



FILED
07/11/18
03:39 PM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) for authority to construct a San Elijo Lagoon Pedestrian Pathway underpass in the City of Solana Beach, County of San Diego.

Application 18-04-006

ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER'S SCOPING MEMO AND RULING

This scoping memo and ruling sets forth the category, issues to be addressed, and schedule of the proceeding pursuant to Public Utilities Code (Pub. Util. Code) § 1701.1 and Article 7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Procedural Background

On April 13, 2018, the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) applied for authorization from the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to construct a San Elijo Lagoon Pedestrian Pathway underpass (San Elijo Lagoon underpass) underneath two main line railroad tracks in the City of Solana Beach, California. The CPUC's Safety and Enforcement Division (SED) filed a response to SANDAG's application on May 16, 2018. A prehearing conference (PHC) was held on June 19, 2018 to discuss the issues of law and fact and determine the need for hearing and schedule for resolving the matter. After considering the application, response, and discussion at the PHC, the issues and schedule of the proceeding are as set forth in this scoping memo.

1. Issues

The issues to be determined are:

1. Whether the application meets all of the CPUC's requirements, including Rule 3.7, General Order 26-D, and other CPUC safety rules, procedures, and guidelines, such that the CPUC should grant SANDAG's application to construct the requested San Elijo Lagoon underpass.
2. What are the significant environmental impacts of the portion of the project before the CPUC?
3. What are the mitigation measures/alternatives that will eliminate or lessen the impacts of the part of the project before the CPUC?
4. Are any of the mitigation measures infeasible for specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations?
5. Are there any additional feasible mitigation measures within the CPUC's powers that would lessen or avoid the identified impacts?
6. Are there specific overriding considerations that merit approval notwithstanding the unavoidable environmental impacts of the portion of the project before the CPUC?
7. Has the Commission reviewed and considered the relevant portions of the Interstate 5 North Coast Corridor Project Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement?
8. Whether it is in the public interest to have a grade-separated crossing at the proposed location of the San Elijo Lagoon underpass.
9. Whether the CPUC should grant SANDAG a period of three years to complete the project from the date a final decision in this proceeding is issued.

2. Need for Evidentiary Hearing

There are no issues of material disputed fact. Accordingly, we change the preliminary determination in Resolution ALJ-176-3415 and find that an evidentiary hearing is not needed on these issues.

3. Schedule

As the application of SANDAG is unopposed, this matter is considered submitted. The proposed decision shall be filed no later than 90 days from today for public review and comment pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 311(d) except that, if it grants the uncontested requested relief, public review and comment shall be waived pursuant to Rule 14.6(c)(2).

4. Category of Proceeding/Ex Parte Restrictions

This ruling confirms the Commission's preliminary determination that this is a ratesetting proceeding in Resolution ALJ 176- 3415. Accordingly, *ex parte* communications are restricted and must be reported pursuant to Article 8 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

5. Oral Argument

Unless comment is waived pursuant to Rule 14.6(c)(2) for granting the uncontested relief requested, motion for oral argument shall be by no later than the time for filing comment on the proposed decision.

6. Public Outreach

Pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1711(a), the Commission sought the participation of those likely to be affected by this matter by noticing it in the Commission's monthly newsletter that is served on communities and businesses that subscribe to it and posted on the Commission's website.

7. Public Advisor

Any person interested in participating in this proceeding who is unfamiliar with the Commission's procedures or has questions about the electronic filing procedures is encouraged to obtain more information at <http://consumers.cpuc.ca.gov/pao/> or contact the Commission's Public

Advisor at 866-849-8390 or 415-703-2074 or 866-836-7825 (TYY), or send an e-mail to public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov.

8. Service of Documents on Commissioners and Their Personal Advisors

Rule 1.10 requires only electronic service on any person on the official service list, other than the administrative law judge (ALJ).

When serving documents on Commissioners or their personal advisors, whether or not they are on the official service list, parties must only provide electronic service. Parties must NOT send hard copies of documents to Commissioners or their personal advisors unless specifically instructed to do so.

9. Assignment of Proceeding

Carla J. Peterman is the assigned commissioner and Patrick Doherty is the assigned ALJ for the proceeding.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The scope of this proceeding is described above.
2. The schedule of this proceeding is as set forth above.
3. Evidentiary hearings are not needed.
4. The category of the proceeding is Ratesetting.

Dated July 11, 2018, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ CARLA J. PETERMAN

Carla J. Peterman
Assigned Commissioner