


## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298

**FILED**05/01/18  
09:30 AM

May 1, 2018

Agenda ID #16482  
Ratesetting

## TO PARTIES OF RECORD IN RULEMAKING 15-02-020:

This is the proposed decision of Administrative Law Judges Atamturk and Mason. Until and unless the Commission hears the item and votes to approve it, the proposed decision has no legal effect. This item may be heard, at the earliest, at the Commission's May 31, 2018, Business Meeting. To confirm when the item will be heard, please see the Business Meeting agenda, which is posted on the Commission's website 10 days before each Business Meeting.

Parties of record may file comments on the proposed decision as provided in Rule 14.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

The Commission may hold a Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting to consider this item in closed session in advance of the Business Meeting at which the item will be heard. In such event, notice of the Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting will appear in the Daily Calendar, which is posted on the Commission's website. If a Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting is scheduled, ex parte communications are prohibited pursuant to Rule 8.3(c)(4)(B).

/s/ ANNE E. SIMON

Anne E. Simon  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

AES:jt2

Attachment

Decision PROPOSED DECISION OF ALJ ATAMTURK (Mailed 5/1/2018)

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration, and Consider Further Development, of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

Rulemaking 15-02-020

(See Appendix B for Appearances)

**DECISION IMPLEMENTING SENATE BILL 350 PROVISION ON PENALTIES AND WAIVERS IN THE RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROGRAM AND DENYING PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF DECISION 17-06-026**

**Table of Contents**

| <b>Title</b>  | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| DECISION IMPLEMENTING SENATE BILL 350 PROVISION ON PENALTIES AND WAIVERS IN THE RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROGRAM AND DENYING PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF DECISION 17-06-026 ..... | 1           |
| Summary .....   | 2           |
| 1. Procedural History .....   | 2           |
| 2. Plan of this Decision .....  | 4           |
| 3. Penalties .....  | 5           |
| 3.1. Background .....   | 5           |
| 3.2. Schedule of Penalties .....  | 6           |
| 3.3. Penalty Amount .....   | 8           |
| 3.4. Adjusting the Penalty Amount .....   | 10          |
| 3.5. Escalation for Length or Severity of Noncompliance .....   | 11          |
| 3.6. Penalty Cap .....  | 12          |
| 3.7. Comparability Between IOUs and Other Retail Sellers .....  | 14          |
| 4. Waivers.....   | 15          |
| 4.1. Background .....   | 15          |
| 4.2. Waiver Submission and Determination Process .....  | 16          |
| 4.3. Unanticipated Curtailment and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emission .....  | 17          |
| 4.3.1. Information Requirements .....   | 17          |
| 4.3.2. Geographic Boundaries for an Increase in GHG Emissions .....   | 19          |
| 4.4. Transportation Electrification .....   | 21          |
| 4.5. Procurement Actions Related to Transportation Electrification.....   | 23          |
| 5. Petition for Modification of D.17-06-026 .....   | 25          |
| 6. Comments on Proposed Decision .....  | 27          |
| 7. Assignment of Proceeding .....   | 27          |
| Findings of Fact.....   | 28          |
| Conclusions of Law .....  | 29          |
| ORDER .....   | 31          |

Appendix A - Sections of SB 350 Addressed in this Decision

Appendix B - Appearances

**DECISION IMPLEMENTING SENATE BILL 350 PROVISION ON PENALTIES AND WAIVERS IN THE RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROGRAM AND DENYING PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF DECISION 17-06-026****Summary**

This decision completes the implementation of enforcement rules for the California renewables portfolio standard (RPS) program in response to changes made by Senate Bill (SB) 350 (De León), Stats. 2015, ch. 547. This decision:

1. Maintains the existing RPS penalty scheme.
2. Integrates changes made by SB 350 into the current RPS waiver scheme.
3. Denies the August 2, 2017 Petition of Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. for Modification of D.17-06-026.

**1. Procedural History**

Senate Bill (SB) 350 (De León), Stats. 2015, ch. 547, enacted wide-ranging changes and updates to a number of areas of California's energy policy, including but not limited to the renewables portfolio standard (RPS).<sup>1</sup> SB 350 made changes to, among other aspects, the timing of compliance periods; the required proportion of retail sales that California retail sellers must provide from eligible renewable energy resources; the contractual arrangements that may be used to comply with the RPS procurement requirements; and the methods for carrying over excess procurement from one compliance period to later compliance periods.

In this proceeding, implementation of SB 350's provisions for the RPS program began with the Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Requesting

---

<sup>1</sup> The RPS is codified at Pub. Util. Code § 399.11-399.20. Unless otherwise noted, all further references to sections are to the Public Utilities Code.

Comment on Implementation of Elements of Senate Bill 350 Relating to Procurement under the California Renewables Portfolio Standard (Procurement Ruling) (April 15, 2016). Subsequently, in Decision (D.) 16-12-040, the Commission implemented the new compliance periods and procurement quantity requirements set by SB 350. D.17-06-026 was the second in the series of decisions implementing SB 350's changes to the RPS program and implemented new rules for use of long-term contracts in RPS compliance and for applying excess procurement in one compliance period to later compliance periods, among others. In D.17-06-026, the Commission stated that a subsequent decision would conclude the series by implementing any needed changes to RPS enforcement processes, including potential penalties.<sup>2</sup>

To conclude this series, on January 4, 2018, the Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Requesting Comments on Implementing SB 350 Provision on Penalties and Waivers in the Renewables Portfolio Standard Program (Penalties and Waivers Ruling) asked parties to comment on the new language added to Sec. 399.15(b)(8) and Sec. 399.15(b)(5)(C)-(D) by SB 350. Comments were filed on February 1, 2018 by Alliance for Retail Energy Markets and Just Energy Solutions, Inc. (jointly; collectively, AReM/Just); Calpine Energy Solutions LLC (Calpine); California Municipal Utilities Association (CMUA); Green Power Institute (GPI); Independent Energy Producers Association (IEP); Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP); Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA); Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E); Regents of the University of California (UC Regents); Southern California Edison Company (SCE); San Diego

---

<sup>2</sup> D.17-06-026 at 4.

Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E); The Utility Reform Network and the Coalition of California Utility Employees (jointly; collectively, TURN/CUE). Reply comments were filed on February 12, 2018 by AReM/Just; Lancaster Choice Energy, Marin Clean Energy, Redwood Coast Energy Authority, Silicon Valley Clean Energy Authority, Sonoma Clean Power Authority, and Peninsula Clean Energy (collectively, CCA Parties); GPI; ORA; PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E (jointly; collectively, IOUs); and TURN/CUE.

## **2. Plan of this Decision**

This decision is the third and final in a planned series of decisions implementing SB 350's changes to the RPS program. The Commission stated in D.14-12-023 that "the Commission's experience with the RPS program over the past decade confirms that ratepayers, retail sellers, and RPS market participants generally are better served by stability and continuity in the administration of compliance and enforcement in the RPS program than by wide-ranging revision of the fundamental enforcement structures, while incorporating the changes to the RPS requirements made by SB 2(1X)."<sup>3</sup> Based on the record in this proceeding, it is our intention to continue to apply the same principle in this decision, especially since the existing structures have been working well. The most reasonable path forward is to integrate changes made by SB 350 into the ongoing RPS penalty and waiver scheme, rather than to revisit the penalty structure and compliance process that have already been carefully laid out by previous Commission decisions and are well understood by regulated entities and market participants.

---

<sup>3</sup> D.14-12-023 at 6.

The sections of SB 350 addressed in this decision are reproduced in the appendix.

### 3. Penalties

#### 3.1. Background

When the RPS program was initiated in 2001, Section 2113<sup>4</sup> provided the basis for the Commission's authority to assess penalties for noncompliance. Since then, the Commission adopted a series of decisions to determine how penalties would be assessed in the RPS program.<sup>5</sup> SB 2(1X) continued to rely on Section 2113 for the Commission's authority to assess penalties.<sup>6</sup> In 2015, SB 350 amended Section 399.15(b)(8) and required the Commission to set a *schedule of*

---

<sup>4</sup> Section 2113 provides:

Every public utility, corporation, or person which fails to comply with any part of any order, decision, rule, regulation, direction, demand, or requirement of the commission or any commissioner is in contempt of the commission, and is punishable by the commission for contempt in the same manner and to the same extent as contempt is punished by courts of record. The remedy prescribed in this section does not bar or affect any other remedy prescribed in this part, but is cumulative and in addition thereto.

<sup>5</sup> See D.03-06-071, D.03-12-065, D.06-10-050, and D.14-12-023.

<sup>6</sup> Section 399.13(e) provided:

If an electrical corporation fails to comply with a commission order adopting a renewable energy resource procurement plan, the commission shall exercise its authority pursuant to Section 2113 to require compliance. The commission shall enforce comparable penalties on any retail seller that is not an electrical corporation that fails to meet the procurement targets established pursuant to Section 399.15.

Section 399.15(b)(8) provided:

If a retail seller fails to procure sufficient eligible renewable energy resources to comply with a procurement requirement pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) and fails to obtain an order from the commission waiving enforcement pursuant to paragraph (5), the commission shall exercise its authority pursuant to Section 2113.

*penalties* that will be *comparable* for electrical corporations and other retail sellers.

Section 399.15(b)(8) provides:

If a retail seller fails to procure sufficient eligible renewable energy resources to comply with a procurement requirement pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) and fails to obtain an order from the commission waiving enforcement pursuant to paragraph (5), the commission shall assess penalties for noncompliance. A schedule of penalties shall be adopted by the commission that shall be comparable for electrical corporations and other retail sellers. For electrical corporations, the cost of any penalties shall not be collected in rates. Any penalties collected under this article shall be deposited into the Electric Program Investment Charge Fund and used for the purposes described in Chapter 8.1 (commencing with Section 25710) of Division 15 of the Public Resources Code.

The statute does not specify particulars of a schedule of penalties or describe what constitutes a schedule of penalties. In this decision, first, we determine whether the current penalty scheme can be characterized as a schedule of penalties. Then, we review the particulars of the current penalty scheme to determine what modifications, if any, are warranted in light of the new language added to Section 399.15(b)(8) by SB 350.

### **3.2. Schedule of Penalties**

The Penalties and Waivers Ruling (January 4, 2018) presented the current penalty scheme in a table format for illustrative purposes and asked the parties whether any changes to the current penalty scheme were necessary.<sup>7</sup> In their

---

<sup>7</sup> The purpose of the table was to be a visual aid demonstrating that the existing penalty scheme constitutes a schedule of penalties, but was not to capture all the rules related to RPS compliance or cover every compliance period. For a complete description of the penalty scheme in the RPS program, see the Commission decisions, including but not limited to, D.11-12-020, D.11-12-052, D.12-06-038, D.14-02-023, D.16-12-040, and D.17-06-026.



responses, parties express varying views. IEP, ORA, PG&E, SCE, SDG&E, and TURN/CUE do not recommend any substantive changes to the current scheme.<sup>8</sup> Other parties offer a number of substantive modifications including removing penalty caps for all classes, adjusting the cap to reflect variations in load sizes, creating different, but comparable schedules applicable to specific categories of entities, among others.<sup>9</sup>

Based on the party comments, we conclude that parties disagree on whether it is necessary to change the existing the penalty scheme, but no party disputes that the existing penalty scheme constitutes a *schedule of penalties*, and thus, fulfills the statute. We will address some of the particulars of the penalty scheme in this decision, but these elements can generally be described as follows:

- The current penalty scheme has a time component, covering compliance periods.
- The current penalty scheme has a predetermined penalty amount, \$50 per renewable energy credit (REC).<sup>10</sup>
- The current penalty scheme applies to all retail sellers.
- The current penalty scheme specifies the maximum penalty to be applied to investor-owned utilities and all other retail sellers.

We find that these elements of the penalty scheme and the way in which they are set in prior Commission decisions satisfy the plain meaning of a

---

<sup>8</sup> PG&E Opening Comments at 2; SCE Opening Comments at 3; SDG&E Opening Comments at 2 and 3; IEP Opening Comments at 2; TURN/CUE Opening Comments at 2 and 4; ORA Reply Comments at 3 and 4.

<sup>9</sup> See GPI Opening Comments at 1; AReM/Just Opening Comments at 4; UC Regents Opening Comments at 3.

<sup>10</sup> A REC confers to its holder a claim on the renewable attributes of one unit of energy (Megawatt-hour (MWh)) generated from a renewable resource.

schedule;<sup>11</sup> and therefore, we conclude that the existing penalty scheme can in fact be referred to as a schedule of penalties.<sup>12</sup>

### 3.3. Penalty Amount

The Commission sets the rules and processes for imposing monetary penalties, which are determined on the basis of RPS procurement shortfall. The Commission initially set the penalty amount for noncompliance at \$50 per MWh with the annual cap at \$25 million per year.<sup>13</sup> In the most recent RPS decision addressing penalty amounts, the Commission maintained the penalty amount of \$50 per REC that has been used since the inception of the RPS program.<sup>14</sup>

Parties have varying positions on whether the current penalty amount should be maintained as is. GPI, IEP, ORA, PG&E, SCE, SDG&E, and TURN/CUE support keeping the penalty amount at \$50 per REC. IEP, PG&E, and SDG&E argue that the current penalty amount provides a proper incentive for compliance.<sup>15</sup> IEP adds that if the incidence of noncompliance increases or waiver requests increase, the Commission can then act to raise penalties.<sup>16</sup>

In contrast, AReM/Just, CMUA, and UC Regents support changing the penalty amount. AReM/Just proposes lowering it to reflect the current market

---

<sup>11</sup> A formal written list of items, usually in tabular form; especially, a listing of rates or prices. (The American Heritage Dictionary, 1981, at 1160)

<sup>12</sup> We use the terms schedule of penalties and penalty scheme interchangeably in the remainder of this Decision.

<sup>13</sup> D.03-06-071 at Ordering Paragraph (OP) 23.

<sup>14</sup> D.14-12-023 at 40.

<sup>15</sup> PG&E Opening Comments at 2; SDG&E Opening Comments at 3; IEP Opening Comments at 3.

<sup>16</sup> IEP Opening Comments at 3.

prices from today's technologies and considers \$30/REC to be a more appropriate level. CMUA also finds the current penalty level to be outdated but does not offer a specific proposal. Similarly, UC Regents does not have a specific proposal, but suggests taking into account size differences between small and large retail sellers. Calpine suggests establishing two separate penalties: A \$10 per REC penalty for not meeting the long-term requirement and a \$40 per REC penalty for not meeting the procurement quantity requirement.<sup>17</sup> LADWP urges the Commission to consider mitigating factors in order to help with utilities' transition to greater targets, learning to integrate the variability of renewables into the higher targets, and additional planning.<sup>18</sup> LADWP proposes that the mitigating factors be considered to reduce the total maximum penalty, but not the \$50 per MWh penalty.

We maintain the existing penalty amount at \$50 per REC for the following reasons. First and foremost, nothing in SB 350 or party comments on these issues suggest change is warranted. Second, we find the proposals to separate penalties for procurement requirements unreasonable: Long-term procurement is at the core of RPS program and a central legislative mandate, and the current enforcement scheme is carefully designed to promote long-term procurement. Lower (differential) penalties for not meeting the long-term procurement goals would undermine the core mandate of the RPS program. Third, lowering the current penalty level will undermine compliance by creating an economic disincentive to comply, as it may be cheaper to pay a penalty for noncompliance

---

<sup>17</sup> Calpine Opening Comments at 4.

<sup>18</sup> LADWP Opening Comments at 2 and 3.

than comply with the procurement requirement. The RPS enforcement rules have always been structured to incentivize compliance rather than present options for Alternative Compliance Mechanisms or any other similar opportunities.<sup>19</sup> Finally, as ORA pointed out, the evaluation and application of mitigating factors and predetermined conditions in order to lower penalties were considered and dismissed by the Commission in D.14-12-023. Parties did not provide any new evidence or persuasive argument that would lead us to reconsider mitigating factors and predetermined conditions. Therefore, there is no reason to revisit the issue in order to implement changes to the RPS program enacted by SB 350.

### **3.4. Adjusting the Penalty Amount**

The current penalty amount is set out as \$50 per REC and does not differentiate between deficiencies in Portfolio Balance Requirement (PBR) or Procurement Quantity Requirement (PQR).<sup>20</sup> All parties, except CMUA and UC Regents, agree that the penalty amounts should not vary according to factors such as PBR or PQR. CMUA argues that PCC makes a per REC penalty inappropriate as the procurement covered under different portfolio content categories are worth different dollar amounts.<sup>21</sup> Similarly, UC Regents proposes

---

<sup>19</sup> D.14-12-023 at 49-51.

<sup>20</sup> PQR is defined by Sec. 399.15(b)(2)(B) and implemented in D.11-12-020; PQR refers to the percentage of retail sales required to be procured from eligible renewable energy resources. PBR is defined by Sec. 399.16(c) and implemented in D.12-06-038; PBR refers to the percentage of procurement that must come from specific portfolio content categories (PCC) in each compliance period. Category 1 (PCC 1) refers to procurement described in Section 399.16(b)(1); Category 2 (PCC 2) refers to procurement described in Section 399.16(b)(2); Category 3 (PCC 3) refers to procurement described in Section 399.16(3).

<sup>21</sup> CMUA Opening Comments at 8.

differentiating between PCC 1 shortfall and PCC 3 shortfall due to differences in the cost of actual compliance. Calpine suggests creating two separate and distinct penalties, one for a retail seller's failure to meet any long-term contracting/ownership requirement, and a second penalty for a retail seller's failure to meet its PQR obligations.

As GPI and TURN/CUE point out, the issue of differentiating penalty amount by type of procurement deficiencies was litigated in Rulemaking (R.) 11-05-005. The Commission concluded in D.14-12-023 that there is no need to create a complex process to determine a variable penalty amount.<sup>22</sup> We still consider a differentiating enforcement mechanism to be overly burdensome to regulate and implement. Adjusting the penalty amount based on the type of procurement shortfalls would complicate the compliance process without providing any countervailing benefits. In addition, nothing in the record and the statutory language suggests a change is needed or mandatory. Therefore, we maintain the current penalty amount at \$50 per REC and do not see any need to adjust it according to factors such as deficiencies in PQR or PBR.

### **3.5. Escalation for Length or Severity of Noncompliance**

The current penalty schedule does not include escalation factors for the length or severity of noncompliance above and beyond the escalation inherent in a per-REC penalty scheme.

AReM/Just, Calpine, PG&E, SCE, SDG&E, and TURN/CUE suggest no changes to the existing penalty scheme. Both AReM and PG&E argue that adding escalations needlessly complicates the process without providing real

---

<sup>22</sup> D.14-12-023 at 40.

benefits, as length and severity of compliance are not currently issues for retail sellers.<sup>23</sup> SCE states that the current penalty already escalates the penalty amount by the number of RECs not in compliance. TURN/CUE points out that the Commission has the authority to punish severe or chronic noncompliance with sanctions under Sections 2107 and 2113.

In contrast, CMUA, GPI, and IEP support changes to the current penalty scheme to reflect length or severity of noncompliance. While CMUA supports differentiated penalties based on whether or not penalties are passed through rates, IEP proposes increasing the penalty by 25 percent per quarter for which the retail seller fails to pay its penalty in order to incent timely payment. LADWP suggests using de-escalation factors for over-compliance.

The issue of escalating penalties was litigated in R.11-05-005. Even though the current penalty schedule does not include escalation factors for the length or severity of noncompliance, per the escalation inherent in a per-REC penalty scheme, the farther out of compliance the retail seller, the more the retail seller pays for noncompliance. There is nothing new in the record or statutory language to support penalizing retail sellers at a higher rate for severity and duration of noncompliance. Therefore, we maintain the current scheme as determined by D.14-12-023 and do not see any need to adjust the penalty amount for length or severity of noncompliance.

### **3.6. Penalty Cap**

Penalty cap refers to the maximum penalty that a retail seller subject to the cap would be required to pay for a compliance period. D.14-12-023 set a cap on

---

<sup>23</sup> AReM/Just Opening Comments at 7; PG&E Opening Comments at 3.

penalties for a retail seller's failure to meet the RPS requirement. For large IOUs, the cap is set according to the numbers of years in the compliance period: \$75 million for the first RPS compliance period (2011-2013), \$75 million for the second RPS compliance period (2014-2016), \$100 million for the third compliance period (2017-2020), and \$25 million each year for all following years. For all other retail sellers, the penalty cap was set as the lesser of the penalty cap for the large investor-owned utilities or a cap figured as 50 percent of the retail seller's PQR for the compliance period multiplied by the penalty amount of \$50 per REC.

The majority of parties support extending the current penalty cap into future compliance periods. SCE notes that the current caps were carefully deliberated and there are no changes in the circumstances that warrant a modification.<sup>24</sup> SDG&E argues that eliminating caps would be overly punitive, resulting in penalties outweighing cost of noncompliance.<sup>25</sup>

Opposing extending the penalty cap into the future, CMUA, GPI, and UC Regents argue that caps are disproportionate and unfair to non-IOU retail sellers and should be removed or reconsidered. UC Regents asserts that IOUs' penalty cap would have to be 17 times higher to be equivalent to a penalty cap imposed on small retail sellers.<sup>26</sup> Similarly, GPI argues that the current penalty cap strongly favors the larger load serving entities and asserts that dropping the cap would promote equity among load serving entities and enhance penalties for severe noncompliance.

---

<sup>24</sup> SCE Opening Comments at 4.

<sup>25</sup> SG&E opening Comments at 4.

<sup>26</sup> UC Regents Opening Comments at 5.

AReM/Just also recommends refinement of the cap to reflect the differences between IOUs and non-IOU retail sellers. AReM/Just considers a comparable, proportionately similar cap that takes into account size of retail load and market share to be a reasonable and equitable approach. Calpine proposes that the penalty cap in any single compliance period should be allocated between a retail seller's long-term contracting/ownership requirement and the PQR obligation.

As stated in D.14-12-023, penalty caps are designed to encourage compliance while providing a reasonable limitation on total penalty exposure for each type of retail seller. The current penalty cap is already adjusted by D.14-12-023 to account for size differences between non-IOU retail sellers and IOUs.<sup>27</sup> Nothing in the record or statute requires or persuades us to modify the cap to further reflect size differences among retail sellers on the penalty cap or suggests such a change is warranted. Therefore, current caps should continue into the future and be kept at current levels in order to maintain their effectiveness.

### **3.7. Comparability Between IOUs and Other Retail Sellers**

Section 399.15(b)(8) requires that a schedule of penalties be comparable between IOUs and other retail sellers. Currently, all load serving entities pay the same \$50 per REC penalty amount, but there are some differences between penalties for IOUs and other retail sellers, such as maximum penalties. Penalty caps as set out by D.14-12-023 takes into account the size differences between IOUs and other retail sellers, as described in 3.6 above. The Penalties and

---

<sup>27</sup> D.14-12-023 at 44-47.



Waivers Ruling sought party comments on whether there are any other instances where the current penalties are not comparable between IOUs and other retail sellers.

Most parties either did not comment, stating that they believe all retail sellers are subject to equal enforcement of compliance rules, or expressed that they were not aware of any instances where the current penalties are not comparable between IOUs and other retail sellers.<sup>28</sup>

In their comments, AReM/Just and GPI brought up the issue of penalty caps as an area in which the current penalties are different for large IOUs and the other retail sellers. As we have discussed and determined in Section 3.2, we do not find any need for modifying the penalty cap. In conclusion, we find no compelling arguments for changing the current rules to ensure comparability; current penalty scheme rules were carefully deliberated and made to be comparable.

#### **4. Waivers**

##### **4.1. Background**

Section 399.15(b)(5) directs the Commission to waive the enforcement of a retail seller's RPS penalty if the retail seller can demonstrate that it met any of the conditions listed in that section. The Commission adopted the particulars of the process for submission and determination of a waiver request in D.14-12-023.

SB 350 added language to Sec. 399.15(b)(5)(C)-(D) specifying the basis on which retail sellers may seek a waiver of their RPS obligations, including for:

1) unanticipated curtailment of eligible renewable resources, "if the waiver

---

<sup>28</sup> See PG&E Opening comments at 4; SCE Opening Comments at 4; TURN/CUE Opening Comments at 6.

would not result in an increase in GHG [greenhouse gas] emissions,” and 2) if the retail seller is impacted by an “unanticipated increase in retail sales due to transportation electrification.” That is, any waiver requested under Sec. 399.15(b)(5)(C) must show that there was an unanticipated curtailment of eligible renewable resources and the waiver would not result in an increase in GHG emissions. Similarly, any waiver requested under Sec. 399.15(b)(5)(D) must show that actual sales exceeded prior forecasts and that the retail seller has taken reasonable measures to procure sufficient resources to account for the unanticipated increase.

#### **4.2. Waiver Submission and Determination Process**

In D.14-02-023 the Commission established a process for consideration of a waiver request.<sup>29</sup> In general, all parties agree that OPs 2 through 13 of D.14-12-023 apply to the new provisions added by SB 350 for a retail seller seeking a waiver of its RPS procurement requirements. As GPI noted, while OPs 2 through 13 provide the procedural requirements for a retail seller that fail to comply with its procurement requirement for a given compliance period to seek a waiver of those requirements, new provisions added by SB 350 describe the conditions that can provide justification for a waiver. Therefore, OPs 2 through 13 of D.14-12-023 are compatible with the statutory language of SB 350.

Since nothing in SB 350 warrants modifications to OPs 2 through 13 of D.14-12-023, retail sellers should continue to follow these Ordering Paragraphs, taking into account that relevant statutory condition or conditions may change from time to time.

---

<sup>29</sup> D.14-12-023 OP 2 through OP 13.

### **4.3. Unanticipated Curtailment and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emission**

Section 399.15(b)(5)(C) provides the basis on which a retail seller may seek a waiver of its RPS procurement obligation for unanticipated curtailment of eligible renewable resources, if the waiver would not result in an increase in GHG emissions, but does not specify conditions that must be met before a waiver will be granted. The retail seller seeking a waiver of its RPS procurement obligation under Section 399.15(b)(5)(C) must demonstrate that there was an unanticipated curtailment of renewable energy resources and the waiver would not result in an increase in greenhouse gas emissions. In order to determine whether the waiver would not result in increased GHG emissions, the Commission needs to specify (1) the information that the Commission will require from the retail sellers seeking a waiver under Section 399.15(b)(5)(C), and (2) the geographic boundaries for a GHG emissions analysis.

#### **4.3.1. Information Requirements**

Both PG&E and SDG&E point out that there are only two plausible scenarios for unanticipated curtailment: 1) economic curtailment to remove excess generation; and 2) to address a reliability issue. Under the first scenario, curtailment to remove excess generation would not result in increased emissions, because the resources that are typically curtailed are sources that do not emit GHG, e.g. solar, wind. According to SDG&E, because the second scenario is for addressing a reliability issue, it should not warrant a penalty.<sup>30</sup> Therefore, SDG&E concludes, there is no need to conduct a GHG emissions analysis.

---

<sup>30</sup> PG&E Opening Comments at 6; SDG&E Opening Comments at 6.

Similarly, ORA does not foresee a situation in which granting a waiver due to unanticipated curtailment would increase GHG emissions, therefore, ORA suggests maintaining the current penalty and waiver structure until such a case occurs.<sup>31</sup>

In D.14-12-023 the Commission concluded that “because the facts and circumstances may differ in each request for waiver of procurement quantity requirements or request for reduction of portfolio balance requirements, it is appropriate for the Commission to make a case-by-case determination of the merits of each request for waiver of procurement quantity requirements or request for reduction of portfolio balance requirements.”<sup>32</sup> We find that it is appropriate to maintain the same conclusion. Accordingly, we will not prejudge the outcome of waiver requests submitted under Section 399.15(b)(5)(C) by concluding that there is no need for GHG analysis or by specifying the scenarios that may result in increase in GHG emissions. We will continue to make a case-by-case determination of the merits of each request for waiver of procurement quantity requirements or request for reduction of portfolio balance requirements. However, in the interest of assisting the waiver request consideration and providing certainty for retail sellers that may seek waivers in the future, we find that it is reasonable to require the following information in their waiver requests from the retail sellers seeking waiver of their RPS obligations under Section 399.15(b)(5)(C):

- Description of the curtailment event.

---

<sup>31</sup> ORA Reply Comments at 8.

<sup>32</sup> D.14-12-023 at COL 10.

- Reason for the curtailment event.
- Reason why the curtailment was unanticipated.
- Whether there was any replacement energy needed, and if so, description of the replacement energy procured as a result of the curtailment.
- Whether there was any increased GHG emissions attributed to the retail seller seeking a waiver as a result of the curtailment.

#### **4.3.2. Geographic Boundaries for an Increase in GHG Emissions**

Parties have varying positions regarding the geographic boundary for the emission increase analysis necessary to determine whether the waiver would not result in increased GHG emissions. Supporting the use of the state of California as a boundary for the emissions increase, PG&E and SCE argue that expanding the emissions increase analysis outside California would be burdensome, impractical, and impossible to implement.<sup>33</sup> In particular, PG&E and SCE suggest that the Commission consider GHG emissions in California from the electricity sector, as is determined in the Cap-and-Trade Program. ORA agrees that in the event that it is necessary to determine whether a waiver would result in increase of GHG emissions, the emissions attributed to a retail seller should be consistent with its total covered emissions as defined by the California Air Resources Board (CARB),<sup>34</sup> which is used as the basis for compliance obligations in the Cap-and-Trade Program.<sup>35</sup>

---

<sup>33</sup> PG&E Opening Comments at 5; SCE Opening Comments at 6.

<sup>34</sup> 17 CCR Section 95100-95163.

<sup>35</sup> ORA Reply Comments at 9.

Other parties support the use of a broader region. GPI, IEP, and TURN/CUE support using the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) area as the basis of GHG emissions increase, because, these parties argue, in most cases WECC is the area from which a retail seller procures energy. Pointing out that unlike conventional pollutants, e.g. NO<sub>x</sub> and particulate matters, GHG emissions are global and the source of the emissions is irrelevant, GPI argues that not the service territory but the energy procurement region of the load serving entity should be considered in the emissions analysis. Accordingly, GPI finds the WECC area to be appropriate for the emissions increase analysis, if the load serving entity procures from out-of-state resources.

AReM/Just agrees with some other parties that the GHG-related analysis should not be expanded to WECC. AReM/Just considers the requirement that a waiver request would not result in GHG emissions increase may be an impossible standard, because (1) there may be many reasons an entity may request a waiver, and (2) it may be impossible to determine if the retail seller's waiver would have changed the historical system dispatch in a way that increased GHG emissions. AReM/Just recommends workshops to explore this topic further.

Parties gave no example of how to evaluate emissions increase using the WECC area or any agency using the WECC area as a basis for emissions analysis. In contrast, there is a known method for California. Therefore, in the interest of keeping RPS program simple to administer and implement, and aligning the GHG emissions analysis with that applied in California's Cap-and-Trade program, we find that the emissions attributed to a retail seller seeking a waiver

under this provision should be consistent with its total covered emissions compliance obligation in the Cap-and-Trade Program.<sup>36</sup>

#### **4.4. Transportation Electrification**

SB 350 also added language to Section 399.15(b)(5)(D) allowing retail sellers to seek a waiver of their RPS obligations if they are impacted by an “unanticipated increase in retail sales due to transportation electrification.” Section 399.15(b)(5)(D)(i) directs the Commission to account for whether transportation electrification significantly exceeded forecasts in the service territory of the retail seller seeking a waiver using the best and most recently available information filed with CARB, California Energy Commission (CEC) or other state agency. Towards this end, the Commission needs to determine whether transportation electrification significantly exceeded forecasts for retail sellers.

Both PG&E and SCE support the use of the same burden of proof for all entities and propose that the Commission require that all electric service providers submit sufficient information regarding their retail load and generation profiles.<sup>37</sup> Similarly, TURN/CUE recommends requiring energy service providers to submit a sales forecast attributable to transportation electrification and to take proactive measures to limit their exposure to risk that unanticipated increases in transportation electrification could affect RPS compliance.<sup>38</sup> They also argue that electric service providers should not be

---

<sup>36</sup> Under California’s regulation for the mandatory reporting of GHG emissions, retail sellers must report their annual GHG emissions to the CARB.

<sup>37</sup> PG&E Opening Comments at 7.

<sup>38</sup> TURN/CUE Opening Comments at 6 and 7.

allowed to seek a waiver unless they have filed forecasts as part of their procurement plans related to electric vehicles with the state agencies.

Supporting a more definite target, GPI suggests that the proper guide for anticipated load growth due to transportation electrification should be the Governor's goal of 1.5 million electric vehicles on the road by 2025, apportioned to the load serving entity seeking a waiver.

Transportation electrification is now an essential component of the State's climate change goals and included in other areas of SB 350, hence it is a factor in RPS program changes per SB 350. There are initiatives that aim to accelerate the deployment of zero emission vehicles. For example, the goal of the Charge Ahead California Initiative is "to place in service at least 1,000,000 zero-emission and near-zero-emission vehicles by January 2023."<sup>39</sup> In 2018, California Governor Jerry Brown issued Executive Order B-48-18, which set a target of getting 5 million zero-emission vehicles on the roads in California by 2030. The impact of these initiatives on transportation electrification, and hence on load growth, should not be ignored.

For waiver determinations, it is necessary for the Commission to be informed about the retail seller's transportation electrification forecast and how the retail seller computed that forecast. Unless the Commission is informed

---

<sup>39</sup> The goal of the Charge Ahead California Initiative is "to place in service at least 1,000,000 zero-emission and near-zero-emission vehicles by January 1, 2023, to establish a self-sustaining California market for zero-emission and near-zero-emission vehicles in which zero-emission and near-zero-emission vehicles are a viable mainstream option for individual vehicle purchasers, businesses, and public fleets, to increase access for disadvantaged, low-income, and moderate-income communities and consumers to zero-emission and near-zero-emission vehicles, and to increase the placement of those vehicles in those communities and with those consumers to enhance the air quality, lower greenhouse gases, and promote overall benefits for those communities and consumers." (Health and Safety Code § 44258.4.(b))



about what is anticipated by the retail seller, the Commission would not have a basis to determine what is unanticipated by the retail seller. Therefore, all retail sellers must annually demonstrate that transportation electrification is quantitatively accounted for in their RPS procurement plans: All retail sellers must explicitly reference forecasted transportation electrification in their procurement plans; provide a detailed description of the data and method used to support their forecast; and explain how they considered the California Energy Commission's Integrated Energy Policy Report transportation electricity demand forecast in creating their own forecast. These forecasts, along with publicly available information from the Commission, CEC, and CARB, are necessary for the Commission to make the determination in waiver requests submitted under Section 399.15(b)(5)(D)(i).

#### **4.5. Procurement Actions Related to Transportation Electrification**

Sec. 399.15(b)(5)(D)(ii) requires that retail sellers must have taken reasonable measures "to procure sufficient resources" to account for unanticipated increases in retail sales due to transportation electrification in order to be granted a waiver. Being informed about what procurement actions were available to a retail seller is necessary as the information will allow the Commission to determine whether additional procurement should have been done to account for unanticipated increases such that it should now be ordered by the Commission and incorporated into retail sellers' procurement plans.

Parties expressed varying views on the need for additional procurement actions that may be needed to meet the additional load growth due to unanticipated level of transportation electrification. Some parties do not see a need for additional procurement action, because currently available procurement

options, such as long-term and short-term contracts, as well as the ability to apply eligible RECs from excess procurement to later compliance periods,<sup>40</sup> are considered to be adequate tools to address unanticipated changes in demand forecast due to electric vehicle penetration or other reasons.<sup>41</sup> SDG&E notes that “minimum margin of procurement”<sup>42</sup> is a tool authorized by Section 399.13(a)(4)(D) and available to all load-serving entities to hedge against procurement shortfalls for numerous reasons including unanticipated demand increase due to electric vehicle penetration.<sup>43</sup>

In contrast, GPI suggests a specific target of 30 percent over-procurement ratio to meet unanticipated increase in demand. Pointing out that the Commission’s Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) effort is tasked with forecasting electric vehicle penetration,<sup>44</sup> ORA suggests waiting on identifying a target for retail sellers in this proceeding until the IRP process reaches a conclusion.

---

<sup>40</sup> D.17-06-026 Section 3.1.5 implements excess procurement rules under SB 350.

<sup>41</sup> See IEP Opening Comments at 7; PG&E Opening Comments at 7; SDG&E Opening Comments at 7.

<sup>42</sup> Section 399.13(a)(4)(D) provides:

An appropriate minimum margin of procurement above the minimum procurement level necessary to comply with the renewables portfolio standard to mitigate the risk that renewable projects planned or under contract are delayed or canceled. This paragraph does not preclude an electrical corporation from voluntarily proposing a margin of procurement above the appropriate minimum margin established by the commission.

<sup>43</sup> SDG&E Opening Brief at 7.

<sup>44</sup> Order Instituting Rulemaking to Develop an Electricity Integrated Resource Planning Framework and to Coordinate and Refine Long-Term Procurement Planning Requirements (IRP OIR) at 10-11 (issued February 19, 2016); in R.16-02-007.

As pointed out by many parties, retail sellers' RPS procurement plans already contain measures that mitigate the risk of noncompliance due to error in load forecasts or fluctuations in generation. Section 399.13 (a)(4)(D) requires the Commission to adopt "[a]n appropriate minimum margin of procurement above the minimum procurement level necessary to comply with the renewables portfolio standard." This margin of procurement provides a buffer that would protect against retail sellers falling short of their RPS procurement obligation in the event that transportation electrification exceeds forecasts. Section 399.13(a)(4)(B) requires the Commission to adopt "Rules permitting retail sellers to accumulate ["bank"], beginning January 1, 2011, excess procurement in one compliance period to be applied to any subsequent compliance period." Banking excess procurement provides another buffer that would protect against RPS procurement shortfall.

Given the existing measures that allows for mitigating errors in load forecasts, we determine that no additional procurement actions are necessary to satisfy this provision. Existing procurement options such as RPS request for offers, bilateral contracting, banking of RECs, and reasonable amounts of over-procurement should be sufficient to mitigate the impact of any unanticipated increase in retail sales due to transportation electrification.

#### **5. Petition for Modification of D.17-06-026**

Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. (Shell) filed a petition to modify D.17-06-026 (Shell Petition) on August 2, 2017. ORA, TURN/CUE, (jointly), and the IOUs (jointly) filed timely responses, opposing the modifications sought. Shell filed a timely reply to responses. The Shell Petition was filed within one year of the effective date of D.17-06-026 (June 29, 2017). Thus, it meets the timeliness requirement of Rule 16.4(d).

The Shell Petition seeks to modify the Commission's implementation of Section 399.15(b) in D.17-06-026. The Shell Petition asks the Commission to modify D.17-06-026 by 1) allowing a load-serving entity's customers' long-term contracts to count toward the load-serving entity's long-term contracting requirement, and 2) allowing "repackaged"<sup>45</sup> portions of long-term contracts to count toward the load-serving entity's (LSE) RPS obligation.

Shell argues that a customer's long-term investment in renewables is unrecognized by the Commission because D.17-06-026 requires an LSE, not a customer, to be the owner of the RPS contract or ownership agreement in order to comply with the RPS procurement requirement. Because the customer's ownership is not recognized, Shell argues, the customers that are owners of the RPS contract or ownership agreement get penalized by paying for the cost of their RPS procurement program as well as their share of the LSE's mandated RPS procurement. Shell believes that its proposed modification would promote increased customer participation in pursuing the state's green energy goals. Shell additionally points out that D.16-01-032<sup>46</sup> allows for counting voluntary storage deployments by electric service provider (ESP) customers to count toward the ESP's storage target.<sup>47</sup>

---

<sup>45</sup> A "repackaged" contract is a special case of long-term contract, in which a long-term contract for a large volume of generation is divided into smaller pieces, with the pieces being sold to several different entities. (D.17-06-026 at 21.)

<sup>46</sup> D.16-01-032 was issued in R.15-03-011, Order Instituting Rulemaking to consider policy and implementation refinements to the Energy Storage Procurement Framework and Design Program (D.13-10-040, D.14-10-045) and related Action Plan of the California Energy Storage Roadmap.

<sup>47</sup> Shell Petition at 7.

Regarding the repackaged contracts, Shell argues that a repackaged contract does not need to be ten years to achieve the goal of promoting investment in new renewable generation. Shell considers repackaged agreements of less than ten years to be just as beneficial for the LSE and the contract holder.

IOUs and ORA point out that the Shell Petition attempts to relitigate issues that have already been considered and resolved in D.17-06-026. The issues that are addressed in the Shell Petition are the same issues that Shell brought up in June 15, 2017 Opening Comments of Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. on Presiding Judge Simon's Proposed Decision on SB 350 RPS Implementation.<sup>48</sup> Because these issues were already determined in D.17-06-026, we deny the Shell Petition.

## **6. Comments on Proposed Decision**

The proposed decision of Administrative Law Judges (ALJ) Atamturk and Mason in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Section 311 of the Public Utilities Code and comments were allowed under Rule 14.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Comments were filed on \_\_\_\_\_, and reply comments were filed on \_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_.

## **7. Assignment of Proceeding**

Clifford Rechtschaffen is the assigned Commissioner and Robert M. Mason III and Nilgun Atamturk are the assigned ALJs in this proceeding.

---

<sup>48</sup> Opening Comments of Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. on Presiding Judge Simon's Proposed Decision on SB 350 RPS Implementation, June 15, 2017, at 2-10.

**Findings of Fact**

1. SB 350 amends Section 399.15(b)(8) and requires the Commission to set a schedule of penalties that will be comparable for electrical corporations and other retail sellers.
2. SB 350 adds new language to Sec. 399.15(b)(5)(C)-(D) specifying the basis on which retail sellers may seek a waiver of their RPS obligations, including for unanticipated curtailment of eligible renewable resources, “if the waiver would not result in an increase in GHG [greenhouse gas] emissions,” and, if the retail seller is impacted by an “unanticipated increase in retail sales due to transportation electrification.”
3. No party disputes that the existing penalty scheme constitutes a schedule of penalties.
4. The current penalty scheme meets the plain meaning of a schedule.
5. Nothing in the record suggests a need for changing the penalty amount.
6. Nothing in the record suggests a need for differentiating the penalty amount by type of procurement deficiencies.
7. It would be burdensome to implement a mechanism that differentiates penalty amount by type of procurement deficiencies.
8. The current penalty scheme does not include escalation factors for the length or severity of noncompliance above and beyond the escalation inherent in a per-renewable energy credit (REC) penalty scheme.
9. There is nothing new in the record to support penalizing retail sellers at a higher rate for severity and duration of noncompliance, above and beyond the escalation inherent in the current penalty scheme.
10. The current penalty cap is already adjusted by D.14-12-023 to account for size differences between retail sellers.

11. Under California' regulation for mandatory reporting of GHG emissions, retail sellers must report their annual GHG emissions to the California Air Resources Board; these reports are used as the basis for compliance obligations in the Cap-and-Trade Program.

12. Transportation electrification is now an essential component of the State's climate change goals and included in other mandates of SB 350; hence it is also a factor in RPS program changes made by SB 350.

13. Retail sellers' RPS procurement plans already contain measures that mitigate the risk of noncompliance due to error in load forecasts or fluctuations in generation.

14. The Petition for Modification of Decision 17-06-026 by Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. (Shell) was filed on August 2, 2017.

### **Conclusions of Law**

1. Because the current RPS penalty scheme meets the plain meaning of a penalty schedule and is consistent with the language added by SB 350, it constitutes a schedule of penalties.

2. Because nothing in the statutory language or in the record suggests a need for changing the penalty amount, we should maintain the penalty amount of \$50 per REC adopted in D.14-12-023.

3. Because nothing in the statutory language or in the record suggests a change is needed and it would be burdensome to implement a mechanism that differentiates penalty amount by type of procurement deficiencies, we should maintain the penalty amount invariant to factors such as procurement deficiency types.

4. Because there is nothing new in the statutory language or in the record to support penalizing retail sellers at a higher rate for severity and duration of

noncompliance, above and beyond the escalation inherent in the current penalty scheme, we should not adjust the penalty amount for duration or severity of noncompliance.

5. Because the current penalty cap is already adjusted to account for size differences between retail sellers, current penalty caps should continue into the future and be kept at current levels.

6. Because there are no compelling arguments for changing the schedule of penalties to make it more compatible between investor-owned utilities and other retail sellers, we should maintain the current penalty scheme as adopted in D.14-12-023.

7. Because the OPs 2 through 13 of D.14-12-023 are consistent with the language added by SB 350, we should not modify them.

8. In the interest of assisting the waiver request consideration and providing certainty for retail sellers that may seek waivers in the future, retail sellers should include the following information in their waiver requests seeking waiver of their RPS obligations under Section 399.15(b)(5)(C): Description of the curtailment event; reason for the curtailment event; reason why the curtailment was unanticipated; whether there was any replacement energy needed, and if so, description of the replacement energy procured as a result of the curtailment; whether there was any increased GHG emissions attributed to the retail seller seeking a waiver as a result of the curtailment.

9. We should continue to make a case-by-case determination of the merits of each request for waiver of procurement quantity requirements or request for reduction of portfolio balance requirements.

10. To maintain consistency among statewide programs, the emissions attributed to a retail seller seeking a waiver under Section 399.15(b)(5)(C) should



be consistent with its total covered emissions subject to compliance obligation in the Cap-and-Trade Program.

11. Because the Commission must make a determination in waiver requests submitted under Section 399.15(b)(5)(D), all retail sellers should demonstrate that transportation electrification is accounted for in their RPS procurement plans by explicitly referencing forecasted transportation electrification in their procurement plans; providing a detailed description of the data and method used to support their forecast; and explaining how they considered the California Energy Commission's Integrated Energy Policy Report transportation electricity demand forecast in creating their own forecast.

12. Due to the availability of procurement options to mitigate errors in load forecast, including unanticipated increase in retail sales due to transportation electrification, no additional procurement actions should be imposed.

13. Because Shell is relitigating the issues it has already commented on in June 15, 2017 Opening Comments of Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. on Presiding Judge Simon's Proposed Decision on SB 350 RPS Implementation, Shell's Petition for Modification of D.17-06-026 should be denied.

## **O R D E R**

### **IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. The Commission shall maintain the existing Renewables Portfolio Standard program penalty and waiver scheme.
2. If a retail seller as defined in Public Utilities Code Section 399.12(j) requests a waiver of its procurement quantity requirements for a compliance period under Section 399.15(b)(5)(C), the retail seller must include the following

information in its waiver request: Description of the curtailment event; reason for the curtailment event; reason why the curtailment was unanticipated; whether there was any replacement energy needed, and if so, description of the replacement energy procured as a result of the curtailment; and whether there was any increased greenhouse gas emissions attributed to the retail seller seeking a waiver as a result of the curtailment.

3. Beginning with the 2018 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan cycle, all retail sellers as defined in Public Utilities Code Section 399.12(j) must annually demonstrate that transportation electrification is accounted for in their procurement plans by explicitly referencing forecasted transportation electrification in their Renewables Portfolio Standard procurement plans; providing a detailed description of the data and method used to support their forecast; and explaining how they considered the California Energy Commission's Integrated Energy Policy Report transportation electricity demand forecast in creating their own forecast.

4. The Petition of Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. for Modification of D.17-06-026, filed on August 2, 2017, is denied.

5. Rulemaking 15-02-020 remains open.

This order is effective today.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_, at San Francisco, California.

# **APPENDIX A**

**Sections of SB 350 Addressed in this Decision**

**APPENDIX A**

Public Utilities Code Sections

Waivers: 399.15(b)(5) – 399.15(b)(7)

Penalties: 399.15(b)(8)

(5) The commission shall waive enforcement of this section if it finds that the retail seller has demonstrated any of the following conditions are beyond the control of the retail seller and will prevent compliance:

(A) There is inadequate transmission capacity to allow for sufficient electricity to be delivered from proposed eligible renewable energy resource projects using the current operational protocols of the Independent System Operator. In making its findings relative to the existence of this condition with respect to a retail seller that owns transmission lines, the commission shall consider both of the following:

(i) Whether the retail seller has undertaken, in a timely fashion, reasonable measures under its control and consistent with its obligations under local, state, and federal laws and regulations, to develop and construct new transmission lines or upgrades to existing lines intended to transmit electricity generated by eligible renewable energy resources. In determining the reasonableness of a retail seller's actions, the commission shall consider the retail seller's expectations for full-cost recovery for these transmission lines and upgrades.

(ii) Whether the retail seller has taken all reasonable operational measures to maximize cost-effective deliveries of electricity from eligible renewable energy resources in advance of transmission availability.

(B) Permitting, interconnection, or other circumstances that delay procured eligible renewable energy resource projects, or there is an insufficient supply of

eligible renewable energy resources available to the retail seller. In making a finding that this condition prevents timely compliance, the commission shall consider whether the retail seller has done all of the following:

(i) Prudently managed portfolio risks, including relying on a sufficient number of viable projects.

(ii) Sought to develop one of the following: its own eligible renewable energy resources, transmission to interconnect to eligible renewable energy resources, or energy storage used to integrate eligible renewable energy resources. This clause shall not require an electrical corporation to pursue development of eligible renewable energy resources pursuant to Section 399.14.

(iii) Procured an appropriate minimum margin of procurement above the minimum procurement level necessary to comply with the renewables portfolio standard to compensate for foreseeable delays or insufficient supply.

(iv) Taken reasonable measures, under the control of the retail seller, to procure cost-effective distributed generation and allowable unbundled renewable energy credits.

(C) Unanticipated curtailment of eligible renewable energy resources if the waiver would not result in an increase in greenhouse gas emissions.

(D) Unanticipated increase in retail sales due to transportation electrification. In making a finding that this condition prevents timely compliance, the commission shall consider all of the following:

(i) Whether transportation electrification significantly exceeded forecasts in that retail seller's service territory based on the best and most recently available information filed with the State Air Resources Board, the Energy Commission, or other state agency.

(ii) Whether the retail seller has taken reasonable measures to procure sufficient resources to account for unanticipated increases in retail sales due to transportation electrification.

(6) If the commission waives the compliance requirements of this section, the commission shall establish additional reporting requirements on the retail seller to demonstrate that all reasonable actions under the control of the retail seller are taken in each of the intervening years sufficient to satisfy future procurement requirements.

(7) The commission shall not waive enforcement pursuant to this section, unless the retail seller demonstrates that it has taken all reasonable actions under its control, as set forth in paragraph (5), to achieve full compliance.

(8) If a retail seller fails to procure sufficient eligible renewable energy resources to comply with a procurement requirement pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) and fails to obtain an order from the commission waiving enforcement pursuant to paragraph (5), the commission shall assess penalties for noncompliance. A schedule of penalties shall be adopted by the commission that shall be comparable for electrical corporations and other retail sellers. For electrical corporations, the cost of any penalties shall not be collected in rates. Any penalties collected under this article shall be deposited into the Electric Program Investment Charge Fund and used for the purposes described in Chapter 8.1 (commencing with Section 25710) of Division 15 of the Public Resources Code.

**(END OF APPENDIX A)**

# Appendix B

## List of Appearances

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\* PARTIES \*\*\*\*\*

3 PHASES RENEWABLES, LLC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(310) 939-1283 X-301  
info@3phasesrenewables.com  
For: 3 Phases Renewables, LLC

---

Tandy Mcmannes  
ABENGOA SOLAR  
I KAISER PLAZA, STE. 1675  
OAKLAND CA 94612-3699  
(510) 271-4781  
tandy.mcmannes@solar.abengoa.com  
For: Abengoa Solar

---

Marc D Joseph  
Attorney  
ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO, PC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(650) 589-1660  
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com  
For: Coalition of California Utility Employees (CCUE)

---

Michael Nordlicht  
AGERA ENERGY, LLC  
555 PLEASANTVILLE RD, S 107  
BRIARCLIFF MANOR NY 10510  
(914) 236-1406  
MNordlicht@AgeraEnergy.com  
For: Agera Energy, LLC

---

Katy Morsony  
ALCANTAR & KAHL  
345 CALIFORNIA STREET, STE. 2450  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94602  
(415) 421-4143  
klr@a-klaw.com  
For: Energy Producers and Users Coalition (EPUC)

---

Nora Sheriff  
ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP  
345 CALIFORNIA ST., STE. 2450  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104  
(415) 421-4143  
nes@a-klaw.com  
For: California Large Energy Consumers Associations (CLECA)

---

Richard Ravana  
President  
ALLIANCE FOR DESERT PRESERVATION  
PO BOX 3987  
APPLE VALLEY CA 92307  
(310) 874-9226  
jzemanek@DesertPreservation.com  
For: Alliance for Desert Preservation (ADP)

---

Jessalyn Ishigo  
Environmental Business Development Off.  
AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.  
1919 TORRANCE BLVD.  
TORRANCE CA 90501  
(310) 781-4865  
jessalyn\_ishigo@ahm.honda.com  
For: American Honda Motor Co., Inc.

---

John P. Murray  
Sr. Energy Mgr.  
AMERICAN POWERNET MANAGEMENT, LP  
45 COMMERCE DRIVE  
WYOMISSING PA 19610  
(610) 372-8500  
JMurray@AmericanPowerNet.com  
For: American PowerNet Management, LP (APN)

---

Danielle O. Mills  
Director  
AMERICAN WIND ENERGY ASSOC CA CAUCUS  
1970 MEADOW OAK LANE  
MEADOW VISTA CA 95722  
(916) 320-7584  
danielle@renewableenergystrat.com  
For: American Wind Energy Association California Caucus (AWEA)

---

Joseph Moon  
Assist. Dir. Energy & Environmental Svcs  
APPLE VALLEY CHOICE ENERGY  
14955 DALE EVANS PARKWAY  
APPLE VALLEY CA 92307  
(760) 240-7000  
JMoon@AppleValley.org  
For: Apple Valley Choice Energy

---



\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Kevin Emmerich  
BASIN AND RANGE WATCH  
102551 CEDAR CANYON ROAD  
CIMA CA 92323  
AtomictoadRanch@netzero.net  
For: Basin and Range Watch

---

Pushkar Wagle  
BAY AREA MUNICIPAL TRANSMISSION GROUP  
5440 EDGEVIEW DRIVE  
DISCOVERY BAY CA 94505  
(888) 634-3339  
pushkarwagle@flynnrci.com  
For: Bay Area Municipal Transmission Group

---

Julia Levin  
Executive Director  
BIOENERGY ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA  
PO BOX 6184  
ALBANY CA 94706  
(510) 610-1733  
jlevin@bioenergyca.org  
For: Bioenergy Association of California

---

Dan Griffiths  
Attorney  
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN & SMITH, P.C.  
915 L STREET, SUITE 1480  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 682-9702  
griffiths@braunlegal.com  
For: Silicon Valley Clean Energy Authority (SVCEA)

---

Justin Wynne  
Attorney  
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN & SMITH, P.C.  
915 L STREET, SUITE 1480  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 326-5812  
Wynne@BraunLegal.com  
For: California Municipal Utilities Association (CMUA)

---

Scott Blaising, Attorney  
BRAUN BLAISING SMITH WYNNE P.C.  
915 L STREET, STE. 1480  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 326-5814  
Blaising@BraunLegal.com  
For: Pioneer Community Energy

---

Julee M. Ball  
Executive Dir.  
CALIFORNIA BIOMASS ENERGY ALLIANCE  
1015 K STREET  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 441-0702  
Julee@ppallc.com  
For: California Biomass Energy Alliance

---

Ruth Rieman  
Vice-Chair  
CALIFORNIA DESERT COALITION  
PO BOX 1508  
YUCCA VALLEY CA 92286  
(760) 364-3455  
RRieman@RiemanSculpture.com  
For: California Desert Coalition

---

Alex Morris  
Sr. Dir., Policy & Regulatory Affairs  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY STORAGE ALLIANCE  
2150 ALLSTON WAY, SUITE 210  
BERKELEY CA 94704  
(310) 617-3441  
cesa\_regulatory@storagealliance.org  
For: California Energy Storage Alliance (CESA)

---

Karen Norene Mills  
Attorney  
CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION  
2300 RIVER PLAZA DRIVE  
SACRAMENTO CA 95833  
(916) 561-5655  
KMills@cbbf.com  
For: California Farm Bureau Federation

---

Jordan Pinjuv  
Counsel  
CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR  
250 OUTCROPPING WAY  
FOLSOM CA 95630  
(916) 351-4429  
JPinjuv@caiso.com  
For: California Independent System Operator (CAISO)

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Nancy Rader  
Executive Director  
CALIFORNIA WIND ENERGY ASSOCIATION  
1700 SHATTUCK AVENUE, SUITE 17  
BERKELEY CA 94709  
(510) 845-5077 X-1  
NRader@Calwea.org  
For: California Wind Energy Association

---

Heather Swan  
Lancaster Power Authority  
CITY OF LANCASTER  
44933 FERN AVE.  
LANCASTER CA 93534  
(661) 723-6195  
HSwan@CityOfLancasterCa.org  
For: Lancaster Choice Energy

---

Matthew Barmack  
Dir. - Market & Regulatory Analysis  
CALPINE CORPORATION  
4160 DUBLIN BLVD., SUITE 100  
DUBLIN CA 94568  
(925) 557-2267  
BarmackM@calpine.com  
For: Calpine Corporation

---

Oscar Herrera  
Dept. Of Water And Power  
CITY OF LOS ANGELES  
111 N. HOPE ST, RM., 1246  
LOS ANGELES CA 90012  
(213) 367-4880  
Oscar.Herrera2@ladwp.com  
For: L.A. Dept. of Water & Power

---

Greg Bass, Dir - Western Regulatory Affairs  
CALPINE ENERGY SOLUTIONS, LLC  
401 WEST A STREET, SUITE 500  
SAN DIEGO CA 92101  
(619) 684-8199  
Greg.Bass@CalpineSolutions.com  
For: Calpine Energy Solutions, LLC (formerly Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC)

---

Katherine Hernandez  
Sr. Analyst  
CITY OF PICO RIVERA  
6615 PASSONS BLVD.  
PICO RIVERA CA 90660  
(562) 801-4245  
KHernandez@Pico-Rivera.org  
For: City of Pico Rivera, dba Pico Rivera Innovative Municipal Energy (PRIME)

---

CALPINE POWERAMERICA-CA, LLC  
2730 GATEWAY OAKS DR., STE 100  
SACRAMENTO CA 95833  
cpacc@Calpine.com  
For: Calpine PowerAmerica-CA, LLC

---

Isaiah Hagerman  
Dir - Administrative Services  
CITY OF RANCHO MIRAGE  
69-825 HIGHWAY 111  
RANCHO MIRAGE CA 92270  
(760) 770-3207  
isaiahh@RanchoMirageCA.gov  
For: Rancho Mirage Energy Authority (new CCA program)

---

Sara Steck Myers, Attorney  
CEERT  
122 28TH AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94121  
(415) 387-1904  
ssmyers@att.net  
For: Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technology (CEERT)

---

Kenneth Sahn White  
Economics & Policy Analysis Dir  
CLEAN COALITION  
16 PALM CT.  
MENLO PARK CA 94025  
(831) 425-5866  
sahm@clean-coalition.org  
For: Clean Coalition

---

Lisa Belenky, Sr. Atty  
CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY  
1212 BROADWAY, STE. 800  
OAKLAND CA 94612  
(510) 844-7107  
LBelenky@BiologicalDiversity.org  
For: Center for Biological Diversity

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Christiana Darlington  
Attorney At Law  
CLERE INC  
1130 DIABLO COURT  
AUBURN CA 95603  
(530) 305-4433  
DarlingtonLaw@gmail.com  
For: Calaveras Healthy Impact Product Solutions (CHIPS)

---

Christiana Darlington  
Attorney At Law  
CLERE INC  
5622 BLACK WILLOW ST.  
ROCKLIN CA 95677  
(530) 305-4433  
Christiana@ClereInc.net  
For: Camptonville Community Partnership

---

Christiana Darlington  
Attorney  
CLERE, INC.  
5622 BLACK WILLOW STREET  
ROCKLIN CA 95677  
(530) 305-4433  
Christiana@ClereInc.net  
For: Mariposa Biomass Project

---

L. Jan Reid  
COAST ECONOMIC CONSULTING  
3185 GROSS ROAD  
SANTA CRUZ CA 95062  
(831) 476-5700  
janreid@coastecon.com  
For: L. Jan Reid

---

David X Kolk, Ph.D  
COLTON ELECTRIC UTILITY DEPT  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
DKolk@ci.colton.ca.us  
For: Colton Electric Utility Dept

---

Patrick Vanbeek  
COMMERCIAL ENERGY OF CALIFORNIA  
2875 MICHELLE DR. STE 100  
IRVINE CA 92606  
Patrick.Vanbeek@CommercialEnergy.net  
For: Commercial Energy of California

---

Tam Hunt  
COMMUNITY RENEWABLES SOLUTIONS, LLC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000  
(805) 214-6150  
tam@communityRenewables.biz  
For: Community Renewable Solution, LLC (CRS)

---

Andrew Brown  
CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC.  
2600 CAPITOL AVE., STE. 400  
SACRAMENTO CA 95816  
(916) 447-2166  
abb@esLawFirm.com  
For: Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.

---

John L. Geiger  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
1100 NORTH EASTERN AVENUE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90063-3200  
(323) 267-3443  
JGeiger@ISD.LAcounty.gov  
For: County of Los Angeles

---

Lisa-Marie G. Clark  
Legal Division  
CPUC  
505 VAN NESS AVE., RM. 4300  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102  
(415) 703-2069  
lms@cpuc.ca.gov  
For: ORA

---

Christiana Darlington  
DARLINGTON LEGAL SERVICES  
110 MAPLE ST.  
AUBURN CA 95603  
(530) 305-4433  
DarlingtonLaw@gmail.com  
For: Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD)

---

Vidhya Prabhakaran  
Attorney  
DAVIS WRIGHT & TREMAINE, LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 276-6500  
VidhyaPrabhakaran@dwt.com  
For: Liberty Utilities (CalPeco Electric) LLC

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Ann L. Trowbridge  
Attorney At Law  
DAY CARTER & MURPHY LLP  
3620 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE, STE. 205  
SACRAMENTO CA 95864  
(916) 246-7303  
ATrowbridge@DayCarterMurphy.com  
For: Agricultural Energy Consumers Association (AECA)

---

Kimberley Delfino  
Calif. Program Dir.  
DEFENDERS OF WILDLIFE  
1303 J STREET, STE. 270  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 313-5800 X109  
KDelfino@Defenders.org  
For: Defenders of Wildlife

---

Ryan Harwell  
DIRECT ENERGY BUSINESS, LLC  
818 W. 7TH ST., 2ND FL.  
LOS ANGELES CA 90017  
Ryan.Harwell@DirectEnergy.com  
For: Direct Energy Business

---

Daniel W. Douglass, Attorney  
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL  
4766 PARK GRANADA, SUITE 209  
CALABASAS CA 91302  
(818) 961-3001  
Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com  
For: Western Power Trading Forum (WPTF)/Direct Access  
Coalition (DACC)

---

Gregory S. Klatt  
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL  
4766 PARK GRANADA, STE. 209  
CALABASAS CA 91302  
(818) 961-3002  
klatt@energyattorney.com  
For: EDF Trading North America, LLC/EDF Renewable Energy,  
Inc./Tiger National Gas, Inc.

---

Robert Palmese  
EDF INDUSTRIAL POWER SERVICES (CA), LLC  
455 CAPITOL MALL COMPLEX, STE 217  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
RVPalmese@PalmcoEnergy.com  
For: EDF Industrial Power Services (CA), LLC

---

Brian S. Biering  
Attorney  
ELLISON SCHNEIDER HARRIS & DONLAN LLP  
2600 CAPITOL AVE., SUITE 400  
SACRAMENTO CA 95816  
(916) 447-2166  
BSB@eslawfirm.com  
For: Dairy Cares

---

Ronald Liebert  
Attorney At Law  
ELLISON SCHNEIDER HARRIS & DONLAN LLP  
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, STE. 400  
SACRAMENTO CA 95816  
(916) 447-2166  
RL@eslawfirm.com  
For: Otay Landfill Gas, LLC

---

Jedediah Gibson  
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.  
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400  
SACRAMENTO CA 95816  
(916) 447-2166  
JJG@ESLAWFIRM.COM  
For: Bear Valley Electric Service

---

Andrew B. Brown  
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP  
2600 CAPITAL AVENUE, SUITE 400  
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5931  
(916) 447-2166  
abb@eslawfirm.com  
For: Alliance for Retail Energy Markets (AReM)

---

Kevin Boudreaux  
ENERCAL USA LLC  
7660 WOODWAY DRIVE, STE. 471A  
HOUSTON TX 77063  
(713) 395-5372  
kb@enercalusa.com  
For: Enercal USA, LLC

---

Larissa Koehler, Attorney  
ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND  
123 MISSION STREET, 28TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 293-6093  
lkoehler@edf.org  
For: Environmental Defense Fund

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Steve Sherr  
Svp Business Affairs & Gen. Counsel  
FOUNDATION WINDPOWER, LLC  
505 SANSOME STREET, STE 450  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 519-4435  
Steve.Sherr@FoundationWindpower.com  
For: Foundation Windpower, LLC

---

Brian T. Cragg  
Attorney  
GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI & DAY, LLP  
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 392-7900  
BCragg@GoodinMacBride.com  
For: Independent Energy Producers Association (IEPA)

---

Benjamin Matek  
Industry Analyst / Research Mgr.  
GEOTHERMAL ENERGY ASSOCIATION  
209 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., SE  
WASHINGTON DC 20003  
(202) 454-5291  
ben@geo-energy.org  
For: GEOTHERMAL ENERGY ASSOCIATION (GEA)

---

Jeanne B. Armstrong  
Attorney  
GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI & DAY, LLP  
505 SANSOME ST., STE. 900  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 392-7900  
JArmstrong@GoodinMacBride.com  
For: Solar Electric Solutions, LLC

---

John H. Ritch  
GEXA ENERGY CALIFORNIA, LLC  
20455 STATE HIGHWAY 249, STE. 200  
HOUSTON TX 77070  
(713) 401-5738  
John.Ritch@GexaEnergy.com  
For: Gexa Energy California, LLC

---

Jeanne B. Armstrong, Attorney  
GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI & DAY, LLP  
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 392-7900  
JArmstrong@goodinmacbride.com  
For: The Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA)

---

Blumberg  
GLACIAL ENERGY OF CALIFORNIA, INC.  
720 14TH ST.  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
Blumberg@Texas.net  
For: Glacial Energy of California, Inc.

---

Mark Henwood  
HENWOOD ASSOCIATES, INC.  
7311 GREENHAVEN DRIVE, STE. 275  
SACRAMENTO CA 95831  
(916) 422-2600  
MarkHenwood@HenwoodAssociates.com  
For: Mark Henwood

---

Nguyen Quan  
Regulatory Affairs  
GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY  
630 EAST FOOTHILL BLVD.  
SAN DIMAS CA 91773  
(909) 394-3600 X-664  
NQuan@gswater.com  
For: Bear Valley Electric Services

---

Robin Smutny-Jones, Dir. - California Policy & Regulation  
IBERDROLA RENEWABLES, LLC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY OR 00000  
(916) 802-5298  
Robin.Smutny-Jones@IberdrolaRen.com  
For: Iberdrola Renewables, LLC

---

Matthew Klopfenstein, Attorney  
GONZALEZ, QUINTANA & HUNTER, LLC  
915 L STREET, STE. 1480  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 930-0796  
matt@gqhlobby.com  
For: NLine Energy, Inc.

---

Scott Harding  
Energy Resource Planner, Sr.  
IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(760) 482-3365  
SDHarding@iid.com  
For: Imperial Irrigation District

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Inger Goodman  
JUST ENERGY SOLUTIONS INC.  
6 CENTERPOINTE DRIVE, SUITE 750  
LA PALMA CA 90623-2520  
(714) 259-2508  
iGoodman@JustEnergy.com  
For: Just Energy Solutions, Inc. (formerly Commerce Energy,  
Inc.)

---

Tim Mason  
Policy Director  
LARGE-SCALE SOLAR ASSOCIATION  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(510) 812-1416  
Tim@LargeScaleSolar.org  
For: Large-Scale Solar Association

---

Garson Knapp  
LIBERTY POWER CORP.  
131 - A STONY CIRCLE, STE. 500  
SANTA ROSA CA 95401  
(954) 267-5422  
gknapp@libertypowercorp.com  
For: Liberty Power Delaware, LLC / Liberty Power Holdings

---

Chuck Bell  
LUCERNE VALLEY ECON. DEVELOPMENT ASSN.  
PO BOX 193  
APPLE VALLEY CA 92356  
(760) 964-3118  
chuckb@sisp.net  
For: Lucerne Valley Economic Development Association  
(LVEDA)

---

C. C. Song  
Sr. Policy Analyst  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 464-6018  
CSong@mceCleanEnergy.org  
For: Marin / clean Energy

---

Dana P. Palmer  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
1800 CENTURY PARK EAST, 8TH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90067  
(310) 956-3445  
DPalmer@McGuireWoods.com  
For: Direct Energy Services, LLC

---

Andrew J. McClure, Esq.  
Attorney At Law  
MINASIAN, MEITH, SOARES, SEXTON & COOPER  
1681 BIRD STREET, P.O. BOX 1679  
OROVILLE CA 95965  
(530) 533-2885  
AMcClure@minasianlaw.com  
For: Nevada Irrigation District

---

Lorrie L. Steely  
Founder  
MOJAVE COMMUNITIES CONSERVATION  
PO BOX 2397  
APPLE VALLEY CA 92307  
(760) 985-8744  
2SaveOurSkyline@gmail.com  
For: Mojave Communities Conservation Collaborative (MC3)

---

Tom Habashi  
Ceo  
MONTEREY BAY COMMUNITY POWER AUTHORITY  
70 GARDEN COURT, STE. 300  
MONTEREY CA 93940  
(888) 909-6227  
Tom.Habashi@MBCommunityPower.org  
For: Monterey Bay Community Power Authority

---

Marina West  
Board Member  
MORONGO BASIN CONSERVATION ASSOCIATION  
PO BOX 24  
JOSHUA TREE CA 92252  
(760) 910-8744  
info@mbconservation.org  
For: Morongo Basin Conservation Associaton (MBCA)

---

Carl Zichella  
Director - Western Transmission  
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL  
111 SUTTER ST., 20TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104  
(415) 875-6100  
CZichella@nrdc.org  
For: Natural Resources Defense Council

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Sean P. Beatty  
West Region Gen. Counsel  
NRG ENERGY, INC,  
100 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 400  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 627-1639  
Sean.Beatty@NRG.com  
For: NRG Energy, Inc.

---

Julia A. Levin  
ON BEHALF OF WATERSHED CENTER  
106 SAINT ALBANS ROAD  
KENSINGTON CA 94708  
(510) 610-1733  
JuliaLevin106@gmail.com  
For: The Watershed Center / The Watershed Research and  
Training Center

---

Joshua A. Nordquist  
Dir. - Business Development  
ORMAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
6225 NEIL ROAD  
RENO NV 89511  
(775) 356-9029  
JNordquist@Ormat.com  
For: Ormat Nevada, Inc.

---

Mark Huffman  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE ST., B30A  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94106  
(415) 973-3842  
MRH2@pge.com  
For: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E)

---

Etta Lockey, Sr. Counsel  
PACIFICORP  
825 NE MULTNOMAH ST., STE. 1500  
PORTLAND OR 97232  
(503) 813-5701  
Etta.Lockey@PacifiCorp.com  
For: PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power

---

Robert Palmese  
PALMCO POWER CA  
2710 GATEWAY OAKS DR., STE 150N  
SACRAMENTO CA 95833  
Regulatory@PalmCoEnergy.com  
For: Palmco Power CA

---

Joe Wiedman  
PENINSULA CLEAN ENERGY AUTHORITY  
400 COUNTY CENTER, 6TH FLOOR  
REDWOOD CITY CA 94063  
(650) 386-0125  
jwiedman@peninsulacleanenergy.com  
For: Peninsula Clean Energy (PCE)

---

Gregory John Stangl  
Ceo  
PHOENIX ENERGY  
950 LINCOLN BLVD. / BOX 29166  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94129  
(415) 286-7822  
Stangl@PhoenixEnergy.net  
For: Phoenix Energy

---

Thomas R. Darton  
Attorney  
PILOT POWER GROUP, INC.  
8910 UNIVERSITY CENTER LANE, STE. 520  
SAN DIEGO CA 92122  
(858) 678-0118  
TDarton@pilotPowerGroup.com  
For: Pilot Power Group, Inc.

---

Christian Lenci  
PRAXAIR PLAINFIELD, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(925) 866-6809  
Christian\_Lenci@praxair.com  
For: Praxair Plainfield, Inc.

---

Matthew Marshall, Executive Director  
REDWOOD COAST ENERGY AUTHORITY  
633 3RD STREET  
EUREKA CA 95501  
(707) 269-1700  
mmarshall@redwoodenergy.org  
For: Redwood Coast Energy Authority (RCEA)

---

Elaine Sison-Lebrilla  
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT  
6201 S STREET  
SACRAMENTO CA 95817  
(916) 732-7017  
Elaine.Sison-Lebrilla@smud.org  
For: Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD)

---





\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Seth D. Hilton  
Attorney At Law  
STOEL RIVES LLP  
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 1120  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 617-8900  
seth.hilton@stoel.com  
For: Coalition for the Efficient Use of Transmission  
Infrastructure (Coalition)

---

Arthur Haubenstock  
Attorney  
SUSTAINABLE ENERGY STRATEGY PARTNERS  
175 ELINOR AVE., STE. G  
MILL VALLEY CA 94941  
(415) 518-3814  
ah@s-e-s-p.net  
For: Harvest Power California, LLC

---

TENASKA POWER SERVICES CO  
2710 GATEWAY OAKS DR., STE 150 N  
SACRAMENTO CA 95833  
Caldridge@TNSK.com  
For: Tenaska Power Services Co.

---

Teri Brown  
Consultant  
TENASKA POWER SERVICES CO.  
2710 GATEWAY OAKS DR, STE 150N  
SACRAMENTO CA 95833-3505  
(817) 891-4170  
TBrown@TNSK.com  
For: Tenaska California Energy Marketing, LLC

---

Gregg Morris, Director  
THE GREEN POWER INSTITUTE  
2039 SHATTUCK AVE., SUTE. 402  
BERKELEY CA 94704  
(510) 644-2700  
GMorris@emf.net  
For: Green Power Institute

---

Erica Brand, Acting Dir. - California Energy Program  
THE NATURE CONSERVANCY  
201 MISSION STREET, 4TH FL.  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 281-0451  
ebrand@tnc.org  
For: The Nature Conservancy

---

Matthew Freedman  
Staff Attorney  
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK  
785 MARKET STREET, 14TH FL  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103  
(415) 929-8876 X-308  
matthew@turn.org  
For: The Utility Reform Network

---

TIGER NATURAL GAS, INC.  
818 W. 7TH ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90017  
bsoler@TigerNaturalGas.com  
For: Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.

---

Jason R. Smith  
President  
TRANSCANYON, LLC  
ONE ARIZONA CENTER  
400 EAST VAN BUREN ST., STE. 350  
PHOENIX AZ 85004  
(602) 250-2668  
Jason.Smith@TransCanyon.com  
For: TransCanyon, LLC

---

David F. Smith, Dir - Engineering And Operations  
TRANSWEST EXPRESS LLC  
555 SEVENTEENTH STREET, STE. 2400  
DENVER CO 80202  
(303) 299-1545  
david.smith@tac-denver.com  
For: TransWest Express LLC

---

Laura Wisland, Sr. Energy Analyst  
UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS  
500 12TH ST., STE. 340  
OAKLAND CA 94607  
(510) 809-1565  
LWisland@UCSusa.org  
For: Union of Concerned Scientists

---

Mark Byron  
Wholesale Electricity Program Mgr.  
UNIV. OF CALIF. OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
1111 FRANKLIN ST., 6TH FL.  
OAKLAND CA 94607  
(510) 287-3846  
Mark.Byron@ucop.edu  
For: The Regents of the University of California

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Michael J. Minkler  
General Manager  
UTICA WATER AND POWER ANTHORITY  
1168 BOOSTER WAY / PO BOX 358  
ANGELS CAMP CA 95222  
(209) 736-9419  
MJMinkler@UticaPower.net  
For: Utica Water and Power Authority (Utica)

---

Donald Kelly  
Exe. Director  
UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK  
3405 KENYON ST., STE. 401  
SAN DIEGO CA 92110  
(619) 696-6966  
don@ucan.org  
For: Utility Consumers' Action Network (UCAN)

---

Charlie Karustis  
Owner  
YAVI ENERGY, LLC  
517 BOSTON POST ROAD, NO.65  
SUDBURY MA 01776  
(503) 720-2510  
YaviEnergy@gmail.com  
For: Yavi Energy, LLC

---

YEP ENERGY  
818 W. 7TH ST.  
LOS ANGELES CA 90017  
kb@YepEnergy.com  
For: Yep Energy (Enercal USA, LLC's ESP business name)

---

Kristin Sicke, Assistant Gen. Mgr.  
YOLO COUNTY FLOOD COUNTR0L  
34274 STATE HIGHWAY 16  
WOODLAND CA 95695  
(530) 662-0265  
KSicke@YCFCWCD.org  
For: Yolo County Flood Control And Water Conservation  
District

---

\*\*\*\*\* STATE EMPLOYEE \*\*\*\*\*

Poonum Agrawal  
Energy Division  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-3306  
pa1@cpuc.ca.gov

Nilgun Atamturk  
Administrative Law Judge Division  
RM. 5024  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2329  
nil@cpuc.ca.gov

Nathan Barcic  
Energy Division  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2357  
nb1@cpuc.ca.gov

Katharine Larson  
CALIFORINA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 9TH STREET  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 651-1231  
katharine.larson@energy.ca.gov

Angela Gould  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(916) 654-4881  
angela.gould@energy.ca.gov

Emily Chisholm  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 NINTH STREET  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 654-4006  
Emily.Chisholm@energy.ca.gov

Gabriel Herrera, Esq.  
Office Of Chief Counsel  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 NINTH STREET, MS 14  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-5512  
(916) 654-3951  
Gabe.Herrera@energy.ca.gov

Michael Jaske  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
Mike.Jaske@energy.ca.gov

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Nicholas Fugate  
Supply Analsys Office  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(916) 654-4219  
nicholas.fugate@energy.ca.gov

Theresa Daniels  
Energy Specialist 1  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(916) 654-4669  
theresa.daniels@energy.ca.gov

William Dietrich  
Mgr. - Efficiency Div.  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 NINTH STREET, MS-26  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-5512  
(916) 651-3754  
DietrichW@earthlink.net

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 94102  
CommGuzmanAcevesEnotice@cpuc.ca.gov

Chloe Lukins  
Ora Div.  
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 703-1637  
chloe.lukins@cpuc.ca.gov

Forest Kaser  
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 703-1445  
forest.kaser@cpuc.ca.gov

Mitchell Shapson  
Staff Attorney  
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 703-2727  
Mitchell.Shapson@cpuc.ca.gov

Brent Tarnow  
CPUC - ENERGY DIV.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
Brent.Tarnow@cpuc.ca.gov

Syche Cai  
Energy Division  
AREA 4-A  
320 West 4th Street Suite 500  
Los Angeles CA 90013  
(213) 620-6453  
sc5@cpuc.ca.gov

Cheryl Cox  
Energy Division  
300 Capitol Mall  
Sacramento CA 95814 4309  
(916) 327-6799  
cxc@cpuc.ca.gov

Patrick Cunningham  
Office of Ratepayer Advocates  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-1993  
pc5@cpuc.ca.gov

Paul Douglas  
Energy Division  
AREA 4-A  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 355-5579  
psd@cpuc.ca.gov

Diane Fellman  
Policy & Planning Division  
300 Capitol Mall  
Sacramento CA 95814 4309  
(916) 327-6776  
df6@cpuc.ca.gov

Julie A. Fitch  
Administrative Law Judge Division  
RM. 5115  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-3134  
jf2@cpuc.ca.gov

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Brandon T. Gerstle  
Energy Division  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-3661  
bg5@cpuc.ca.gov

Sandy Goldberg  
Executive Division  
RM. 5202  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-5137  
sg8@cpuc.ca.gov

Joanna Gubman  
Executive Division  
AREA 4-A  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2333  
jg5@cpuc.ca.gov

Karin M. Hieta  
Office of Ratepayer Advocates  
RM. 5010  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-4253  
kar@cpuc.ca.gov

Jason Houck  
Executive Division  
RM. 4-A  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-3307  
jh6@cpuc.ca.gov

Christian Knierim  
Office of Ratepayer Advocates  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-1177  
ckn@cpuc.ca.gov

Cheryl Lee  
Energy Division  
AREA 4-A  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2167  
cnl@cpuc.ca.gov

Xian "Cindy" Li  
Office of Ratepayer Advocates  
RM. 4104  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-1546  
xl2@cpuc.ca.gov

Robert Mason  
Administrative Law Judge Division  
RM. 5107  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-1470  
rim@cpuc.ca.gov

James McGarry  
Energy Division  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2804  
jmy@cpuc.ca.gov

Jeanne McKinney  
Administrative Law Judge Division  
RM. 5112  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2550  
jmo@cpuc.ca.gov

Christopher Myers  
Office of Ratepayer Advocates  
RM. 4104  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2908  
cg2@cpuc.ca.gov

Rosanne O'Hara  
Legal Division  
RM. 5039  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2386  
ro4@cpuc.ca.gov  
For: ORA

David Peck  
Executive Division  
RM. 4108  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-1213  
dbp@cpuc.ca.gov

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Kaj Peterson  
Office of Ratepayer Advocates  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2771  
kjp@cpuc.ca.gov

Ehren Seybert  
Executive Division  
RM. 5303  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-5991  
es2@cpuc.ca.gov

Anne E. Simon  
Administrative Law Judge Division  
RM. 5116  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2014  
aes@cpuc.ca.gov

Sean A. Simon  
Executive Division  
AREA 4-A  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-3791  
svn@cpuc.ca.gov

Amanda Singh  
Energy Division  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2452  
asi@cpuc.ca.gov

Lee-Whei Tan  
Office of Ratepayer Advocates  
RM. 4102  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-2901  
lwt@cpuc.ca.gov

Maria Amparo Worster  
Energy Division  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-1585  
ma1@cpuc.ca.gov

\*\*\*\*\* INFORMATION ONLY \*\*\*\*\*

Mila A. Buckner  
Attorney  
ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO  
601 GATEWAY BLVD., STE. 1000  
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO CA 94080  
(650) 589-1660  
MBuckner@AdamsBroadwell.com

Kimberly Rai  
AGERA ENERGY LLC  
555 PLEASANTVILLE RD S 107  
BRIARCLIFF MANOR NY 10510  
(914) 236-1406  
Regulatory@AgeraEnergy.com

C/O West Coast Advisors  
AGRICULTURAL ENERGY CONSUMERS ASSN.  
925 L STREET, SUITE 800  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 441-4383  
aecaonline@gmail.com

Janet Oppio  
ALAMEDA MUNICIPAL POWER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
Oppio@AlamedaMP.com  
For: Alameda Municipal Power

---

Karen Terranova  
ALCANTAR & KAHL  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000  
(415) 403-5542  
filings@a-klaw.com

Mike Cade  
ALCANTAR & KAHL  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(503) 402-8711  
wmc@a-klaw.com

Evelyn Kahl  
Counsel  
ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 403-5542  
ek@a-klaw.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Michael Alcantar  
Attorney At Law  
ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP  
345 CALIFORNIA ST., STE. 2450  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104  
(415) 421-4143  
mpa@a-klaw.com

Brewster Birdsall, P.E., Qep  
Sr. Associate  
ASPEN ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 696-5305  
BBirdsall@aspeneg.com

Yarek Lehr  
AZUSA LIGHT & WATER  
729 N. AZUSA AVENUE  
AZUSA CA 91702  
ylehr@ci.azusa.ca.us  
For: City of Azusa - Light and Water Dept.

---

Barbara Barkovich  
Consultant  
BARKOVICH & YAP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(707) 937-6203  
Barbara@BarkovichAndYap.com

Peter T. Pearson  
Energy Supply Specialist  
BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC SERVICE  
42020 GARSTIN DRIVE, PO BOX 1547  
BIG BEAR LAKE CA 92315-1547  
(909) 866-4678 X186  
peter.pearson@bves.com

Harriet A. Steiner  
Attorney  
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP  
500 CAPITOL MALL, STE. 1700  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-4704  
(916) 325-4000  
Harriet.Steiner@bbklaw.com  
For: Valley Clean Energy Alliance (VCEA)

---

Mark Sorensen  
BIGGS MUNICIPAL UTILITIES  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
Mark@Biggs-ca.gov  
For: Biggs Municipal Utilities

---

Alia Schoen  
Public Policy Mgr.  
BLOOM ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(408) 543-1261  
Alia.Schoen@BloomEnergy.com

Rachel Bird  
Dir - Policy & Bus. Development, West  
BORREGO SOLAR SYSTEMS, INC.  
360 22ND STREET, SUITE 600  
OAKLAND CA 94612  
(510) 496-8717  
RBird@BorregoSolar.com

Bruce Mclaughlin  
BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(916) 531-5566  
mclaughlin@braunlegal.com  
For: Power & Water Resources Pooling Authority

---

Tony Braun  
BRAUN BALISING MCLAUGHLIN PC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(916) 326-4449  
braun@braunlegal.com

Linda Johnson  
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN & SMITH P.C.  
915 L STREET, SUITE 1270  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
johnson@braunlegal.com

Steven J. Keene  
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN & SMITH, P.C.  
915 L STREET, SUITE 1270  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(619) 339-0266  
keene@braunlegal.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

David Peffer  
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN & SMITH, P.C.  
915 L STREET, SUITE 1480  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 682-9702  
Peffer@BraunLegal.com

Scott Blaising  
Attorney  
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN & SMITH, P.C.  
915 L STREET, STE. 1480  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 326-5812  
Blaising@BraunLegal.com

Regulatory Clerk  
BRAUN BLAISING SMITH WYNNE, PC  
915 L STREET, STE. 1480  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 326-5812  
Regulatory@BraunLegal.com

Steven Zuretti  
Dir - Regulatory Affairs  
BROOKFIELD ENERGY MARKETING INC.  
601 S. FIGUEROA ST., STE. 2200  
LOS ANGELES CA 90017  
(310) 849-3210  
steven.zuretti@BrookfieldRenewable.com

Himanshu Pandey  
Asst. Power Production Superintendent  
BURBANK WATER & POWER  
164 W. MAGNOLIA BLVD.  
BURBANK CA 91502  
(818) 238-3700  
HPandey@ci.burbank.ca.us  
For: City of Burbank

---

Lincoln Bleveans  
Power Resources Manager  
BURBANK WATER & POWER  
164 WEST MAGNOLIA BLVD.  
BURBANK CA 91502  
(818) 238-3651  
LBleveans@burbankca.gov  
For: City of Burbank

---

Phillip Reese  
C/O REESE-CHAMBERS SYSTEMS CONSULTANTS,  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(805) 386-4343  
phil@reesechambers.com

James H. Caldwell, Jr  
1650 E. NAPA STREET  
SONOMA CA 95476  
jhcaldwelljr@gmail.com

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS  
425 DIVISADERO ST STE 303  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94117-2242  
(415) 552-1764  
cem@newsdata.com

Kavya Balaraman  
Reporter  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(347) 342-6484  
kavya@newsdata.com

CALIFORNIA ISO  
250 OUTCROPPING WAY  
FOLSOM CA 95630  
e-recipient@caiso.com

Austin M. Yang  
Deputy City Attorney  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PL, RM 234  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-4682  
(415) 554-6761  
Austin.Yang@sfgov.org

Suzy Hong, Deputy City Attorney  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
CITY HALL  
1 DR. CARLTON B GOODLETT PLACE, RM 234  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102  
(415) 554-4700  
suzy.hong@sfcityatty.org

Carrie Thompson  
CITY OF ANAHEIM  
201 S. ANAHEIM BLVD., STE. 802  
ANAHEIM CA 92805  
(714) 465-4131  
CaThompson@Anaheim.net  
For: City of Anaheim - Integrated Resources Div.

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Jim Steffens  
CITY OF BANNING, CALIFORNIA  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
JSteffens@ci.banning.ca.us  
For: City of Banning

---

David Brownlee, Jr.  
CITY OF NEEDLES  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
ndlscityproject@citlink.net  
For: City of Needles

---

Kanna Vanchaswaran  
CITY OF CERRITOS  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
KVancheswaran@Cerritos.us  
For: City of Cerritos

---

Jon Abendschein  
CITY OF PALO ALTO  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
Jon.Abendschein@CityofPaloAlto.org  
For: City of Palo Alto

---

Vince Brar  
CITY OF CERRITOS  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
VBrar@Cerritos.us  
For: City of Cerritos

---

Herman Leung  
CITY OF PASADENA  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
HLeung@cityofPasadena.net  
For: City of Pasadena

---

Michael Teneyck  
CITY OF CORONA  
DEPT OF WTER & POWER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
Michael.Teneyck@ci.corona.ca.us  
For: City of Corona

---

Vanessa Xie  
Island Energy  
CITY OF PITTSBURG  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
VXie@ci.pittsburg.ca.us  
For: City of Pittsburg d/b/a Island Energy

---

Valerie Puffer  
CITY OF GLENDALE WATER & POWER  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
vpuffer@ci.glendale.ca.us  
For: Glendale Water & Power

---

Reiko Kerr  
Public Utilities Dept.  
CITY OF RIVERSIDE  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
RKerr@Riversideca.gov  
For: City of Riverside

---

Terry Crowley  
CITY OF HEALDSBURG  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
TCrowley@ci.healdsburg.ca.us  
For: City of Healdsburg

---

Scott Lesch  
Public Utilities Dept.  
CITY OF RIVERSIDE  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
SLesch@riversideca.gov  
For: City of Riverside

---

John D Ballas, City Engineer  
CITY OF INDUSTRY  
PO BOX 3366  
CITY OF INDUSTRY CA 91744  
(626) 333-2211  
JDBallas@cityOfIndustry.org  
For: City of Industry

---



\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Aaron (Yichen) Lu  
Program Coordinator  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(858) 573-2191  
YLu@SanDiego.gov

Jennifer Cregar  
Project Supervisor  
CITY OF SANTA BARBARA  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(805) 568-3506  
JCregar@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Tom Miller  
CITY OF SHASTA LAKE  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
TMiller@cityofShastaLake.org  
For: City of Shasta Lake

---

Diane Lucchetti  
CITY OF UKIAH  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
DLucchetti@CityofUkiah.com  
For: City of Ukiah

---

Mel Grandi  
CITY OF UKIAH  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
MGrandi@CityofUkiah.com  
For: City of Ukiah

---

Abraham Alemu  
Resource Planning & Dev. Manager  
CITY OF VERNON  
4305 SANTE FE AVENUE  
VERNON CA 90058  
(323) 583-8811 X-275  
AAlemu@ci.vernon.ca.us  
For: City of Vernon

---

Steven K. Endo, P.E.  
Water & Power  
CITYOF PASADENA  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
SEndo@cityofPasadena.net  
For: City of Pasadena Water & Power

---

Katie Ramsey  
CLEAN COALITION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(702) 274-7217  
katie@clean-coalition.org

Milissa Marona  
Dir - Gov'T & Utility Relations  
CODA ENERGY  
135 E. MAPLE AVE.  
MONROVIA CA 91016  
(626) 463-8190  
Milissa.Marona@gmail.com

Danielle Chupa  
CORDOBA CORPORATION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(626) 498-2499  
dchupa@cordobacorp.com

Kevin Taggart  
Principal Management Analyst  
COUNTY OF BUTTE  
25 COUNTY CENTER DRIVE, STE. 200  
OROVILLE CA 95928  
(530) 538-2361  
KTaggart@ButteCounty.net  
For: Butte County

---

Legal Division  
CPUC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
AppRhg@cpuc.ca.gov

Kira Bryers  
CUSTOMIZED ENERGY SOLUTIONS LTD.  
1528 WALNUT STREET, 22ND FLOOR  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19102  
(267) 238-4785  
kbryers@ces-ltd.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Erika Schmitt  
CUSTOMIZED ENERGY SOLUTIONS, LTD.  
1528 WALNUT STREET, 22ND FL.  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19102  
(513) 910-2760  
ESchmitt@ces-ltd.com

Emily P. Sangi  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 276-6500  
EmilySangi@dwt.com

Robert Gex  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 276-6500  
robertgex@dwt.com

Steven F. Greenwald  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 276-6528  
SteveGreenwald@dwt.com  
For: Liberty Utilities (CalPeco Electirc) LLC

---

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000  
dwtcpudockets@dwt.com

Katie Jorrie  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 276-6500  
katiejorrie@dwt.com

Patrick Ferguson  
Attorney  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 276-6500  
PatrickFerguson@dwt.com  
For: Calpine Corporation

---

Kate Kelly  
DEFENDERS OF WILDLIFE  
1303 J STREET, SUITE 270  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(530) 902-1615  
kate@kgconsulting.net

John W. Leslie, Esq  
Attorney  
DENTONS US LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 92121  
(619) 699-2536  
John.Leslie@dentons.com

William Dietrich  
Senior Consultant  
DIETRICH CONSULTING  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 297-2356  
dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net

Donald C. Liddell  
Attorney  
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL  
2928 2ND AVENUE  
SAN DIEGO CA 92103  
(619) 993-9096  
Liddell@EnergyAttorney.com  
For: California Energy Storage (CESA)

---

Virinder Singh  
Dir - Regulatory & Legislative Affairs  
EDF RENEWABLE ENERGY  
1000 SW BROADWAY, SUITE 1880  
PORTLAND OR 97205  
(503) 889-3835  
Virinder.Singh@edf-re.com

Brian S. Biering  
Attorney  
ELLISON SCHNEIDER HARRIS & DONLAN LLP  
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400  
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905  
(916) 447-2166  
bsb@eslawfirm.com  
For: American Wind Energy Association California Caucus

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Douglas Kerner  
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS  
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400  
SACRAMENTO CA 95816  
(916) 447-2166  
DKK@ESLAWFIRM.COM

Lynn M. Haug  
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS LLP  
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400  
SACRMENTO CA 95816-5905  
(916) 447-2166  
lmh@eslawfirm.com

Jeffery D. Harris  
Attorney At Law  
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER HARRIS & DONLAN LLP  
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400  
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905  
(916) 447-2166  
jdh@eslawfirm.com

Emily Leslie  
ENERGY REFLECTIONS  
1028 MONTE VERDE DR  
PACIFICA CA 94044  
(650) 804-6275  
energyreflections@gmail.com

Caitlin Liotris  
Senior Consultant  
ENERGY STRATEGIES  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(801) 531-0235 X231  
ccollins@energystrat.com

Daniel Ramirez  
Analyst  
ENERGY STRATEGIES, LLC  
215 SOUTH STATE STREET, STE 200  
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111  
(801) 355-4365  
dramirez@energystrat.com

Kevin Simonsen  
ENERGY USERS FORUM  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CO 00000  
(970) 259-1748  
kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com

Lujuana Medina  
Regulatory Manager  
ENERGYRSC  
EMAIL ONLY  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032  
(213) 864-5896  
Lujuana.Medina@energyRSC.com

Allie Detrio  
Policy Manager  
ENGIE SERVICES  
500 12TH STREET, SUITE 300  
OAKLAND CA 94607  
(415) 825-0133  
allie.detrio@engie.com

Jennifer Weberski  
Consultant On Behalf Of:  
ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND  
49 TERRA BELLA DRIVE  
WALNUT CREEK CA 94596  
(703) 489-2924  
jleesq@yahoo.com

Tony Wetzel  
Dir - Asset Optimizatop  
EPP SERVICE CO.  
1605 N. CEDAR CREST BLVD., NO. 509  
ALLENTOWN PA 18104  
(510) 556-2378  
TWetzel@EppServiceCo.com

Kelly Crandall  
EQ RESEARCH, LLC  
1400 16TH ST., 16 MARKET SQR., STE. 400  
DENVER CO 80202  
(720) 315-5184  
CPUCdockets@eq-research.com

Alexey Orkin  
Consultant  
FLYNN RESOURCE CONSULTANTS INC.  
5440 EDGEVIEW DRIVE  
DISCOVERY BAY CA 94505  
(301) 787-6204  
AlexeyOrkin@FlynnRCI.com

Barry R. Flynn  
FLYNN RESOURCE CONSULTANTS, INC.  
5440 EDGEVIEW DRIVE  
DISCOVERY BAY CA 94505  
(888) 634-7516  
BRFlynn@Flynnrci.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Mike Levin  
Dir - Govn'T Affairs  
FUELCELL ENERGY, INC.  
3 GREAT PASTURE ROAD  
DANBURY CT 06810  
(949) 231-0111  
MLevin@fce.com

Luisa F. Elkins  
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI & DAY, LLP  
505 SANSOME ST., STE. 900  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 392-7900  
lelkins@goodinmacbride.com

John McIntyre  
Attorney  
GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI & DAY, LLP  
505 SANSOME ST., STE. 900  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 392-7900  
JMcIntyre@GoodinMacBride.com

Megan Somogyi  
Attorney  
GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, & DAY, LLP  
505 SANSOME ST., STE. 900  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 392-7900  
MSomogyi@GoodinMacBride.com

Michael B. Day  
Attorney  
GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, & DAY, LLP  
505 SANSOME STREET, STE 900  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3133  
(415) 392-7900  
MDay@GoodinMacbride.com  
For: Abengoa Solar

---

Paul Thomsen  
GOVERNMENT AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
6225 NEIL ROAD  
RENO NV 89511  
(775) 336-0123  
pthomsen@ormat.com

Linda Novick  
HARVEST POWER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
lnovick@harvestpower.com

Udi Helman  
HELMAN ANALYTICS  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
Udi@HelmanAnalytics.com

Andrew Stevenson  
Regulatory Affairs Mgr.  
HYDRO SIERRA ENERGY LLC  
PO BOX 6978  
REDWOOD CITY CA 94063  
(847) 924-3890  
AStevenson@HydroSierra.com  
For: Hydro Sierra Energy LLC

---

Belen Valenzuela  
IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
BValenzuela@iid.com

Steven Kelley  
Policy Director  
INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSN.  
1215 K STREET, SUITE 900  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 448-9499  
steven@iepa.com

Buck Endemann  
Attorney  
K&L GATES, LLP  
FOUR EMBARCADERO, STE 1200  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 885-8200  
Buck.endemann@klgates.com

Kathy Treleven  
KATHY TRELEVEN CONSULTING  
103 BANDOL CT.  
SAN RAMON CA 94582  
(925) 719-3749  
KTreleven@gmail.com

Tim Lindl  
Counsel  
KEYES & FOX LLP  
436 14TH STREET, STE. 1305  
OAKLAND CA 94612  
(510) 314-8385  
TLindl@kfwlaw.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Laurence G. Chaset  
Counsel  
KEYES FOX & WIEDMAN, LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(510) 314-8386  
lchaset@keyesandfox.com

Brandi Fichtner  
KIRKWOOD MEADOWS PUD  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
KMPudBF@volcano.net  
For: Kirkwood Meadows PUD

---

Shannon Eddy  
LARGE-SCALE SOLAR ASSOCIATION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(916) 731-8371  
eddyconsulting@gmail.com  
For: Large-Scale Solar Association

---

Theresa Phillips  
LASSEN MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
TPhillips@LMUD.org  
For: Lassen Municipal Utility District

---

Alvin S. Pak  
Attorney  
LAW OFFICES OF ALVIN S. PAK  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(619) 209-1865  
APak@AlPakLaw.com

Andy Katz  
LAW OFFICES OF ANDY KATZ  
2150 ALLSTON WAY , STE. 400  
BERKELEY CA 94704  
(510) 848-5001  
andykatz@sonic.net

Megan M. Myers  
Attorney  
LAW OFFICES OF SARA STECK MYERS  
122 - 28TH AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94121  
(415) 994-1616  
MeganMMyers@yahoo.com

C. Susie Berlin  
LAW OFFICES OF SUSIE BERLIN  
1346 THE ALAMEDA, STE. 7, NO. 141  
SAN JOSE CA 95126  
(408) 778-8478  
berlin@susieberlinlaw.com

Dan Marsh  
Mgr, Rates And Regulatory Affairs  
LIBERTY UTILITIES (CALIFORNIA)  
9750 WASHBURN ROAD  
DOWNEY CA 90241-7002  
Dan.Marsh@libertyutilities.com

Edward Jackson  
Dir - Revenue Requirements  
LIBERTY UTILITIES (CALIFORNIA)  
9750 WASHBURN ROAD / PO BOX 7002  
DOWNEY CA 90241-7002  
(562) 923-0711 X1212  
Edward.Jackson@LibertyUtilities.com

Sharon Yang  
Director Of Legal Services  
LIBERTY UTILITIES (WEST REGION)  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY AA 00000  
(562) 299-5120  
Sharon.Yang@libertyutilities.com

Melissa Price  
LODI ELECTRIC UTILITY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
MPrice@Lodi.gov  
For: Lodi Electric Utility

---

Sangeetha Losari  
LOS ANGELES DEPT OF WATER & POWER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(213) 367-4898  
Sangeetha.Losari@ladwp.com

Felix Lebron  
Deputy City Attorney  
LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER & POWER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(213) 367-4500  
Felix.Lebtron@ladwp.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Jean-Claude Bertet  
Deputy City Attorney  
LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER & POWER  
111 N HOPE STREET, SUITE 340  
LOS ANGELES CA 90012  
(213) 367-4500  
jean-claude.bertet@ladwp.com

Leilani Johnson Kowal  
LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER & POWER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
leilani.johnson@ladwp.com

Pjoy T. Chua  
LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER & POWER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
pjoy.chua@ladwp.com

Randy S. Howard  
LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER AND POWER  
111 NORTH HOPE STREET, ROOM 921  
LOS ANGELES CA 90012  
(213) 367-0381  
Randy.Howard@ladwp.com  
For: L.A. Dept of Water & Power

---

Sandeep Arora  
Asst. V.P.-Transmission/Interconnection  
LS POWER DEVELOPMENT, LLC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(925) 201-5252  
sarora@lspower.com

Lilly B. Mckenna  
MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP  
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FL.  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 291-7445  
LMcKenna@manatt.com

Jeremy Waen  
Sr. Regulatory Analyst  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 464-6027  
jwaen@mceCleanEnergy.org

Mce Compliance  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
compliance@mceCleanEnergy.org

Mce Regulatory  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 464-6010  
regulatory@mceCleanEnergy.org

Vanessa Lara  
MERCED IRRIGATION DISTRICT  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
VLara@MercedID.org  
For: Merced Irrigatin District

---

Ruthann G. Ziegler  
Attorney  
MEYERS NAVE  
555 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 1200  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 556-1531  
rziegler@meyersnave.com  
For: Pioneer Community Energy (Pioneer)

---

David Olivares  
Electric Resource  
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT  
PO BOX 4060  
MODESTO CA 95352  
davido@mid.org  
For: Modesto Irrigation District

---

Ed Franciosa  
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT  
1231 11TH STREET  
MODESTO CA 95354  
(209) 526-7373  
ed.franciosa@mid.org

Greg Salyer  
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT  
1231 11TH STREET  
MODESTO CA 95354  
(209) 526-7373  
gregs@mid.org

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Monica Schwebs  
MORGAN LEWIS BOCKIUS, LLP  
ONE MARKET, SPEAR STREET TOWER  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105-1596  
(415) 442-1345  
monica.schwebs@morganlewis.com

MRW & ASSOCIATES, LLC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(510) 834-1999  
mrw@mrwassoc.com

Mohit Chhabra  
Scientist  
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 875-6100  
MChhabra@nrdc.org

Peter Miller  
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
pmiller@nrdc.org

Kerry Hattevik  
Reg. Dir.- West Governmental Affairs  
NEXT ERA ENERGY RESOURCES LLC  
829 ARLINGTON BLVD.  
EL CERRITO CA 94530  
(510) 898-1847  
kerry.hattevik@nee.com

Alison Torbitt  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 18TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 984-5008  
atorbitt@nixonpeabody.com

Matthew Swindle  
Ceo & Founder  
NLINE ENERGY, INC.  
5170 GOLDEN FOOTHILL PARKWAY  
EL DORADO HILLS CA 95762  
(916) 235-6852  
MSwindle@NLineEnergy.com

Van Dao  
Associate Project Development  
NRG ENERGY, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
van.dao@nrg.com

Brian Theaker  
Dir - Regulatory Affairs  
NRG ENERGY, INC. - WEST  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(530) 295-3305  
Brian.Theaker@NRG.com

M. Grady Mathai-Jackson  
Attorney  
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 973-3386  
MGML@pge.com

Jessica Hilgart  
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 973-6593  
JKHh@pge.com

Joanie Yuen  
Case Mgr  
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE ST., MC B23A  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 973-5251  
JXYR@pge.com

Matthew A. Fogelson  
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
LAW DEPT.  
77 BEALE ST., MC B30A-2487 / PO BOX 7442  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120-7442  
(415) 973-7475  
MAFv@pge.com

Jennifer K. Post  
Chief Counsel  
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY LAW DEPT.  
77 BEALE STREET, B30A / PO BOX 7442  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120  
(415) 973-9809  
JLKm@pge.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Grant Fujii  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 973-2264  
gdf8@pge.com

Amy Barr  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 973-6095  
A4B0@pge.com

Case Coordination  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 973-2776  
RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com

Catherine Watkins  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE STREET, B30A  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 973-2769  
CAWm@pge.com

Charles R. Middlekauff  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 973-6971  
CRMd@pge.com

Cory M. Mason  
Attorney  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE STREET, MC B30A  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105-1814  
(415) 973-2320  
CMMw@pge.com

Lauren Hudson  
Expert Case Mgr / Regulatory Affairs  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 973-8340  
LDRi@pge.com

Leslie Almond  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 973-1803  
LEA6@pge.com

Maggie Chan  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 973-4732  
MMCL@pge.com

Matthew Gonzales  
Sr Case Mgr  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE ST., RM. 918, B9A  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 973-8466  
MRGg@pge.com

Paul Esformes  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
PxEg@pge.com

William V. Manheim  
Attorney  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE STREET / PO BOX 7442 (B30A)  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120  
(415) 973-6628  
wvm3@pge.com

Regulatory File Room  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
CPUCCases@pge.com

Cathie Allen  
PACIFICORP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY OR 00000  
(503) 813-5934  
cathie.allen@pacificorp.com

Debi Winney  
PACIFICORP  
825 NE MUTLNOMAH ST., STE. 600  
PORTLAND OR 97232  
(503) 813-5248  
debi.winney@pacificorp.com



\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Pooja Kishore  
PACIFICORP  
825 NE MULTNOMAH, STE. 600  
PORTLAND OR 97232  
(503) 813-7314  
Pooja.Kishore@PacifiCorp.com

PALMCO ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(715) 975-9018  
Regulatory@PalmcoEnergy.com

Jan Pepper  
Cheif Executive Officer  
PENINSULA CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 309-9206  
jpepper@peninsulacleanenergy.com

Thomas R. Del Monte  
PHOENIX BIOMASS ENERGY, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(858) 412-0738  
DelMonte@PhoenixEnergy.net

Kelly Foley  
PILOT POWER GROUP  
8910 UNIVERSITY CENTER LANE, SUITE 520  
SAN DIEGO CA 92122  
(707) 486-5411  
kfoley@pilotpowergroup.com

PILOT POWER GROUP, INC.  
8910 UNIVERSITY CENTER LANE, STE 520  
SAN DIEGO CA 92122  
(858) 678-0118 X116  
Regulatory@PilotPowerGroup.com

Anthony Kekulawela  
PORT OF OAKLAND  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
AKekulawela@PortofOakland.com  
For: Port of Oakland

---

Janice Dias  
PORT OF STOCKTON  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
JDias@StocktonPort.com  
For: Port of Stockton

---

Jeff Kaspar  
Deputy Port Director Of Property & Envir  
PORT OF STOCKTON  
2201 W. WASHINGTON STREET  
STOCKTON CA 95203-2942  
(209) 946-0246  
jkaspar@stockport.com  
For: Port of Stockton

---

Fred Lynn  
RANCHO CUCAMONGA MUNICIPAL UTILITY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(909) 477-2740 X4035  
fred.lyn@cityofrc.us  
For: Rancho Cucamonga Municipal Utility

---

Steven Handy  
REDDING ELECTRIC UTILITY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
SHandy@ci.redding.ca.us  
For: Redding Electric Utility

---

Tony Goncalves  
ROSEVILLE ELECTRIC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONL CA 00000  
AGoncalves@Roseville.ca.us  
For: Roseville Electric

---

Sue Mara  
Consultant  
RTO ADVISORS, LLC  
164 SPRINGDALE WAY  
REDWOOD CITY CA 94062  
(415) 902-4108  
sue.mara@RTOAdvisors.com

Kazeem B. Omidiji  
Regulatory Case Manager  
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(858) 654-1295  
komidiji@semprautilities.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Brandon Henzie  
Regulatory Case Mgr.  
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
8330 CENTURY PARK CT., CP32F  
SAN DIEGO CA 92123  
(858) 654-8763  
BHenzie@SempraUtilities.com

Central Files  
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
8330 CENTURY PARK CT, CP31-E  
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530  
(858) 654-1852  
CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com

Dean A. Kinports  
Regulatory Case Mgr.  
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32F  
SAN DIEGO CA 92123  
(858) 654-8679  
DAKinports@SempraUtilities.com

Jennifer W. Summers  
California Regulatory Affairs  
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(858) 541-5708  
JSummers@SempraUtilities.com

Jennifer Wright  
Regulatory Case Mgr.  
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32F  
SAN DIEGO CA 92123  
(858) 654-1891  
JWright@SempraUtilities.com

Despina Niehaus  
SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32H  
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530  
(858) 654-1714  
dniehaus@semprautilities.com

David A. Silberman  
General Counsel  
SAN MATEO COUNTY COUNSEL'S OFFICE  
400 COUNTY CENTER, 6TH FL.  
REDWOOD CITY CA 94063  
(650) 363-4749  
DSilberman@smcgov.org

Phillip Muller  
President  
SCD ENERGY SOLUTIONS  
436 NOVA ALBION WAY  
SAN RAFAEL CA 94903  
(415) 479-1710  
PhilM@SCDenergy.com

Cleanpowersf Regularatory  
SFPUC  
525 GOLDEN GATE AVE.  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102  
RegCleanPowerSF@sfwater.org

Daniel Barad  
Biomass Campaign Organizer / Rep.  
SIERRA CLUB CALIFORNIA  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(916) 557-1103  
daniel.barad@sierraclub.org

David R. Branchcomb  
SIERRA PACIFIC INDUSTRIES  
PO BOX 496028  
REDDING CA 96049  
(530) 378-8412  
dbranchcomb@spi-ind.com

Hilary Staver  
Regulatory & Legislative Analyst  
SILICON VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY AUTHORITY  
333 W. EL CAMINO REAL, STE. 290  
SUNNYVALE CA 94087  
(408) 721-5301  
Hilary.Staver@SVCleanEnergy.org

Jan Pepper  
Electric Div., Mgr.  
SILICON VALLEY POWER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(408) 615-6679  
jpepper@svpower.com  
For: Silicon Valley Power

---

Audra Hartmann  
Principal  
SMITH, WATTS & HARTMANN  
925 L STREET, SUITE 220  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 446-5508  
AHartmann@SWMconsult.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Sarah Taheri  
SO. CALIF. PUBLIC POWER AUTHORITY  
915 L STREET, STE. 1410  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 440-0870  
STaheri@scppa.org  
For: Southern California Public Power Authority (SCPPA)

---

Freeman S. Hall  
SOLAR ELECTRIC SOLUTIONS, LLC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(310) 826-8510  
fhall@SolarElectricSolutions.com

Brandon Smithwood  
Mgr. - Calif. State Affairs  
SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 90000  
(978) 869-6845  
BSmithwood@seia.org

Cb Hall  
SONOMA CLEAN POWER  
50 SANTA ROSA AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR  
SANTA ROSA CA 95404  
(707) 890-8494  
cbhall@sonomacleanpower.org

Dale Roberts  
SONOMA COUNTY WATER AGENCY  
404 AVIATION BLVD.  
SANTA ROSA CA 95403  
(707) 547-1979  
dale.roberts@scwa.ca.gov

Case Administration  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
8631 RUSH STREET  
ROSEMEAD CA 91789  
(626) 302-4875  
case.admin@sce.com

Dawn Anaiscourt  
Dir - Cpuc Regulatory Affairs  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(415) 929-5518  
Dawn.anaiscourt@sce.com

Janet S. Combs, Esq.  
Sr. Attorney  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. / PO BOX 800  
ROSEMEAD CA 91770-3714  
(626) 302-1524  
janet.combs@sce.com

Katie Sloan  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, ROOM 800  
ROSEMEAD CA 91770  
katie.sloan@sce.com

Allison C. Smith  
Attorney  
STOEL RIVES LLP  
500 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 1600  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 319-4759  
allison.smith@stoel.com

Allison Smith  
Attorney  
STOEL RIVES LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(916) 319-4759  
allison.smith@stoel.com

Carey Morris  
Consultant, Contract Admin.  
TENASKA POWER SERVICES CO.  
2710 GATEWAY OAKS DR, STE 150N  
SACRAMENTO CA 95833  
(817) 891-4170  
CMorris@TNSK.com

Damon Franz  
Director - Policy & Electricity Markets  
TESLA, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(650) 339-6091  
DFranz@Tesla.com

Francesca Wahl  
Sr. Associate, Bus. Development  
TESLA, INC.  
444 DE HARO STREET, STE. 101  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94107  
(650) 435-0422  
FWahl@Tesla.com

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Eric Borden  
Energy Policy Analyst  
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK  
785 MARKET STREET, STE. 1400  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103  
(415) 929-8876 X320  
EBorden@turn.org

Robert D. Smith  
Vp, Transmission Planning & Develop  
TRANSCANYON, LLC  
400 EAST VAN BUREN ST., STE 350  
PHOENIX AZ 85004  
(602) 371-6909  
Robert.Smith@TransCanyon.com

Shelly-Ann Maye  
Regulatory Mgr.  
TRANSCANYON, LLC  
666 GRAND AVENUE, STE. 500  
DES MOINES CA 94000  
(515) 281-2206  
SMaye@BHETransmission.com  
For: TransCanyon

---

Kara Morgan  
TRANSWEST EXPRESS LLC  
555- 17TH STREET, STE. 2400  
DENVER CO 80202  
(303) 299-1342  
kara.morgan@tac-denver.com

Karen Palmer  
TRINITY PUBLIC UTILITIES DISTRICT  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
KPalmer@TrinityPud.com  
For: Trinity Public Utilities District

---

Paul Hauser  
TRINITY PUBLIC UTILITIES DISTRICT  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
PHauser@TrinityPud.com  
For: Trinity Public Utilities District

---

Stephen Holabaugh  
TRUCKEE DONNER PUBLIC UTILITIES DISTRICT  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
StephenHollabaugh@TDPUD.org  
For: Truckee Donner Public Utilities District

---

Wes Monier  
Strategic Issues And Planning Manager  
TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT  
333 EAST CANAL DRIVE, PO BOX 949  
TURLOCK CA 95381-0949  
(209) 883-8321  
fwmonier@tid.org  
For: Turlock Irrigation District

---

Cynthia Clark  
Manager, Wholesale Electricity Program  
UNIV. OF CALIF. - OFFICE OF THE PRES.  
1111 BROADWAY . SUITE 1450  
OAKLAND CA 94607  
(510) 287-3360  
Cynthia.Clark@ucop.edu

Don Kelly  
Exe. Dir.  
UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK  
3405 KENYON STREET, SUITE 401  
SAN DIEGO CA 92110  
(619) 696-6966  
Don@ucan.org

Jenele Davidson  
VICTORVILLE MUNICIPAL UTILITIES SERVICES  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
JDavidson@ci.victorville.ca.us  
For: Victorville Municipal Utilities Services

---

Regulatory Manager  
WELLHEAD ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.  
650 BERECUT DRIVE, STE. C  
SACRAMENTO CA 95811  
(916) 447-5171  
CPUCFilings@wellhead.com

Michael Boccadoro  
WEST COAST ADVISORS  
925 L STREET, SUITE 800  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 441-4383  
MBoccadoro@westcoastadvisors.com  
For: Agricultural Energy Consumers Association (AECA)

---

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST R1502020\*\*\*\*\*

Nancy Saracino  
Managing Attorney  
WESTERN ENERGY & WATER  
500 CAPITOL MALL, STE. 2350  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 877-8872  
NSaracino@WEAWLaw.com

Sarah Kozal  
Attorney  
WESTERN ENERGY & WATER  
500 CAPITOL MALL, STE. 2350  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 877-8872  
SKozal@WEAWLaw.com

Louise Dyble  
Attorney  
WINSTON & STRAWN  
101 CALIFORNIA STREET, STE. 3500  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 591-1000  
LDyble@Winston.com

Joseph M. Karp  
Attorney At Law  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
101 CALIFORNIA STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-5894  
(415) 591-1000  
jkarp@winston.com

Thomas W. Solomon  
Attorney At Law  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
101 CALIFORNIA STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 591-6809  
TSolomon@Winston.com

Kevin Woodruff  
WOODRUFF EXPERT SERVICES  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(916) 442-4877  
kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com

(End of Appearances)