



FILED
8-26-15
04:59 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Develop a
Successor to Existing Net Energy Metering Tariffs
Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 2827.1,
and to Address Other Issues Related to Net Energy
Metering

Rulemaking 14-07-002
(Filed July 10, 2014)

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S (U 39 E) MOTION TO FILE
CORRECTION TO ITS PROPOSAL FILED AUGUST 3, 2015 FOR A NET ENERGY
METERING SUCCESSOR STANDARD CONTRACT OR TARIFF**

RANDALL J. LITTENEKER
STACY W. WALTER

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, B30A
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 973-2179
Facsimile: (415) 973-0516
E-Mail: rjl9@pge.com

Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

August 26, 2015

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Develop a
Successor to Existing Net Energy Metering Tariffs
Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 2827.1,
and to Address Other Issues Related to Net Energy
Metering

Rulemaking 14-07-002
(Filed July 10, 2014)

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY’S (U 39 E) MOTION TO FILE
CORRECTION TO ITS PROPOSAL FILED AUGUST 3, 2015 FOR A NET ENERGY
METERING SUCCESSOR STANDARD CONTRACT OR TARIFF**

In accordance with Rules 1.12 and 11.1 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (the “Commission”), Pacific Gas & Electric Company (“PG&E”) moves to correct certain inaccuracies in its Proposal for Successor Net Energy Metering Tariff (“NEM Proposal”) filed in the above-referenced proceeding on August 3, 2015.

On page 10 of that filing, PG&E stated the following:

Under the final RROIR decision, a representative customer with an average monthly maximum demand of 5 kW considering solar will now pay \$160 per month. With PG&E’s successor tariff, installing a 3.7 kW system (offsetting 2/3 of load) would reduce its bill to \$77 per month, a bill savings of \$83. While these savings are a reduction from the \$104 per month bill savings under the existing NEM structure for the same customer and system, PG&E believes this is still sufficient to drive substantial adoption, as confirmed by the results of the Public Tool.

PG&E discovered an error in its original calculation. To fix this error, PG&E has corrected and updated the calculations. This text should now read:

Under the final RROIR decision, a representative customer with an average monthly maximum demand of 4.3 kW considering solar will now pay \$163 per month. With PG&E’s successor tariff, installing a 3 kW system (offsetting 54% of

load) would reduce its bill to \$99 per month, a bill savings of \$64. While these savings are a reduction from the \$93 per month bill savings under the existing NEM structure for the same customer and system, PG&E believes this is still sufficient to drive substantial adoption, as confirmed by the results of the Public Tool.

These changes do not affect PG&E's Proposal, but are offered to ensure the accuracy of the record.

Respectfully submitted,

RANDALL J. LITTENEKER
STACY W. WALTER

By: /s/Randall J. Litteneker
RANDALL J. LITTENEKER

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, B30A
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 973-2179
Facsimile: (415) 973-0516
E-Mail: rjl9@pge.com

Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

August 26, 2015