



FILED
5-19-16
04:59 PM

GW2/ar9 5/19/2016

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of California-American Water Company (U210W) for Authorization to Modify Conservation and Rationing Rules, Rate Design, and Other Related Issues for the Monterey District.

Application 15-07-019
(Filed July 14, 2015)

E-MAIL RULING ON EXHIBIT 105

Dated May 19, 2016, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ BURTON W. MATTSON for
Gary Weatherford
Administrative Law Judge

A.15-07-001rew

From: Mattson, Burton

Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 3:22 PM

To: michael@rri.org; JRBobMcK@gmail.com; ronweitzman@redshift.com; GeorgeTRiley@gmail.com; GeorgeTRiley@gmail.com; dave@laredolaw.net; Sheppard, Kerriann; mmattes@nossaman.com; sarah.leeper@amwater.com; BobBurkeat@gmail.com; robert.maclean@amwater.com; fran@laredolaw.net; Dstoldt@mpwmd.net; steph@mpwmd.dst.ca.us; jhawks@calwaterassn.com; Cynthia.Russell@amwater.com; LDolqueist@nossaman.com; MDavidson@Nossaman.com; Nicholas.Subias@amwater.com; NelsonP34@hotmail.com; sherrene.chew@amwater.com; Odell, Eileen; Dawadi, Mukunda; Leong, Bradley; Mattson, Burton; Hook, Charlyn A.; Weatherford, Gary; Rauschmeier, Richard; Truong, Viet

Cc: ALJ Docket Office; ALJ Process; ALJ_Support ID

Subject: A.15-07-019 Email Ruling on Exhibit 105
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING ON EXHIBIT 105

Exhibit No. 105 was identified on May 16, 2016. It consists of the cover page of Exhibit 9 plus the redlined version of Question and Answer 18. Unless objection was raised by May 18, Exhibit 105 would have been received on May 18, 2016. (See Reporter's Transcript at pp. 957-960.)

On May 18, 2016, California American Water Company (applicant) filed a response urging that Exhibit 105 not be received. On May 18, 2016, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates requested permission to file a written reply to applicant's response. (See Rule 11.1(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.)

IT IS RULED that the Office of Ratepayer Advocate's request to reply is denied. Exhibit 105 is received to show that applicant's witness Linam served proposed testimony that included the statements that are redlined (lined-out) in Exhibit 105, but receipt of Exhibit 105 does not establish the truth of those statements. The Docket Office shall formally file this Ruling.

Burton W. Mattson for
Gary Weatherford
Administrative Law Judge