

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



FILED

9-15-16
04:59 PM

Application of SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC
COMPANY (U 902-E) for Approval of its 2016 Electric
Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-
Related Forecasts

Application 16-04-018
(Filed April 15, 2016)

**MOTION OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902-E)
TO MODIFY PROCEEDING SCHEDULE**

Christopher M. Lyons
8330 Century Park Court, CP 32D
San Diego, CA 92123-1530
Telephone: (858) 654-1559
Facsimile: (619) 699-5027
Email: clyons@semprautilities.com

Attorney for:
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

September 15, 2016

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC
COMPANY (U 902-E) for Approval of its 2016 Electric
Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-
Related Forecasts

Application 16-04-018
(Filed April 15, 2016)

**MOTION OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902-E)
TO MODIFY PROCEEDING SCHEDULE**

Pursuant to Rule 11.1 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure and the June 14, 2016 Scoping Memo and Ruling of Assigned Commissioner (“Scoping Memo”), as amended on September 8, 2016, San Diego Gas & Electric Company (“SDG&E”) respectfully submits this motion to modify the briefing dates in the Proceeding Schedule, as set forth in the Scoping Memo.

On August 23, 2016, the parties informed ALJ Kelly via email regarding certain procedural developments in this proceeding. Specifically, the parties informed ALJ Kelly that they had reached agreement to withdraw the only answering testimony that was filed in this proceeding – Mark Fulmer’s August 8 testimony on behalf of the Alliance for Retail Energy Markets (“AREM”) and the Direct Access Customer Coalition (“DACC), which took issue with SDG&E’s calculation of the PCIA charge for so-called Old World or pre-2009 vintages. The parties further indicated that they had agreed that SDG&E would not file rebuttal testimony on this issue, and that it could be resolved through briefing. According, the parties indicated that a hearing in this proceeding would not be necessary. Via an August 30, 2016 email ruling, ALJ Kelly cancelled the hearing.

Through negotiations, AReM and DACC and SDG&E have agreed to request that the briefing dates in this proceeding be modified. The existing briefing dates are as follows:

Concurrent Opening Briefs Filed and Served	September 22, 2016
Concurrent Reply Briefs Filed and Served	October 6, 2016

AReM and DACC and SDG&E request that the briefing dates be modified as follows:

Concurrent Opening Briefs Filed and Served	October 3, 2016
Concurrent Reply Briefs Filed and Served	October 14, 2016

AReM and DACC and SDG&E seek this modification because AReM and DACC have raised the same issue regarding the PCIA calculation in the 2017 ERRRA Forecast Application of Southern California Edison Company. This modification to the dates in this proceeding will allow the briefing schedules in the two proceedings to coincide so that opening and reply briefs will be filed concurrently in the two utilities' respective 2017 ERRRA Forecast proceedings. The Office of Ratepayer Advocates has indicated that it does not oppose this modification. SDG&E is not aware of any issues that will be the subject of briefing other than the aforementioned PCIA calculation issue.

SDG&E believes that these changes represent a consensus view, and it thus respectfully requests that the normal comment period for motions be waived to permit these proposed modifications to be implemented immediately.

