6583
Facsimile: (415) 973-0516
E-Mail: Gail.Slocum@pge.com

Attorneys for
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
On Behalf of the LLP Settling Parties

**SUPPLEMENTAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ON LARGE LIGHT AND POWER RATE
DESIGN ISSUES IN PHASE II OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S 2014
GENERAL RATE CASE (A.13-04-012)**

I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with Article 12 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission), the parties to this Settlement Agreement (Settling Parties) agree on a mutually acceptable outcome on the Large Light and Power (LLP) and Standby Rate Design issues in Application (A.) 13-04-012, "Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Revise its Electric Marginal Costs, Revenue Allocation, and Rate Design" (commonly referred to as Phase II of PG&E's 2014 General Rate Case). The details of this LLP Settlement Agreement are set forth herein.

This LLP Settlement Agreement is a direct result of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Long and Assigned Commissioner Peevey's encouragement to the active parties to meet and seek a workable compromise. The active parties hold differing views on numerous aspects of PG&E's initial LLP and standby rate design proposals in Phase II of this General Rate Case (GRC) proceeding. However the Parties bargained earnestly and in good faith to seek a compromise and to develop this LLP Settlement Agreement, which is the product of arms-length negotiations among the LLP Settling Parties on a number of disputed issues. These negotiations considered the interests of all of the active parties on LLP and standby rate design issues, and the LLP Settlement Agreement addresses each of these interests in a fair and balanced manner.

The LLP Settling Parties developed this LLP Settlement Agreement by mutually accepting concessions and trade-offs among themselves. Thus, the various elements and sections of this LLP Settlement Agreement are intimately interrelated, and should not be altered as the LLP Settling Parties intend that the LLP Settlement Agreement be treated as a package solution that strives to balance and align the interests of each party. Accordingly, the LLP Settling Parties respectfully request that the Commission promptly approve the LLP Settlement Agreement without modification. Any material change to this LLP Settlement Agreement shall render it null and void, unless all of the LLP Settling Parties agree in writing to such changes.

II. SETTling PARTIES

The LLP Settling Parties are as follows:

- California Large Energy Consumers Association (CLECA);
- California Manufacturers & Technology Association (CMTA);
- Energy Producers and Users Coalition (EPUC);
- Energy Users Forum (EUF);
- Federal Executive Agencies (FEA);
- Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E); and
- Small Business Utility Advocates (SBUA).

The Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA) and the Direct Access Customer Coalition (DACC) have chosen not to sign this LLP Settlement Agreement but have indicated that they do not oppose it. SBUA's interest in this LLP Settlement Agreement is limited to standby rate design, but SBUA does not oppose this Settlement with regard to any of the LLP rate design issues.

III. SETTLEMENT CONDITIONS

This LLP Settlement Agreement resolves the issues raised by the Settling Parties in A.13-04-012 (Phase II), on LLP and standby rate design, subject to the conditions set forth below:

1. This LLP Settlement Agreement embodies the entire understanding and agreement of the LLP Settling Parties with respect to the matters described, and it supersedes prior oral or written agreements, principles, negotiations, statements, representations, or understandings among the LLP Settling Parties with respect to those matters.
2. This LLP Settlement Agreement represents a negotiated compromise among the LLP Settling Parties' respective litigation positions on the matters described, and the LLP Settling Parties have assented to the terms of the Settlement only to arrive at the agreement embodied herein. Nothing contained in the LLP Settlement should be considered an admission of, acceptance of, agreement to, or endorsement of any disputed fact, principle, or position previously presented by any of the LLP Settling Parties on these matters in this proceeding.
3. This LLP Settlement Agreement does not constitute and should not be used as a precedent regarding any principle or issue in this proceeding or in any future proceeding.

4. The LLP Settling Parties agree that this LLP Settlement Agreement is reasonable in light of the testimony submitted, consistent with the law, and in the public interest.
5. The LLP Settling Parties agree that the language in all provisions of this LLP Settlement Agreement shall be construed according to its fair meaning and not for or against any LLP Settling Party because that LLP Settling Party or its counsel or advocate drafted the provision.
6. This LLP Settlement Agreement may be amended or changed only by a written agreement signed by the LLP Settling Parties.
7. The LLP Settling Parties shall jointly request Commission approval of this LLP Settlement Agreement and shall actively support its prompt approval. Active support shall include written and/or oral testimony (if testimony is required), briefing (if briefing is required), comments and reply comments on the proposed decision, ^{8/} advocacy to Commissioners and their advisors as needed, and other appropriate means as needed to obtain the requested approval.
8. The LLP Settling Parties intend the LLP Settlement Agreement to be interpreted and treated as a unified, integrated agreement incorporating the MC/RA Settlement, which forms the foundation for the LLP and standby rate design agreed to herein. In the event the Commission rejects or modifies this LLP Settlement Agreement, the LLP Settling Parties reserve their rights under Rule 12.4 of the CPUC's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the LLP Settlement should not be admitted into evidence in this or any other proceeding.

IV. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The overall procedural and settlement history of A.13-04-012 is set forth in Section IV and Section V of the MC/RA Settlement Agreement filed on July 16, 2014, to which this LLP Settlement Agreement is supplemental, and which is incorporated herein by reference. Testimony on LLP and standby rate design issues was served by PG&E on April 18, 2013, and updated on August 16, 2013. Responsive testimony covering LLP and standby rate design issues was served by intervenors on December 13, 2013.

^{8/} Any oral and written testimony or briefing that might be required by the CPUC, or comments on a Proposed Decision, may be prepared and submitted jointly by parties whose interests are similar.

V. SETTLEMENT TERMS

Considering and both recognizing and compromising the litigation positions taken by the individual parties, the LLP Settling Parties agree to the LLP and standby rate design set forth in this LLP Settlement Agreement. The rate design features agreed to in this LLP Settlement Agreement are reasonable based on the record in this proceeding.

The LLP Settling Parties agree that all testimony served prior to the date of this LLP Settlement Agreement that addresses the issues resolved by this LLP Settlement Agreement should be admitted into evidence without cross-examination by the LLP Settling Parties.

The LLP Settling Parties agree to the rate designs set forth in Part V, Sections A1 – A7, and Sections B and C below. In addition, the LLP Settling Parties have identified one issue, described in Part VI, Section A8 below, that they could not resolve through negotiations. The LLP Settling Parties therefore agree this single remaining issue should be litigated.

VI. LLP AND STANDBY RATE DESIGN SETTLEMENT

The LLP Settlement Agreement accompanying this motion is supplemental to the MC/RA Settlement Agreement. The LLP Settlement Agreement uses the revenue allocation agreed to in the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, and addresses rate design issues that were not resolved in that initial settlement. The LLP Settling Parties request that the complementary outcomes of the issues resolved in this LLP Settlement Agreement and the MC/RA Settlement Agreement be consolidated into the Commission's final decision in this GRC Phase II proceeding.

A. Rate Design for Schedules E-19 and E-20

The LLP Settlement Agreement describes the manner in which rates for Schedules E-19 and E-20 will be designed, and includes the following fundamental components to which the Settling Parties agree:

- 1. Illustrative Settlement Rates:** Rates to collect the revenue allocated to the LLP customer class under the MC/RA Settlement Agreement shall be designed consistent with the illustrative settlement rates set forth in Appendix A to the LLP Settlement Agreement. The LLP Settling Parties agree that the illustrative rates set forth in Appendix A are consistent with the revenue allocation set forth in Tables 1 and 2 of the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, which was

based on May 1, 2013 effective rates. The LLP Settling Parties agree, however, that the customer group defined as E-19 Primary, with direct access/community choice aggregation service (DA/CCA), shall be capped at maximum class level increase for the DA/CCA customer classes.

The LLP Settling Parties agree that the actual rates derived at the time of implementation of this LLP Settlement Agreement, once adopted by the CPUC, shall be designed on an overall revenue-neutral basis to collect the then-required revenue allocated to the LLP classes to reflect class level revenue allocation from the MC/RA Settlement Agreement. Adopted revenue in effect at the time of settlement implementation shall be applied to determine initial settlement rates.

Therefore, the actual rates that will result when the Phase II rate changes are implemented will vary from those shown in Appendix A. However, these actual rates shall be based on the rate design methods described in this LLP Settlement Agreement.

2. Basic rate design: The basic rate designs for each of the applicable LLP rate schedules will be updated upon implementation of this LLP Settlement Agreement, using the methods underlying development of the illustrative settlement rates for Schedules E-19V (voluntary), mandatory E-19, and E-20 rates, as presented in Appendix A to the LLP Settlement Agreement. The methods described herein shall be used to set initial rates upon implementation of this LLP Settlement Agreement at the then-required revenue based on the revenue allocation principles from the MC/RA Settlement Agreement.

3. Demand Charges: Distribution demand charge principles and seasonal maximum demand and Time-of-Use (TOU) demand relationships are based upon a compromise between PG&E's August 16, 2013 filed proposals, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, and the distribution demand charge design advocated by SEIA (*see* SEIA Testimony, p. 27). Generation demand charge principles reflect PG&E's August 16, 2013 filed proposals, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement.

4. Customer Charges: Customer charges for Schedule E-20 are agreed to be set at \$2,000 (Transmission service), \$1,500 (Primary service) and \$1,200 (Secondary service), and for

Schedule E-19 at \$1,800 (Transmission service), \$1,000 (Primary service) and \$600 (Secondary service). Residual distribution revenue will be allocated to distribution demand charges.

5. Energy Charges: Distribution and generation energy charge principles and seasonal and TOU relationships are based upon PG&E's August 16, 2013 filed proposals and methods, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement.

6. Peak Day Pricing Updates: PG&E's proposals for Peak Day Pricing (PDP) updates to Schedules E-19 and E-20 are limited to continuing the annual adjustments to PDP rate credits to conform PDP rates to updated customer and sales forecasts and billing determinants, as proposed in Chapter 5 (PG&E-4). The LLP Settling Parties agree that these adjustments, as proposed by PG&E, are reasonable and should be adopted.

7. Transmission Rates: The LLP Settling Parties agree that PG&E would not seek changes to transmission rates at Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), such as the ones suggested by SEIA (*see* SEIA Testimony, pp. 32 and 33). Any of the parties to this proceeding may advocate positions at FERC consistent with their needs.

8. Issue Not Addressed by Settlement: The LLP Settling Parties agree that one issue could not be resolved through settlement. Specifically, the parties were unable to agree to SEIA's proposal to allow Net Energy Metering (NEM) customers that are on Schedules E-19 and E-20 to take service on PDP rates. (*See* SEIA Testimony, pp. 30 and 31).

B. Rate Design for Standby

The LLP Settlement Agreement describes the manner in which rates for Standby Schedule S will be designed, and includes the following fundamental components to which the LLP Settling Parties agree:

1. Illustrative Settlement Rates: Rates to collect the revenue allocated to Schedule S under the MC/RA Settlement Agreement shall be designed consistent with the illustrative settlement rates set forth in Appendix B to the LLP Settlement Agreement. The LLP Settling Parties agree that the illustrative rates set forth in Appendix B are consistent with the revenue allocation set forth in Tables 1 and 2 of the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, which was based on May 1, 2013 effective rates.

The LLP Settling Parties agree that the actual rates derived at the time of implementation of this LLP Settlement Agreement, once adopted by the CPUC, shall be designed on an overall revenue-neutral basis to collect the then-required revenue allocated to Schedule S to reflect class level revenue allocation from the MC/RA Settlement Agreement. Adopted revenue in effect at the time of settlement implementation shall be applied to determine initial settlement rates.

Therefore, the actual rates that will result when the Phase II rate changes are implemented will vary from those shown in Appendix B. However, these actual rates shall be based on the rate design methods described in this LLP Settlement Agreement. Similarly, rate changes scheduled for January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2017, as described below, will vary from the illustrative rates shown in Appendix B for those years and shall be based on then required revenue levels.

2. Basic rate design: Schedule S will be updated upon implementation of this LLP Settlement Agreement, using the methods underlying development of the illustrative settlement rates for Schedule S as presented in Appendix B to the LLP Settlement Agreement. The methods described herein shall be used to set initial rates upon implementation of this LLP Settlement Agreement at the then-required revenue based on the revenue allocation principles from the MC/RA Settlement Agreement. In addition to this initial rate change, illustrative rates are also established in Appendix B for January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2017, as described in the next Section B3, below, entitled “Reservation Charge.” Again, the methods described herein shall be used to determine these subsequent rate changes at the then-required revenue.

3. Reservation Charge: Distribution and Generation reservation charge principles are based upon a PG&E’s August 16, 2013 filed proposals, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement. With the implementation of the Phase II revenue allocation as set forth in the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, Part VIII, Section 1, the distribution reservation charge for secondary service will be increased by \$1 per kW, and the distribution reservation charge for primary service will be increased by the amount necessary such that it is equal to the secondary distribution reservation charge. Any remaining distribution revenue after collection of the customer charge, the peak-related distribution energy charges and the distribution reservation charge will be collected as a distribution energy charge that does not vary by season or TOU

period. Thereafter, in addition to any distribution reservation charge increases due to revenue requirement changes, the distribution reservation charge will increase by an additional \$1 per kW on each of January 1, 2016, and January 1, 2017. With each change on January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2017, the \$1 per kW increase in the distribution reservation charge will be offset by a commensurate reduction in the non-time varying portion of the distribution energy charge.

4. Customer Charges: Customer charges for Schedule S will be set at the same levels as recommended for the otherwise applicable rate schedules, except that PG&E proposes to retain the Schedule S customer charge for residential customers of \$5 per customer per month, and to set the agricultural customer charge at the level adopted in this proceeding for Schedule AG-4B. The LLP Settling Parties agree that the applicable Schedule S customer charge for commercial and industrial customers is determined based on reservation capacity. The LLP Settling Parties agree to increase the eligibility threshold for the Small Light and Power customers taking service under Schedule S from 50 kW to 75 kW.

5. Energy Charges: Distribution and generation energy charge principles and seasonal and TOU relationships are based upon PG&E's August 16, 2013 filed proposals and methods, as updated to reflect the MC/RA Settlement Agreement. In addition, as noted above, an energy charge for distribution will be determined that does not vary by season or TOU period as noted in part 3, above.

6. Standby Distribution Diversity Study: CLECA recommends that PG&E conduct a study of the diversity of standby load on the distribution system similar to that conducted by SCE (see CLECA Testimony, dated December 13, 2013, p.80). PG&E agrees to do such a study and provide it with its next GRC Phase II application. PG&E will confer with CLECA with regard to the study as the study design is being developed. The parties agree that conducting such a study does not determine whether or not it should be used in setting the standby revenue allocation or rate design. However, CLECA and other parties may use the study in their testimony in PG&E's next GRC Phase 2 proceeding.

C. Other

Unless otherwise specifically agreed by the LLP Settling Parties or addressed in this LLP Settlement Agreement above, the proposals, methods and explanations contained in revenue allocation and rate design Exhibit PG&E-4, Chapter 5 and Chapter 6, served on August 16, 2013, shall be adopted for the purpose of implementing rates under this LLP Settlement Agreement.

VII. TIMING OF RATE CHANGES

The provisions regarding the timing of this GRC Phase II rate change and rate changes between General Rate Cases agreed to in the MC/RA Settlement Agreement, Part VIII, Subsections 2 and 3, shall apply to this LLP Settlement Agreement, unless specifically noted above or otherwise determined by the Commission.

To the extent that any elements of this LLP Settlement Agreement will require employee training and/or changes to PG&E systems beyond those required for a normal change in rate value, these structural and system changes will be implemented by PG&E diligently as time permits in a manner consistent with smooth operations of the systems involved. The LLP Settling Parties recognize that these changes could take several months to implement.

VIII. SETTLEMENT EXECUTION

This LLP Settlement Agreement may be executed in separate counterparts by different LLP Settling Parties hereto and all so executed will be binding and have the same effect as if all the LLP Settling Parties had signed one and the same document. Each such counterpart will be deemed to be an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument, notwithstanding that the signatures of all the LLP Settling Parties do not appear on the same page of this LLP Settlement Agreement. This LLP Settlement Agreement shall become effective among the LLP Settling Parties on the date the last Settling Party executes the LLP Settlement Agreement, as indicated below. In witness whereof and intending to be legally bound by the Terms and Conditions of this LLP Settlement Agreement as stated above, the LLP Settling Parties duly execute this LLP Settlement Agreement as follows:

The undersigned represent that they are authorized to sign on behalf of the Party represented, for the purposes of this 2014 GRC Phase II Large Light and Power and Standby Rate Design Settlement Agreement.

Energy Users Forum

By: /s/ _____

Title: Consultant _____

Date: July 25, 2014 _____

Appendix A

2014 GRC Phase 2 A.13-04-012
 Large Light and Power Rate Design Settlement
 Exhibit A -- Illustrative E-19 and E-20 Rates

	PRESENT RATES (5/1/2013)					PROPOSED RATES				
Part-Peak	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Maximum	1.63	.00	4.45	6.08	1.63	.00	4.45	6.08		
ENERGY CHARGES (\$/kWh)										
Summer										
Peak	.00000	.06074	.01251	.01156	.08481	.00000	.06526	.01281	.01156	.08963
Part-Peak	.00000	.05687	.01251	.01156	.08094	.00000	.05502	.01281	.01156	.07940
Off-Peak	.00000	.04336	.01251	.01156	.06743	.00000	.04147	.01281	.01156	.06585
Winter										
Part-Peak	.00000	.05550	.01251	.01156	.07957	.00000	.05663	.01281	.01156	.08101
Off-Peak	.00000	.04479	.01251	.01156	.06886	.00000	.04622	.01281	.01156	.07060
BASIC SERVICE FEE (\$/meter/day)										
E-19	59.13758			59.13758	1800.00	59.13758			59.13758	1800.00
Rate V	4.59959			4.59959	140.00	4.59959			4.59959	140.00
POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT (\$/kWh)										
per kWh charge or credit to be applicable per each 1% deviation above or below standard power factor of 85%										
OPTIONAL METER DATA										
ACCESS CHARGE (\$/meter/day)	.98563			.98563	30.00	.98563			.98563	30.00
E-20 Secondary										
Firm	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total
DEMAND CHARGES (\$/kW)										
Summer										
Peak	4.56	11.16		.00	15.72	4.94	9.79		.00	14.72
Part-Peak	1.17	2.26		.00	3.43	1.70	2.44		.00	4.14
Maximum	7.78	.00		4.60	12.38	7.84	.00		4.60	12.44
Winter										
Part-Peak	.23	.00		.00	.23	.04	.00		.00	.04
Maximum	7.78	.00		4.60	12.38	7.84	.00		4.60	12.44
ENERGY CHARGES (\$/kWh)										
Summer										
Peak	.00000	.10810	.01305	.01124	.13239	.00000	.09666	.01335	.01124	.12125
Part-Peak	.00000	.07049	.01305	.01124	.09478	.00000	.06648	.01335	.01124	.09108
Off-Peak	.00000	.04570	.01305	.01124	.06999	.00000	.04556	.01335	.01124	.07015
Winter										
Part-Peak	.00000	.06515	.01305	.01124	.08944	.00000	.06221	.01335	.01124	.08681
Off-Peak	.00000	.04670	.01305	.01124	.07099	.00000	.05077	.01335	.01124	.07536
BASIC SERVICE FEE(\$/meter/day)										
	32.85421			32.85421	1000.00	39.42505			39.42505	1200.00
POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT (\$/kWh)										
per kWh charge or credit to be applicable per each 1% deviation above or below standard power factor of 85%										
OPTIONAL METER DATA										
ACCESS CHARGE (\$/meter/day)	.98563			.98563	30.00	.98563			.98563	30.00
E-20 Primary										
Firm	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total
DEMAND CHARGES (\$/kW)										
Summer										
Peak	4.56	10.84		.00	15.40	5.00	11.15		.00	16.15
Part-Peak	1.25	1.98		.00	3.23	1.68	2.66		.00	4.34
Maximum	5.43	.00		4.60	10.03	5.55	.00		4.60	10.15
Winter										
Part-Peak	.25	.00		.00	.25	.11	.00		.00	.11
Maximum	5.43	.00		4.60	10.03	5.55	.00		4.60	10.15
ENERGY CHARGES (\$/kWh)										
Summer										
Peak	.00000	.10855	.01222	.01109	.13186	.00000	.09974	.01252	.01109	.12336
Part-Peak	.00000	.07026	.01222	.01109	.09357	.00000	.06596	.01252	.01109	.08957
Off-Peak	.00000	.04786	.01222	.01109	.07117	.00000	.04506	.01252	.01109	.06868
Winter										

2014 GRC Phase 2 A.13-04-012
 Large Light and Power Rate Design Settlement
 Exhibit A -- Illustrative E-19 and E-20 Rates

	PRESENT RATES (5/1/2013)					PROPOSED RATES					
Part-Peak	.00000	.06593	.01222	.01109	.08924	.00000	.06153	.01252	.01109	.08515	
Off-Peak	.00000	.05134	.01222	.01109	.07465	.00000	.05021	.01252	.01109	.07383	
BASIC SERVICE FEE (\$/meter/day)	49.28131				49.28131	1500.00				49.28131	1500.00
POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT (\$/kWh) per kWh charge or credit to be applicable per each 1% deviation above or below standard power factor of 85%	.00005					.00005				.00005	
OPTIONAL METER DATA											
ACCESS CHARGE (\$/meter/day)	.98563				.98563	30.00				.98563	30.00
E-20 Transmission											
Firm											
DEMAND CHARGES (\$/kW)	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	
Summer											
Peak	.00	14.03		.00	14.03	.00	13.47		.00	13.47	
Part-Peak	.00	3.04		.00	3.04	.00	3.19		.00	3.19	
Maximum	.15	.00		4.60	4.75	.32	.00		4.60	4.92	
Winter											
Part-Peak	.00	.00		.00	.00	.00	.00		.00	.00	
Maximum	.15	.00		4.60	4.75	.32	.00		4.60	4.92	
ENERGY CHARGES (\$/kWh)											
Summer											
Peak	.00000	.07196	.01086	.01088	.09370	.00000	.06520	.01116	.01088	.08724	
Part-Peak	.00000	.05584	.01086	.01088	.07758	.00000	.05497	.01116	.01088	.07701	
Off-Peak	.00000	.04234	.01086	.01088	.06408	.00000	.04143	.01116	.01088	.06348	
Winter											
Part-Peak	.00000	.05705	.01086	.01088	.07879	.00000	.05658	.01116	.01088	.07862	
Off-Peak	.00000	.04586	.01086	.01088	.06760	.00000	.04618	.01116	.01088	.06823	
BASIC SERVICE FEE (\$/meter/day)	65.70842				65.70842	2000.00				65.70842	2000.00
POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT (\$/kWh) per kWh charge or credit to be applicable per each 1% deviation above or below standard power factor of 85%	.00005					.00005				.00005	
OPTIONAL METER DATA											
ACCESS CHARGE (\$/meter/day)	.98563				.98563	30.00				.98563	30.00

Appendix B

Standby Secondary	Present Rates (May 1, 2013)					2014 GRC Phase II Implementation Illustrative Proposed Rates					January 1, 2016 Illustrative Proposed Rates					January 1, 2017 Illustrative Proposed Rates				
	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total
RESERVATION CHARGE (\$/kW) (per kW per month applied to 85% of the Reservation Capacity)	2.21	.42		.54	3.17	3.21	.52		.54	4.27	4.21	.52		.54	5.27	5.21	.52		.54	6.27
ENERGY CHARGE (\$/kWh)																				
Summer																				
Peak	.33607	.08225	.01607	.02181	.45620	.32368	.08284	.01637	.02181	.44471	.31022	.08284	.01637	.02181	.43125	.29676	.08284	.01637	.02181	.41778
Part-Peak	.13443	.07566	.01607	.02181	.24797	.13553	.06977	.01637	.02181	.24349	.12207	.06977	.01637	.02181	.23003	.10861	.06977	.01637	.02181	.21656
Off-Peak	.06722	.05563	.01607	.02181	.16073	.03164	.05263	.01637	.02181	.12246	.01818	.05263	.01637	.02181	.10899	.00472	.05263	.01637	.02181	.09553
Winter																				
Part-Peak	.02271	.07474	.01607	.02181	.13533	.03478	.07184	.01637	.02181	.14480	.02131	.07184	.01637	.02181	.13134	.00785	.07184	.01637	.02181	.11788
Off-Peak	.01514	.05756	.01607	.02181	.11058	.03164	.05857	.01637	.02181	.12840	.01818	.05857	.01637	.02181	.11493	.00472	.05857	.01637	.02181	.10147
POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT per kWh charge or credit to be applicable per each 1% deviation above or below standard power factor of 85%	.00005				.00005	.00005				.00005	.00005				.00005	.00005				.00005
MAXIMUM REACTIVE DEMAND	.35				.35	.35				.35	.35				.35	.35				.35
Standby Primary																				
RESERVATION CHARGE (\$/kW) (per kW per month applied to 85% of the Reservation Capacity)	2.24	.37		.54	3.15	3.21	.52		.54	4.27	4.21	.52		.54	5.27	5.21	.52		.54	6.27
ENERGY CHARGE (\$/kWh)																				
Summer																				
Peak	.33991	.08036	.01694	.02181	.45902	.32368	.08284	.01724	.02181	.44558	.31022	.08284	.01724	.02181	.43212	.29676	.08284	.01724	.02181	.41865
Part-Peak	.13597	.07528	.01694	.02181	.25000	.13553	.06977	.01724	.02181	.24436	.12207	.06977	.01724	.02181	.23090	.10861	.06977	.01724	.02181	.21743
Off-Peak	.06798	.05587	.01694	.02181	.16260	.03164	.05263	.01724	.02181	.12333	.01818	.05263	.01724	.02181	.10986	.00472	.05263	.01724	.02181	.09640
Winter																				
Part-Peak	.02297	.07303	.01694	.02181	.13475	.03478	.07184	.01724	.02181	.14567	.02131	.07184	.01724	.02181	.13221	.00785	.07184	.01724	.02181	.11875
Off-Peak	.01532	.05782	.01694	.02181	.11189	.03164	.05857	.01724	.02181	.12927	.01818	.05857	.01724	.02181	.11580	.00472	.05857	.01724	.02181	.10234
POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT per kWh charge or credit to be applicable per each 1% deviation above or below standard power factor of 85%	.00005				.00005	.00005				.00005	.00005				.00005	.00005				.00005
MAXIMUM REACTIVE DEMAND	.35				.35	.35				.35	.35				.35	.35				.35

Present Rates (May 1, 2013)

2014 GRC Phase II Implementation Illustrative Proposed Rates

January 1, 2016 Illustrative Proposed Rates

January 1, 2017 Illustrative Proposed Rates

Standby Transmission

	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total
RESERVATION CHARGE (\$/kW (per kW per month applied to 85% of the Reservation Capacity)	.20	.30		.54	1.04	.14	.32		.54	1.00
ENERGY CHARGE (\$/kWh)										
Summer										
Peak	.00000	.06637	.01259	.02181	.10077	.00000	.06766	.01289	.02181	.10236
Part-Peak	.00000	.06225	.01259	.02181	.09665	.00000	.05704	.01289	.02181	.09175
Off-Peak	.00000	.04661	.01259	.02181	.08101	.00000	.04300	.01289	.02181	.07770
Winter										
Part-Peak	.00000	.06067	.01259	.02181	.09507	.00000	.05871	.01289	.02181	.09342
Off-Peak	.00000	.04826	.01259	.02181	.08266	.00000	.04792	.01289	.02181	.08263
POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT per kWh charge or credit to be applicable per each 1% deviation above or below standard power factor of 85%	.00005				.00005	.00005				.00005
MAXIMUM REACTIVE DEMAND	.35				.35	.35				.35

Standby

BASIC SERVICE FEES	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total
Residential										
BASIC SERVICE FEE	.16427				.16427	.16427				.16427
Agricultural										
BASIC SERVICE FEE	.52567				.52567	.90678				.90678
Small Light and Power (Reservation Capacity ≤ 50 kW)										
Single Phase Service										
BASIC SERVICE FEE	.32854				.32854	.65708				.65708
PolyPhase Service										
BASIC SERVICE FEE	.65708				.65708	.98563				.98563
Medium Light and Power (Reservation Capacity > 50 kW and < 500 kW)										
BASIC SERVICE FEE	4.59959				4.59959	4.59959				4.59959
Medium Light and Power (Reservation Capacity ≥ 500 kW and < 1000 kW)										
Transmission BASIC SERVICE FEE	59.13758				59.13758	59.13758				59.13758
Primary BASIC SERVICE FEE	32.85421				32.85421	32.85421				32.85421
Secondary BASIC SERVICE FEE	19.71253				19.71253	19.71253				19.71253
Large Light and Power (Reservation Capacity ≥ 1000 kW)										
Transmission BASIC SERVICE FEE	65.70842				65.70842	65.70842				65.70842
Primary BASIC SERVICE FEE	49.28131				49.28131	49.28131				49.28131
Secondary BASIC SERVICE FEE	32.85421				32.85421	39.42505				39.42505

Present Rates (May 1, 2013)

2014 GRC Phase II Implementation Illustrative Proposed Rates

January 1, 2016 Illustrative Proposed Rates

January 1, 2017 Illustrative Proposed Rates

Standby	Present Rates (May 1, 2013)					2014 GRC Phase II Implementation Illustrative Proposed Rates					January 1, 2016 Illustrative Proposed Rates					January 1, 2017 Illustrative Proposed Rates					
	Reduced BASIC SERVICE FEI	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total	Distr	Gen	PPP	Other	Total
Small Light and Power																					
(Reservation Capacity < 50 kW)																					
SINGLEPHASE											.25470						.25470				7.75
POLYPHASE	.47014					.47014					.25470						.25470				7.75
Medium Light and Power																					
(Reservation Capacity > 50 kW and < 500 kW)																					
PRIMARY (Reservation Capact)	2.45971					2.45971					3.23157						3.23157				98.36
SECONDARY (Reservation Cap	2.45971					2.45971					.94969						.94969				28.91
Medium Light and Power																					
(Reservation Capacity > 500 kW and < 1000 kW)																					
PRIMARY											4.27355						4.27355				130.08
SECONDARY											1.70842						1.70842				52.00
TRANSMISSION	39.65122					39.65122					6.12976						6.12976				186.57
Large Light and Power																					
(Reservation Capacity ≥ 1000 kW)																					
PRIMARY	39.65122					39.65122					6.33582						6.33582				192.85
SECONDARY											5.82808						5.82808				177.39
TRANSMISSION	39.65122					39.65122					6.18948						6.18948				188.39