



FILED

6-16-15
11:45 AM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of its Electric Vehicle Infrastructure and Education Program (U39E).

Application 15-02-009
(Filed February 9, 2015)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REQUESTING COMMENTS

This Ruling requests comments on questions regarding possible phasing of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) requested Electric Vehicle Infrastructure and Education Program (EV Program), Application (A.) 15-02-009.

On Friday, June 12, 2015 a prehearing conference (PHC) was held in A.15-02-009. At the PHC, the assigned Commissioner asked parties to provide input on what the scope and schedule of PG&E's proposed EV Program might look like if phased. Many parties indicated a brief round of comments would be helpful. Therefore, we invite comments on the following questions:

- 1) Can, and should, PG&E's proposed EV Program be phased to allow for a smaller initial deployment?
 - a. If so, describe exactly what the phased Program should look like, and explain what benefits the phased Program offers.
- 2) If the proposed EV Program is phased, how should the scope and schedule of the application be prioritized?
 - a. If PG&E's proposed EV Program is phased what, if any, issues become out of scope; what if any, issues become

narrower in scope; and what, if any, issues become the highest priority?

- 3) If PG&E's proposed EV Program is phased, how can it be done in a manner that allows for continuity and market certainty between phases?

Comments are due on July 3, 2015. Reply comments are due on July 10, 2015.

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated June 16, 2015, at San Francisco, California.

 /s/ KARIN M. HIETA
Karin M. Hieta
Administrative Law Judge
Pro Tem