

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



FILED
9-09-16
04:59 PM

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Approval of its Energy Savings Assistance and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2015-2017.

And Related Matters.

Application 14-11-007
(Filed November 18, 2014)

Application 14-11-009
Application 14-11-010
Application 14-11-011

NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Pursuant to Rule 8.4 of the California Public Utilities Commission's ("Commission") Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates ("ORA") respectfully submits the following notice of ex parte communication in the above referenced proceedings. The meeting was held on Tuesday, September 6, 2016 at 1:00 pm with Sean Simon, Advisor to Commissioner Liane Randolph at the California Public Utilities Commission offices in San Francisco. The meeting was initiated by ORA to discuss the Proposed Decision ("PD") and the Alternate Proposed Decision ("APD") on the Energy Savings Assistance ("ESA") program and the California Alternate Rates for Energy ("CARE") program. ORA representatives present were Program Manager Mike Campbell and Attorney Zhen Zhang.

ORA discussed the following:

- ORA supports adopting the Energy Savings Assistance Cost Effective Test (“ESACET”) threshold target of 1.0.
- ORA supports changes to the administrative rules (e.g. the Three Measure Rule and the Go Back Rule). The Commission should eliminate the Three Measure Rule only if it adopts the ESACET threshold target of 1.0. ORA supports phasing out the Go Back Rule with tailored strategies for repeat service households.
- The PD should remove the requirement that CARE customers approaching 400 percent of baseline usage participate in ESA.
- The APD should remove the requirement that customers participate in dynamic pricing or demand response in order to receive ESA. Customers should be able to choose the rate plan that best fits their needs.
- With regards to the APD, ESA money should not be used for common areas without a mechanism to ensure the low income residents receive the benefits.
- Unspent funds are ratepayer funds. Unspent funds should be returned to ratepayers to offset future years’ collections.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ ZHEN ZHANG

Zhen Zhang
Attorney

Office of Ratepayer Advocates

California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 703-2624
Email: zhen.zhang@cpuc.ca.gov

September 9, 2016