

From: Lirag, Rafael L.

Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2016 12:00 PM

To: Foley, Shanna; 'dslowe@gmail.com'; 'KSwitzer@gswater.com'; 'mcclary@ci.ojai.ca.us'; 'kendall.macvey@bbklaw.com'; 'ryan@RyanBlatzlaw.com'; 'p2bruce@verizon.net'; 'jadarneylane@gswater.com'; 'john.garon@gswater.com'; 'CarlWood@uwua.net'; 'sylvia.perez@bbklaw.com'; 'CBurrows@AWAttorneys.com'; 'dand2847@aol.com'; 'venskus@lawsv.com'; 'wnweirick@wpllp.com'; 'djdaddi@roadrunner.com'; 'JKarp@winston.com'; 'mnarensky@winston.com'; 'tmacbride@goodinmacbride.com'; 'griffiths@braunlegal.com'; 'blaising@braunlegal.com'; Ende, Julia; Trương, Việt "Kevin"; Ma, Patricia; Podolinsky, Elizabeth; Sheppard, Kerriann; Bilir, Lisa

Cc: ALJ Docket Office; ALJ Process; ALJ_Support ID

Subject: A.14-07-006 Email Ruling Directing Compliance with Rule 8.4 and to Submit Projects List

To all Parties in A.14-07-006,

On October 7, 2016, the assigned administrative law judge (ALJ) sent a procedural question via email to counsel for Golden State Water Company (Golden State) and counsel for the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA). The question was to confirm whether a project for the Simi Valley Customer Service Area is being litigated or was settled as the project was designated as litigated in the Settlement Agreement between Golden State and ORA but was not discussed in briefs by either party. Golden State Water sent a response via email on October 11, 2016, that contained substantive information. Golden State also emailed the service list that the aforementioned email response was sent to the ALJ.

The email notice to the service list however, does not completely comply with Rule 8.4 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure and Golden State is directed to immediately comply with all of the procedural requirements provided in Rule 8.4 regarding reporting of ex-parte communications.

Additionally, the email response shows that there are several proposed capital projects that are being litigated but were not discussed in briefs. Golden State and ORA are directed to jointly file within 5 days of this ruling, a list of projects that are being litigated but were not discussed in briefs of either party. The list shall include the name of the project, the customer service area or location of the project, the parties' basic positions, and the exhibit number of testimony supporting each parties' position.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE DOCKET OFFICE SHALL FORMALLY FILE THIS RULING

Rafael Lirag
Administrative Law Judge
Public Utilities Commission