



FILED
9-26-16
02:49 PM

PM6/vm1 9/26/2016

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) For Approval of Its Forecast 2017 ERRRA Proceeding Revenue Requirement.

Application 16-05-001
(Filed May 2, 2016)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
MODIFYING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE**

Summary

Pursuant to Rule 7.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a Scoping Memo and Ruling was issued on August 5, 2016. This Ruling sets forth changes to the procedural schedule as noted below. All other aspects of the original Scoping Memo and Ruling remain unchanged.

1. Background

On May 2, 2016, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) filed its *Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) in its Forecast 2017 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) Proceeding (Application)*.

On May 12, 2016, Resolution ALJ-176-3377 preliminarily determined that this proceeding was ratesetting and that hearings would be necessary.

On June 3, 2016, protests were filed by the Office of Ratepayers Advocates and the City of Lancaster (Lancaster). The Alliance for Retail Energy Markets and the Direct Access Customer Coalition (AReM-DACC) filed a joint response on June 3, 2016. On that same date, a separate response was filed by the Public

Agency Coalition. SCE filed its reply to the responses and protests on June 13, 2016.

A prehearing conference was held on June 29, 2016 in order to establish the service list, discuss the scope, and develop a procedural timetable for the management of this proceeding. The City of Los Angeles filed a Motion for Party Status on July 5, 2016, which was granted. On August 5, 2016, the assigned Commissioner issued the Scoping Memo and Ruling which included an evidentiary hearing on October 21, 2016, as part of the proceeding schedule.

In an August 26, 2016 e-mail to the Administrative Law Judge, counsel for SCE advised that the parties had met and conferred and agreed that there was no longer need for evidentiary hearing.

SCE and Lancaster submitted a joint stipulation on September 16, 2016, which resolved issues between them concerning SCE's obligation to provide estimated rate information. I had a telephone conference with all parties on September 20, 2016 to discuss the proceeding schedule. During the teleconference, counsel for AReM-DACC informed me that he would be withdrawing testimony served on behalf of his client, and instead, would serve 1) a set of stipulated/agreed facts concerning Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (PCIA) vintaging issues, and 2) opening and reply briefing pertaining to these issues. The parties agreed that the schedule should be changed to reflect the agreed submission dates for the briefing and to remove the evidentiary hearings.

Accordingly, the proceeding schedule is amended as shown below.

2. Amended Proceeding Schedule

The parties agree that the schedule for the proceeding should be amended to remove the evidentiary hearing and to add the following dates:

EVENT	DATE (close of business on date shown)
Stipulation Regarding Agreed Facts (AReM-DACC and SCE) filed and served.	September 28, 2016
Opening Briefing Regarding PCIA Vintaging issues filed and served.	October 3, 2016
Reply briefing (if any) on PCIA Vintaging Issues filed and served.	October 14, 2016
SCE Supplemental Testimony on greenhouse gas issues, served.	October 21, 2016

IT IS RULED that:

1. The proceeding schedule is amended as set forth above.
2. All other aspects of the Scoping Memo and Ruling issued August 5, 2016 remain unchanged.

Dated September 26, 2016, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ PATRICIA B. MILES

Patricia B. Miles
Administrative Law Judge