

---

# ***Public Utilities Commission of the State of California***

## ***Public Agenda 3396***

***Thursday, April 27, 2017 9:30 a.m.***

***San Francisco, California***

### **Commissioners**

**Michael Picker, President**

**Martha Guzman Aceves**

**Carla J. Peterman**

**Liane M. Randolph**

**Clifford Rechtschaffen**

*For each agenda item, a summary of the proposed action is included; the Commission's decision may, however, differ from that proposed. To listen by phone, dial 1-800-857-1917 and enter passcode 92105 or access our website at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov>*

---

### **Scheduled Commission Meetings 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco**

<i>Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting*</i> Room 5305 (10:00 p.m.) <b><i>Closed to the Public</i></b>	<i>Commission Meeting</i> Auditorium (9:30 a.m.) <b><i>Open to the Public</i></b>
Monday, April 24, 2017 (Sacramento) (Will Be Held)	Thursday, April 27, 2017 (San Francisco)
Monday, May 08, 2017 (San Francisco)	Thursday, May 11, 2017 (Merced)
Monday, May 22, 2017 (San Francisco)	Thursday, May 25, 2017 (San Francisco)
Monday, June 12, 2017 (San Francisco)	Thursday, June 15, 2017 (Sacramento)
Monday, June 26, 2017 (San Francisco)	Thursday, June 29, 2017 (San Francisco)

*\*Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting dates are reserved as noted but will be held only if there are ratesetting matters to be considered and a Commissioner has requested that a Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting be held.*

#### ***Matters of Public Interest***

***For the convenience of the public and media representatives, items of widespread public interest will be taken up at the beginning of the meeting.***

For further information contact the Public Advisor  
(415) 703-2074 E-mail: [public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov)



This location is accessible to people with disabilities. If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g. sign language interpreters, please call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074 or TTY# (415) 703-5282 or toll free # 1-866-836-7825 three business days in advance of the meeting.

## PUBLIC COMMENT

The following items are not subject to public comment:

- All items on the closed session agenda.
- 42, 43

Public Comment:

## Consent Agenda

*Items shown on the Consent Agenda will be taken up and voted on as a group in one of the first items of business of each Commission meeting. Items may be removed from the Consent Agenda for discussion on the Regular Agenda at the request of any Commissioner prior to the meeting.*

### Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions

1            **Preliminary Categorizations and Hearing Determinations for**  
[15579]       **Recently Filed Formal Applications**

Res ALJ 176-3396

#### PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Ratification of preliminary determination of category for proceedings initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

#### ESTIMATED COST:

® None.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184533416>

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

2                   **Grants Joint Application to Transfer Certificate of Public  
[15534]            Convenience and Necessity**

A16-05-002

In the Matter of the Joint Application of Pacific Maritime Freight, Inc. and of Catalina Freight Line, Inc. for Authorization to Transfer Catalina Freight Line Inc.'s Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate as a Vessel Common Carrier Transporting Freight to and from Santa Catalina Island to Pacific Maritime Freight, Inc.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Approves sale of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.
- ® Closes the proceeding.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS :**

- ® There are no additional safety considerations to be considered at this time.

**ESTIMATED COST :**

- ® \$25,000 sale price.

(Comr Randolph - Judge Bemederfer)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183365867>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

Agenda 3394, Item 13 3/23/2017 (Rechtschaffen );

Agenda 3395, Item 3 4/6/2017 (Staff)

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

3                   **Cold Springs Water Company's General Rate Increase to**  
[15545]           **Produce Additional Annual Revenues for Test Year 2017**

Res W-5135, Advice Letter 64-W filed October 7, 2016 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Grants authority under Public Utilities Code Section 454 to Cold Springs Water Company to file a supplemental advice letter with the revised rate schedules attached to the Resolution as Appendix B, and concurrently cancel its presently effective Rate Schedule No. 1, General Metered Service.
- ® The effective date of the revised schedules shall be five days after the date of this resolution.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® The resolution provides adequate revenues to the utility so that it can provide safe and reliable water service to its customers. The water served by the utility meets all applicable water quality standards set forth by the State Water Resources Control Board.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- ® \$98,040 or 35.8%, for test year 2017, to be paid by the ratepayers of Cold Springs Water Company.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184974744>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

Agenda 3395, Item 7 4/6/2017 (Picker)

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

4                    **Disclosure of Records Concerning an Electrical Incident**  
[15586]

Res L-523

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Authorizes disclosure of all Commission records concerning its investigation of an electrical incident that occurred near Desert Knoll Avenue & Valle Vista Road in Twenty Nine Palms, San Bernardino County, California, on August 1, 2015.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Disclosure may offer a better understanding of utility safety matters addressed by the Commission.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® Unknown.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184883469>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

5

## **Disclosure of Records Concerning a Rail Crossing**

[15587]

Res L-524

### **PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Authorizes disclosure of all Commission records concerning rail-crossing DOT 749583L CPUC Xing ALA-1940 including, but not limited to, records concerning all pending and future Commission investigations of incidents occurring at the crossing, once the investigations have been completed.

### **SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Disclosure may offer a better understanding of rail safety matters addressed by the Commission.

### **ESTIMATED COST:**

® Unknown.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184533413>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

6

## **Disclosure of Records of an Investigation**

[15588]

Res L-525

### **PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Authorizes the disclosure of records concerning the California Public Utilities Commission Safety and Enforcement Division's investigation of an electric incident that occurred on March 29, 2015 near 736 Gould Avenue in Hermosa Beach, CA, once the investigation is complete.

### **SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® This resolution authorizes disclosure of the records of a completed Commission Safety Investigation. Disclosure may offer a better understanding of utility safety matters addressed by the Commission.

### **ESTIMATED COST:**

® Unknown.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184932149>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

7  
[15599]      **Revisions to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Electric  
Schedule Base Interruptible Program Pursuant to Guidance  
Ruling for 2017 Demand Response Programs and Activities  
R.13-09-011**

Res E-4825, Advice Letter (AL) 4956-E filed November 17, 2016 and AL 4956-E-A filed December 21, 2016 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves with modification, proposed tariff conditions required to bid the Base Interruptible Program (BIP) into the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) energy market and other proposed clarifications.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® No known safety impacts associated with this tariff revision.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® Bidding of BIP into the CAISO market may result in ratepayer savings of unknown magnitude, at this time.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184551286>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---



---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

8  
[15602]      **Pacific Gas and Electric Company Requests Approval of  
Two Supplements to a Master Agreement for Work Required  
to Interconnect Pacific Gas and Electric Company's  
Transmission System with Caltrain Peninsula Corridor  
Electrification Project Facilities**

Res E-4830, Advice Letter 4985-E filed December 20, 2016 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) Tier 3 Advice Letter 4985-E, which includes: Supplement #2 and Supplement #5 to their Master Agreement with Caltrain's Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain or JPB) for transmission system interconnection work required for the Caltrain Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project (the Caltrain Project or the Project).

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® All work required to interconnect PG&E's transmission system with Caltrain facilities will conform to all current and applicable laws, Commission regulations, and industry and PG&E safety requirements as discussed in this Resolution and documented in the Master Agreement.

® This Resolution addresses contractual agreements for the work required to complete the utility system upgrades necessary to interconnect PG&E transmission system facilities with Caltrain Project facilities. Specific rail safety requirements and work required to relocate PG&E electric and gas utility facilities (relocation work) are not addressed in Advice Letter 4985-E and are outside the scope of this Resolution.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® All PG&E costs identified in Supplement #2 and Supplement #5 to the Master Agreement will be paid in full by Caltrain.

® Costs that may be allocated to PG&E ratepayers for the interconnection work have not yet been determined and will be the subject of future filings to the Commission.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184419101>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

9  
[15611]      **The California Energy Commission Requests Approval of a  
Supplemental Natural Gas Research Plan as Part of Funding  
Approved by D.04-08-010**

Res G-3524

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves the California Energy Commission's (CEC) proposed Reliability and Climate Focused Natural Gas Supplemental Budget Plan for the investment of \$5.9 million in previously-allocated unspent funds.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® This Resolution approves and prioritizes the implementation of the CEC's proposed natural gas safety research and development efforts; the successful application of improved safety technologies will support continued safe pipeline operation.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® Approves a new research plan to invest \$5.9 million in previously-authorized, unspent funds in critical research areas at no additional ratepayer cost.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184447458>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

10                    **Benbow Water Company to Sell and Del Oro Water Company**  
[15612]                **to Purchase Benbow Water Company**

A16-07-008

In the Matter of the Application of Del Oro Water Company, Inc. and Benbow Water Company for an Order Authorizing Benbow Water Company to Sell and Del Oro Water Company, Inc. to Purchase the Assets of Benbow Water Company and to Establish Rates for Service.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Grants the sale of Benbow Water Company to Del Oro Water Company and conditions Del Oro Water Company's authority to operate on receipt of a permit to operate Benbow Water Company from the State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking Water, and filing of permit in this docket within 30 days of receipt of authority.

® Closes the proceeding.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® This transfer and purchase will enhance safety by allowing a larger water utility with greater operational and financial abilities to operate Benbow Water Company.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® This decision approves a revenue increase of \$12,817, or 5.04 percent.

(Comr Rechtschaffen - Judge Semcer)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=181182774>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

11                    **Lake Alpine Water Company, Inc. to Convert a Short-Term**  
[15614]                **Note with Aspen Forest Investment Company and Roma P.**  
                          **Orvis to Unsecured Long-Term Debt**

Res W-5138 , Advice Letter 110 filed January 24, 2017 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Authorizes Lake Alpine Water Company (Lake Alpine) to execute an unsecured loan contract with Aspen Forest Investment Company, for \$80,000, at 6.5% interest, and a term of 5 years, and monthly payment.
- ® Authorizes Lake Alpine to execute an unsecured loan contract with Roma P. Orvis, for \$80,000, at 6.5% interest, and a term of 5 years, and monthly payment.
- ® Lake Alpine shall file with the Water Division copies of the loan documents within 15 days of execution, and not later than 60 days from the effective date of this Resolution.
- ® Pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 1904(b), Lake Alpine shall pay the fee of \$320 within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this Resolution.
- ® Lake Alpine must issue the check payable to the California Public Utilities Commission and remit the payment to the Commission's Fiscal Office.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® The improvements of the water plant funded by the short term loans have been completed. The water served by the utility meets all applicable water quality standards set forth by the State Water Resources Control Board.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- ® \$160,000.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=181346528>

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

12                    **Pacific Gas and Electric Company Request for Easement**  
[15616]                **Agreement with the County of Placer Pursuant to Advice**  
                              **Letter 4876-E**

Res E-4842, Advice Letter 4876-E filed July 15, 2016 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves Pacific Gas & Electric Company's (PG&E) Advice Letter (AL) 4876-E with an effective date of today. PG&E proposes to enter into an easement agreement with the County of Placer (County) to permit a road widening to assist with traffic control for a proposed retail development project.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Effective administration of PG&E's fee property is part of the responsibility of PG&E to meet their obligations under Public Utilities Code Section 451 to provide services that promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of their patrons, employees and the public.

® There are no specific safety concerns with this transaction.

® This approval of AL 4876-E will assist the County of Placer with traffic control.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® A request for authority to enter into transactions pursuant to General Order 173 requires the filing of cost information. PG&E states in AL 4876-E that the County of Placer will pay \$7,500 to PG&E as compensation for this transaction.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=182425578>

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

13                    **Pacific Gas and Electric Company Request for Easement**  
[15617]                **Agreement with the City of East Palo Alto Pursuant to Advice**  
                             **Letters 4916-E and 4916-E-A**

Res E-4843, Advice Letter (AL) 4916-E filed September 15, 2016 and AL 4916-E-A filed November 10, 2016 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves Pacific Gas & Electric Company's (PG&E) Advice Letters (AL) 4916-E and 4916-E-A with an effective date of today. PG&E proposes to enter into an agreement with the City of East Palo Alto (City) to permit a Storm Drainage Easement.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® Effective administration of PG&E's fee property is part of the responsibility of PG&E to meet their obligations under Public Utilities Code Section 451 to provide services that promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of their patrons, employees and the public.
- ® There are no specific safety concerns with this transaction.
- ® Approval of this AL will facilitate flood control in the City of East Palo Alto.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® A request for authority to enter into transactions pursuant to General Order 173 requires the filing of cost information. PG&E states in AL 4916-E-A that the City of East Palo Alto will pay \$20,000 to PG&E as compensation for this transaction.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=182497167>

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

14                    **Modification of Demand Response Decisions 16-06-029 and**  
[15618]                **16-09-056**

R13-09-011

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Enhance the Role of Demand Response in Meeting the State's Resource Planning Needs and Operational Requirements.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Denies OhmConnect's Petition to Modify D.16-09-056.
- ® Grants in part, Joint Demand Response Parties' Petition to Modify D.16-06-029.
- ® Denies request to double budget and grants request to modify D.16-09-029 to be consistent with Resolution E-4817.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® The requested changes have no safety implications.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- ® \$0.

(Comr Guzman Aceves - Judge Hymes)

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

15                    **Pacific Gas and Electric Company Request for Easement**  
[15619]                **Agreement with the City of Santa Clara Pursuant to Advice**  
                             **Letter 4970-E**

Res E-4844, Advice Letter 4970-E filed December 2, 2016 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) Advice Letter (AL) 4970-E with an effective date of today. PG&E proposes to enter into an easement with the City of Santa Clara (City) to permit a Bridge Consent Agreement.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® Effective administration of PG&E's fee property is part of the responsibility of PG&E to meet their obligations under Public Utilities Code Section 451 to provide services that promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of their patrons, employees and the public.
- ® There are no specific safety concerns with this transaction.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® A request for authority to enter into transactions pursuant to General Order (GO) 173 requires the filing of cost information. PG&E states in AL 4970-E that they will receive no compensation for this transaction.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=182663531>



---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

16  
[15620]      **Approval with Modifications of the Southern California Edison Company, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company's Prohibited Resources Restrictions for Supply Side Demand Response Programs and Pilots; and, Approval with Modifications of DRAM III Auction Design, Protocols, Standard Pro Forma Contract, Evaluation Criteria and Non-Binding Cost Estimates as Directed in Resolution E-4817**

Res E-4838, PG&E Advice Letter (AL) 4991-E-A filed January 13, 2017 and AL 4900-E-A filed February 2, 2017. SCE AL 3542-E filed January 3, 2017 and AL 3466-E-A filed February 2, 2017. SDG&E AL 3031-E filed January 3, 2017 and AL 2949-E-A filed February 2, 2017 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Approves, with modifications, prohibited resource requirements as proposed by Southern California Edison Company (SCE), Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) to apply to all affected Demand Response (DR) Programs, including the Capacity Bidding Program, Base Interruptible Program, and Agricultural and Pumping Program-Interruptible, and pilots, including the third utility Demand Response Auction Mechanism Pilot.
- ® Adopts tariff and contract language for affected DR programs and pilots, applicable starting January 31, 2018, and shifts funds to implement the new prohibitions.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® There is no impact on safety.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- ® Decision 16-09-056 authorized fund shifting to cover the costs of implementing the prohibition as necessary. SDG&E requests a shift of \$934,498 in funds from the 2017 DR Approved Program Budget for implementation. PG&E and SCE did not request fund shifting authority.
- ® No additional costs are proposed for Demand Response Auction Mechanism as these were previously allocated in the Utilities' Demand Response administrative budget.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184884402>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

17  
[15621]      **Approves Total Call Mobile, LLC's Request for Relinquishment of its Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Designation and Discontinuation of its Wireless Plans Under the Federal Lifeline and California LifeLine Programs**

Res T-17560, Advice Letter 12 filed December 22, 2016 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves Total Call Mobile's request to relinquish its Eligible Telecommunications Carrier designation and discontinue its participation in the federal Lifeline and the California LifeLine programs.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® No safety concerns because low-income households can continue receiving wireline and wireless telephone service from alternate providers serving the area.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® There are no costs associated with this proposed resolution.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184883001>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

18                    **Approving Area Code Boundary Elimination for the 619/858**  
[15622]                **Numbering Plan Areas**

A16-12-005

Application of the North American Numbering Plan Administrator, on behalf of the California Telecommunications Industry, for Relief of the 619 Numbering Plan Area.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Grants the application, filed by the North American Numbering Plan Administrator on behalf of the California Telecommunications Industry (Industry), requesting approval of a numbering plan area (NPA) boundary elimination overlay for the 619/858 NPA with a 13-month implementation schedule.
- ® A boundary elimination overlay will provide additional numbering resources to meet the demand for telephone numbers.
- ® Closes the proceeding.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® Since a reliable communications network is an important resource to promote public safety, the 619/858 NPA Relief Plan will promote safety by providing for reliable public access to adequate numbering resources.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- ® Costs to be absorbed by Industry members.

(Comr Randolph - Judge Haga)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184932173>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

19                    **Grants the Request of the Orange County Transportation**  
[15623]                **Authority for Approval of the OC Streetcar Safety**  
                              **Certification Plan**

Res ST-191

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Grants approval of the Orange County Transportation Authority's Safety Certification Plan for the proposed OC Streetcar Project.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® ST-191 considers the safety of the public and employees who might be affected by the construction or operations of the OC Streetcar project. This Safety Certification Plan (SCP) meets the requirements set forth by General Order 164-D. This SCP identifies the process that will certify the project as meeting the established safety standards to operate in revenue service.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® Not within the scope of this resolution.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184630536>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

20                    **Granting Approval of Final Report of the 2016 Triennial**  
[15624]                **Safety Review of Los Angeles County Metropolitan**  
                             **Transprotation Authority Dated December 16, 2016**

Res ST-200

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves the 2016 Triennial Safety Review of Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) dated December 16, 2016.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® This resolution considers the safety and security of the public and employees who might be affected by the LACMTA heavy and light rail operations. The resolution approves a comprehensive safety review report developed by Commission staff in compliance to State and Federal regulations.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® Not within scope of this resolution.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184553337>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

21                    **Granting Approval of Final Report of the 2016 Triennial**  
[15625]                **Security Review of Los Angeles County Metropolitan**  
                             **Transportation Authority Dated January 27, 2017**

Res ST-201

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves the Report on the 2016 Triennial Security Review of Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) dated January 27, 2017.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® This resolution considers the safety and security of the public and employees who might be affected by the LACMTA heavy and light rail operations. The resolution approves a security review report developed by Commission staff in compliance to State and Federal regulations.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® Not within scope of this resolution.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184547750>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

22 (Rev.)            **Denial of Request for Disclosure of Records Requested**  
[15628]            **Under the Public Records Act**

Res L-522

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- Ⓡ Affirms Staff's withholding and redaction of requested records pursuant to the Public Records Act.
- Ⓡ Denies Michael J. Aguirre's appeal of Staff's action.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- Ⓡ The denial of the appeal does not result in any adverse safety impacts.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- Ⓡ There are no costs associated with denial of this appeal.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184944013>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

04/18/2017 - This revision was not shown on the Agenda mailed to the public.

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

23                    **Authorization to Modify a Public At-Grade Highway-Rail**  
[15629]                **Crossing in the City of Rancho Cordova, Sacramento County**

A16-10-023

Application of the City of Rancho Cordova to modify an existing crossing over the Mather Spur at Mills Station Road.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Grants the City of Rancho Cordova authorization to modify a public at-grade highway-rail crossing over Sacramento-Placerville Transportation Corridor Joint Powers Authority track at Mills Station Road in the City of Rancho Cordova, Sacramento County.
- ® Closes the proceeding.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® Approval of the application will provide a safe and continuous bicycle and pedestrian path.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- ® Discretionary project, not within the scope of applicant's request.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=182361644>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---



---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

24  
[15630]            **Granting Approval of the 2016 Triennial Safety Review of the  
Bay Area Rapid Transit District Oakland Airport Connector  
Dated January 11, 2017**

Res ST-202

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves the 2016 Triennial Safety Review of the Bay Area Rapid Transit District Oakland Airport Connector (BART OAC) dated January 11, 2017.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® This resolution considers the safety and security of the public and employees who might be affected by the BART OAC operations. This resolution approves a comprehensive safety review developed by Commission staff in compliance to State and Federal regulations.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® Not within scope of this Resolution.

(Exam Malashenko)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184630402>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

25                    **Approval of the 2016 Security Review of the Bay Area Rapid**  
[15631]                **Transit District Oakland Airport Connector Dated January**  
                             **11, 2017**

Res ST-203

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves the 2016 Security Review of the Bay Area Rapid Transit District Oakland Airport Connector (BART OAC) dated January 11, 2017.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® This resolution considers the safety and security of the public and employees who might be affected by the BART OAC operations. This resolution approves a security review report developed by Commission staff in compliance to State and Federal regulations.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® Not within the scope of this resolution.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184629968>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

26  
[15632]      **Southern California Edison Company Request for Approval  
of Deviations to its Net Energy Metering Interconnection  
Agreements for the State of California**

Res E-4845, Advice Letter 3470-E filed September 13, 2016 - Related matters.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Approves Southern California Edison Company's (SCE) request to (i) approve Proposed Forms 14-923-A, 14-973-B, 14-974-B, and 16-344-E without modification, and (ii) modify SCE's List of Contracts and Deviations to offer Proposed Forms 14-923-A, 14-973-B, 14-974-B, and 16-344-E as interconnection agreement options available solely to the State of California when executing a Net Energy Metering interconnection agreement as the eligible customer-generator.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® There is no impact on safety.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® There is no cost impact.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=182859037>

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

27                    **Petition to Modify Decision 12-12-034 and Decision**  
[15634]                **13-03-015**

A12-04-015, A.12-04-016, A.12-04-017, and A.12-04-018 - Related matters.  
Application of Southern California Edison Company for Authority to Establish Its Authorized Cost of Capital for Utility Operations for 2013 and to Reset the Annual Cost of Capital Adjustment Mechanism. Consolidated applications include San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Gas Company and Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Grants the Petition. The modifications to Decision (D.) 12-12-034 and D.13-03-015 adopted herein:
- ® Extend the date for each of the above-referenced utilities' next cost of capital application filing from April 22, 2017, to April 22, 2019.
- ® Reduce the authorized return on equity by specified amounts.
- ® Reset the authorized costs of long-term debt and preferred stock beginning in test year 2018 for each utility.
- ® Close the proceeding.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® Maintaining a reasonable cost of capital allowance enables utilities to fund safe and reliable utility operations.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- ® Not specifically quantified.

(Comr Picker - Judge McKinney)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=182856553>

*This matter may be considered during the Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting.  
Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions (continued)

28  
[15668]            **Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission’s Own  
Motion to Determine Whether PacifiCorp’s  
Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Protocol Results in Just  
and Reasonable Rates in California**

I. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Opens an Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission’s own motion to examine generation and transmission resources in PacifiCorp’s Western and Eastern control areas.
- ® Determines whether PacifiCorp engages in least-cost planning and dispatch on a system-wide or control area basis.
- ® Evaluates whether PacifiCorp’s Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Protocol results in just and reasonable rates in California.
- ® This investigation may develop an inter-jurisdictional cost allocation methodology for PacifiCorp in California.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® There are no safety considerations presented by this Order Instituting Investigation.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- ® The Commission will consider whether PacifiCorp’s Inter-Jurisdictional cost allocation protocol results in just and reasonable rates to California ratepayers.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183853337>

## Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders

### 29 Denies Compensation to Public Water Now [15535]

A13-05-017

In the Matter of the Application of California-American Water Company for an Order (1) Approving a Settlement Agreement with the County of Monterey and the Monterey County Water Resources Agency to Settle and Resolve Claims and Issues Between the Parties and to Promote the Development, Construction and Operation of a Water Supply Project for Monterey County on an Expedited Basis, and (2) Authorizing the Transfer of Authorized Costs Related to the Settlement Agreement to Its Special Request 1 Surcharge Balancing Account.

#### **PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Denies Compensation to Public Water Now for contribution to Decision (D.) 15-03-002 and D.15-10-052. Public Water Now is not eligible for intervenor compensation. Public Water Now did not timely file the notice of intent to claim intervenor compensation. Public Water Now requested \$16,504.73.

#### **SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS :**

® There are no safety considerations associated with the outcome of this decision.

#### **ESTIMATED COST :**

® There are no costs associated with the outcome of this proceeding.

(Comr Picker - ALJ Division)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183420981>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

*This matter may be considered during the Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting.*

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

Agenda 3394, Item 27 3/23/2017 (Rechtschaffen );

Agenda 3395, Item 16 4/6/2017 (Rechtschaffen )

---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

29a  
(Rev.)  
[15682]

In the Matter of the Application of California-American Water Company for an Order (1) Approving a Settlement Agreement with the County of Monterey and the Monterey County Water Resources Agency to Settle and Resolve Claims and Issues Between the Parties and to Promote the Development, Construction and Operation of a Water Supply Project for Monterey County on an Expedited Basis, and (2) Authorizing the Transfer of Authorized Costs Related to the Settlement Agreement to Its Special Request 1 Surcharge Balancing Account.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards \$16,671.51 to Public Water Now for contribution to Decisions (D.)15-03-002 and D.15 10 052. These decisions approved a settlement agreement between California-American Water Company, the County of Monterey, and the Monterey County Water Resources Agency that resolved claims and issues between these parties regarding the Regional Desalination Project. Public Water Now requested \$16,504.73.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® There are no safety considerations associated with the outcome of this decision.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$16,617.51, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of California-American Water Company.

(Comr Rechtschaffen )

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

04/24/2017 - This item was not listed on the agenda distributed to the public.

---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

30                    **Compensation to Natural Resources Defense Council**  
[15643]

A15-02-009

In the Matter of the Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of its Electric Vehicle Infrastructure and Education Program.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) \$62,470.00 for contribution to Decision 16-12-065. This decision provided guidance and direction to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) for its Electric Vehicle infrastructure and education program. NRDC requested \$62,470.00.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$62,470.00, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of PG&E.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Farrar)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183274303>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---



---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

31                    **Compensation to Vote Solar**

[15644]

A15-02-009

In the Matter of the Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of its Electric Vehicle Infrastructure and Education Program.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards Vote Solar \$40,832.50 for contribution to Decision 16-12-065. This decision provided guidance and direction to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) for its Electric Vehicle infrastructure and education program. Vote Solar requested \$43,440.00.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$40,832.50, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of PG&E.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Farrar)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183284877>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

32                    **Compensation to L. Jan Reid**  
[15646]

R15-02-020

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration, and Consider Further Development, of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards L. Jan Reid \$16,010.73 for contribution to Decisions (D.) 16-10-025, and D.16-12-040. These decisions addressed matters regarding the implementation of renewables portfolio standards programs. L. Jan Reid requested \$16,464.18.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$16,010.73, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company.

(Comr Rechtschaffen - Judge Mason)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183273938>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

33                    **Compensation to The Utility Reform Network**  
[15650]

A15-02-009

In the Matter of the Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of its Electric Vehicle Infrastructure and Education Program.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards The Utility Reform Network \$210,734.18 for contribution to Decision 16-12-065. This decision provided guidance and direction to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) for its Electric Vehicle infrastructure and education program. The Utility Reform Network requested \$210,499.18.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$210,734.18, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of PG&E.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Farrar)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183435977>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

34                    **Compensation to Consumer Federation of California**  
[15652]

A15-02-009

In the Matter of the Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of its Electric Vehicle Infrastructure and Education Program.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards Consumer Federation of California \$36,462.50 for contribution to Decision 16-12-065. This decision provided guidance and direction to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) for its Electric Vehicle infrastructure and education program. Consumer Federation of California requested \$37,577.50.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$36,462.50, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of PG&E.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Farrar)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183370532>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

35                    **Compensation to The Utility Reform Network**  
[15661]

R14-10-003

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Create a Consistent Regulatory Framework for the Guidance, Planning and Evaluation of Integrated Distributed Energy Resources.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards \$126,800.37 to The Utility Reform Network for participation related to Decision (D.) 15-09-022, D.16-06-007, and D.16-12-036. These decisions addressed issues related to distributed energy resource integration. The Utility Reform Network requested \$126,854.12.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$126,800.37, plus interest, to be paid by Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and Southern California Gas Company.

(Comr Picker - Judge Hymes)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=182921046>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

36                    **Compensation to Green Power Institute**  
[15662]

R15-12-012

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Assess Peak Electricity Usage Patterns and Consider Appropriate Time Periods for Future Time-of-Use Rates and Energy Resource Contract Payments.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards Green Power Institute \$37,274.50 for contribution to Decision 17-01-006. This decision adopted policy guidelines to assess time periods for future time-of-use rates and energy resource contract payments. Green Power Institute requested \$37,275.00.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$37,274.50, plus interest, to be paid by the Commission's Intervenor Compensation Fund.

(Comr Picker - Judge McKinney)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183436327>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

37

### **Compensation to Natural Resources Defense Council**

[15663]

R14-10-003

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Create a Consistent Regulatory Framework for the Guidance, Planning and Evaluation of Integrated Distributed Energy Resources.

#### **PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards \$23,487.50 to Natural Resources Defense Council for participation related to Decision 16-12-036. This decision adopted recommendations related to distributed energy resources solicitations and incentives. Natural Resources Defense Council requested \$23,937.50.

#### **SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

#### **ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$23,487.50, plus interest, to be paid by Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and Southern California Gas Company.

(Comr Picker - Judge Hymes)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=182930702>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---

---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

38                    **Compensation to Forest Residents Opposing New**  
[15664]                **Transmission Lines**

A12-05-020

In the Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the South Orange County Reliability Enhancement Project.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards Forest Residents Opposing New Transmission Lines \$179,223.56 for contribution to Decision 16-12-064. This decision granted San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to improve reliability in its South Orange County Territory. Forest Residents Opposing New Transmission Lines requested \$196,852.32.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$179,223.56, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of SDG&E

(Comr Picker - Judge Farrar)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=183353643>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.*

---



---

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders (continued)

39

## **Compensation to The Utility Reform Network**

[15665]

R13-12-011

Order Instituting Rulemaking into Policies to Promote a Partnership Framework between Energy Investor Owned Utilities and the Water Sector to Promote Water-Energy Nexus Programs.

### **PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Awards The Utility Reform Network \$37,429.21 for contribution to Decisions (D.) 16-06-010, D.16-11-021, and D.16-12-047. These decisions addressed joint water-energy issue such as the nexus calculator, matinee rates, and consumer behaviors in response to data. The Utility Reform Network requested \$37,429.21.

### **SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

### **ESTIMATED COST:**

® \$37,429.21, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Gas Company, and Class A Water utilities.

(Comr Guzman Aceves - Judge Cooke)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=182946990>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Quasi-Legislative.*

---

## Regular Agenda

### Regular Agenda - Energy Orders

#### 40            **Track 2 Energy Storage Issues** [15541]

R15-03-011

Order Instituting Rulemaking to consider policy and implementation refinements to the Energy Storage Procurement Framework and Design Program (D.13-10-040, D.14-10-045) and related Action Plan of the California Energy Storage Roadmap.

#### **PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- ® Resolves all remaining issues for Track 2 of the Energy Storage Rulemaking except Multiple Use Applications.
- ® Does not expand utility energy storage targets, but sets forth a process for implementing Assembly Bill 2868 which requires utility procurement of an additional 500 megawatts of specific energy storage resources.
- ® Affirms the one percent Energy Service Provider/Community Choice Aggregator energy storage procurement target, but establishes a limiter on that obligation to ensure parity with utility energy storage procurement obligations.
- ® Declines to modify prior decisions on eligibility of certain resources types to count towards utility energy storage procurement targets.
- ® Adopts rules regarding the treatment of station power used to charge energy storage devices.
- ® This proceeding remains open to address issues surrounding Multiple Use Application.

#### **SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- ® Determines that Safety and Enforcement Division should move forward with their utility-owned energy storage inspection checklist and that no General Order is Required to utilize the checklist.

#### **ESTIMATED COST:**

- ® No direct costs as a result of decision, however, there will be ratepayer costs as a result of implementation of Assembly Bill 2868 which requires procurement of 500 megawatts of distributed energy storage resources at an unknown costs.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Cooke)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=184630306>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Quasi-Legislative.*

---

Agenda 3395, Item 27 4/6/2017 (Guzman Aceves )

---

**Regular Agenda - Communication Resolutions and Reports**

41  
[15627]      **Adopts Consumer Education Components for California  
LifeLine Discount Transfer Freeze and Enrollment Request  
Freeze and Implementation Details Regarding Service  
Failure Exceptions to Discount Transfer Freeze**

Res T- 17564

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Adoption of Resolution T-17564 will:

- ® Specify requirements and a process to implement the service failure exceptions to the 60-day California LifeLine discount transfer freeze.
- ® Set the trigger for when to start the California LifeLine discount transfer freeze duration.
- ® Provide the necessary components for educating consumers about the California LifeLine discount transfer freeze and the enrollment request freeze.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® The California LifeLine Program provides discounted telephone service to qualified low-income households. Reliable telephone service is crucial for access to 211 for essential community services, to 311 for non-emergency municipal services, to 511 for traffic and transportation information, to 811 for public infrastructure underground location information, and to 911 to reach police, fire and emergency medical responders when fire, natural disasters, medical emergencies, or other crises occur.

® In order to continue providing service to California LifeLine participants, the requirements and process for handling the failure to provide service exception to the discount transfer freeze attempts to mitigate the circumstance during which a California LifeLine participant may have a service related issue while trying to balance the requirement to give service providers the opportunity to resolve the service issue.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® At least \$310,000.

® \$25,280 (development cost) + \$235,000 (incremental cost to use a letter instead of a postcard for approved transfers) + \$32,000 (insert in application packets) + \$14,434 (1 unit mandatory-optional cost for additional contacts) + \$4,002 (1 unit mandatory-optional cost for changes to scripts) + unquantifiable cost of Interactive Voice Response/Customer Service Record minutes.

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=185037366>

*Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.*

---

---

**Regular Agenda - Orders Extending Statutory Deadline**

42                    **Order Extending Statutory Deadline**  
[15615]

I15-11-006

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission’s Own Motion into the Operations and Practices of Southern California Edison Company; Notice of Opportunity for Hearing; and Order to Show Cause Why the Commission Should not Impose Fines and Sanctions for the September 30, 2013 Incident at a Huntington Beach Underground Vault.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Extends the statutory deadline for completion of this proceeding until September 4, 2017.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® There are no safety considerations implicated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® There are no costs associated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

(Comr Randolph - Judge Kim)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=180409856>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Adjudicatory.*

---

---

Regular Agenda - Orders Extending Statutory Deadline (continued)

43

**Order Extending Statutory Deadline**

[15666]

C16-05-010

Rebecca White, Fred Avalos, Jason Sullivan, Uel Furnas, Jeff Charist, Steve & Teresa Poole, John Rosh, Jeff Sindlinger, Leroy Chism, Jesus Gallardo and Todd Tenhet vs. California Water Service Company.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

® Extends the statutory deadline for completion of this proceeding until November 17, 2017.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

® There are no safety considerations implicated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

® There are no costs associated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

(Comr Guzman Aceves - Judge Burcham)

<http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=181182768>

*Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Adjudicatory.*

---

## **Regular Agenda - Legal Division Matters**

## **Regular Agenda - Legislative and Other Matters**

## **Regular Agenda - Commissioner Reports**



**Regular Agenda - Management Reports and Resolutions**

44            **Report and Discussion on Recent Consumer Protection and**  
[15580]       **Safety Activities**

45            **Management Report on Administrative Activities**  
[15581]

## Closed Session

*This notice is furnished under Government Code Sections 11125 and 11126.3. The Commission will meet in Closed Session following the Public Session of its regularly scheduled meeting. In the Closed Session, the Commission may consider personnel matters as provided under Government Code Section 11126(a), institution of proceedings or disciplinary actions against any person or entity under the jurisdiction of the Commission as provided under Government Code Sections 11126(d)(2) and 11126(e)(2)(C)(i), and pending litigation as provided under Government Code Section 11126(e). Additional items may be added to the closed session agenda pursuant to Gov. Code Section 11126.3(d). If in Closed Session the Commission votes to appoint, employ, or dismiss a public employee, the Commission will thereafter reconvene in Open Session to make the disclosures required by Government Code Sections 11125.2 and 11126.3(f).*

### Closed Session - Applications for Rehearing

46                    **Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing**  
[15582]

Compilation of applications for rehearing recently filed with the Commission.

*Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B)(i), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.*

---

47                    **Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing**  
[15374]

A14-10-015, A15-01-005 - Related matters.

Disposition of application for rehearing of Decision (D.)16-02-024, filed by Curtin Maritime Corporation (Curtin). In D.16-02-024 the Commission granted the applications of Avalon Freight Services, LLC (AFS) and Curtin, respectively, for certificates of public convenience and necessity (CPCNs) to provide freight vessel service to Santa Catalina Island, 26 miles off the coast of California.

*Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B)(i), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.*

---

Agenda 3389, Item 62 12/1/2016;  
Agenda 3390, Item 70 12/15/2016;  
Agenda 3391, Item 60 1/19/2017;  
Agenda 3393, Item 32 3/2/2017;  
Agenda 3394, Item 38 3/23/2017;  
Agenda 3395, Item 40 4/6/2017

---

Closed Session - Applications for Rehearing (continued)

48                    **Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing**  
[15626]

(ECP) C16-01-005

Disposition of Application for Rehearing of Decision (D.) 16-06-017 (or Decision), filed by Gulsen Maloney (Ms. Maloney). The Decision approved a partial settlement between Ms. Maloney and AT&T, but denied all relief not granted.

*Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B)(i), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.*

---

49                    **Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing**  
[15635]

A13-10-021

Disposition of the application for rehearing of D.16-08-002 (Decision) filed jointly by the Center for Biological Diversity and Citizen Intervenors (together, the Intervenors). The Decision granted Southern California Edison Company (SCE) a Permit to Construct (PTC) the Moorpark-Newbury 66 Kilovolt (kV) Subtransmission Line (Line), subject to an approved Mitigation, Monitorin, Reporting & Compliance Program. As the Lead Agency for environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the Decision also certified the Environmental Impact Report as CEQA compliant.

---

**Closed Session - Initiation of Litigation - Non-Federal**

Consideration of possible Commission initiation of, or intervention in, litigation.

*Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(C)(i) and/or § 11126(d)(2), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.*

50                    **Conference with Legal Counsel - Initiation of Litigation**  
[15649]

I. \_\_\_\_\_

Deliberation on institution of investigation of proceedings or disciplinary actions against persons or entities under the Commission's jurisdiction. (Disclosure of the case name would fail to protect the private economic or business reputation of the person or entity if the proceeding or disciplinary actions is not commenced.)

*Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(C)(i), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.*

*Gov. Code § 11126(d)(2), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.*

---

## **Closed Session - Threatened Litigation - Non-Federal**

Significant exposure to litigation

*Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session*

**Closed Session - Existing Litigation - Non-Federal**

## **Closed Session - Appeals from Presiding Officer's Decisions**

Discussion of Appeals of Presiding Officer's Decision.

*Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(h), allows a Presiding Officer's Decision to be considered in Closed Session*

**Closed Session - Federal**



## **Closed Session - Initiation of Litigation - Federal**

Consideration of possible Commission initiation of, or intervention in, federal agency or court proceedings.

*Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(C)(i), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.*

## **Closed Session - Threatened Litigation - Federal**

Significant exposure to litigation in federal agency or court proceedings.

*Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session*

**Closed Session - FERC Docket No. EL00-95-000, et al.**

Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation; San Diego Gas & Electric Co., FERC Docket No. EL00-95, Investigation of Practices of the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, FERC Docket EL00-98, and related dockets, CPUC v. FERC, Ninth Circuit Nos. 01-71051, et al., and 01-71934, et al., and related dockets.

*Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(A), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.*

## **Closed Session - Administrative Matters**

Consideration and discussion of administrative matters.

*Public Utilities Code section 1701.1(f) allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.*

## **Closed Session - Personnel Matters**

Consideration of appointment, employment, evaluation of performance, or dismissal of a public employee or to hear complaints or charges brought against that employee by another person or employee.

*Gov. Code § 11126(a), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session*