



SCR/avs 7/19/2018

**FILED**  
07/19/18  
02:55 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Review, Revise, and Consider Alternatives to the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment.

Rulemaking 17-06-026

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING  
NOTICING ORAL ARGUMENT**

Notice is hereby given that oral argument will be held in this proceeding on August 2, 2018 in the Commission's Auditorium from 1:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. This ruling also provides instructions that shall be filed by parties in order to prepare an agenda for the oral argument.

On April 24, 2018 Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (collectively, the Joint Utilities) filed a joint motion pursuant to Rule 13.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, requesting oral argument before the Commission in this proceeding. Rule 13.13(b) provides that in ratesetting proceedings such as this one, a party has the right to make an oral argument before the Commission. Rule 13.13(b) further provides that a quorum of the Commission shall be present at the oral argument, although a Commissioner may be present by teleconference to the extent permitted by the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act. The joint motion was timely filed by the Joint Utilities pursuant to Rule 13.13(b) and should be granted.

In order to prepare for the oral argument, the Joint Utilities are directed to host a conference call with parties in this proceeding for the purpose of mutually agreeing upon a proposed agenda for the oral argument. The proposed agenda should include specific time periods for each proposed agenda item, and should identify which parties will speak during each time period. The proposed time periods should also include time for questions from the Commissioners. In developing the agenda, parties should recall previous communications to the service list indicating that the assigned Commissioner expects the Phase 2 Proposed Decision (PD) to be issued by the end of July. That PD will likely be organized to follow the common briefing outline.

The Joint Utilities shall serve the proposed agenda on the service list for this proceeding no later than July 25, 2018. A subsequent ruling will be issued by the assigned Commissioner and/or the assigned Administrative Law Judge, either designating the proposed agenda as “final” or directing that a modified final version be followed by parties at the Oral Argument.

Therefore, **IT IS RULED** that:

1. The “Joint Motion of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company Requesting Oral Argument” in this proceeding is granted.
2. Oral argument in this proceeding shall take place on August 2, 2018 in the Commission Auditorium at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, from 1:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m.
3. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company are directed to host a conference call with parties in this proceeding for the purpose of mutually agreeing upon a proposed agenda for the oral argument, as specified in the body of this ruling.

4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall serve the mutually agreed upon agenda on the service list for this proceeding no later than July 25, 2018.

Dated July 19, 2018, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ STEPHEN C. ROSCOW

Stephen C. Roscow  
Administrative Law Judge