



**FILED**

3-07-17  
04:59 PM

RMD/ek4 3-7-2017

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Gildred Building Company, dba The  
Gildred Companies; Ocotillo Solar LLC,

Complainants,

vs.

Southern California Edison Company  
(U338E),

Defendant.

Case 16-10-021  
(Filed October 21, 2016)

**E-MAIL RULING GRANTING, IN PART, VARIOUS MOTIONS FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE CONFIDENTIAL MATERIALS UNDER SEAL**

Dated March 7, 2017, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ REGINA M. DEANGELIS

Regina M. DeAngelis  
Administrative Law Judge

**From:** DeAngelis, Regina

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 07, 2017 11:38 AM

**To:** Cathy.Karlstad@sce.com; JKarp@Winston.com; Case.Admin@sce.com; lisa.tobias@sce.com; Vivian.Le@sce.com; gregg@gildredco.com; Liddell@EnergyAttorney.com; Lee, Cheryl; DeAngelis, Regina

**Cc:** ALJ\_Support ID; ALJ Docket Office; ALJ Process

**Subject:** C.16-10-021 Gildred Bldg. Co., et al. v. SCE Email Ruling Granting, in Part, Various Motions for Leave to File Confidential Materials Under Seal

To Service List for C.16-10-021:

This ruling addresses the below motions for leave to file confidential materials under seal.

The following motions are granted, in part:

1. **February 14, 2017** – Complainants’ Motion for Leave to File Confidential Materials Under Seal in its Amended Complaint.

- This motion is granted as it applies to the confidential version of the Amended Complaint. It is denied in all other respects.

2. **February 14, 2017** – Defendant’s Motion to File under Seal the confidential versions of SCE’s Answer to the Amended Complaint, SCE’s Reply to Complainants’ Response to the Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint under seal, and various other requests regarding prior motions to file under seal.

- This motion is granted with respect to the February 14, 2017 confidential versions of SCE’s Answer to Amended Complaint and SCE’s Reply to

Complainants’ Response to SCE’s Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint under seal. It is denied in all other respects.

The following motions are denied but all the related pleadings will remain filed as-is in this proceeding; no changes to these pleadings shall be made due to the removal of redactions provided in the above granted February 14, 2017 motions:

1. **October 21, 2016** - Complainants’ Motion for Leave to File Confidential Material under Seal in (1) Complaint and (2) the Instant Motion.
2. **December 15, 2016** – Defendant’s Motion for Leave to File under Seal the Confidential Versions of (1) Answer to Complaint, (2) Motion to Dismiss Complaint, and (3) the Instant Motion to File under Seal.
3. **December 30, 2016** – Complainants’ Motion to File under Seal (1) Complainants’ Response to SCE Motion to Dismiss Complaint and (2) the Instant Motion to File Under Seal.

4. **January 10, 2017** – Defendant’s Motion to File under Seal (1) Confidential Version of Reply to Complainants’ Response to SCE’s Motion to Dismiss and (2) the Instant Motion to File Under Seal.

*The docket office shall formally file this ruling.*

Thank you.

Regina M. DeAngelis  
Administrative Law Judge  
California Public Utilities Commission  
415 703 2011

**Administrative Law Judge Division Vision Statement: Just, reasoned, efficient, and innovative resolution of matters in a manner that ensures integrity, due process and transparency, and respects the dignity of all participants.**