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I.

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Articles 1 and 2 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission or CPUC), and in accordance with the Commission’s Decision (D.) 15-07-001 ordering each investor-owned utility (IOU) to submit a Residential Rate Design Window (RDW) application no later than January 1, 2018, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) respectfully files this application requesting that the Commission approve its proposal to implement residential default time-of-use (TOU) rates for specified eligible customers, as described herein. SCE’s filing consists of this application and supporting testimony (preliminarily identified as Exhibit SCE-01).
II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In this application, SCE requests Commission approval of a proposal to implement residential default TOU rates\(^2\) for eligible residential customers beginning in the fourth quarter of 2018 (Q4 2018). SCE seeks approval to implement: (1) an initial (“Wave 1”) transition of a limited, although significant, segment of customers to default TOU starting in Q4 2018;\(^3\) (2) two proposed default TOU rates\(^4\) that SCE previously proposed in its pending Default TOU Pilot\(^5\) that are designed to reflect up-to-date TOU time periods in compliance with D.17-01-006, and to enhance customer acceptance with relatively modest bill impacts on customers who do not change their consumption patterns; (3) a robust bill protection proposal that exceeds the legal requirements of Assembly Bill (AB) 327; (4) a request to provide customers the option of taking service on the proposed rates on an opt-in basis, beginning January 1, 2018; and (5) other aspects, including cost recovery.

Because SCE’s request to implement Wave 1 is time-sensitive, SCE is requesting an expedited schedule in order to obtain a final Commission decision by November 2017. Expedited treatment is required in order to allow SCE’s Customer Service and Information Technology (IT) operational staff sufficient time to prepare for defaulting the approximately 1.6 million customers eligible for Wave 1, and for reasons discussed in Section IV, below. Additionally, SCE will be filing a motion to consolidate this application with the Commission’s ongoing Residential Rate Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR), \textit{i.e.}, Rulemaking (R.) 12-06-013, \textit{et al.}

\(^2\) Decision (D.) 15-07-001 defines the default rate to be “the rate the customer is automatically put on if the customer does not affirmatively choose a different tariff. For residential customers, this is a voluntary (not mandatory) rate.”

\(^3\) Remaining eligible customers will be subject to default TOU in Wave 2, anticipated to begin in the fourth quarter (Q4) 2020. If the Commission adopts SCE’s proposal, SCE intends to later serve testimony that includes details of its proposal for Wave 2 migration.

\(^4\) SCE seeks approval of both rates but will implement only one, as described below.

\(^5\) \textit{See} Advice Letter (AL) 3531-E and Draft Resolution E-4847. As discussed below, SCE also requests the Commission to approve a regulatory process for selecting a final default rate in 2018.
pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure because these proceedings involve related questions of both law and fact, the appropriate stakeholders are already parties to that proceeding, and because the Commission anticipated that the IOUs’ 2018 Rate Design Window applications would be consolidated in R.12-06-013.6

### III. ORGANIZATION OF SCE’S TESTIMONY

SCE’s testimony served separately in support of this application has been preliminarily marked for identification as Exhibit SCE-01, is comprised of 12 sections, and summarized as follows:

- **Chapter I – Introduction** provides a brief summary of SCE’s proposal and the impetus for this application;

- **Chapter II – Background** provides relevant background on residential rate reform in California, SCE’s TOU pilot efforts, and its marketing, education, and outreach (ME&O) strategy for implementing default TOU;

- **Chapter III – Customer Service System Re-Platform** provides an overview of SCE’s Customer Service System Re-Platform (CSRP) project and explains CSRP’s impact on SCE’s planned rollout of default TOU rates;

- **Chapter IV – Customer Eligibility and Schedule for Default TOU** details SCE’s proposed treatment of specific residential customer segments with respect to eligibility for Wave 1 of the transition to default TOU and the planned schedule;

- **Chapter V – Proposed Default TOU Rate Design** describes SCE’s two proposed default TOU rates and proposes a procedural method to timely obtain final approval of a default TOU rate in June 2018 when necessary additional information becomes available;

---

6 See D.15-07-001, pp 301-301. This application is SCE’s 2018 RDW application, only filed in advance of the January 1, 2018 deadline specified by D.15-07-001.
Chapter VI – Bill Protection explains the scope of SCE’s bill protection plan for customers migrated onto default TOU and the estimated cost of bill protection credits;

Chapter VII – Customer Experience provides an overview of SCE’s ME&O strategy for TOU implementation and associated costs;

Chapter VIII – Operations provides information about SCE’s operational plans for implementing default TOU, specifically customer contact center staffing, training and estimated costs, and billing system and IT cost estimates;

Chapter IX – Cumulative Budget sets forth SCE’s forecast cost estimate;

Chapter X – Cost Recovery explains SCE’s proposal for recording and recovering costs;

Chapter XI – Governance and Controls explains how SCE will develop, track, and report operational metrics for TOU implementation; and

Chapter XII – Conclusion.

IV.

SUMMARY OF SCE’S APPLICATION

SCE proposes to transition approximately 1.6 million eligible customers to default TOU rates starting in November 2018, i.e., Wave 1. This phased schedule gives due consideration to the Commission’s policy aims to timely implement default TOU consistent with D.15-07-001, balanced against the risks associated with SCE’s current IT billing system, the Customer Service System (CSS), and the associated need to replace CSS with a new technology platform, known as CSRP, in 2019. These risks were not considered when the Commission, in D.15-07-001, stated that default TOU rates should begin in 2019\(^2\) based on IOU RDW applications filed no later than January 1, 2018. SCE’s unique circumstances must now be taken into account in order to avoid a delay in implementing any residential default TOU rates until Q4 2020.

\(^2\) D.15-07-001, p. 301.
A. **Timing and Rationale for SCE’s Default TOU Proposal**

SCE’s CSRP project is pending in its General Rate Case (GRC) Application (A.) 16-09-001 and involves replacing SCE’s aging CSS with a more modern, stable, and agile platform, *i.e.*, CSRP. As relevant here, CSRP will directly impact the feasibility and timing of residential default TOU rates. Specifically, CSRP’s testing and stabilization process requires a major “system freeze” that will prevent SCE from undertaking any significant new transactions, such as defaulting residential customers onto TOU rates, from Q2 2019 through Q3 2020. In light of this restriction, SCE must either (1) advance default TOU implementation for some residential customers eligible for default TOU (Wave 1) to Q4 2018 with a second set of customers (Wave 2) transitioned to default TOU in Q4 2020; or (2) defer implementation of default TOU for all residential customers until after CSRP has stabilized in Q4 2020.

SCE’s supporting testimony is primarily focused on Wave 1 implementation, *e.g.*, the selection of the default TOU rate that best complies with the relevant principles of rate design and design of TOU rates, which customers will be included, the selection basis for customers, the implementation schedule, etc. As explained in this application and SCE’s supporting testimony, SCE’s Wave 1 proposal is structured to avoid any potential conflicts with the Commission’s final interpretation and application of Public Utilities Code Section 745 (“Section 745”) in R.12-06-013. SCE expects to serve additional testimony related to Wave 2 if the Commission approves SCE’s Wave 1 proposal. That additional testimony will address any TOU implementation requirements adopted by the Commission in the Section 745 Track of the proceeding, devoted to facilitating the Commission’s required review of certain customer segments required to be studied pursuant to Section 745.\(^8\) Should the Commission reject SCE’s proposal in this application, then SCE will submit its alternative proposal, *i.e.*, to defer

\(^8\) The Commission is currently addressing Section 745’s requirements in the “Section 745 Track” of Rulemaking (R.) 12-06-013.
implementation of default TOU to Q4 2020 (option 2 above), in a separate phase of this proceeding or in a Rate Design Window application.²

B. Customer Eligibility for Default TOU Rates in Wave 1

Under SCE’s plan, Wave 1 would apply default TOU rates to approximately 1.6 million non-California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) and non-Family Electric Rate Assistance (FERA) customers residing in SCE’s cool and moderate climate zones during the period from Q4 2018 through Q1 2019. Wave 2, starting in Q4 2020, would apply default TOU rates to remaining residential customers whom the Commission deems eligible to default to TOU, which is expected to be a greater number than the total customers affected by Wave 1.

Because the Commission may later adopt conditions for default TOU eligibility for specified customers subject to Section 745 restrictions in SCE’s hotter climate zones, these customers will be excluded from Wave 1, but will be included in Wave 2 in Q4 2020 if they are deemed eligible. Wave 2 will take into account any restrictions imposed by the Commission pursuant to Section 745(c)(2) and 745(d) with respect to residences occupied by seniors and economically vulnerable customers in the hotter climate zones in SCE’s service area. Throughout this process, all customers subject to default TOU may opt out of default TOU rates and remain on SCE’s current standard tiered rate tariff or choose service on other TOU rate options.

C. Default TOU Rates

SCE is requesting Commission approval of the same two default TOU rates SCE proposed in its Default TOU Pilot Advice Letter (AL) 3531-E. In AL 3531-E, SCE presented

² A 2018 RDW application could potentially align SCE’s proposal with default TOU proposals expected to be filed by Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) no later than January 1, 2018. However, if the Commission rejects SCE’s proposal in this application, it is highly likely the timeline for SCE’s launch of default TOU will be pushed out far later than the launch of PG&E’s or and SDG&E’s default TOU rates.
two similar Default Pilot rates, with the main differentiating factor being the length of the on-peak period and resulting price levels.10 None of the filed protests or responses to Advice 3531-E or 3531-E-A contested SCE’s proposed Default TOU Pilot rates, and the Commission recently issued Draft Resolution E-4847 proposing to approve SCE’s Default TOU Pilot with some modifications that are not relevant to the proposed default TOU rates. Draft Resolution E-4847 is scheduled to appear on the Commission’s May 11, 2017 Business Meeting Agenda.

As the rate and bill impact differences between its proposed default TOU rates are relatively modest, SCE proposes that the Commission approve both options in its November 2017 decision, but also authorize a procedure that would delegate authority to the Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) to issue a ruling confirming final approval of either default TOU rate by no later than June 2018. This deadline is necessary for SCE to complete the final preparations in order to commence the transition of customers to default TOU by Q4 2018. If necessary, the Commission could order an expedited workshop or hearing, after which the Assigned Commissioner or ALJs would then confirm a final default TOU rate based on the recommendations of SCE, the TOU Working Group, and the Energy Division.

As part of this procedure, SCE proposes to provide additional information to the TOU Working Group and the Energy Division to help inform the selection of a final default TOU rate in April and May 2018. Importantly, SCE intends to continue evaluating its two proposed default TOU rates throughout 2018, based on information being provided by SCE’s Opt-In TOU Pilot, external research, and later information provided during the Default TOU Pilot. In particular, the Default TOU Pilot will involve approximately 400,000 customers beginning in March 2018, about eight months before SCE proposes to commence a four-month transition of 1.6 million residential customers to default TOU.

10 Through AL 3531-E, SCE proposed to open both rates as options to all customers beginning January 1, 2018. Draft Resolution E-4847 approved SCE’s proposed rates, proposal to open to all residential customers on an optional basis, and provides bill protection to non-pilot customers who enroll on these rates on or before the last day of the default pilot period.
D. **Bill Protection**

Section 745(c)(4) provides that residential customers shall not be subject to, *i.e.*, enrolled on, default TOU rates unless they receive a minimum of one year of bill protection. SCE’s proposed bill protection plan exceeds this statutory requirement: In addition to applying bill protection to customers enrolled in a default TOU rate as part of Wave 1, SCE proposes to offer twelve months of bill protection to customers opting in to either of SCE’s two Default TOU Pilot rates\(^\dagger\) starting January 1, 2018.

SCE also proposes to modify the Residential Rate Implementation Memorandum Account (RRIMA), to (1) include the costs associated with transitioning residential customers to default TOU rates, and (2) to discontinue recording bill protection credits to the RRIMA, consistent with Draft Resolution E-4847, but instead directly reflect the cost of bill protection credits in the distribution and generation subaccounts of SCE’s Base Revenue Requirement Balancing Account (BRRBA).

E. **Other TOU Rate Updates and Changes**

In D.15-07-001, the Commission required the IOUs to propose optional TOU rates that could be attractive to “advanced” customers with multiple TOU periods, matinee pricing, and seasonally differentiated TOU periods. More recently, in D.17-01-006, the Commission provided guidance with respect to how electric utilities must update and revise the current TOU time periods. In A.16-09-003, SCE has proposed Base TOU periods that would update most current TOU periods for non-residential customers. Accordingly, SCE proposes to update TOU periods for optional TOU rates and propose three new optional TOU rates.

\(^\dagger\) Default TOU Pilot Rate 1 and Default TOU Pilot Rate 2 in AL 3531-E.
F. **Estimated Costs**

SCE’s expects to incur incremental costs for Wave 1 that will be recorded in the RIIMA that are primarily associated with call center support, billing system changes, customer communications, and IT costs. In this application, SCE proposes to recover a forecast cost of $50 million associated with bill protection credits. While these bill protection revenues are designed to make non-benefiting customers indifferent on a TOU rate, many other customers will experience benefits on TOU, which will largely offset this increase. The following table illustrates the increase in SCE’s average bundled service residential rate associated with SCE’s proposed Default Rate 1 and Rate 2 bill protection credits.

### Increase in SCE’s Average Bundled Service Residential Rate – Default Rate 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Group</th>
<th>System Revenues ($000)</th>
<th>Bundled ($/kWh)</th>
<th>% Change over current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Revenues</td>
<td>Proposed Revenue Change</td>
<td>% Change over current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>4,917,589</td>
<td>49,841</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting - Small and Medium Power</td>
<td>4,419,380</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Power</td>
<td>1,977,952</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural and Pumping</td>
<td>412,602</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street and Area Lighting</td>
<td>132,948</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StandBy</td>
<td>275,239</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,135,710</td>
<td>49,841</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: These changes in average monthly bills are estimates; actual changes in rates will be determined by the CPUC.

### Increase in SCE’s Average Bundled Service Residential Rate – Default Rate 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Group</th>
<th>System Revenues ($000)</th>
<th>Bundled ($/kWh)</th>
<th>% Change over current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Revenues</td>
<td>Proposed Revenue Change</td>
<td>% Change over current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>4,917,589</td>
<td>52,091</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting - Small and Medium Power</td>
<td>4,419,380</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Power</td>
<td>1,977,952</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural and Pumping</td>
<td>412,602</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street and Area Lighting</td>
<td>132,948</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StandBy</td>
<td>275,239</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,135,710</td>
<td>52,091</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Below is SCE’s estimate of the percentage of customers who will benefit or not benefit, and the percentage change they are expected to see in annual bills when comparing bills for Rates 1 and 2 to customers’ bills on the tiered rate tariff assuming no change in customers’ total usage. Additionally, because customers will be provided with significant ME&O related to the transition to default TOU rates, SCE believes these bill impacts overstate the actual expected bill
impacts. The table below demonstrates that over 80% of customers (sum of rows c through f) would either see bill increases or decreases of less than 5% of existing average monthly bills.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Change in Annual Bill</th>
<th>% of Rate 1 Customers</th>
<th>% of Rate 2 Customers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. &lt; -10%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. -10% - -5%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. -5% - -2%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. -2% - 0%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. 0% - 2%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. 2% - 5%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. 5% - 10%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. &gt;10%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

G. Expedited Approval and Consolidation With R.12-06-013

Because its proposal is time-sensitive, SCE is filing this application in advance of the Commission’s January 1, 2018 deadline established in D.15-07-001. As shown in the proposed schedule in Section 5.C.4 below, SCE requests expedited issuance of a Commission decision in November 2017. Expedited treatment is required in order to allow SCE’s Customer Service and IT operational staff sufficient time to prepare for defaulting the approximately 1.6 million customers eligible for Wave 1.

SCE will also be filing a motion to consolidate this application with the Commission’s ongoing residential rate design OIR, i.e., R.12-06-013, per Rule 7.4 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, because these proceedings involve related questions of both law and fact.

---

12 D.15-07-001, p. 301.
V.

STATUTORY & PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

A. **Statutory and Other Authority – Rule 2.1**

SCE submits this application pursuant to D.15-07-001, the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the California Public Utilities Code.

Specifically, California Public Utilities Code Section 454(a) provides in pertinent part:

(a) Except as provided in Section 455, no public utility shall change any rate or so alter any classification, contract, practice, or rule as to reflect in any new rate, except upon a showing before the commission and a finding by the commission that the new rate is justified.

Section 454(b) provides in pertinent part:

(b) The commission may adopt rules it considers reasonable and proper for each class of public utility providing for the nature of the showing required to be made in support of proposed rate changes, the form and manner of the presentation of the showing, with or without a hearing, and the procedure to be followed in the consideration thereof.

SCE’s request complies with Rules 1.5 through 1.11 and 1.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, which specify the procedures for, among other things, filing documents, as well as Rules 2.1, 2.2, and 3.2.

Rule 2.1 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure requires that all applications: (1) clearly and concisely state authority or relief sought; (2) cite the statutory or other authority under which that relief is sought; and (3) be verified by the applicant.

The relief being sought is summarized in Sections II and IV above, and is further described in SCE’s supporting testimony (Exhibit SCE-01) served concurrently with this application.

The statutory and other authority for this request includes, but is not limited to, California Public Utilities Code Sections 451, 454, 454.3, 491, 701, 702, 728, 729, 739, 745, Article 2 and
Rule 3.2 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, and prior decisions, orders, and resolutions of this Commission.

This application has been verified by an SCE officer as provided in Rules 1.11 and 2.1.

The remainder of Rule 2.1, as well as Rules 2.2 and 3.2, set forth further requirements that are addressed separately below.

B. **Legal Name and Correspondence – Rules 2.1(a) and 2.1(b)**

Pursuant to Rule 2.1 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, the full legal name of the applicant is Southern California Edison Company (SCE). SCE is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is primarily engaged in the business of generating, purchasing, transmitting, distributing and selling electric energy for light, heat and power in portions of central and southern California as a public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission. SCE’s properties, which are located primarily within the State of California, consist mainly of hydroelectric and thermal electric generating plants, together with transmission and distribution lines and other property necessary in connection with its business.

SCE’s principal place of business is 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, Rosemead, California, 91770; its post office address is Post Office Box 800; and its telephone number is (626) 302-1212.

SCE’s attorney in this matter is Connor Flanigan. Correspondence or communications regarding this application should be addressed to:
C. Proposed Categorization, Need for Hearings, Issues To Be Considered, Proposed Schedule, and Relevant Safety Considerations — Rule 2.1(c)

Commission Rule 2.1(c) requires that all applications shall state “the proposed category for the proceeding, the need for hearing, the issues to be considered, and a proposed schedule.”

1. Proposed Category

Rule 1.3(e) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure defines “ratesetting” proceedings as “proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities).” This application will include the setting and investigation of rates, and includes SCE’s proposal for a “mechanism” that will influence the setting of rates in future applications. Therefore, for purposes of Rule 2.1, SCE proposes that this proceeding be categorized as ratesetting.

2. Need for Hearings

The need for hearings in this proceeding, and the issues to be considered in such hearings, will depend in large part on the degree to which other parties contest SCE’s requests. SCE’s proposed procedural schedule below leaves room for holding evidentiary hearings, if they are necessary; however, the need for hearings will be determined by the assigned ALJs.
3. **Issues to be Considered, Including Relevant Safety Considerations**

In general, the issues to be considered are discussed in Sections II and IV above and in more detail in SCE’s supporting testimony served concurrently with this application. D.16-01-017 approved an amendment to Rule 2.1(c) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (Title 20, Division 1, of the California Code of Regulations) to require all applications to identify all relevant safety considerations implicated by the application. One of SCE’s core values is to protect public and employee safety. The Commission is currently considering whether or not certain customers (e.g., seniors and economically vulnerable customers) should be excluded from default TOU due to potential hardship, including health and safety impacts resulting from reduced air conditioning use as part of the Section 745 Track in R.12-06-013.13 As explained in Chapter IV of SCE’s supporting testimony, SCE is taking a conservative approach with respect to including eligible customers in Wave 1 in order to avoid any potential conflict with the Commission’s decision in the Section 745 Track and any customer hardship (safety or otherwise) resulting from default TOU implementation. Specifically, SCE is proposing to include only eligible non-CARE and non-FERA customers residing in SCE’s cool and moderate climate zones in Wave 1. Thus, this rate design application does not pose or bear on risks to public safety.

4. **Procedural Schedule**

As explained above, SCE requests that the Commission adopt an expedited schedule for this proceeding in order to issue a final decision by November 2017. To allow the Commission to issue a timely final decision, SCE respectfully requests that the Commission—with facilitation by the assigned ALJs—process the present application according to the

---

following expedited schedule pursuant to authority in Rule 9.1 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure:¹⁴

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCE files Application</td>
<td>April 14, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protests / Responses to Application</td>
<td>20 days from the date of the filing of the Application, or May 3, 2017¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reply to Protests / Responses</td>
<td>5 days from the deadline for filing Protests / Responses, or approximately May 8, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prehearing Conference</td>
<td>May 22, 2017, to coincide with Progress on Residential Rate Reform (PRRR) annual report workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoping Memo</td>
<td>May 31, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORA and Intervenor Testimony Due</td>
<td>June 14, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebuttal Testimony Due</td>
<td>June 29, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidentiary Hearings (if necessary)</td>
<td>July 10-11, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concurrent Opening Briefs</td>
<td>July 31, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reply Briefs</td>
<td>August 11, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALJs issue Proposed Decision</td>
<td>October 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments to Proposed Decision</td>
<td>20 days after service of PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replies to Comments to Proposed Decision</td>
<td>5 days after opening comments on PD filed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission issues Final Decision</td>
<td>November 30, 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. **Organization and Qualification to Transact Business – Rule 2.2**

In compliance with Rule 2.2 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure,¹⁶ a copy of SCE’s Certificate of Restated Articles of Incorporation, effective on March 2, 2006, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission.

¹⁴ That rule confers authority on the assigned ALJs to “take such other action as may be necessary and appropriate to the discharge of [their] duties[.]”

¹⁵ SCE recognizes that the due date for protests/responses to applications is normally set based thirty days after the date on which notice of the filing of the application first appears in the Commission’s Daily Calendar (Rule 2.6(a)), but SCE hereby requests approval to accelerate the due date to twenty days after filing. SCE therefore requests an ALJ ruling approving this request as soon as practicable after the filing date.

¹⁶ Rule 2.2 requires the applicant, in this case SCE, to submit a copy of its organizing documents and evidence of its qualification to transact business in California, or to refer to that documentation if previously filed with the Commission.
on March 14, 2006, in connection with Application No. 06-03-020, and is by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE’s Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series D Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on March 7, 2011, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on April 1, 2011, in connection with Application No. 11-04-001, and is by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE’s Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series E Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on January 12, 2012, and a copy of SCE’s Certificate of Increase of Authorized Shares of the Series E Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on January 31, 2012, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, were filed with the Commission on March 5, 2012, in connection with Application No. 12-03-004, and are by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE’s Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series F Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on May 5, 2012, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on June 29, 2012, in connection with Application No. 12-06-017, and is by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE’s Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series G Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on January 24, 2013, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on January 31, 2013, in connection with Application No. 13-01-016, and is by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE’s Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series H Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on February 28, 2014, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on March 24, 2014, in connection with Application No. 14-03-013, and is by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE’s Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series J Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on August 19, 2015, and presently in effect,
certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on October 2, 2015, in connection with Application No. 15-10-001, and is by reference made a part hereof.

A copy of SCE’s Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series K Preference Stock, filed with the California Secretary of State on March 2, 2016, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on April 1, 2016, in connection with Application No. 16-04-001, and is by reference made a part hereof.

Certain classes and series of SCE’s capital stock are listed on a “national securities exchange” as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and copies of SCE’s latest Annual Report to Shareholders and its latest proxy statement sent to its stockholders has been filed with the Commission with a letter of transmittal dated March 18, 2016, pursuant to Commission General Order Nos. 65-A and 104-A.

E. **Balance Sheet and Income Statement – Rule 3.2(a)(1)**

Appendix A to this application contains copies of SCE’s Balance Sheet and Income Statement for the period ending December 31, 2016, the most recent period available.

F. **Statement of Presently Effective and Proposed Rates – Rules 3.2(a)(2) and 3.2(a)(3)**

SCE’s proposal in this application results in an increase of up to $52 million, or 1%, in residential customer rates over 2017-2020. If the CPUC approves this application, the average bill impact over the four-year period on non-CARE bundled residential customers who use the system-wide average of 600 kWh per month would be between $1.14 - $1.19 per month, or 1%.

If SCE’s TOU Default cost recovery proposals in this application are approved by the Commission, SCE’s current average bundled service residential customer rate of 17.76 c/kWh would increase on average up to 17.95 c/kWh.
SCE’s current rates and charges for electric service are in its electric tariffs and schedules on file with the Commission and available on SCE’s website. These tariffs and schedules are filed with and made effective by the Commission in its decisions, orders, resolutions, and approvals of advice letter filings pursuant to Commission General Order 96-A. SCE is requesting a general revenue increase over one percent in this application as shown in the above tables in Section F of this application.

G. **Description of SCE’s Service Territory and Utility System – Rule 3.2(a)(4)**

Because this application is not a general rate case application, this requirement is not applicable.

H. **Summary of Earnings – Rule 3.2.(a)(5)**

In compliance with Rule 3.2(a)(5), Appendix B hereto contains a copy of SCE’s summary of earnings, updated in December 2015, the most recent period available.

I. **Depreciation – Rule 3.2(a)(7)**

Because this application is not a general rate case application, this requirement is not applicable.

J. **Capital Stock and Proxy Statement – Rule 3.2(a)(8)**

Because this application is not a general rate case application, this requirement is not applicable.

K. **Statement Pursuant to Rule 3.2(a)(10)**

Rule 3.2(a)(10) requires that the “application of electrical … corporations shall separately state whether or not the increase reflects and passes through to customers only increased costs to

\[ \text{______________________} \]

\[ 17 \quad \text{SCE’s current tariffs are available online at http://on.sce.com/25KXt0T.} \]
the corporation for the services or commodities furnished by it.” Because SCE seeks recovery of bill protection credits, this request is *not* limited to passing through to customers “only increased costs to the corporation for the services or commodities furnished by it.”

L. **Service of Notice – Rule 3.2(b), (c) and (d)**

As required by California Public Utilities Code Section 454, a notice stating in general terms the proposed change will be provided to customers in their monthly bills. SCE has reviewed a draft of its proposed customer notice with the Commission’s Public Advisor.

As required by Rule 3.2(b), a notice stating in general terms the proposed rate change will be mailed to the designated officials of the State of California, and the cities and counties affected by the rate increase proposed in this application as listed in Appendix C hereto.

Pursuant to Rule 3.2(c), notice will be published in a newspaper of general circulation in each county in SCE’s service territory within which the rate changes would be effective. The cities and counties affected by the rate increase proposed in this application are shown in Appendix C hereto.

Finally, pursuant to Rule 3.2(d), notice will be furnished to customers affected by the potential rate changes proposed in this application by including such notice with the regular bills mailed to those customers and by electronically linking to such notice for customer that receive their bills electronically.

M. **Index of Exhibits and Appendices to This Application**

SCE’s submission in support of this application include the following, which are incorporated herein by reference:
Appendices to Application

Appendix A: Balance Sheet and Income Statement
Appendix B: Summary of Earnings
Appendix C: List of Cities and Counties

Exhibit to Application

Exhibit SCE-01: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company in Support of Its Application for Approval of its Proposal to Implement Residential Default Time-Of-Use Rates; Witness Qualifications and Appendices

N. Service List

Though the official service list has not yet been established in this new proceeding, SCE is serving this application and supporting testimony on the official service list of R.12-06-013 given its aim to consolidate the application with that pending proceeding where all relevant stakeholders have been engaged.

VI. CONCLUSION

SCE respectfully requests that the Commission approve SCE’s request for approval of (1) initially transitioning a limited, although significant, segment of customers to default TOU in Wave 1 starting in Q4 2018; (2) two proposed default TOU rates that SCE previously proposed in its pending Default TOU Pilot; (3) bill protection proposal that exceeds the legal requirements
of AB 327; (4) a request to provide customers the option of taking service on the proposed rates on an opt-in basis, beginning January 1, 2018; and (5) other aspects, including cost recovery.

Respectfully submitted,

FADIA R. KHOURY
CONNOR J. FLANIGAN

/s/ Connor J. Flanigan
By: Connor J. Flanigan

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6411
Facsimile: (626) 302-3990
E-mail: Connor.Flanigan@sce.com

April 14, 2017
VERIFICATION

I am an officer of the applicant corporation herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. I am informed and believe that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 14, 2017, at Rosemead, California

/s/ Caroline Choi  
By: Caroline Choi  
Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
Post Office Box 800  
Rosemead, California  91770
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

(h) A balance sheet as of the latest available date, together with an income statement covering the period from close of last year for which an annual report has been filed with the Commission to the date of the balance sheet attached to the application.

STATEMENT OF INCOME
TWELEVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016
(In millions)

OPERATING REVENUE $ 11,830

OPERATING EXPENSES:
   Purchase power and fuel 4,527
   Other operation and maintenance 2,737
   Depreciation, decommissioning and amortization 1,998
   Property and other taxes 351
   Total operating expenses 9,613

OPERATING INCOME 2,217

   Interest and other income 123
   Interest expense (541)
   Other expenses (44)
   INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX 1,755
   INCOME TAX 256
   NET INCOME 1,499

Less: Preferred and preference stock dividend requirements 123

NET INCOME AVAILABLE FOR COMMON STOCK $ 1,376
## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

### BALANCE SHEET
**DECEMBER 31, 2016**

#### ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(in millions)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UTILITY PLANT:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility plant, at original cost</td>
<td>$42,890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less- accumulated provision for depreciation and decommissioning</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction work in progress</strong></td>
<td>2,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nuclear fuel, at amortized cost</strong></td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>33,890</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction work in progress</strong></td>
<td>2,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nuclear fuel, at amortized cost</strong></td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>33,890</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonutility property - less accumulated depreciation of $75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear decommissioning trusts</td>
<td>4,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other investments</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4,367</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CURRENT ASSETS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and equivalents</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables, less allowances of $61 for uncollectible accounts</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued unbilled revenue</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative assets</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory assets</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2,031</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEFERRED CHARGES:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory assets</td>
<td>7,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative assets</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other long-term assets</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7,687</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>50,891</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

### BALANCE SHEET
DECEMBER 31, 2016
CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES
(in millions)

### CAPITALIZATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>$2,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional paid-in capital</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive loss</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>9,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common shareholder’s equity</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,238</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred and preference stock</td>
<td>2,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>9,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total capitalization</strong></td>
<td><strong>24,237</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CURRENT LIABILITIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term debt</td>
<td>769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of long-term debt</td>
<td>579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>1,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued taxes</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer deposits</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative liabilities</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory liabilities</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current liabilities</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,707</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DEFERRED CREDITS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes and credits</td>
<td>9,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative liabilities</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions and benefits</td>
<td>896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset retirement obligations</td>
<td>2,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory liabilities</td>
<td>5,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other deferred credits and other long-term liabilities</td>
<td>1,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total deferred credits</strong></td>
<td><strong>21,947</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Liabilities: $50,891**
Appendix B

Summary of Earnings
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line No.</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Base Revenues</td>
<td>5,182,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Expenses:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Operation &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>1,984,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>1,532,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>442,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Revenue Credits</td>
<td>(147,491)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Total Expenses</td>
<td>3,811,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Net Operating Revenue</td>
<td>1,370,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rate Base</td>
<td>17,375,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Rate of Return</td>
<td>7.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line No.</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Base Revenues</td>
<td>5,385,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Expenses:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Operation &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>2,037,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>1,546,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>474,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Revenue Credits</td>
<td>(149,196)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Total Expenses</td>
<td>3,909,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Net Operating Revenue</td>
<td>1,476,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rate Base</td>
<td>18,713,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Rate of Return</td>
<td>7.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line No.</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>Base Revenues</strong></td>
<td>5,657,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Operation &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>2,096,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>1,575,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>542,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Revenue Credits</td>
<td>(148,941)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>4,065,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Net Operating Revenue</strong></td>
<td>1,591,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><strong>Rate Base</strong></td>
<td>20,175,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><strong>Rate of Return</strong></td>
<td>7.89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C
List of Cities and Counties
# Incorporated Cities and Counties Served by SCE

## COUNTIES

- Fresno
- Kern
- Madera
- Riverside
- Tuolumne
- Imperial
- Kings
- Mono
- Tulare
- Inyo
- Los Angeles
- Orange
- Ventura

## CITIES

- Adelanto
- Agoura Hills
- Alhambra
- Aliso Viejo
- Apple Valley
- Arcadia
- Artesia
- Avalon
- Baldwin Park
- Barstow
- Beaumont
- Bell
- Bell Gardens
- Bellflower
- Beverly Hills
- Big Bear Lake
- Bishop
- Blythe
- Bradbury
- Brea
- Buena Park
- Calabasas
- California City
- Calimesa
- Camarillo
- Canyon Lake
- Carpinteria
- Carson
- Cathedral City
- Centinela
- Chino
- Chino Hills
- Claremont
- Commerce
- Compton
- Corona
- Costa Mesa
- Covina
- Culahy
- Culver City
- Cypress
- Delano
- Desert Hot Springs
- Diamond Bar
- Downey
- Duarte
- Eastvale
- El Monte
- El Segundo
- Exeter
- Farmersville
- Fillmore
- Fontana
- Fountain Valley
- Fullerton
- Garden Grove
- Gardena
- Glendora
- Goleta
- Grand Terrace
- Hanford
- Hawaiian Gardens
- Hawthorne
- Hemet
- Hermosa Beach
- Hesperia
- Hidden Hills
- Highland
- Huntington Beach
- Huntington Park
- Indian Wells
- Industry
- Inglewood
- Irvine
- Irwindale
- Jurupa Valley
- La Canada
- Flintridge
- La Habra
- La Habra Heights
- La Mirada
- La Palma
- La Puente
- La Verne
- Laguna Beach
- Laguna Hills
- Laguna Niguel
- Laguna Woods
- Lake Elsinore
- Lake Forest
- Lakewood
- Lancaster
- Lawndale
- Lindsay
- Loma Linda
- Lomita
- Long Beach
- Los Alamitos
- Lynwood
- Malibu
- Mammoth Lakes
- Manhattan Beach
- Maywood
- McFarland
- Menifee
- Mission Viejo
- Monrovia
- Montclair
- Montebello
- Monterey Park
- Moorpark
- Moreno Valley
- Murreta
- Newport Beach
- Norco
- Norwalk
- Ojai
- Ontario
- Orange
- Oxnard
- Palm Desert
- Palm Springs
- Palmdale
- Palos Verdes
- Paramount
- Perris
- Pico Rivera
- Placentia
- Pomona
- Port Hueneme
- Porterville
- Rancho Cucamonga
- Rancho Mirage
- Rancho Palos Verdes
- Rancho Santa Margarita
- Redlands
- Redondo Beach
- Rialto
- Ridgecrest
- Rolling Hills
- Rolling Hills Estates
- Rosemead
- San Bernardino
- San Buenaventura
- San Dimas
- San Fernando
- San Gabriel
- San Jacinto
- San Marino
- Santa Ana
- Santa Barbara
- Santa Clara
- Santa Fe Springs
- Santa Monica
- Santa Paula
- Seal Beach
- Sierra Madre
- Signal Hill
- Simi Valley
- South El Monte
- South Gate
- South Pasadena
- Stanton
- Tehachapi
- Temecula
- Temple City
- Thousand Oaks
- Torrance
- Tulare
- Tustin
- Twentynine Palms
- Upland
- Valencia
- Victorville
- Villa Park
- Visalia
- Walnut
- West Covina
- West Hollywood
- Westlake Village
- Westminster
- Whittier
- Wildomar
- Woodlake (Three Rivers)
- Yorba Linda
- Yucaipa
- Yucca Valley

*Last Updated: 7/18/2016*