

Decision \_\_\_\_\_

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of 2013-2014 Energy Efficiency Programs and Budget. (U39M)	Application 12-07-001 (Filed July 02, 2012)
And Related Matters.	Application 12-07-002 Application 12-07-003 Application 12-07-004

**DECISION GRANTING COMPENSATION TO GLOBAL GREEN USA FOR SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO DECISION 13-09-044**

<b>Intervenor: Global Green USA</b>	<b>For contribution to Decision (D.) 13-09-044</b>
<b>Claimed: \$24,728.00</b>	<b>Awarded: \$22,909.00 (~7.36% reduction)</b>
<b>Assigned Commissioner: Carla J. Peterman</b>	<b>Assigned ALJ: Todd O. Edmister</b>

**PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES**

<b>A. Brief description of Decision:</b>	<p>This decision allocates \$65.9 million to launch energy efficiency (EE) financing pilot programs, with the long-term goal of expanding financing across all market sectors.</p> <p>This decision approves three residential pilot programs, all of which have a component to reach low-to-moderate income households. One program targets the single family market sector, one allows for On-Bill Repayment, and a third targets master- metered, multi-family buildings.</p> <p>This decision also authorizes three non-residential EE financing pilots, and expands On-Bill Repayment. Utilities are required to develop uniform OBR tariff language.</p> <p>This decision creates the California Hub for Energy Efficiency Financing (CHEEF), an administrative hub tasked with increasing the flow of private capital to energy</p>
--	--

	<p>efficiency projects.</p> <p>Finally, the decision extends the CPUC's 2013-2014 authorization funding and pilot programs through 2015.</p>
--	--

**B. Intervenor must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812:**

	Intervenor	CPUC Verified
<b>Timely filing of notice of intent to claim compensation (NOI) (§ 1804(a)):</b>		
1. Date of Prehearing Conference (PHC):	August 16, 2012	Verified.
2. Other specified date for NOI:	N/A	
3. Date NOI filed:	September 14, 2012	Verified.
4. Was the NOI timely filed?		Yes, Global Green USA timely filed the notice of intent.
<b>Showing of customer or customer-related status (§ 1802(b)):</b>		
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.12-07-001 et. al.	Verified.
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	January 4, 2013	Verified.
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	N/A	
8. Has the Intervenor demonstrated customer or customer-related status?		Yes, Global Green USA demonstrated proper status.
<b>Showing of "significant financial hardship" (§ 1802(g)):</b>		
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.12-07-001 et. al.	Verified.
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	January 4, 2013	Verified.
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	N/A	
12. Has the Intervenor demonstrated significant financial hardship?		Yes, Global Green USA demonstrated significant financial hardship.
<b>Timely request for compensation (§ 1804(c)):</b>		

13. Identify Final Decision:	D.13-09-044	Verified.
14. Date of issuance of Final Order or Decision:	September 19, 2013	Verified.
15. File date of compensation request:	November 18, 2013	Verified.
16. Was the request for compensation timely?		Yes, the request was timely filed.

**PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION**

**A. Did the Intervenor substantially contribute to the final decision (see § 1802(i), § 1803(a), and D.98-04-059).**

<b>Intervenor’s Claimed Contribution(s)</b>	<b>Specific References to Intervenor’s Claimed Contribution(s)</b>	<b>CPUC Discussion</b>
<p>1. Re-define and expand eligibility for multifamily properties in the master-metered multifamily finance pilot (MMMFP)</p> <p>Global Green argued its concern that the MMMFP should not require the proposed 25 projects to have a 200-unit average for the buildings. Global Green recommended that the MMMFP should instead reach 5,000 total units all to be located in 20+ unit buildings. Our analysis showed that designing the pilot in this manner would expand the amount of buildings reached and data collected, and would provide a better test model for the multifamily community at large, in line with the larger goal for these pilots.</p>	<p>Comments of Global Green USA (Global Green) on ALJ Ruling for Supplemental Information and Comments and Final Consultant Report on Energy Efficiency Financing Pilot Programs, Filed December 14, 2012 (hereinafter "<u>Dec. 14 Reply</u>"), at page 5-6: "Global Green argues the target sector for this pilot is too limited to generate the data needed to build out the program to reach the entire affordable multifamily housing sector and the larger multifamily housing market as a whole. With a goal of reaching 25 projects with an average of 200 individual units in each project, Global Green questions whether the Utilities will gain insight into the barriers and issues facing buildings with fewer than 20 units (which make up a significant portion of the eligible market sector). Global Green recommends that the targeted projects more closely reflect the actual market for master-metered affordable multifamily housing..." and further recommending that the target sector be expanded in order to generate more accurate data and gain insight.</p> <p>Comments of Global Green USA (Global Green) on proposed decision implementing 2013-2014 energy efficiency pilot program, Filed August 5, 2013 (hereinafter "<u>Aug. 5 Reply</u>"),</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>

	<p>at page 5-6 "Global Green recommends that MMMFP should reach 5,000 total units, the equivalent of 25 properties and a 200-unit average, all to be located in 20+ unit buildings. This equation means MMMFP will be simpler to operate, and does away with project goal numbers."</p> <p>D.13-09-044 Decision Implementing 2013-2014 Energy Efficiency Financing Pilot Programs, Issued September 19, 2013 (hereinafter "<u>D.13-09-044</u>"), at page 40: "On the other hand, some parties (e.g., LGSEC, Global Green, EHC) contend that the pilot is too narrow and should be expanded to other multifamily properties."</p> <p>D.13-09-044, at page 40: "We agree that a pilot focused on this particular property type has distinct advantages. Nonetheless, CHP, who will be implementing this pilot as 'pre-development,' supports Global Green's recommendation to reframe the target to "reaching 5000 units through properties with buildings of 20 or more units. This is a reasonable modification and we adopt it."</p>	
<p>2. Non-eligible energy efficiency measures (EEEMs) should be included in the total financeable EE loan, as a way to maximize the success of projects supported by the Finance Pilots.</p> <p>Global Green recommended that non-EEEMs should be included in the customer's financeable amount, at up to 30% of the total loan.</p>	<p>Dec. 14 Reply, at pages 8-9: "Global Green agrees that non-energy related (NEM) project costs should be included in the financeable amount," and would support a limit on NEMs if it was necessary for financial institutions (FIs) to offer affordable financing products.</p> <p>Aug. 5 Reply, at page 8: "Global Green concludes that the success of a project supported by the Finance Pilots will be maximized if non-EE upgrade components are included in the total financeable 'EE' loan," and supports the proposal that up to 30% of non- EEEMs may be included in</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>

	<p>funds eligible for CEs.</p> <p>D.13-09-044, at page 31: "Several parties (e.g., CHPC, PG&amp;E, Global Green, LGSEC, and EHC) agreed to a defined level of inclusion of non-EE measures in the total loan because customers are more likely to include EE financing as part of overall improvement projects. Many related improvements may support EE or be necessary to maximize the benefits of EE improvements (e.g., asbestos removal, concrete boiler pads)."</p> <p>D.13-09-044, at page 31: "We find that customers may be more likely to add EE projects while undertaking other improvement activities. Therefore, for purposes of the pilot period, the Commission finds it reasonable and adopts a requirement that authorized EE pilot program financing qualifying for CEs must apply a minimum of 70% of the funding to Eligible EE Measures (EEEMs). Therefore, financing eligible for CEs may include funds for non- EEEMs totaling up to 30% of the loan total."</p>	
<p>4. Flexibility is key. Credit enhancements and pilot programs should be designed to increase deal flow and data.</p> <p>Global Green recommended that the pilots not be bogged down with strict milestones that could hamper deal flow and lead to premature conclusions about the success of a pilot. The final decision should not specify exact rates or terms for the credit enhancements, and financial institutions should</p>	<p>Dec. 14 Reply, at page 10-11: "During this pilot period, Global Green recommends flexibility rather than overly prescriptive milestones.... It is critical that all stakeholders treat this as a pilot period and focus on generating deal flow and encouraging participation by financial institutions and targeted customers"</p> <p>D.13-09-044, at page 80: "CHPC and Global Green are reluctant to support strict performance metrics or benchmarks during the pilot period. Because these are pilot programs, we find that data collection and required reporting will provide most of the information to assure whether program</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>

<p>have the flexibility to offer terms that will increase deal flow.</p>	<p>participants, and the energy improvement projects, are sufficiently performing their functions."</p> <p>Dec. 14 Reply, at page 4: "Global Green does not, however, recommend the Commission specify exact rates or terms that must be met in order for the pre-qualified institutions to access the credit enhancement funds. Global Green's concern is the risk of dictating incorrect or unattractive terms, which could significantly limit participation. The financial institutions should have flexibility to offer financial products that will increase deal flow and encourage a variety of participants to benefit."</p> <p>D.13-09-044, at page 21: "There was broad agreement among parties that the decision should not specify exact terms for financial products in order for FIs to access CE funds... Instead, parties (e.g., PG&amp;E, Global Green, and CHF) agreed that the CHEEF should have flexibility within Commission guidelines, to avoid fixed restrictions that could limit new products and deal flow."</p> <p>D.13-09-044, at page 23: "However, we do not find that Commission approval of specific loan terms is practical. Instead, CAEATFA will develop, through its rulemaking process, lender service agreements (LSAs) with FIs which include, inter alia, a demonstration of how the lender will use the CEs to expand customer access or improve interest rates or terms."</p>	
--	---	--

**B. Duplication of Effort (§ 1801.3(f) and § 1802.5):**

	<b>Intervenor’s Assertion</b>	<b>CPUC Discussion</b>
<b>a. Was the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) a party to the proceeding?</b> <sup>1</sup>	Yes.	Verified.
<b>b. Were there other parties to the proceeding with positions similar to yours?</b>	Yes.	Verified.
<b>c. If so, provide name of other parties:</b> The positions of California Housing Partnership Corporation (CHPC) were most closely aligned with Global Green's positions regarding the affordable multifamily housing sector.  Pacific Gas & Electric, The Local Government Sustainable Energy Coalition, Alternative Power Capital, California Construction Industry Labor Management Cooperation Trust, CA Building Performance Contractors Association, California Center for Sustainable Energy, The Utility Reform Network, Bay Area Regional Energy Network, Greenlining Institute, Green for All, Ella Baker Center for Human Rights, Brightline Institute, Environmental Health Coalition, Natural Resources Defense Council, and California Center for Sustainable Energy also had similar positions on several issues.		Verified.
<b>d. Intervenor’s claim of non-duplication:</b> Global Green is a non-profit environmental organization committed to advocating for smart solutions to global warming, including the advancement of green affordable housing, schools, cities and communities. Global Green helped inform the official record and elicit an important exchange of ideas among parties beyond other ratepayer watchdog groups, namely ORA and TURN. Global Green sought to highlight the environmental impacts and benefits to be realized with energy efficiency.  Global Green avoided duplication with other parties in this proceeding by focusing and limiting comments on matters affecting affordable multifamily housing (specifically the MMMFP pilot) and schools. Global Green also avoided duplication by working closely with parties holding positions similar to its own. Specifically, Global Green worked closely with CHPC on issues impacting the affordable multifamily housing sector. When appropriate, Global Green contacted CHPC to discuss and compare positions, recommendations, and concerns on key issues and to exchange drafts prior to filing comments in order to reduce		Verified. We agree that Global Green USA coordinated with other parties and avoided unnecessary duplication.

<sup>1</sup> The Division of Ratepayer Advocates was renamed the Office of Ratepayer Advocates effective September 26, 2013, pursuant to Senate Bill No. 96 (Budget Act of 2013: public resources), which was approved by the Governor on September 26, 2013.

<p>the potential for duplication of effort. When Global Green agreed with certain comments and recommendations offered by CHPC, Global Green referenced such comments in its own filings, rather than restating them. Global Green actively sought to supplement and complement CHPC's work and limited comments on issues when they did not offer a different perspective from those provided by CHPC.</p> <p>Global Green was also the only party to specifically represent the interests of schools. As discussed further in Part III.A.a, Global Green has extensive policy and technical experience in this area. Schools are a unique and important customer whose views, absent Global Green's participation, were otherwise absent from the proceeding. Global Green provided analysis and information about the environmental, educational, health, and economic benefits associated with energy efficiency in schools.</p> <p>In such an ambitious proceeding that involved many stakeholders, however, some duplication was unavoidable. Global Green at times supported and reiterated the positions of other parties. This inevitable duplication should not necessarily result in a reduction of Global Green's compensation claim. In those instances, Global Green sought to emphasize and bolster particular positions, concerns, or recommendations by offering additional facts, perspective, or authority (legal, regulatory, or from CPUC guidance) in support of those comments. Therefore, Global Green respectfully requests a finding that any duplication served to materially supplement, complement or contribute to the showing of another party and, therefore, is fully compensable under Public Utilities Code Section 1802.5.</p>	
--	--

**C. Additional Comments on Part II:**

#	Intervenor's Comment	CPUC Discussion
	<p>Although D.13-09-044 did not ultimately incorporate or expressly attribute all of Global Green's recommendations or proposed strategies, Global Green submits that its input from an environmental perspective and all of its arguments contributed to the development of a more robust discussion about energy efficiency in the affordable multifamily and schools sectors. Global Green believes its participation provided information that allowed the Commission and other stakeholders to consider the full range of positions and concerns, thereby assisting the Commission's informed judgment based on a more complete record. Therefore, Global Green submits that recommendations or proposed strategies that were not ultimately adopted merit compensation in addition to those that were adopted.</p>	<p>Verified.</p>

**PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION**

**A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§ 1801 and § 1806):**

<b>a. Intervenor’s claim of cost reasonableness:</b>	<b>CPUC Discussion</b>
<p>Global Green's involvement in this proceeding was limited to focusing on issues affecting its two primary constituencies: affordable multifamily housing and K-12 schools. The interests represented in the proceeding were not solely economic and are therefore more difficult to calculate. However, given the potential ratepayer base to benefit, the total benefits will vastly exceed Global Green's modest costs of participation.</p> <p>As indicated in Global Green's comments, there are 9,903 public schools in California and 71% of the State's 303,399 classrooms are over 25 years old, 30% are 50 or more years old, and 10% are 70 or more years old. A significant portion of the classroom stock predates the California Energy Code adopted in 1978. We are disappointed that the final decision did not include specific recommendations for the school sector, or any part of the Municipality, University, School, and Hospital (MUSH) sector, as this sector has enormous potential for energy efficiency improvements and greenhouse gas reduction savings. However, our analysis helped move the conversation forward, and will hopefully inform future proceedings that involve schools. Greater energy efficiency in these sectors is critical to helping California meet greenhouse gas reduction goals under AB 32, the Global Warming Solutions Act and AB 758, the Comprehensive Energy Efficiency in Existing Buildings program.</p> <p>In terms of the affordable multifamily rental housing sector, California multifamily households account for about 1/3 of all housing energy demand. In addition, renters represent 42% of California households, approximately 1/3 (or 4 million) of which qualify for low-income energy efficiency programs. The decision approved financing pilots that can be expected to result in bill savings and improved health and safety for affordable multifamily housing residents and the wider community.</p> <p>Global Green provided unique expertise as its position and recommendations were based on over 19 years of advancing the design, construction, operation and maintenance of green affordable housing to lower utility bills and create healthy housing for those in need. In addition, Global Green launched the Green Schools Initiative in 2004 with an aim to build and upgrade schools to be healthier and more energy efficient. These efforts have helped more than 100,000 students and teachers in CA thrive in high-performance schools by lowering energy bills, improving test scores, and reducing the occurrence of various health ailments triggered by environmental pollution.</p>	<p>Verified.</p>

<p>Global Green contributed to the development of a more complete record and ultimately a more informed decision that will allow utilities to design energy efficiency programs and financing mechanisms that will result in energy savings, lower costs for customers, and lower greenhouse gas emissions. For all these reasons, the Commission should find the cost of Global Green's participation to be reasonable in relation to the benefits to be realized.</p>	
<p><b>b. Reasonableness of hours claimed:</b></p> <p>Global Green made a concerted effort to employ policies to maximize efficiency and minimize cost. Staff recorded hours down to the nearest decimal and did not claim ongoing timekeeping related to this compensation claim, which is time-consuming.</p> <p>In addition, the hours claimed for Global Green's participation in this proceeding are reasonable considering the unique perspective Global Green advocated and its efforts to reduce duplication internally and by collaborating with CHPC.</p> <p>Global Green made significant effort to divide the workload efficiently among staff to avoid undue duplication. Ellen Luu was largely responsible for reviewing party comments, conducting substantive research and interviews with outside stakeholders, offering strategy recommendations, coordinating with other parties, and drafting comments in consultation with Gina Goodhill Rosen and Mary Luevano in 2012. Marc Baranov was largely responsible for conducting research, coordinating with other parties, and drafting comments in consultation with Gina Goodhill Rosen and Mary Luevano in 2013. Gina Goodhill Rosen and Mary Luevano were largely responsible for reviewing comments and providing expertise on substantive issues such as energy efficiency policies, goals, and strategies. Ted Bardacke and Walker Wells provided expertise based on over 25 combined years working on greening schools and affordable multifamily housing. Although there were about 20 hours during which 2 or more members of our staff participated on a telephone conference or discussion, this does not constitute an overlap because each member had a specific expertise to bring to the conference or discussion. Also, when any staff "reviewed" comments, this involved providing detailed comments on substantive policies and strategy, providing clarity on Global Green's position and recommendations. This process added significant value to the comments provided for the Commission and the record.</p> <p>Finally, the rates requested are conservative on the ranges approved by the CPUC, even though years of expertise on these issues may justify higher rates. The reason for this conservative approach is that this is Global Green's first time participating in a CPUC proceeding and Global Green anticipated participation would take longer than for more experienced intervenors.</p>	<p>Verified, <i>but see</i> Part III.D, CPUC Disallowances and Adjustments.</p>

In summary, Global Green's work was efficient, the claimed hours conservative, and the billing rates low. For all these reasons, the Commission should find Global Green's efforts to be reasonable and award compensation for the full amount requested.			
<b>c. Allocation of hours by issue:</b>			Verified.
<b>Key</b>	<b>Issue</b>	<b>% of Time Spent</b>	
A	Energy efficiency in the Municipality, University, School, and Hospital (MUSH) Sector.	1%	
B	Energy efficiency in multifamily affordable housing.	0%	
C	New energy efficiency financing in the Municipality, University, School, and Hospital (MUSH) Sector.	22%	
D	New energy efficiency financing in multifamily affordable housing and/or the Master-Metered Multifamily Finance Pilot (MMMFP).	24%	
E	New energy efficiency financing related to Eligible Energy Efficiency Measures (EEEMs).	16%	
F	General Matters/Multiple Issues - Work related to review, analysis and preparing comments involving multiple issues	25%	
G	Preparation of intervenor compensation forms	12%	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	

**B. Specific Claim:\***

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD		
ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total	Hours	Rate	Total
Mary Luevano (Policy Expert)	2012	10.9 [1]	\$180	See Comment #1	\$1,962	3.1	\$180.00 <i>See</i> D.14-03-019	\$558.00
Mary Luevano (Policy Expert)	2013			See Comment #1		7.0	\$185.00 [2]	\$1,295.00
Walker Wells	2012	3.5	\$180	See Comment # 2 below	\$630	3.5	\$180.00 <i>See</i>	\$630.00

(Schools and Multifamily Housing Expert)							D.14-03-019.	
Ted Bardacke (Schools and Multifamily Housing Expert)	2012	4.6	\$180	See Comment # 3 below	\$828	2.2	\$180.00 <i>See</i> D.14-03-019.	\$396.00
Ted Bardacke (Schools and Multifamily Housing Expert)	2013			See Comment # 3 below		1.4	\$185.00 [3]	\$259.00
Gina Goodhill Rosen (Policy Analyst)	2012			See Comment # 4 below		12.1	\$95.00 <i>See</i> D.14-03-019.	\$1,149.50
Gina Goodhill Rosen (Policy Analyst)	2013	33.6	\$130	See Comment # 4 below	\$4,368	21.5	\$95.00 [4]	\$2,042.50
Ellen Luu (Policy and Legislative Affairs Fellow)	2012	61.8	\$120	See Comment # 5 below	\$7,416	61.8	\$120.00 <i>See</i> D.14-03-019.	\$7,416.00
Marc Baranov (Policy and Legislative Affairs Fellow)	2013	67.2	\$120	See Comment # 6 below	\$8,064	67.2	120.00 [5]	\$8,064.00
<b>Subtotal: \$ 23,268</b>						<b>Subtotal: \$ 21,810.00</b>		

<b>INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM PREPARATION **</b>								
<b>Item</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Hours</b>	<b>Rate \$</b>	<b>Basis for Rate*</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Hours</b>	<b>Rate</b>	<b>Total</b>
Gina Goodhill Rosen (Policy Analyst)	2013	20.8	\$65	Time spent on claim preparation is compensable at ½ of normal hourly rate.	\$1,352	20.8	\$47.50	\$988.00
Mary Luevano	2013	1	\$90	Time spent on claim preparation is compensable at ½ of normal hourly rate.	\$108	1.2 [6]	\$92.50	\$111.00
<b>Subtotal: \$1,460</b>						<b>Subtotal: \$1,099.00</b>		
<b>TOTAL REQUEST: \$24,728</b>						<b>TOTAL AWARD: \$22,909.00</b>		
<p>**We remind all intervenors that Commission staff may audit their records related to the award and that intervenors must make and retain adequate accounting and other documentation to support all claims for intervenor compensation. Intervenors' records should identify specific issues for which it seeks compensation, the actual time spent by each employee or consultant, the applicable hourly rates, fees paid to consultants and any other costs for which compensation was claimed. The records pertaining to an award of compensation shall be retained for at least three years from the date of the final decision making the award.</p> <p>**Travel and Reasonable Claim preparation time typically compensated at ½ of preparer's normal hourly rate</p>								
<b>ATTORNEY INFORMATION</b>								
<b>Attorney</b>	<b>Date Admitted to CA BAR<sup>2</sup></b>		<b>Member Number</b>		<b>Actions Affecting Eligibility (Yes/No?) If "Yes", attach explanation</b>			
Ellen Luu	December 04, 2009		267623		No.			
Marc Baranov	December 01, 2008		258139		No.			

<sup>2</sup> This information may be obtained through the State Bar of California's website at <http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/MemberSearch/QuickSearch>.

**C. Attachments Documenting Specific Claim and Comments on Part III**

Comment #	Intervenor’s Comment(s)
1.	<p>Mary Luevano, Policy &amp; Legislative Affairs Director: Ms. Luevano has worked at Global Green for over 16 years. She oversees policy and legislative initiatives related to green building, clean energy and climate change in addition to advising on a range of other policy issues for Global Green. Some key policy successes include overseeing Global Green's role as lead sponsor on Energy Efficiency in Existing Buildings legislation (AB 758-Skinner), drafting and advocating for language in Section 38565 (low income community revenue stream) of California's Global Warming Law (AB 32-Nunez-Pavley), advocating for a \$100 million set aside for green schools (Prop 1D approved by voters in 2006), and advocating for an affordable housing set aside in the California Solar Initiative (AB 2723-Pavley).</p> <p>Ms. Luevano works to educate decision makers and champion model policies in the areas of green affordable housing, green schools, green municipal buildings, climate solutions for low-income communities and solar and other renewable energy technologies. Much of the work focuses on the needs of low-income communities and crafting policies that engage new constituencies in the fight against climate change.</p> <p>Resolution ALJ-281 adopted Intervenor rates for 2012. The rate range for experts with 13+ years of experience is \$160-\$400. Global Green submits that based on this approved range and a comparison of rates claimed by other intervenor representatives with similar experience, such as Cheryl Carter or Audrey Chang at NRDC, an hourly rate of \$180 is conservative and appropriate. Global Green reserves the right to seek a different rate for Ms. Luévano's work in the future.</p>
2.	<p>Walker Wells, Green Urbanism Program Director: Mr. Wells has been with Global Green for over 14 years. He works with building industry stakeholders, affordable housing developers, and municipalities to further sustainable development practices, primarily through developing and implementing viable and cost-effective green building practices and programs.</p> <p>His expertise includes facilitation of green building charrettes for buildings and neighborhoods; coordinating integrated design processes; conducting training workshops; developing local, state, and federal green building and energy policy recommendations; project management; and presenting and moderating sessions at regional and national housing, community development, solar, green building, and urban planning conferences.</p> <p>Mr. Wells’ recent projects include overseeing a LEED ND technical assistance effort with Mercy Housing for the Sunnydale public housing development in San Francisco and with the Los Angeles Housing Authority for the Jordan Downs public housing development in Los Angeles; managing a four-year contract with the California Energy Commission to support the design and implementation of two multi-family affordable housing projects to able to meet all the annual electricity needs through</p>

	<p>on-site generation; and coordinating with the Planning Department, Mayor’s Office, several City Council offices, Department of Building and Safety, and Department of Water and Power to develop and assist in adoption of the City’s landmark green building ordinance.</p>
<p>3.</p>	<p>Ted Bardacke, Green Urbanism Senior Program Associate: Mr. Bardacke had been with Global Green for nearly a decade and his experience on environmental issues goes back to 1994. He works with affordable housing developers, public agencies, school districts, and design and construction industry professionals to advance the sustainability of the urban environment.</p> <p>From 2004 to 2010, Mr. Bardacke worked with two non-profit affordable housing developers to develop Zero Energy Affordable Housing under a contract from the California Energy Commission – the first 56 units, called Solara, opened in 2007 and the next 42 units, called Los Vecinos, opened in 2009. He also provided sustainable design assistance and program implementation support to the \$19 billion construction program of the Los Angeles Unified School District and actively participated in planning post-Katrina rebuilding efforts in the Gulf Coast, including the development of sustainability and green building criteria for New Orleans’ Recovery School District, funded by the Bush Clinton Katrina Foundation. As a LEED for Homes Green Rater, he has assisted project teams in the certification of over 500 units of LEED certified affordable housing, including Habitat for Humanity’s 2007 Jimmy Carter Work Project and the USGBC’s 2009 Multifamily Project of the Year.</p> <p>Mr. Bardacke has combined his design skills with his financial knowledge to develop pilot programs, funded by the Ford Foundation and the Walton Family Foundation, that use energy efficiency and complex financial tools to fund grassroots community development projects in economically distressed and polluted urban areas.</p> <p>Resolution ALJ-281 adopted Intervenor rates for 2012. The rate range for experts with 13+ years of experience is \$160-\$400. Global Green submits that based on this approved range and a comparison of rates claimed by other intervenor representatives with similar experience, an hourly rate of \$180 is conservative and appropriate. Global Green reserves the right to seek a different rate for Mr. Bardacke’s work in the future.</p>
<p>4.</p>	<p>Gina Goodhill Rosen: Ms. Goodhill Rosen is a Policy Associate at Global Green USA with over six years of experience as an environmental advocate and over three years of experience as an advocate for green schools and affordable multifamily housing. She has submitted oral testimony and written recommendations to government agencies, including the California Energy Commission, about the importance of energy efficiency in buildings. She has also spent over two years working on the implementation of California’s statewide energy efficiency law, AB 758, which is closely tied to the CPUC’s work on energy efficiency.</p> <p>Resolution ALJ-281 adopted Intervenor rates for 2012. The rate range for experts with up to six years of experience is \$130-\$190. Global Green submits that based on</p>

	<p>this approved range and a comparison of rates claimed by other intervenor representatives with similar experience, such as Megan Kirkeby at CHPC, an hourly rate of \$130 is conservative and appropriate. Global Green divided this hourly rate in half for time spent preparing Intervenor forms. Global Green reserves the right to seek a different rate for Ms. Goodhill Rosen’s work in the future.</p>
5.	<p>Ellen Luu: Ms. Luu was a Policy and Legislative Affairs Fellow at Global Green USA. She graduated from the University of California, Hastings College of the Law and is a member of the California State Bar. She served as a legal intern to Commissioners Chong and Ryan from 2009 to 2010 working on proceedings involving Smart Grid deployment, electric vehicle deployment, renewable portfolio standards compliance, and transmission siting and environmental review. She has over three years of experience as a practicing attorney.</p> <p>Resolution ALJ-281 adopted Intervenor rates for 2012. The rate range for attorneys with up to two years of experience adopted is \$155-\$210. The rate range for experts with up to six years of experience is \$130-\$190. Global Green submits that based on this approved range and a comparison of rates claimed by other intervenor representatives with similar experience and a legal background, such as Ryan Young at the Greenlining Institute or Eddie Ahn at Brightline Defense Project, an hourly rate of \$120 is conservative and appropriate. Global Green divided this hourly rate in half for time spent preparing Intervenor forms. Global Green reserves the right to seek a different rate for Ms. Luu's work in the future.</p>
6	<p>Marc Baranov: Mr. Baranov was a Policy and Legislative Affairs Fellow at Global Green USA. He graduated from the Southwest University School of Law in May 2008 and is member of the California State Bar. He served as a Law Clerk to the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office and for Counsel to Los Angeles Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa in 2006, and as an Associate Attorney from 2009-2011 to Carpenter Rothans &amp; Dumont.</p> <p>Resolution ALJ-281 adopted Intervenor rates for 2012. The rate range for attorneys with up to two years of experience adopted is \$155-\$210. The rate range for experts with up to six years of experience is \$130-\$190. Global Green submits that based on this approved range and a comparison of rates claimed by other intervenor representatives with similar experience and a legal background, such as Ryan Young at the Greenlining Institute or Eddie Ahn at Brightline Defense Project, an hourly rate of \$120 is conservative and appropriate. Global Green divided this hourly rate in half for time spent preparing Intervenor forms. Global Green reserves the right to seek a different rate for Mr. Baranov's work in the future.</p>

**D. Commission Disallowances and Adjustments:**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Reason</b>
1.	Global Green USA’s request for intervenor compensation combined hours worked for both 2012 and 2013. The Commission separated the hours claimed by year, and adjusted the table accordingly.
2.	The Commission adopted a 2% cost-of-living adjustment in Resolution ALJ-287. The Commission, after applying the adjustment to Luevano’s 2012 rate and rounding to the nearest five-dollar increment, sets Luevano’s 2013 rate at \$185.

3.	The Commission adopted a 2% cost-of-living adjustment in Resolution ALJ-287. The Commission, after applying the adjustment to Bardacke's 2012 rate and rounding to the nearest five-dollar increment, sets Bardacke's 2013 rate at \$185.
4.	The Commission adopted a 2012 rate of \$95 for Rosen in D.14-03-019. The Commission, after applying the cost-of-living adjustment found in Resolution ALJ-287 to Rosen's 2012 rate and rounding to the nearest five-dollar increment, sets Rosen's 2013 rate at \$95.
5.	Based on the submitted resume, Baranov's 2013 rate is set at \$120.
6.	According to the submitted timesheet, Luevano spent 1.2 hours in 2013 on claim preparation.

#### PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS

**Within 30 days after service of this Claim, Commission Staff or any other party may file a response to the Claim (see § 1804(c))**

<b>A. Opposition: Did any party oppose the Claim?</b>	No.
<b>B. Comment Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived (see Rule 14.6(c)(6))?</b>	Yes.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Global Green USA has made a substantial contribution to D.13-09-044.
2. The requested hourly rates for Global Green USA's representatives, as adjusted herein, are comparable to market rates paid to experts and advocates having comparable training and experience and offering similar services.
3. The claimed costs and expenses, as adjusted herein, are reasonable and commensurate with the work performed.
4. The total of reasonable compensation is \$22,909.00.

#### CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The Claim, with any adjustment set forth above, satisfies all requirements of Public Utilities Code §§ 1801-1812.

#### **ORDER**

1. Global Green USA is awarded \$22,909.00.

2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Gas Company, and Southern California Edison Company shall pay Global Green USA their respective shares of the award, based on their California-jurisdictional energy revenues for the 2012 calendar year, to reflect the year in which the proceeding was primarily litigated. Payment of the award shall include compound interest at the rate earned on prime, three-month non-financial commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning February 1, 2014, the 75th day after the filing of Global Green USA's request, and continuing until full payment is made.

2. The comment period for today's decision is waived.

This decision is effective today.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_, at San Francisco, California.

## APPENDIX

## Compensation Decision Summary Information

<b>Compensation Decision:</b>		<b>Modifies Decision?</b>	
<b>Contribution Decision(s):</b>	D1309044		
<b>Proceeding(s):</b>	A1207001, A1207002, A1207003 and A1207004		
<b>Author:</b>	ALJ Edmister		
<b>Payer(s):</b>	Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Gas Company, and Southern California Edison Company		

## Intervenor Information

<b>Intervenor</b>	<b>Claim Date</b>	<b>Amount Requested</b>	<b>Amount Awarded</b>	<b>Multiplier?</b>	<b>Reason Change/Disallowance</b>
Global Green USA	11/18/2013	\$24,728.00	\$22,909.00	N/A	See Part III.D. above.

## Advocate Information

<b>First Name</b>	<b>Last Name</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Intervenor</b>	<b>Hourly Fee Requested</b>	<b>Year Hourly Fee Requested</b>	<b>Hourly Fee Adopted</b>
Mary	Luevano	Expert	Global Green USA	\$180.00	2012	\$180.00
Mary	Luevano	Expert	Global Green USA	\$180.00	2013	\$185.00
Walker	Wells	Expert	Global Green USA	\$180.00	2012	\$180.00
Ted	Bardacke	Expert	Global Green USA	\$180.00	2012	\$180.00
Ted	Bardacke	Expert	Global Green USA	\$180.00	2013	\$185.00
Gina	Goodhill Rosen	Analyst	Global Green USA	\$130.00	2012	\$95.00
Gina	Goodhill Rosen	Analyst	Global Green USA	\$130.00	2013	\$95.00
Ellen	Luu	Fellow /Attorney	Global Green USA	\$120.00	2012	\$120.00
Marc	Baranov	Fellow / Attorney	Global Green USA	\$120.00	2013	\$120.00

(END OF APPENDIX)