



**2016 Update**

# **Safety Action Plan and Regulatory Strategy**

## **Implementation of the Safety Policy Statement**

**Staff Recommendation for Commission's Consideration**

## Introduction

In 2003, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), the California Energy Commission, and the California Power Authority adopted an Energy Action Plan that articulated a single, unified approach to meeting California's post-energy crisis electricity and natural gas needs. That plan had an enormous impact – it represented the first time the energy agencies adopted a common, unified approach to furthering California's energy policy goals. ~~Because of the passage of Assembly Bill 32, California's Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 and many other pieces of legislation such as the recently adopted Senate Bill 350, California's Clean Energy & Pollution Reduction Act of 2015 and also Senate Bill 327, California's Residential Electricity Rate Reform Act of 2013,~~ Although the Energy Action Plan is no longer being updated, ~~although~~ the foundation it established continues to drive and define California's energy industry to this day.

~~Similar to the Energy Action Plan, in~~ In 2015 the CPUC adopted its first ever Safety Action Plan. This Safety Action Plan followed the Safety Policy Statement that the CPUC adopted in 2014,<sup>1</sup> which clarified the CPUC's overall safety strategy.

~~As with the 2015 Action Plan, this~~ The 2016 Safety Action Plan ~~update and its associated's~~ s action items are made with the intent to fully integrate safety in all aspects of our work, and are based on the following four functional areas or safety management "pillars"," which were developed in the 2015 Safety Action Plan:

Ultimately, we are striving to achieve a goal of zero accidents and injuries across all the utilities and businesses we regulate, and within our own workplace.  
- CPUC Safety Policy Statement

- I. ~~Safety Promotion: Communication, collaboration and outreach and the~~ Policy: Commission decision-making and development of ~~a proactive safety culture both within the Commission and in regulated entities.~~ rules and regulations
- II. **Safety Compliance and Enforcement:** Audit, investigation and penalty assessment activities
- III. **Risk Management:** Risk assessment and risk mitigation strategies
- IV. ~~Safety Policy: Commission decision-making and~~ Promotion: Communication, collaboration and outreach and the development of ~~rules and regulations~~ a proactive safety culture both within the Commission and in regulated entities.

In the 2015 Safety Action Plan, the CPUC committed to eight action items with twelve deliverables and all have been completed.<sup>2</sup> These efforts were initiated with the idea that taking safety actions offers a

<sup>1</sup> ~~<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/puc/>. The safety policy statement can be found on the~~ The Safety Policy Statement may be found at: [http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC\\_website\\_under \"special interest\"\\_Public\\_Website/Content/Safety/VisionZero4Final621014\\_5\\_2.pdf](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_website_under_\)

<sup>2</sup> ~~[http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/safety/Safety\\_Policy\\_and\\_Action\\_Plan.htm](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/safety/Safety_Policy_and_Action_Plan.htm). This link directs you to the~~ The 2015 Safety Action Plan ~~& its twelve deliverables~~ may be found at: [http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC\\_Public\\_Website/SafetyActionPlanRegulatoryStrategyFeb12FINAL.pdf](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_Public_Website/SafetyActionPlanRegulatoryStrategyFeb12FINAL.pdf)

good way to develop a safety culture. The action items were primarily focused on improving the CPUC's Safety & Enforcement Division (SED).

In this 2016 Safety Action Plan update, the action items are primarily focused on the rest of the organization. The purpose behind the Safety Policy Statement and the Safety Action Plans is to improve the agency's safety record and safety culture as a whole and, by doing so, improve the safety record and safety culture of regulated industries as well. [Just as the Energy Action Plan created a foundation to guide energy policy at the Commission, we hope that the Safety Action Plan will provide a solid foundation for safety.](#)

As we continue to improve the agency's effectiveness we will continue to strive for the safest possible operations from California's investor-owned utilities. Our success in these many initiatives will be measured by the ability of Californians to enjoy safe, affordable, sustainable, and reliable utility service, today and far into the future. Research has shown that a safety culture produces safety more reliably than either compliance or sanctioning culture. Development of a proactive safety culture in a regulatory agency with major enforcement responsibilities – which privilege a compliance approach – will present complex challenges for the CPUC.

## 2016 Action Plan

In this section, we recommend ~~seven~~<sup>eight</sup> action items to further improve the effectiveness of the Commission's safety regulation. Our goal is to leverage our implementation of existing laws, rules, general orders, and regulations so as to continue the momentum of our ongoing safety activities and to push towards a safety culture. While these action items are not exhaustive, they are the next phase of our trajectory of safety excellence.

The ~~six~~<sup>eight</sup> near-term action items are as follows:

1. Utility Pole En Banc (~~Safety~~ ~~Promotion~~<sup>Policy</sup>)
2. ~~Administrative Law Judge Training (Safety Policy)~~
3. ~~Industry Division Staff Training (Safety Policy)~~
4. ~~Reorganization of Safety & Enforcement Division (Safety Compliance and Enforcement)~~
5. ~~Staff Reports on Safety-Related Expenditures Made by Utilities (Risk Management)~~
6. ~~2-Safety Intervenor Workshop (Safety Promotion)~~
3. ~~Staff Reports on Safety-Related Expenditures (Safety Compliance and Enforcement)~~
4. ~~Reorganization of Safety & Enforcement Division (Safety Compliance and Enforcement)~~
5. ~~Value Model Workshop (Risk Management)~~
6. ~~Administrative Law Judge Training (Safety Policy)~~
7. ~~Industry Division Staff Training (Safety Policy)~~
7. ~~8-Advanced Safety Seminar (Safety~~ ~~Policy~~<sup>Promotion</sup>)
8. ~~9-Building Strong Safety Capacity Through Collaboration and Coordination With Other State Agencies (Safety~~ ~~Policy~~<sup>Promotion</sup>)

Each action item has an assigned lead accountable for its completion, and a specific completion date.

## **Safety Policy Activities**

The Safety Policy functional area of safety management includes Commission’s decision-making activities, such as creating and modifying General Orders, considering Applications by regulated entities and otherwise providing direction to industry and Commission staff. Action items from the 2015 Safety Action Plan were:

1. Formalizing Safety Advocacy and Advisory Roles
2. Requiring Applicants to Include Relevant Safety Information

In addition to the activities begun in 2015, the Commission will hold an en banc on utility poles designed to better understand the current best practices around the country as well as ensuring that California’s policies remain up to date. Additionally, the Commission will add two new training tracks for staff in 2016. The intent of these training sessions are to better understand risk-based decision making and evaluate the safety impacts of regulatory proposals presented in rates or other capital investment applications. Both trainings will be designed to minimize the abstraction of the material and ensure that the training is relevant to the work staff do every day. These trainings will be offered in 2016, and repeated as often as the leads and the Executive Director deem necessary.

### **Action Item 1 - Utility Pole En Banc**

In recent years, California has experienced blackouts and wildfires associated with utility poles, including the 2007 Fire Siege, sparked by downed electrical lines, and the extended blackout associated with the 2011 windstorm. The Commission’s Policy & Planning Division in 2014 also published a paper on utility poles giving a brief overview of the rules applicable to utility poles, summarized recent events and Commission proceedings involving utility poles, and recommended opportunities for additional Commission action to protect safety and continuity of service in California.<sup>3</sup> Continuing the Commission’s focus on this issue, the Commission will hold a day-long en banc in 2016 to explore safety issues associated with utility poles, including such issues as pole overloading, engineering and technical concerns, coordination between pole owners and attachers, and inspections.

- *The Policy and Planning Division Director is the lead responsible for ensuring that this en banc takes place during the first quarter of 2016.*

### **Action Item 2 - Administrative Law Judge Training**

The Commission’s Administrative Law Judges represent many different professional backgrounds, including but not limited to law, finance, government, and industry. In order to ensure that all Administrative Law Judges are in the best position to evaluate the safety impacts of proposals made in rulemakings, investigations, and adjudications, the Commission will provide training on recognizing potential safety impacts and ensuring full evidentiary record development. The training will be mandatory.

---

<sup>3</sup>The Utility Pole staff paper may be found at:

- *The Chief Administrative Law Judge is the lead and will engage the resources of Policy & Planning Division to assist in planning this training session. This training will take place by third quarter 2016.*

### **Action Item 3 - Industry Divisions Staff Training**

Similar to the Administrative Law Judge training, the staff training will better equip staff in the Industry Divisions – Energy, Communications, and Water – and the Consumer Assistance Branch to recognize and analyze the safety impacts in work not necessarily related to rulemakings, such as resolutions, daily program administration, complaints, and legislative bill analysis. This training will be tailored to match the responsibilities of each Division or Branch, and will be mandatory.

- *The Directors of Communications and Water Divisions are the leads and responsible for ensuring that the training takes place by the third quarter 2016. All Industry Divisions will take part in this training and assist accordingly with the organization.*

### **Safety Compliance and Enforcement Activities**

The Safety Compliance and Enforcement functional area of safety management includes actions and mechanisms to ensure adherence to safety rules and policies, as well as specifications for identifying and correcting violations of those rules. The Commission staff performs several hundred audits and investigations a year, which lead to enforcement actions ranging from staff citation to formal Commission adjudicatory proceedings. The Safety Compliance and Enforcement action items from the 2015 Safety Action Plan were:

1. [Implementing Enforcement Case Tracking and Program Performance Reporting](#)
2. [Developing an Enforcement Policy and Delegating Authority to Staff](#)

### **Action Item 4 – Reorganization of Safety & Enforcement Division**

Currently, [Safety and Enforcement Division \(SED\)](#) is the largest Division in the Commission with over 250 staff and programs spanning utility, telecommunication, rail and transportation industries. Despite its name, not all programs that are currently housed in SED are safety related. Specifically, there are two programs with a primary focus on consumer protection: utility enforcement branch and transportation enforcement branch.

Utility and transportation enforcement programs investigate alleged or apparent violations of the Public Utilities Code, other state laws, and Commission regulations by stationary utilities (telephone, cellular, electric, gas, and water), household goods carriers (moving companies) transporting household goods within California, and Passenger Carriers. These investigations typically involve consumer fraud, false or misleading advertising, bait-and-switch tactics, unfair and unlawful business practices, and unsafe or uninsured operations. When sufficient evidence of violations is uncovered, the Special Agents

---

[http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC\\_Public\\_Website/Content/About\\_Us/Organization/Divisions/Policy\\_and\\_Planning/PPD\\_Work/PPDUtilityPole.pdf](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/CPUC_Public_Website/Content/About_Us/Organization/Divisions/Policy_and_Planning/PPD_Work/PPDUtilityPole.pdf)

of the Enforcement Branch usually have a wide variety of remedies from which to choose, in three general categories: administrative, criminal, and civil.

In 2016, these two programs will be moved out of SED and merged with current Consumer Information and Services Division (CSID) to create a Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division (CPED). This will allow SED to exclusively focus on providing the best possible infrastructure safety oversight, while at the same time creating a group dedicated exclusively to supporting consumers.

- *The Deputy Executive Director for Administrative Services is the lead on completing this reorganization by end of second quarter 2016, with the help of Safety & Enforcement Division Director and others as needed.*

### **Risk Management Activities**

Risk management is a forward-looking activity with the purpose of identifying issues before they arise and implementing mitigating strategies. Risk management action items from the 2015 Safety Action Plan include:

1. [Implementing a CPUC Incident Command System](#)
2. [Implementing a Staff “Safety Flag” System](#)

In 2016, the Commission will add the development of [staff reports on utility safety related expenditures](#).

### **Action Item 5 - Staff Reports on Safety-Related Expenditures Made by Utilities**

Electric and gas investor-owned utilities (IOUs) propose expenditures on safety-related programs in their General Rate Cases, and the Commission votes on whether or not to approve the program and the appropriate level of expenditures. General Rate Cases (GRC) are forward-looking exercises, and the analysis of safety programs and expenditures in a rate case necessarily focuses on the programs’ prospective benefits and the amount of money necessary to realize those benefits in a cost effective manner. The prospective nature of General Rate Cases has not always, however, been conducive to retrospective examination of safety programs approved in prior General Rate Cases for a given IOU.

The Commission believes that an understanding of the status of safety programs and expenditures approved in prior General Rate Cases will enhance the Commission’s evaluation of prospective safety programs and expenditures in General Rate Cases under consideration. For example, how does a newly proposed safety program relate to programs approved in prior General Rate Cases? Have previously authorized expenditures been spent as expected? Are there any economic or operational efficiencies that could be realized in an overlap in funding or program design between newly proposed and previously approved safety programs?

Therefore, in order to contribute to a more robust analysis of the relationship of IOU safety programs to each other and the extent to which previously approved expenditures have been spent as expected, the Commission will implement a new staff report. In this report, Energy Division staff will review the status of safety programs and expenditures approved in prior General Rate Cases.

*➤ The Energy Division Director is the lead and responsible for ensuring these reports are completed. The most recent GRC was filed by Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) in September 2015 (Application 15-09-001). Energy Division will complete this report by the second quarter of 2016. The timing of other reports will depend on when a GRC is filed, although the expectation is that Energy Division staff will issue this report six months after a GRC is filed.*

## Safety Promotion Activities

The Safety Promotion functional area of safety management includes communication on safety issues with the public, collaboration with other agencies and organizations and outreach to safety experts to exchange lessons learned and best practices. The Safety Promotion action item from the 2015 Safety Action Plan was:

1. A Safety Awareness campaign, including the first Safety En Banc

~~New safety promotion activities for 2016 include an en banc on utility poles, and a workshop designed to further explore the concept of safety intervenors.~~

### ~~Action Item 1 – Utility Pole En Banc~~ *6 - Safety Intervenor Workshop*

~~In recent years, California has experienced blackouts and wildfires associated with utility poles, including the 2007 Fire Siege, sparked by downed electrical lines, and the extended blackout associated with the 2011 windstorm. The Commission’s Policy & Planning Division in 2014 also published a paper on utility poles giving a brief overview of the rules applicable to utility poles, summarized recent events and Commission proceedings involving utility poles, and recommended opportunities for additional Commission action to protect safety and continuity of service in California.<sup>3</sup> Continuing the Commission’s focus on this issue, the Commission will hold a day-long en banc in 2016 to explore safety issues associated with utility poles, including such issues as pole overloading, engineering and technical concerns, coordination between pole owners and attachers, and inspections.~~

~~➤ The Policy and Planning Division Director is the lead responsible for ensuring that this en banc takes place during the first quarter of 2016.~~

### ~~Action Item 2 – Safety Intervenor Workshop~~

The Commission has begun exploring ways of expanding the participation of safety-related intervenors in relevant Commission proceedings. For example, in July 2015, Commission staff distributed a Solicitation for Input seeking informal feedback on potentially expanding the role of safety intervenors, asking, e.g., whether there are specific organizations that could serve as safety intervenors, whether the Commission should require certain competencies of safety intervenors, and whether potential safety intervenors experience barriers to their participation.

Commission staff will research and reach out to potential safety intervenors and invite them to participate in a workshop to discuss the opportunities and challenges surrounding their potential participation in relevant Commission proceedings.

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/6B8E22D3-399B-445B-9DAF-2B9B4673A3AE/0/PPDUtilityPole.pdf>

- *The Director of the News and Outreach Office is the lead responsible for ensuring that this workshop takes place during the second quarter 2016.*

## **Safety Compliance and Enforcement Activities**

The Safety Compliance and Enforcement functional area of safety management includes actions and mechanisms to ensure adherence to safety rules and policies, as well as specifications for identifying and correcting violations of those rules. The Commission staff performs several hundred audits and investigations a year, which lead to enforcement actions ranging from staff citation to formal Commission adjudicatory proceedings. The Safety Compliance and Enforcement action items from the 2015 Safety Action Plan were:

1. Implementing Enforcement Case Tracking and Program Performance Reporting
2. Developing an Enforcement Policy and Delegating Authority to Staff

In addition to maintaining the new policies and programs begun in 2015, in 2016 Commission staff will implement a new action item designed to monitor safety-related expenditures approved in General Rate Cases.

### **Action Item 3 – Staff Reports on Safety-Related Expenditures**

Electric and gas investor-owned utilities (IOUs) propose expenditures on safety-related programs in their General Rate Cases, and the Commission votes on whether or not to approve the program and the appropriate level of expenditures. General Rate Cases (GRC) are forward-looking exercises, and the analysis of safety programs and expenditures in a rate case necessarily focuses on the programs' prospective benefits and the amount of money necessary to realize those benefits in a cost-effective manner. The prospective nature of General Rate Cases has not always, however, been conducive to retrospective examination of safety programs approved in prior General Rate Cases for a given IOU.

The Commission believes that an understanding of the status of safety programs and expenditures approved in prior General Rate Cases will enhance the Commission's evaluation of prospective safety programs and expenditures in General Rate Cases under consideration. For example, how does a newly proposed safety program relate to programs approved in prior General Rate Cases? Have previously authorized expenditures been spent as expected? Are there any economic or operational efficiencies that could be realized in an overlap in funding or program design between newly proposed and previously approved safety programs?

Therefore, in order to contribute to a more robust analysis of the relationship of IOU safety programs to each other and the extent to which previously approved expenditures have been spent as expected, the Commission will implement a new staff report. In this report, Energy Division staff will review the status of safety programs and expenditures approved in prior General Rate Cases.

- *The Energy Division Director is the lead and responsible for ensuring these reports are completed. The most recent GRC was filed by Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) in September 2015 (Application*

~~15-09-001). Energy Division will complete this report by the second quarter of 2016. The timing of other reports will depend on when a GRC is filed, although the expectation is that Energy Division staff will issue this report six months after a GRC is filed.~~

#### **Action Item 4 – Reorganization of Safety & Enforcement Division**

Currently, ~~SED~~ is the largest Division in the Commission with over 250 staff and programs spanning utility, telecommunication, rail and transportation industries. Despite its name, not all programs that are currently housed in ~~SED~~ are safety related. Specifically, there are two programs with a primary focus on consumer protection: utility enforcement branch and transportation enforcement branch.

~~Utility and transportation enforcement programs investigate alleged or apparent violations of the Public Utilities Code, other state laws, and Commission regulations by stationary utilities (telephone, cellular, electric, gas, and water), household goods carriers (moving companies) transporting household goods within California, and Passenger Carriers. These investigations typically involve consumer fraud, false or misleading advertising, bait and switch tactics, unfair and unlawful business practices, and unsafe or uninsured operations. When sufficient evidence of violations is uncovered, the Special Agents of the Enforcement Branch usually have a wide variety of remedies from which to choose, in three general categories: administrative, criminal, and civil.~~

~~In 2016, these two programs will be moved out of SED and merged with current Consumer Information and Services Division (CSID) to create a Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division (CPED). This will allow SED to exclusively focus on providing the best possible infrastructure safety oversight, while at the same time creating a group dedicated exclusively to supporting consumers.~~

~~➤ The Deputy Executive Director for Administrative Services is the lead on completing this reorganization by end of second quarter 2016, with the help of Safety & Enforcement Division Director and others as needed.~~

#### **Risk Management Activities**

~~Risk management is a forward-looking activity with the purpose of identifying issues before they arise and implementing mitigating strategies. Risk management action items from the 2015 Safety Action Plan include:~~

- ~~1. Implementing a CPUC Incident Command System~~
- ~~2. Implementing a Staff “Safety Flag” System~~

~~In 2016, the Commission will add the development of a Value Model to these ongoing risk management activities.~~

#### **Action Item 5 – Value Model Workshop**

~~At any given time, the Administrative Law Judge, Legal, and Industry Divisions are working on investigations and rulemakings, implementing prior Commission Decisions, and performing a myriad of other tasks which have different safety, operational, technological, financial, environmental, and other considerations that must be carefully balanced.~~

~~In order to ensure that staff is striking a consistent balance between all the considerations at play in any task regardless of what Division they work in or what project they are working on, the Commission will hold a Workshop to kick-off the development of a consistent, Commission-wide Safety Metrics Value Model. The Value Model will ultimately ensure that Commission actions are consistent with the most rigorous understanding of safety metrics and best practices and consistent across Divisions and projects.~~

~~➤ **The Policy and Planning Division Director is the lead and responsible for ensuring the success of this Workshop, which will take place fourth quarter 2016. However, the Policy Division will need to work very closely with the Energy Division and the Administrative Law Division to ensure the success of this workshop.**~~

## **Safety Policy Activities**

~~The Safety Policy functional area of safety management includes Commission's decision-making activities, such as creating and modifying General Orders, considering Applications by regulated entities and otherwise providing direction to industry and Commission staff. Action items from the 2015 Safety Action Plan were:~~

- ~~1. Formalizing Safety Advocacy and Advisory Roles~~
- ~~2. Requiring Applicants to Include Relevant Safety Information~~

~~In addition to the activities begun in 2015, the Commission will add two new training tracks for staff in 2016. Both trainings will be designed to minimize the abstraction of the material and ensure that the training is relevant to the work staff do every day. These trainings will be offered in 2016, and repeated as often as the leads and the Executive Director deem necessary.~~

~~In addition, the Commission will offer a Commission-wide Advanced Safety Seminar, and will continue its collaboration and coordination with other state agencies.~~

### **Action Item 6 – Administrative Law Judge Training**

~~The Commission's Administrative Law Judges represent many different professional backgrounds, including but not limited to law, finance, government, and industry. In order to ensure that all Administrative Law Judges are in the best position to evaluate the safety impacts of proposals made in rulemakings, investigations, and adjudications, the Commission will provide training on recognizing potential safety impacts and ensuring full evidentiary record development. The training will be mandatory.~~

~~➤ **The Chief Administrative Law Judge is the lead and will engage the resources of Policy & Planning Division to assist in planning this training session. This training will take place by third quarter 2016.**~~

### **Action Item 7 – Industry Divisions Staff Training**

~~Similar to the Administrative Law Judge training, the staff training will better equip staff in the Industry Divisions – Energy, Communications, and Water – and the Consumer Assistance Branch to recognize and analyze the safety impacts in work not necessarily related to rulemakings, such as resolutions, daily~~

program administration, complaints, and legislative bill analysis. This training will be tailored to match the responsibilities of each Division or Branch, and will be mandatory.

~~➤ The Directors of Communications and Water Divisions are the leads and responsible for ensuring that the training takes place by the third quarter 2016. All Industry Divisions will take part in this training and assist accordingly with the organization. Action Item 8 -~~  
**Advanced Safety Seminar**

To help create a safety culture within the Commission, the Commission plans to introduce a safety brown-bag lunch session in which safety personnel from the various parts of the Commission can address issues that cut across the many different regulatory programs. For example, a topic that could be addressed is how a regulator can assist a regulated entity in making the transition from a compliance oriented perspective into one in which safety issues are actively investigated and resolved independent of any perceived enforcement threat. A second topic for this lunch time discussion is how the Commission itself can transition from a compliance-oriented regulatory perspective into an approach that actively promotes safety across specific regulatory programs. For example, what will it take so that those checking on rails safety issues will recognize and report on utility poll hazards that they may identify in conjunction with their rail inspections?

This experimental program should help the Commission to identify and cultivate safety leaders within the Commission's ranks. The Commission will evaluate the results of this program through surveys that attempt to measure the impacts of this program on the Commission's safety culture.

➤ *The Executive Division will organize and host this Commission-wide safety seminar and will invite attendees. This seminar should take place by second quarter 2016.*

### **Action Item 98 - Building Strong Safety Capacity through Collaboration and Coordination with Other State Agencies**

- A. Developing standards, safety and rate-setting priorities on cybersecurity and infrastructure security through an interagency agreement to use the expertise, resources and security clearances of the Governor's Critical Infrastructure Security Team in the Office of Emergency Services. This group is the designated contact with the US Department of Homeland Security, has access to Federal threat assessments, and the high level security clearances required for access to confidential information on standards set by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the National Electricity Reliability Corporation, and the National Information Security Task Force. Depending on the agreements reached there, the CPUC can develop internal capacity, or simply rely on actions from the Office of Emergency Service that cover critical infrastructure not regulated by the CPUC, as well as those entities under our control. The current CPUC proceeding on infrastructure safety in the electric industry is awaiting the guidance from this discussion and agreement to help frame a scoping document.
- B. Continue coordination with the Department of Conservation's Division of Oil Gas and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR) and other state and federal agencies on the catastrophic methane release from

a well at the Aliso Canyon gas storage site. While the CPUC has authority over gas pipelines, the agency currently does not have safety oversight over gas wells, although does have general authority over the storage site, for which the CPUC has issued a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to SoCal Gas. Currently, the primary focus of all agencies is ensuring that the leak at Aliso Canyon is stopped as soon as possible. SED is also conducting an investigation focusing on the assessment of the Southern California Gas Company's actions before and after the failure, including public notification and issues relating to the Gas Company's operation and maintenance of the gas storage facility in general. Energy Division is focused on analyzing any impacts to reliability and tracking costs. As the investigation progresses and the cause of the leak is better understood, there may be a need for CPUC to participate in development of new gas storage construction, operation and maintenance standards.

- *The Safety & Enforcement Division will take the lead on this coordination and report back to the Commission on a quarterly basis.*

## Next Steps

After the adoption of the Safety Management Strategy and Action Plan, the Commissioners delegate to the Executive Director authority to revise the plan as appropriate. The Executive Director will work with the Divisions identified in the work plan to ensure timely completion of all action items. The Executive Director will also provide quarterly updates on the Action Plan and associated activities at Commission voting meetings.

This update to the Safety Action Plan is guided by the four pillars that should define this Commission's regulation of safety. As stated in the introduction these four pillars are as follows:

- I. **Safety** ~~Promotion: Communication, collaboration and outreach and the~~ Policy: Commission decision-making and development of ~~a proactive safety culture both within the Commission and in regulated entities.~~ rules and regulations
- II. **Safety Compliance and Enforcement:** Audit, investigation and penalty assessment activities
- III. **Risk Management:** Risk assessment and risk mitigation strategies
- IV. **Safety** ~~Policy: Commission decision-making and~~ Promotion: Communication, collaboration and outreach and the development of ~~rules and regulations~~ a proactive safety culture both within the Commission and in regulated entities.

Document comparison by Workshare Compare on Monday, January 25, 2016  
3:01:18 PM

Input:	
Document 1 ID	file://C:\Users\AR9\Workshare Compare\Safety Action Plan 2016 Update (Rev. 1).docx
Description	Safety Action Plan 2016 Update (Rev. 1)
Document 2 ID	file://C:\Users\AR9\Workshare Compare\Safety Action Plan 2016 Update (Rev. 2).docx
Description	Safety Action Plan 2016 Update (Rev. 2)
Rendering set	Standard

Legend:	
<a href="#">Insertion</a>	
<del>Deletion</del>	
<del>Moved from</del>	
<a href="#">Moved to</a>	
Style change	
Format change	
<del>Moved deletion</del>	
Inserted cell	
Deleted cell	
Moved cell	
Split/Merged cell	
Padding cell	

Statistics:	
	Count
Insertions	52
Deletions	62
Moved from	47
Moved to	47
Style change	0
Format changed	0
Total changes	208