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DECISION ADOPTING IN PART AND REJECTING IN PART THE STAFF PROPOSAL TO REPEAL OR REVISE UTILITY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Summary

This decision adopts in part and rejects in part a Staff Proposal to repeal or revise 29 utility reporting requirements. The Staff Proposal arose from the Solicitation for Input process and addresses a wide range of reporting requirements. This decision adopts, in whole or in part, 19 of the items in the Staff Proposal and declines to adopt 10 of the items in the Staff Proposal.

This decision repeals the following reporting requirements:

- Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E)’s annual report on sole-customer facility sale transactions required by Decision (D.) 99-12-030;
- Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E)’s triennial system expansion study required by D.07-12-019;
- SDG&E’s quarterly and annual Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) reports required by D.07-04-043;
- the annual caller ID blocking report required of competitive local exchange carriers by D.96-04-049;
- Southern California Edison Company (SCE)’s annual report on executive compensation required by D.04-07-022; and
- PG&E’s demand response estimate required by D.06-07-027.

This decision modifies the frequency of the following reporting requirements:

- PG&E’s Safety Net Program Report required by D.04-05-055;
- PG&E’s Quality Assurance Program Report required by D.04-05-055;
• PG&E’s call center performance reports required by D.95-09-073;
• the Cogeneration and Small Power Production Report required by Resolution E-1738;
• SCE’s service guarantee report required by D.04-07-022;
• PG&E’s mover services report required by Resolution G-3417;
• SDG&E’s and SoCalGas’ mover services reports required by Resolution G-3456; and
• the California Solar Initiative (CSI) Thermal Program progress report required pursuant to D.10-01-022.

This decision modifies the due dates for the following reporting requirements:

• The reports required pursuant to General Order (GO) 77-M;
• PG&E’s annual Gas Pipeline Replacement Program report required by D.86-12-095; and
• PG&E’s annual Meter Protection Program report required by D.89-12-057.

This decision makes additional modifications to utility reporting requirements as follows:

• D.10-09-046 is modified to allow CSI Program Administrators’ quarterly reports to be made available on the official public reporting site for the CSI program in lieu of filing the report in a CSI proceeding.
• The Rule 21 Telemetering Quarterly Report and the Rule 21 Net Generation Output Metering Equipment Quarterly Report required by D.00-11-001 are consolidated into the Rule 21 Interconnection Data Quarterly Report required by D.14-04-003.
• GO 69-C is modified to correct typographical errors.
This decision does not adopt Staff’s recommendations to repeal or revise the following reporting requirements:

- GO 65-A;
- GO 152-A;
- SCE’s annual report on energy savings and AMI-related benefits required by D.08-09-039;
- GO 107-B;
- the CSI Program Administrators’ quarterly report required by D.10-09-046;
- the report on transmission and generation interconnection projects required by D.06-09-003;
- the CSI administrative activities expense report required by D.07-05-047;
- the CSI Thermal Program expense report required by D.10-01-022;
- the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Decommissioning Reports; and
- the quarterly report on interruptible load programs and demand response programs requested by Staff.

This decision also finds that Staff’s recommendations to repeal or revise the following reporting requirements are moot:

- PG&E’s AMI reports required by D.06-07-027; and
- the quarterly reports on installed customer generation required of SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E pursuant to D.03-04-030.
1. **Background**

On January 28, 2015, Commission staff released a Solicitation for Input (SFI)\(^1\) inviting informal input from the public on what revisions, if any, should be made to dozens of rules and requirements applicable to public utilities in California that appeared to be out-of-date and appropriate for revision or deletion due to changes in technology and markets, changes in state and federal jurisdiction, changes in the Commission’s mission, and other changes in circumstances due to the passage of time. The SFI is a process that gives the public an opportunity to provide informal input regarding proposed changes to Commission policy, procedure, and regulation prior to the Commission’s initiation of a formal proceeding.\(^2\)

Informal comments on the SFI were received on March 27, 2015, and reply comments were received on April 17, 2015. The following entities submitted comments: AT&T California (AT&T); California Water Association; California Cable & Telecommunications Association; the Consumer Federation of California; Frontier Communications Company of California; Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E); Southern California Edison Company (SCE); Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas); San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E); The Utility Reform Network (TURN); The Small Local Exchange Carriers;\(^3\) and Verizon Communications.\(^4\)

\(^1\) The SFI can be found at: [http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/hottopics/7other/sfi.htm](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/hottopics/7other/sfi.htm).

\(^2\) See Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR) 15-12-006 at 1-2.

Based on the SFI process, on July 23, 2015, the Commission opened Rulemaking (R.) 15-07-025 in order to consider the repeal of three General Orders (GOs). The Commission adopted a final decision, Decision (D.) 15-08-034, in R.15-07-025 on August 27, 2015.

On December 3, 2015, the Commission opened this rulemaking to consider a Staff Proposal to revise or repeal 29 utility reports and GOs. The Staff Proposal contains the second tranche of proposals for modernization of the Commission’s existing GOs and utility reporting requirements under the SFI process. The Staff Proposal contains 6 staff proposals originally included in the January 2015 SFI and 23 new recommendations made by commenters in their SFI comments.

Initial comments on the OIR were filed on January 11, 2016 by AT&T, Liberty Utilities, TURN, SCE, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA), SCE/SoCalGas (jointly), California Water Association (CVA), and PG&E. Reply comments on the OIR were filed on January 25, 2016 by AT&T, Liberty Utilities, TURN, SDG&E/SoCalGas (jointly), and SCE.

On September 8, 2016, the assigned Commissioner issued a Scoping Memo and Ruling Requesting Additional Comments on Staff Proposal (Scoping Memo) setting forth the scope of issues, category, need for hearing, and schedule for this proceeding. The Scoping Memo also requested additional comments on Items 5, 6, 9, 14, and 23 of the Staff Proposal.

---

4 The Commission incorporated these comments into the record of this proceeding. (R.15-12-006 at Ordering Paragraph (OP) 3.)

5 The Staff Proposal is attached as Attachment A to the OIR.
Comments on the Scoping Memo were filed on September 29, 2016 by ORA, PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E. Reply Comments on the Scoping Memo were filed on October 6, 2016 by SCE and SDG&E.

2. Discussion

2.1. Item 1 of Staff Proposal: GO 65-A

GO 65-A, dating from 1968, requires public utilities with gross operating revenues of at least $200,000 to file copies of their financial statements, annual reports, and statements to shareholders with the Commission.6

The Staff Proposal states that GO 65-A’s reporting requirements pre-date the availability of corporate financial information on public websites and that it is a waste of resources to require companies to file paper reports with the information easily accessible online. Item 1 of the Staff Proposal recommends that GO 65-A be modified to state that public utilities whose financial statements and shareholder reports are available on a public website are not required to file copies of such statements and reports with the Commission.

Most parties commenting on this item are supportive of the recommendation in the Staff Proposal.7 TURN does not oppose the

6 The Commission requires utilities to submit compliance reports in several different ways. These include filing reports with the Commission’s Executive Director or with an Industry Division or Division director. In some instances, the Commission has ordered utilities to file reports in the docket of a proceeding (document type “Compliance Filings”). All utilities should regularly update their recipients list to include currently appropriate Commission staff and to send compliance reports to specific staff as directed. Utilities should also comply with an Industry Division’s direction regarding the method of submitting compliance reports to that Industry Division or Division director. For example, Energy Division has formally requested that all utilities send all reports to EnergyDivisionCentralFiles@cpuc.ca.gov in addition to any other required formal distribution.

7 CWA Initial Comments at 2; AT&T Initial Comments at 1-2; Liberty Utilities Initial Comments at 1; SDG&E/SoCalGas Initial Comments at 3.
recommendation but comments that the Staff Proposal does not discuss how the Commission will monitor compliance with the revised GO. TURN recommends that, at a minimum, the Commission should require utilities that meet the reporting threshold to file a request for an exemption identifying where the information can be located and to update the Commission if there are changes to the location of the information.\textsuperscript{8}

We find that the availability of this information online is not a substitute for having utilities file this information with the Commission. Information filed with the Commission carries obligations for accuracy pursuant to Rule 1.1 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure. Moreover, although the utilities’ recent financial statements and shareholder reports may be publicly available online, it is unclear what historic information would be available. Staff’s proposed modification would also make it more difficult for the Commission to monitor compliance with GO 65-A. Therefore, we decline to adopt the Staff Proposal’s recommended modification to GO 65-A.

\textbf{2.2. Item 2 of Staff Proposal: PG&E Report on Sole-Customer Facility Sale Transactions}

Pub. Util. Code § 851 requires public utilities to obtain Commission authorization prior to transferring or encumbering utility property. In D.99-12-030, the Commission authorized PG&E to use an advice letter process to sell and convey sole-customer facilities valued at $250,000 or less. D.99-12-030 also required PG&E to file an annual report with the Energy Division and ORA

\textsuperscript{8} TURN Reply Comments at 1-2.
listing any and all sales entered into and approved by the Commission pursuant to the advice letter procedures described in the decision. 9

GO 173 authorizes utilities to use an advice letter process to obtain Commission authorization for certain transfers of interests in utility property valued at $5 million or less. 10 Rule 10 of GO 173 requires each utility that has filed one or more advice letters to submit an annual list of these advice letters to the appropriate Industry Division.

Item 2 of the Staff Proposal recommends eliminating PG&E’s annual report required pursuant to D.99-12-030 on the grounds that it duplicates the reporting requirements applicable to all public utilities under GO 173. The Staff Proposal recommends modifying Rule 10 of GO 173 to state that the annual reporting requirement replaces the annual report required in D.99-12-030. No parties commented on Item 2 of the Staff Proposal.

We agree that the annual report required by D.99-12-030 is duplicative of the annual report required by GO 173. All of the transactions listed in PG&E’s annual D.99-12-030 report should also be listed in PG&E’s annual GO 173 report. Therefore, we repeal D.99-12-030’s annual reporting requirement. However, as the requirements of GO 173 remain unchanged, we find it unnecessary to adopt Staff’s recommended modifications to GO 173.

2.3. Item 3 of Staff Proposal: GO 152-A

GO 152-A sets forth rules governing private line alarm service for telephone utilities with more than 100 private line alarm company serving links.

---

9 D.99-12-030 at 4.

10 In order to be eligible for advice letter treatment, the transactions must meet the criteria set forth in Rule 3 of GO 173.
GO 152-A requires telephone utilities to measure and meet standard service levels for installation, maintenance, and operation of private line alarm services and to submit quarterly reports to the Commission for performance that falls below specified reporting service levels.

Item 3 of the Staff Proposal recommends that GO 152-A’s reporting requirements be repealed. Staff explicitly do not address the merits of a stand-alone proceeding to examine the continued applicability and usefulness of GO 152-A. However, Staff contend that the declining number of private line alarm links suggests that the alarm services subject to GO 152-A are no longer a significant part of the alarm market, and that, therefore, GO 152-A’s reporting requirements make a *de minimis* contribution to the Commission’s service quality oversight.

In comments on Item 3, TURN and ORA recommend that the Commission retain the reporting requirements. TURN argues that although subscriptions for private line alarm service may have declined, a malfunctioning alarm system would be a significant public safety problem for those customers that continue to rely on this service.\(^\text{11}\) TURN also notes that GO 152-A has a compliance threshold of 100 private line alarm company serving links, and that there is no evidence that this threshold is inappropriate.\(^\text{12}\)

AT&T filed comments in support of Item 3. AT&T argues that as the usage of private line alarm service has dropped substantially and there are only a handful of installations in a reporting period, it is difficult to question Staff’s contention that this reporting makes a “*de minimis* contribution” to the

---

11 TURN Initial Comments at 4.
12 TURN Initial Comments at 5.
Commission’s service quality oversight. AT&T also argues that private line alarm service does not carry special public safety concerns.

The Staff Proposal only addresses GO 152-A’s reporting requirements and specifically declines to address the merits of a stand-alone proceeding to examine the continued applicability and usefulness of GO 152-A. However, it is difficult to assess whether GO 152-A’s reporting requirements should be repealed absent a determination regarding the applicability and usefulness of GO 152-A. To the extent that the Commission is requiring telephone utilities to meet GO 152-A’s standards of service, it should have oversight regarding whether those standards are, in fact, being met. Repealing GO 152-A’s reporting requirements would make it difficult for the Commission to determine whether GO 152-A’s service standards are being met.

Even if GO 152-A’s reporting requirements were repealed, telephone utilities would still have to collect data regarding installation, maintenance, and operation of private line alarm services in order to comply with the other provisions of GO 152-A. Given that telephone utilities will still have to collect this data, the incremental administrative burden of submitting a quarterly report to the Commission is not significant. GO 152-A only requires reports to be submitted to the Commission where telephone utilities perform at or below the reporting service levels.

Based on the foregoing, we decline to adopt Staff’s recommendation to repeal GO 152-A’s reporting requirements. The question of whether to repeal GO 152-A’s reporting requirements should be taken up with the broader question of whether GO 152-A’s standards of service for private line alarm service should remain in effect. The Staff Proposal does not address this broader question and it is outside the scope of this proceeding.
2.4. Item 4 of Staff Proposal: SDG&E and SoCalGas System Expansion Study

D.07-12-019 approved a proposal for SoCalGas and SDG&E to perform a system expansion study of the SoCalGas and SDG&E interconnect points, backbone system, and storage facilities every three years. D.07-12-019 authorized SoCalGas and SDG&E to recover from ratepayers the reasonable costs of these system expansion studies and third party review of these studies.\textsuperscript{13} In approving these system expansion studies, the Commission noted that “All customers have the potential to benefit from the expansion of system capacity.”\textsuperscript{14}

Item 4 of the Staff Proposal recommends the repeal of the triennial system expansion study. According to Staff, it does not appear that any party has relied on these studies and continuing to require these studies is an imprudent use of SoCalGas, SDG&E, and ratepayer resources.

SDG&E and SoCalGas filed comments in support of Item 4. No other parties filed comments on Item 4.

We agree that D.07-12-019’s requirement that SDG&E and SoCalGas perform a system expansion study every three years should be repealed. As observed by Staff, the usefulness of these studies is doubtful. There is no evidence that these system expansion studies have resulted in any expansion of system capacity or any benefit to ratepayers.\textsuperscript{15} With respect to natural gas receipt point expansions, the Commission previously determined that it is more appropriate for utilities to produce cost estimates for specific expansion

\textsuperscript{13} D.07-12-019 at OP 30.
\textsuperscript{14} Id. at 95.
\textsuperscript{15} SoCalGas SFI Comments at 3.
proposals as there are numerous variables that could affect system expansion and the utilities cannot model every likely permutation.16

2.5. Item 5 of Staff Proposal: Advanced Metering Infrastructure Reports

Item 5 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing the quarterly and annual Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) implementation reports required of PG&E pursuant to D.06-07-027, SDG&E pursuant to D.07-04-043, and SCE pursuant to D.08-09-039. Staff contend that close monitoring of AMI deployment is no longer necessary because AMI rollout is nearing completion for all three utilities.

SDG&E/SoCalGas filed initial comments in support of Item 5. SDG&E supports Item 5 since AMI metering is now completed for over 99% of its customer base.17

TURN filed reply comments recommending that the Commission continue to require limited, annual reporting on AMI rollout, at least by PG&E.18 TURN argues that not all of the electric utilities are as far along in AMI rollout as SDG&E and that the Commission should have a continued interest in monitoring the extent to which the utilities are maximizing ratepayer benefits from this investment.

The Staff Proposal did not address the AMI reporting requirements with much specificity. Because it appeared that there were varying AMI reporting requirements for each utility, the Scoping Memo sought additional information

16 D.06-09-039 at 35.
17 SDG&E/SoCalGas Initial Comments at 4.
18 TURN Reply Comments at 2-3.
regarding the specific reporting requirements that would be affected if the Commission were to adopt Item 5, as well as on any additional AMI-related reporting requirements that would remain unaffected by the adoption of Item 5.

PG&E, SDG&E, SCE, and ORA submitted comments on the Scoping Memo. SDG&E and SCE submitted reply comments.

The Commission approved AMI rollout plans for PG&E, SDG&E, and SCE in D.06-07-027, D.07-04-043, and D.08-09-039, respectively. These decisions imposed varying reporting requirements on each utility regarding the status of AMI deployment and implementation, the benefits of AMI, and/or the status of AMI technology. Given the differences in each utility’s AMI-related reporting requirements, we address each utility’s reporting requirements separately.\textsuperscript{19}

\textbf{2.5.1. PG&E}

D.06-07-027 imposed the following AMI-related reporting requirements on PG&E:

- OP 4 of D.06-07-027, directed PG&E to provide ORA\textsuperscript{20} and Energy Division with monthly (or more frequent as needed) status reports on the AMI project.

- OP 16 of D.06-07-027 directed PG&E to provide a semi-annual report on AMI deployment to the Chief Administrative Law Judge, Energy Division, ORA, and all other parties in the AMI proceeding.

\textsuperscript{19} The only AMI-related decisions the Staff Proposal references are D.06-07-027, D.07-04-043, and D.08-09-039 and no party has requested the repeal of any additional AMI-related reporting requirement. Therefore, this decision does not consider the repeal of any AMI-related reporting requirements other than those specified in D.06-07-027, D.07-04-043, and D.08-09-039.

\textsuperscript{20} ORA was formerly known as the Division of Ratepayer Advocates.
Staff’s proposal to repeal PG&E’s AMI reports required by D.06-07-027 is moot. The Commission has already authorized PG&E to end its SmartMeter™ program reporting requirements.\textsuperscript{21} PG&E filed its final SmartMeter Steering Committee Update Report in June 2014 and its final AMI Deployment Report in December 2014.\textsuperscript{22}

\textbf{2.5.2. SDG&E}

D.07-04-043 imposed the following AMI-related reporting requirements on SDG&E:

- OP 3 of D.07-04-043 directed SDG&E to provide quarterly reports on AMI implementation progress to Energy Division.

- D.07-04-043 at 15 required SDG&E to submit an annual report on SDG&E’s progress in deploying AMI and the industry status of AMI-related technologies prepared by the AMI Technology Advisory Panel to Energy Division.

SDG&E’s AMI balancing account (AMIBA) is closed pursuant to Advice Letter 2934-E/2499-G, which was effective as of August 28, 2016. SDG&E contends that with the closure of the AMIBA, the obligation to provide the AMI reports is no longer necessary.\textsuperscript{23} No Commission decision has expressly ended SDG&E’s AMI reporting requirements set forth in D.07-04-043. Given that these reports address AMI implementation and deployment, and given that SDG&E’s deployment is complete for over 99% of its customer base, we agree that these reports are no longer necessary and should be repealed. Contrary to ORA’s

\textsuperscript{21} D.14-08-032 at OP 21.

\textsuperscript{22} PG&E Comments on Scoping Memo at 2.

\textsuperscript{23} SDG&E Reply Comments on Scoping Memo at 2.
assertions,\textsuperscript{24} these reports do not contain information regarding critical peak pricing, demand response, or energy efficiency programs.

\textbf{2.5.3. SCE}

D.08-09-039 imposed the following AMI-related reporting requirement on SCE:

- OP 3 of D.08-09-039 directed SCE to file an annual report on the energy savings and associated financial benefits of all demand response, load control, and conservation programs enabled by AMI in April of each year until April 2019.

SCE contends that this annual report is redundant because the same information is provided in the Smart Grid Annual Deployment Plan Update required by D.10-06-047.\textsuperscript{25} Although there is some overlap between these two reports, we find that the information in SCE’s annual report is not redundant or unnecessary in light of the Smart Grid report. For example, the annual report required by D.08-09-039 provides information on AMI benefits that is not provided in the Smart Grid report. The information in the annual report continues to be useful, and therefore, we do not repeal this reporting requirement at this time. As ordered in D.08-09-039, SCE shall continue to submit this report until April 2019.\textsuperscript{26}

\textsuperscript{24} ORA Comments on Scoping Memo at 1.

\textsuperscript{25} SCE Reply Comments on Scoping Memo at 2.

\textsuperscript{26} PG&E is currently required to submit a similar report in April of each year until April 2019. (D.09-03-026 at OP 10.) PG&E’s report is not an issue in this proceeding.
2.6. Item 6 of Staff Proposal: Annual 
Caller ID Blocking Report

In a series of decisions in the early to mid-1990s, the Commission 
adopted customer notification and education rules applicable to local exchange 
carriers (LECs) and competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) regarding 
calling party number passage, commonly known as Caller ID. The purpose of 
these rules was to ensure that customers were fully informed about the 
implications of the then-novel service and enable them to protect their rights.

According to the Staff Proposal, among these rules is the requirement that 
LECs and CLECs submit an Annual Caller ID Blocking Report containing 
information on, inter alia: number of subscribers, number of subscribers selecting 
Caller ID Blocking, number of subscribers changing their Caller ID Blocking 
selections, etc. Item 6 of the Staff Proposal proposes repealing the Annual Caller 
ID Blocking Report for both LECs and CLECs because Caller ID is no longer a 
novel service. In its comments, AT&T indicated its support for Item 6. No other 
parties commented on the item.

As described in the Staff Proposal, D.96-04-049 requires CLECs to file an 
Annual Caller ID Blocking Report. However, the reporting requirements for 
LECs differ from that stated in the Staff Proposal. OP 8 of D.92-06-065, as 
modified by D.92-11-062, requires LECs to file semi-annual (rather than annual) 
compliance reports on all privacy-related Custom Local Access Signaling

27 D.92-06-065; D.92-11-062; D.96-04-049.
28 See D.96-04-049 at 17.
29 D.96-04-049 at 17 and Attachment at Rule 14.
30 The OPs of D.92-06-065, as modified by D.92-11-062, are set forth in Attachment 1 of 
D.92-11-062.
Services (or “CLASS services”). These decisions define CLASS services as including Call Block, Call Return, Call Trace, Caller ID, Priority Ringing, Repeat Dialing, and Select Call Forwarding.31

In light of the discrepancy between the LECs’ reporting requirements set forth in these decisions and the Staff Proposal, the Scoping Memo invited additional comment regarding how the adoption of Item 6 would affect LECs’ reporting requirements. No parties filed comments on this item in response to the Scoping Memo.

As noted by Staff, the Annual Caller ID Blocking Report was developed to accompany the rollout of a new service. We agree that, as Caller ID is no longer a novel service, there is no longer a need for the report on Caller ID Blocking. Therefore, we repeal the requirement in D.96-04-049 that CLECs submit an annual report on Caller ID Blocking.

We decline to make any modifications to LECs’ reporting requirements. Contrary to what is stated in the Staff Proposal, LECs are not required to file an Annual Caller ID Blocking Report. Rather, D.92-06-065, as modified by D.92-11-062, requires LECs to file a semi-annual report on all privacy-related CLASS Services. Neither the Staff Proposal nor parties’ comments address this report.32

---

31 D.92-11-062 at 1-2.
32 Item 6 was based on AT&T’s recommendation in its SFI comments. AT&T’s SFI comments only mentioned the CLEC Caller ID Blocking Report required by D.96-04-049. (AT&T SFI Comments at 6.)
2.7. Item 7 of Staff Proposal: GO 107-B

Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Sections 7905 and 7906, GO 107-B sets forth rules and regulations concerning the privacy of telephone conversations. Section I.A. of GO 107-B requires telephone corporations to file an annual report of all instances where their employees find a wiretap device or suspect that a wiretap device had been installed on their networks. Section I.C. requires telephone corporations to file an annual report of any changes in the steps being taken to ensure privacy and to maintain secrecy of communications. Section I.D. requires telephone corporations to file these annual reports even if there are no incidents under Section I.A. or changes under Section I.C. to report.

In its SFI comments, Verizon proposed eliminating the annual report on found wiretaps or listening devices as it has not reported any of these devices for years. Item 7 of the Staff Proposal recommends retaining the reporting requirement but amending GO 107-B to eliminate the requirement that telephone corporations file an annual report if there are no incidents under Section I.A. or changes under Section I.C. to report. Staff contend that a report stating that there is nothing to report is of little value and imposes an unnecessary administrative burden on telephone corporations. In its comments, AT&T indicated its support for Item 7. No other parties commented on Item 7 of the Staff Proposal.

To the extent that the Commission is requiring the annual reports under GO 107-B, it is preferable to have a complete record. Eliminating the requirement that telephone companies file the annual report when there are no incidents to report could cause uncertainty and confusion. For example, if a report for a particular year was missing, it would be unclear whether the telephone corporation had no incidents to report that year or if the filed report
had merely been misplaced. Therefore, we decline to adopt Item 7 of the Staff Proposal.

2.8. Item 8 of Staff Proposal: Top Ten SCE Executives’ Total Compensation

D.04-07-022 requires SCE to file an annual report on the total compensation received by each of its ten most highly compensated executives.\(^{33}\) Pursuant to GO 77-M, SCE is required to file an annual report detailing the names, titles, duties, and compensation of all Executive Officers and any other employees with a base salary of at least $250,000 per year, and the names, titles, duties, and compensation of other employees with a base salary of at least $125,000 per year.

Item 8 of the Staff Proposal proposes repealing the annual report required by D.04-07-022 because it is duplicative of information in the annual report required by GO 77-M. No parties commented on Item 8 of the Staff Proposal.

We find the annual report required by D.04-07-022 to be duplicative of information in the annual report required by GO 77-M. Therefore, we repeal the report required by D.04-07-022.

2.9. Item 9 of Staff Proposal: Quarterly Reports on Installed Customer Generation

In D.03-04-030, the Commission adopted a Cost Responsibility Surcharge (CRS)\(^{34}\) for departing load served by customer generation. The Commission also provided an exemption for certain customer generation from paying different

\(^{33}\) D.04-07-022 at OP 13.

\(^{34}\) The CRS is a set of nonbypassable charges that include the Department of Water Resources (DWR) Bond Charge, the DWR Power Charge/Power Charge Indifference Adjustment, and the ongoing Competition Transition Charge.
components of the CRS for the first 3,000 MW of customer generation departing load. The Commission directed SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E to report quarterly to the Energy Division and the California Energy Commission, the amount of customer generation installed under the provisions of D.03-04-030.36

Item 9 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing the quarterly report on installed customer generation as the 3,000 MW cap on installed customer generation has been reached. No parties initially filed comments on Item 9.

SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E have already filed advice letters requesting modification to their tariffs for the CRS applicable to customer generation departing load based on the fact that the 3,000 MW cap has been reached. Among other things, these advice letters requested relief from the quarterly reporting requirement adopted in D.03-04-030 and Resolution E-3831. The Energy Division approved these advice letters on May 16, 2016.

The Scoping Memo sought additional comment regarding whether Item 9 was moot in light of the Energy Division’s approval of these advice letters. PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E all agree that Item 9 is moot.38

As the utilities are no longer required to file the quarterly reports that are the subject of Item 9, we find Item 9 of the Staff Proposal to be moot.

35 D.03-04-030 at OP 10.
36 D.03-04-030 at OP 18; Resolution E-3831 at OP 11.
37 SCE AL 3263-E/E-A; PG&E AL 4743-E/E-A; SDG&E AL 2778-E/E-A/E-B. The 3,000 MW cap included a 165 MW set-aside for the University of California and the California State University (UC/CSU). (D.03-04-030 at OP 11.) UC/CSU has not reached the 165 MW set-aside and the utilities’ advice letters maintain the set-aside until December 31, 2020.
38 PG&E Comments on Scoping Memo at 3; SCE Comments on Scoping Memo at 3; SDG&E Comments on Scoping Memo at 2.
2.10. Item 10 of Staff Proposal: CSI Program Administrators’ Quarterly Report

D.10-09-046 requires California Solar Initiative (CSI) Program Administrators to file in R.10-05-004, or in any successor proceeding, a quarterly report concerning funds encumbered for residential and non-residential incentives, as well as an estimate of funds needed for each Program Administrator to reach its MW program goals.

Item 10 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing this report. The Staff Proposal states that this report is no longer necessary as the information required in this report is available publicly online.

ORA filed comments opposing the elimination of this reporting requirement.\(^39\) ORA notes that the CSI report is available online only because of the reporting requirements imposed by OPs 5 and 6 of D.10-09-046. ORA proposes that rather than eliminate the reporting requirement, the Commission should amend the reporting requirement to allow online availability of the information, including historical information.

ORA is correct that there is no requirement that CSI Program Administrators post online the information required pursuant to OP 6 of D.10-09-046. In fact, the website where this information is currently posted states that the tables have been created to meet the requirements defined in OPs 5 and 6 of D.10-09-046.\(^40\)

We decline to repeal the reporting requirement set forth in OP 6 of D.10-09-046 as it appears that the information in this report would not be

\(^{39}\) ORA Initial Comments at 4.

\(^{40}\) [https://www.californiasolarstatistics.ca.gov/reports/budget_forecast](https://www.californiasolarstatistics.ca.gov/reports/budget_forecast).
available online but for this reporting requirement. However, Staff and parties are in agreement that it is not necessary for this report to be filed with the Commission so long as the information in the report is made available publicly online.\footnote{See Staff Proposal at 11; ORA Initial Comments at 4; PG&E SFI Comments.} Therefore, we modify OP 6 to state that in lieu of filing the required report in R.10-05-004 or a successor proceeding, the Program Administrators may make the information available online on the official public reporting site for the CSI program (\url{www.californiasolarstatistics.ca.gov} or successor website).

2.11. \textbf{Item 11 of Staff Proposal: Demand Response Estimate for Each Smart Rate Season}

D.06-07-027 requires PG&E to report annually to ORA and the Energy Division within 60 days of the end of each Critical Peak Pricing (CPP) season, the best estimate of demand response achieved during each CPP event, if any, including the number of customers (by class) on the CPP tariff and the participation rate of those customers during CPP events.\footnote{D.06-07-027 at 39-40 and OP 5.}

D.08-04-050 requires SCE, SDG&E, and PG&E to perform annual studies of their demand response activities using the protocols adopted by that decision, and to file evaluation reports on April 1 of each year on the previous year’s programs and estimates of future load impacts.\footnote{D.08-04-050 at OP 4 and Attachment A, Protocol 26.} D.08-04-050 requires the utilities to file these reports in R.07-01-041, a successor proceeding, or with the Commission’s Energy Division, and to serve the reports on the most recent service list for R.07-01-041 or a successor proceeding.\footnote{Ibid.}
Item 11 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing the demand response estimate required by D.06-07-027 because it is redundant in light of the load impact reports required by D.08-04-050. No parties filed comments on Item 11.

We agree with Staff that PG&E’s annual demand response estimate required by D.06-07-027 is redundant because this information is included as part of the annual load impact reports required pursuant to D.08-04-050. Therefore, we repeal PG&E’s demand response estimate required by D.06-07-027.

D.06-07-027 requires PG&E to submit the demand response estimate for each CPP season to ORA and the Energy Division. In its SFI Comments, PG&E stated that ORA and Energy Division receive the load impact reports required by D.08-04-050 as they are served on the service list for R.13-09-011, the successor proceeding to R.07-01-041. PG&E shall continue to ensure that the load impact reports required pursuant to D.08-04-050 are served on ORA and the Energy Division.

2.12. Item 12 of Staff Proposal: PG&E’s Safety Net Program

PG&E’s Safety Net program provides automatic bill credits for residential customers who lose service for at least 48 hours during a severe storm. Pursuant to D.04-05-055, PG&E must submit quarterly reports to the Commission on the Safety Net Program.45

Item 12 of the Staff Proposal recommends that the frequency of the Safety Net Program Report be changed from quarterly to annual. The Staff Proposal notes that storm-related service outages are largely seasonal and do not occur

45 D.04-05-055 at OP 1 and Attachment A, Appendix B, Paragraph 11.
with a frequency sufficient to justify quarterly reporting. No parties filed comments on Item 12.

We adopt Staff’s recommendation to require PG&E to submit annual rather than quarterly reports on the Safety Net Program. Staff state that annual reporting is sufficient for the Commission’s monitoring of the program and no parties have raised any objections.

2.13. Item 13 of Staff Proposal: PG&E Quality Assurance Standards

In D.00-02-046, as modified by D.04-05-055 and D.07-03-044, the Commission adopted the Quality Assurance Program for PG&E, which sets standards for PG&E’s customer service and compensatory rebates for customers if PG&E fails to meet those standards. D.04-05-055 requires PG&E to submit quarterly reports to the Commission on its compliance with the Quality Assurance Program.46

Item 13 of the Staff Proposal recommends that the frequency of the Quality Assurance Program Report be changed from quarterly to annual. Based on ten years of experience reviewing PG&E’s compliance with the program, Staff conclude that annual reporting is sufficient to monitor PG&E’s performance going forward. No parties filed comments on Item 13.

We adopt Staff’s recommendation to require PG&E to submit annual rather than quarterly reports on the Quality Assurance Program. Staff state that annual reporting is sufficient for the Commission’s monitoring of the program and no parties have raised any objections.

2.14. Item 14 of Staff Proposal: PG&E Call Center Performance Measure Report

According to the Staff Proposal, pursuant to D.04-10-034, PG&E is required to file quarterly reports concerning the timeliness of service provided by its customer service call centers. Item 14 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the frequency of this report from quarterly to annual. No parties filed initial or reply comments on Item 14.

The Scoping Memo sought additional information on Item 14 as nothing in D.04-10-034 requires PG&E to file quarterly reports on its call center performance. PG&E filed comments on the Scoping Memo clarifying that its call center performance report was a monthly report. PG&E contends that monthly reporting is no longer necessary and that annual reporting is sufficient.

Contrary to what is stated in the Staff Proposal, there is no current requirement that PG&E file quarterly reports on its call center performance. Rather, pursuant to D.95-09-073, PG&E is required to: “[s]ubmit monthly reports on daily and monthly call center performance, consistent with reporting

---

47 Item 14 is based on PG&E’s request in its SFI Comments that its call center performance reporting requirement be eliminated or, at the very least, modified to require reporting in every General Rate Case. PG&E’s SFI Comments had stated that this was a quarterly reporting requirement that had been imposed by D.04-10-034.
requirements for telephone utilities set forth in General Order 133.”

D.04-10-034 kept in place the reporting requirement imposed by D.95-09-073. Staff state that annual reporting is sufficient to monitor PG&E’s call center performance and no parties have opposed changing this reporting requirement to an annual report. Therefore, we adopt Staff’s recommendation to change the frequency of PG&E’s call center performance measure report to an annual report.

2.15. Item 15 of Staff Proposal: Cogeneration and Small Power Production Report

In Resolution E-1738, as modified by D.97-05-021, the Commission directed PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E to file a semi-annual Cogeneration and Small Power Production Report. Item 15 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the frequency of the report from semi-annual to annual based on the fact that the quantity of new relevant facilities has declined.

No parties opposed Item 15. SDG&E additionally argues that the report should be eliminated because the amount of information in the report has greatly diminished. SDG&E states that many qualifying facilities (QFs) have transitioned and are continuing to transition to either combined heat and power contracts or renewable contracts and that it has only received one new QF

---

48 D.95-09-073 at OP 1. The Commission has amended GO 133 since issuing D.95-09-073. (See D.09-07-019; D.16-08-021.) PG&E states that GO 133-C requires annual reporting on call center performance. (PG&E Comments on Scoping Memo at 4; see also GO 133-C at § 3.5(e).) GO 133-C has been superseded by GO 133-D, which requires quarterly reporting on this performance measure. (D.16-08-021 at OP 1; GO 133-D at § 3.5(e).)

49 See D.04-10-034 at OP 2 and Agreement 3 of Appendix A (“PG&E and ORA agree that no further reporting regarding major outages or excludable major events is required beyond the Commission’s current requirements.”); PG&E Comments on Scoping Memo at 3-4.

50 Resolution E-1738 at OP 4; D.97-05-021 at OP 1. D.02-10-009 requires the utilities to post these reports on their external web sites. (D.02-10-009 at 4 and Conclusion of Law (COL) 3.)
application in the past twenty years.\textsuperscript{51} TURN opposes SDG&E’s recommendation, arguing that SDG&E’s case is not indicative of the situation of all electric utilities because it has had and continues to have so few QFs.\textsuperscript{52}

In their respective SFI comments, both PG&E and SDG&E had recommended repealing the report. Staff had rejected this recommendation, finding a continued need for the report. Given that Staff find a continued need for the report, we decline to repeal this reporting requirement. In recognition of the fact that the quantity of new relevant facilities has declined, we adopt Staff’s recommendation to change the frequency of the report from semi-annual to annual.

\textbf{2.16. Item 16 of Staff Proposal: Quarterly Report on Transmission and Generation Projects}

D.06-09-003 requires PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E to submit quarterly reports to the Energy Division on the status of transmission and generation interconnection projects.\textsuperscript{53} In their SFI comments, both PG&E and SDG&E proposed reducing the frequency of this report to semi-annual on the grounds that new infrastructure projects do not develop at a rate that justifies quarterly updates. Item 16 of the Staff Proposal concurs with PG&E’s and SDG&E’s SFI Comments and recommends that the reporting frequency of these reports be changed to semi-annual.

SDG&E/SoCalGas filed comments supporting Item 16 for the reasons set forth in SDG&E’s SFI comments.

\textsuperscript{51} SDG&E/SoCalGas Initial Comments at 4.
\textsuperscript{52} TURN Reply Comments at 4.
\textsuperscript{53} D.06-09-003 at OP 1.
ORA opposes changing this reporting requirement to a semi-annual report.\textsuperscript{54} ORA notes that attorneys, consultants, and entities challenging the utilities’ transmission rates at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission rely on information in the reports and need it to be accurate and current. ORA states that the reports contain information, such as the projected on-line dates for projects, which change frequently, and are used to forecast rate recovery. ORA also states that the utilities should already be tracking this information, and therefore, it should not result in any hardship or meaningful cost to the utilities to continue to submit this information on a quarterly basis.

We do not adopt Item 16 of the Staff Proposal. According to ORA, there is information in the report that changes with sufficient frequency to justify quarterly reporting. Even if new infrastructure projects may not develop at a rate that justifies quarterly updates, neither the Staff Proposal nor parties supporting the Staff Proposal address whether semi-annual updates would be sufficient for other information contained in the report.

2.17. Item 17 of Staff Proposal: GO 77-M

GO 77-M requires utilities to file annual reports on employee compensation, dues, donations, subscriptions, contributions, and legal fees. Electric and gas corporations with gross annual operating revenues of $1 billion or more are required to file employee compensation reports on or before May 31 of each year. All other public utilities subject to GO 77-M are required to file employee compensation reports on or before March 31 of each year. All public utilities subject to GO 77-M with gross annual operating revenues of $500,000 or

\textsuperscript{54} ORA Initial Comments at 5-6.
more are required to file reports on dues, donations, subscriptions, contributions, and legal fees on or before March 31 of each year.

Item 17 of the Staff Proposal recommends harmonizing the filing dates of the GO 77-M reports so that all of the reports are due on May 31 of each year. In their SFI comments, PG&E, SCE, SoCalGas, and SDG&E recommended harmonizing the filing dates based on the fact that utilities routinely request from the Commission and are granted extensions of the March 31 filing due date to May 31. The Staff Proposal states that harmonizing the filing dates would eliminate the need for the annual extension requests, thereby reducing the administrative burden on Commission and utility staff. Parties commenting on this item were supportive of the staff’s recommendation.55

Given that extension requests to May 31 are routinely requested and granted, we adopt Staff’s recommendation to change the filing date for all the GO 77-M reports to May 31. With the adoption of these new filing dates, we expect that no further extension requests will be necessary.

CWA had also recommended changing GO 77-M’s employee compensation reporting thresholds. We do not consider this issue at this time as it was not included in the Staff Proposal and is outside the scope of this rulemaking.

2.18. Item 18 of Staff Proposal: SCE Service Guarantee Semi-Annual Report

In D.04-07-022, the Commission directed SCE to implement a service guarantee program, which includes compensatory rebates for customers when

55 CWA Initial Comments at 2; SDG&E/SoCalGas Initial Comments at 5; Liberty Utilities Initial Comments at 1; AT&T Initial Comments at 1-2.
SCE fails to meet adopted customer service standards. The Commission required SCE to report program results (number of claims made, claims paid, and amounts of moneys paid) to the Commission on a semi-annual basis. Pursuant to an agreement with ORA, SCE’s report also provides an explanation for any significant variances that occur from one month to another during the six-month reporting period.

Item 18 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the reporting frequency of SCE’s service guarantee report from semi-annual to annual. In its SFI comments, SCE argued that annual reporting would reduce the administrative burden on SCE while still allowing the Commission to monitor the program. The Staff Proposal agrees that annual reporting with explanations for significant variances is sufficient for the Commission’s monitoring of the program. No parties filed comments on Item 18.

Staff state that annual reporting on SCE’s service guarantee program is sufficient for the Commission’s monitoring of the program and no parties have raised any objections to changing the filing frequency. Therefore, we modify the reporting frequency of SCE’s service guarantee program report from semi-annual to annual. SCE’s report shall continue to provide an explanation for any significant variances that occur from one month to another during the reporting period.

---

56 D.04-07-022 at OP 12.
57 See SCE Advice Letter 1939-E-A.
2.19. Item 19 of Staff Proposal: Semi-Annual Mover Services Report

In Resolution G-3456, the Commission authorized SDG&E and SoCalGas to offer a “mover services program.” Under the program, the utility offers to put customers who have called to initiate or transfer their utility service in contact with companies selling products or services such as phone, video, trash removal, and security. In order to ensure consumer protection, the Commission required SDG&E and SoCalGas to keep a log of customer complaints regarding this service and to forward the log to the Commission’s Energy Division twice a year.  

Item 19 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the frequency of SDG&E’s and SoCalGas’ mover services report from semi-annual to annual because the program has generated few customer complaints. No party objected to changing the frequency of this report.

In its initial comments, PG&E requested that its semi-annual mover services report required by Resolution G-3417 also be changed from a semi-annual to an annual report. PG&E commented that its mover services program is similar to SDG&E’s and SoCalGas’ programs, and also receives a low number of program complaints. Based on PG&E’s comments, the Scoping Memo included within the scope of this proceeding the issue of whether the frequency of PG&E’s report should also be changed from semi-annual to annual.

---

58 Resolution G-3456 at OP 8.

59 SoCalGas’ SFI comments stated that it had 16 total complaints in 2014. SDG&E’s SFI comments stated that it had 14 total complaints in 2014.

60 PG&E Initial Comments at 2; see Resolution G-3417 at OP 6.
When initially imposing the semi-annual reporting requirement for PG&E in 2008, the Commission determined that it was important to monitor the new and untested service closely for the first few years but that the utility may request relief from the semi-annual requirement through advice letter once the program was established. The Commission imposed many of the same conditions it had imposed on PG&E’s program for SDG&E’s and SoCalGas’ programs authorized in 2011, including the semi-annual reporting requirement. As these programs are now established, and there appear to be a low number of customer complaints, we change the reporting frequency of the mover services report from semi-annual to annual. This change shall apply to the reports for SDG&E, SoCalGas, and PG&E.

2.20. Item 20 of Staff Proposal: CSI Thermal Program Quarterly Progress Report

D.10-01-022 requires CSI Thermal Program Administrators to submit quarterly progress reports on the CSI Thermal Program to the Energy Division. In its SFI comments, SCE argued that the reporting frequency should be changed to annual because its CSI Thermal Program is out of money and there is a lack of activity to justify quarterly reporting.

Item 20 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the reporting frequency of the progress report from quarterly to semi-annual. Although there is reduced activity in the program, Staff believe that the existing reports have ongoing value. No parties filed comments on Item 20.

---

61 Resolution G-3417 at 16.
62 Resolution G-3456 at 1 & 10.
63 D.10-01-022 at OP 13.c and Appendix A at 14.
We agree that reduced activity in the program justifies changing the reporting frequency from quarterly to semi-annual. Therefore, we adopt Item 20 of the Staff Proposal.

**2.21. Item 21 of Staff Proposal: CSI Semi-Annual Administrative Activity Expense Report**

D.07-05-047 requires CSI Program Administrators to submit semi-annual expense reports on all CSI administrative activities to the Director of the Energy Division.\(^{64}\) In their respective SFI comments, PG&E and SCE argued that the reporting frequency should be changed to annual due to reduced program activity.

Item 21 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the reporting frequency of the expense report from semi-annual to annual for the majority of the information in the report due to decreased program activity. However, Staff recommend that the category of CSI measurement and evaluation (M&E) labor expenses included in this report be submitted quarterly. According to Staff, this information has proven to be highly variable, and therefore, needs to be updated more frequently for effective budget management. No parties filed comments on Item 21.

Modifications to this semi-annual expense report are under consideration in the CSI proceeding, R.12-11-005.\(^{65}\) We find that changes to this report are best addressed in the CSI proceeding, and therefore, decline to adopt any changes in this proceeding.

---

\(^{64}\) D.07-05-047, Appendix A at ¶ 7.

\(^{65}\) Motion of Southern California Edison Company on Behalf of the California Solar Initiative Program Administrators Requesting Approval to Modify or Retire Remaining Reporting Requirements Pursuant to the California Solar Initiative General Market Program, filed on April 3, 2017 in R.12-11-005.
2.22. Item 22 of Staff Proposal: CSI Thermal Semi-Annual Expense Report

D.10-01-022 requires CSI Thermal Program Administrators to submit semi-annual expense reports on the CSI Thermal Program to the Energy Division. In its SFI comments, SCE argued that the reporting frequency should be changed to annual because its CSI Thermal Program is out of money and there is a lack of activity to justify semi-annual reporting.

Item 22 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the reporting frequency of the expense report from semi-annual to annual for the majority of the information in the report due to decreased program activity. However, Staff recommend that the category of CSI M&E labor expenses included in this report be submitted quarterly. According to Staff, this information has proven to be highly variable, and therefore, needs to be updated more frequently for effective budget management. No parties filed comments on Item 22.

Modifications to this semi-annual expense report are under consideration in the CSI proceeding, R.12-11-005. We find that changes to this report are best addressed in the CSI proceeding, and therefore, decline to adopt any changes in this proceeding.

2.23. Item 23 of Staff Proposal: San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) Unit 1 Decommissioning Report

Item 23 of the Staff Proposal recommends consolidating SDG&E’s Decommissioning Report for SONGS Unit 1 into the SONGS Units 2 and 3

---

66 D.10-01-022 at OP 13.a and Appendix A at 14.

67 Motion of Southern California Edison Company on Behalf of the California Solar Initiative Program Administrators Requesting Approval to Modify or Retire Remaining Reporting Requirements Pursuant to the California Solar Initiative General Market Program, filed on April 3, 2017 in R.12-11-005.
Decommissioning Report. This item is based on a recommendation made by SDG&E in its SFI comments. SDG&E/SoCalGas filed initial comments in support of Item 23. The only other party that filed comments on Item 23 was TURN. TURN states that it does not oppose Staff’s recommendation so long as there is no impact on the frequency, timing, or substance of the report.

Neither the Staff Proposal nor the parties’ comments provided sufficient information regarding the reporting requirements that are the subject of Item 23. Therefore, the Scoping Memo sought additional comment regarding these reporting requirements.

SDG&E is the only party that filed additional comments on Item 23. In its comments on the Scoping Memo, SDG&E withdrew its recommendation for Item 23. SDG&E argues that it is impracticable, if not infeasible, to consolidate the decommissioning reports for SONGS Unit 1 and SONGS Units 2 and 3 due to changes to the reporting requirements that occurred after it filed its SFI comments. SDG&E states that these reports are required by different Commission decisions and are filed on different schedules, with different types of information, and for different purposes.

SDG&E submits reports on its SONGS Unit 1 decommissioning costs pursuant to D.99-06-007, which requires SDG&E to submit quarterly reports on actual expenditures for decommissioning costs and withdrawals from the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund for SONGS Unit 1.

---

68 SDG&E/SoCalGas Initial Comments at 6.
69 TURN Reply Comments at 5.
70 SDG&E Comments on Scoping Memo at 6.
SDG&E’s reporting requirements for its SONGS Units 2 and 3 decommissioning costs are set forth in D.16-04-019, which was issued on April 26, 2016, after the opening of this rulemaking and issuance of the Staff Proposal. D.16-04-019 adopted decommissioning cost estimates for SONGS Units 2 and 3 and required SDG&E and SCE to submit two annual reports on decommissioning disbursements for SONGS Units 2 and 3 as Tier 2 advice letters. The first advice letter is for a forecast of expected decommissioning expenses in a given year, and the second advice letter is for the actual recorded costs.

SDG&E’s reporting requirements for its decommissioning costs related to SONGS Units 2 and 3 have changed since the issuance of the Staff Proposal. Given the differences in frequency, method of submittal, and substance, we find that the reports required by D.99-06-007 for SONGS Unit 1 should not be consolidated with the reports required by D.16-04-019 for SONGS Units 2 and 3. Therefore, we decline to adopt Item 23.

2.24. Item 24 of Staff Proposal: PG&E Gas Pipeline Replacement Program Report

D.86-12-095 requires PG&E to submit an annual report on its Gas Pipeline Replacement Program. The report is due by April 1 but every year PG&E requests and receives from the Commission an extension until April 30 to submit the report.  

71 D.16-04-019 at OPs 4 and 5.
72 The Staff Proposal states that the report is due on March 31. (Staff Proposal at 24.) D.86-12-095 requires this report to be submitted by April 1 of each year. (D.86-12-095, 1986 CPUC.2d 149, 199, 1986 Cal. PUC LEXIS 886, *124.)
Item 24 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the due date of the report to April 30. Staff notes that the change in the due date will not alter administration of the program and that the annual extension requests squander staff time. No parties commented on Item 24 of the Staff Proposal.

We adopt Staff’s recommendation to change the due date for PG&E’s annual report on the Gas Pipeline Replacement Program to April 30. Because the Commission has been granting PG&E’s yearly extension requests, it has already been receiving the report on April 30. Therefore, changing the due date of the report to April 30 will not affect the Commission’s oversight of the program.

2.25. Item 25 of Staff Proposal: PG&E Gas Meter Protection Report

D.89-12-057 requires PG&E to file an annual report on its Meter Protection Program with the Commission’s Safety Division. D.89-12-057 requires this report to be filed by April 1 but every year PG&E requests and receives from the Commission an extension until April 30 to submit the report.\(^\text{73}\)

Item 25 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the due date of the report to April 30. Staff notes that the change in the due date will not alter administration of the program and that the annual extension requests squander staff time. No parties commented on Item 25 of the Staff Proposal.

We adopt Staff’s recommendation to change the due date for PG&E’s annual report on the Meter Protection Program to April 30. Because the

\(^{73}\) The Staff Proposal states that the report is due on March 31. (Staff Proposal at 24.) D.89-12-057 requires PG&E to file the report at the same time as it submits its annual Gas Pipeline Replacement Program report pursuant to D.86-12-095. (D.89-12-057 at OP 13, 1989 Cal. PUC LEXIS 688, *143.) As discussed in footnote 72, supra, PG&E is required to submit its Gas Pipeline Replacement Program report by April 1 of each year.
Commission has been granting PG&E’s yearly extension requests, it has already been receiving the report on April 30. Therefore, changing the due date of the report to April 30 will not affect the Commission’s oversight of the program.


Pursuant to D.00-11-001, PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E submit quarterly reports on telemetering equipment under Rule 21, the distributed generation interconnection tariff. D.14-04-003 requires PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E to submit Rule 21 interconnection data in the Interconnection Data Quarterly Report.

Item 26 of the Staff Proposal recommends consolidating the Rule 21 Telemetering Quarterly Report into the Rule 21 Interconnection Data Quarterly Report in order to increase administrative efficiency. No parties filed comments on Item 26.

We adopt Staff’s recommendation to consolidate the two reports. Consolidation will increase administrative efficiency and there is no reason why the two reports should not be consolidated. Consolidation does not affect either the substance or frequency of either report.

---

74 D.00-11-001 at OP 1 and Appendix A, § 6.5. Rule 21 describes the interconnection requirements and cost allocation for generating facilities to be connected to the utilities’ distribution and transmission systems over which the Commission has jurisdiction.

75 D.14-04-003 at OP 3. D.16-06-052 required the utilities to continue to submit the Rule 21 Interconnection Data Quarterly Report. (D.16-06-052 at 39-40 and COL 6.)
2.27. Item 27 of Staff Proposal: Quarterly Net Generation Output Metering Equipment Quarterly Report

Pursuant to D.00-11-001, PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E submit quarterly reports on net generation output metering equipment under Rule 21, the distributed generation interconnection tariff.76

Item 27 of the Staff Proposal recommends consolidating the Rule 21 Net Generation Output Metering Equipment Quarterly Report into the Rule 21 Interconnection Data Quarterly Report required pursuant to D.14-04-003 in order to increase administrative efficiency. No parties filed comments on Item 27.

We adopt Staff’s recommendation to consolidate the two reports. Consolidation will increase administrative efficiency and there is no reason why the two reports should not be consolidated. Consolidation does not affect either the substance or frequency of either report.

2.28. Item 28 of Staff Proposal: SCE Interruptible Load and Demand Response Programs Monthly Report

D.09-08-027 requires SCE to file a monthly report on its interruptible load and demand response programs.77 In its SFI comments, SCE contended that Commission Staff has requested a separate quarterly report containing the same information as the required monthly report. SCE recommended that the quarterly report be eliminated because it is duplicative of the monthly report.

Item 28 of the Staff Proposal recommends eliminating the quarterly report requested by Staff as it is duplicative of the monthly report required by D.09-08-027. No parties filed comments on Item 28.

76 D.00-11-001 at OP 1 and Appendix A, § 6.3.
77 D.09-08-027 at 221-222 and OP 39.
We decline to adopt Item 28 of the Staff Proposal. The quarterly reporting requirement stems from a Staff data request, not from a Commission decision or order. It is unclear what Staff imposed this quarterly report and for what purpose. In the absence of such information, we decline to eliminate this requirement. Staff has the authority to rescind its own data requests. If SCE believes the quarterly reporting requirement is duplicative and should be rescinded, it may contact the Staff that imposed the data request.

2.29. Item 29 of Staff Proposal: GO 69-C

GO 69-C authorizes public utilities to grant easements, licenses, or permits for use or occupancy on, over, or under public utility property for certain limited uses, where exercise of the easement, license, or permit will not interfere with utility operations, practices, and services. GO 69-C was adopted by Resolution L-230 on July 10, 1985 and was effective as of that date. The current version of GO 69-C on the Commission’s website reflects an effective date of July 10, 1985, but is entitled “Proposed General Order 69-C” and contains strikethrough edits.

Item 29 of the Staff Proposal recommends revising GO 69-C to confirm that GO 69-C is final and in effect by removing “proposed” from the title and by removing the strikethrough edits. No parties oppose Item 29.

Resolution L-230 makes clear that GO 69-C is final and in effect. Therefore, we adopt Item 29. Our adoption of Item 29 merely corrects the typographical errors contained in the current version of GO 69-C and in no way modifies the rules that are currently in effect, as adopted in Resolution L-230.

---

78 Resolution L-230 at OPs 1 and 4.
3. **Comments on Proposed Decision**

The proposed decision of Commissioner Picker in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Section 311 of the Public Utilities Code and comments were allowed under Rule 14.3 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure. Comments were filed on August 30, 2017 by AT&T and jointly by PG&E, SCE, SDG&E, and SoCalGas. Reply comments were filed on September 5, 2017 by TURN.

PG&E, SCE, SDG&E, and SoCalGas support the proposed decision but request that modifications be made to the due dates of certain reports in order to give the utilities adequate time to gather and coordinate data for the relevant reporting periods. The requested modifications to the due dates are reasonable and are adopted.

In its comments, AT&T reiterates its recommendation that the Commission adopt Item 3 of the Staff Proposal to eliminate the reporting requirements in GO 152-A. TURN argues that the Commission should retain the reporting requirements for the reasons set forth in the proposed decision. We decline to make any changes to the decision based on AT&T’s comments. AT&T’s arguments on this issue were previously considered and rejected.

4. **Assignment of Proceeding**

Michael Picker is the assigned Commissioner and Sophia J. Park is the assigned Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding.

**Findings of Fact**

1. Item 1 of the Staff Proposal recommends that GO 65-A be modified to state that public utilities whose financial statements and shareholder reports are available on a public website are not required to file paper copies of such statements and reports with the Commission.
2. The availability of a public utility’s financial statements and shareholder reports on a public website is not a substitute for having the public utility file this information with the Commission.

3. Item 2 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing PG&E’s annual report on sole-customer facility sale transactions required by D.99-12-030 and modifying GO 173 to state that the report required by Rule 10 of GO 173 replaces the annual report required in D.99-12-030.

4. PG&E’s annual report required by D.99-12-030 is duplicative of the annual report required of all public utilities under Rule 10 of GO 173.

5. Because the requirements of GO 173 will remain unchanged, it is unnecessary to make modifications to GO 173.

6. Item 3 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing the reporting requirements in GO 152-A, which governs private line alarm service.

7. The Staff Proposal explicitly declines to address the continued applicability and usefulness of GO 152-A.

8. It is difficult to assess whether GO 152-A’s reporting requirements should be repealed absent a determination regarding the applicability and usefulness of GO 152-A.

9. Even if GO 152-A’s reporting requirements were repealed, telephone utilities would still have to collect data regarding installation, maintenance, and operation of private line alarm services in order to comply with the other provisions of GO 152-A and the incremental administrative burden of submitting a quarterly report to the Commission is not significant.

10. Item 4 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing the requirement in D.07-12-019 that SDG&E and SoCalGas perform a triennial system expansion study.
11. There is no evidence that the system expansion studies required pursuant to D.07-12-019 have resulted in any expansion of system capacity or any benefit to ratepayers.

12. Item 5 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing the quarterly and annual AMI implementation reports required of PG&E pursuant to D.06-07-027, SDG&E pursuant to D.07-04-043, and SCE pursuant to D.08-09-039.

13. In D.14-08-032, the Commission authorized PG&E to end its Smart Meter program reporting requirements, including the monthly status report required pursuant to OP 4 of D.06-07-027 and the semi-annual report required pursuant to OP 16 of D.06-07-027.

14. Deployment of AMI is complete for over 99% of SDG&E’s customer base.

15. SDG&E’s AMIBA is closed pursuant to AL 2934-E/2499-G, which was effective as of August 28, 2016.

16. SDG&E’s quarterly and annual reports on AMI implementation and deployment required pursuant to D.07-04-043 are no longer necessary.

17. SCE’s annual report on energy savings and associated financial benefits of all demand response, load control, and conservation programs enabled by AMI required by D.08-09-039 is not redundant or unnecessary in light of the Smart Grid Annual Deployment Plan Update required by D.10-06-047.

18. Item 6 of the Staff Proposal proposes repealing the Annual Caller ID Blocking Report for both LECs and CLECs.

19. D.96-04-049 requires CLECs to file an Annual Caller ID Blocking Report.

20. Caller ID is no longer a novel service and there is no longer a need for the Annual Caller ID Blocking Report required of CLECs.

21. There is no requirement that LECs file an Annual Caller ID Blocking Report. Rather, D.92-06-065, as modified by D.92-11-062, requires LECs to file a
semi-annual report on all privacy-related CLASS Services.

22. Neither the Staff Proposal nor parties’ comments addressed the semi-annual report on all privacy-related CLASS Services required by LECs.

23. Item 7 of the Staff Proposal recommends eliminating GO 107-B’s requirement that telephone corporations file an annual report on wiretaps and changes to privacy policies even when there are no incidents or changes to report.

24. Eliminating the requirement that telephone corporations file the annual report required pursuant to GO 107-B when there are no incidents or changes to report could cause uncertainty and confusion.

25. Item 8 of the Staff Proposal proposes repealing SCE’s annual report on the total compensation received by each of its ten most highly compensated executives required pursuant to D.04-07-022.

26. The annual report required by D.04-07-022 is unnecessary because it is duplicative of information in an annual report required by GO 77-M.

27. Item 9 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing the quarterly reports on installed customer generation required of PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E pursuant to D.03-04-030 and Resolution E-3831.

28. On May 16, 2016, the Energy Division approved SCE AL 3263-E/E-A, PG&E AL 4743-E/E-A, and SDG&E AL 2778-E/E-A/E-B, which relieved SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E, respectively, of the quarterly reporting requirement imposed by D.03-04-030 and Resolution E-3831.

29. Item 10 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing the quarterly report required of CSI Program Administrators pursuant to OP 6 of D.10-09-046 because the information in the report is available publicly online.

30. There is no requirement that CSI Program Administrators post online the
information required pursuant to OP 6 of D.10-09-046.

31. There is no apparent need for the CSI Program Administrators’ quarterly report to be filed with the Commission so long as the information in the report is made available publicly online.

32. Item 11 of the Staff Proposal recommends repealing the demand response estimate required of PG&E pursuant to D.06-07-027.

33. PG&E’s demand response estimate required by D.06-07-027 is redundant because this information is included as part of the annual load impact reports required by D.08-04-050.

34. Item 12 of the Staff Proposal recommends that the frequency of PG&E’s report on its Safety Net Program required by D.04-05-055 be changed from quarterly to annual.

35. Annual reporting on PG&E’s Safety Net Program is sufficient for the Commission’s monitoring of the program. Storm-related service outages are largely seasonal and do not occur with a frequency sufficient to justify quarterly reporting.

36. Item 13 of the Staff Proposal recommends that the frequency of PG&E’s report on its Quality Assurance Program required by D.04-05-055 be changed from quarterly to annual.

37. Based on ten years of experience reviewing PG&E’s compliance with the Quality Assurance Program, annual reporting is sufficient for the Commission’s monitoring of the program.

38. Item 14 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the frequency of PG&E’s call center performance reports required by D.04-10-034 from quarterly to annual.

39. D.04-10-034 kept in place the reporting requirement imposed by
D.95-09-073, which required PG&E to submit monthly reports on daily and monthly call center performance.

40. Annual reporting is sufficient to monitor PG&E’s call center performance.

41. Item 15 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the frequency of the Cogeneration and Small Power Production Report required of PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E pursuant to Resolution E-1738, as modified by D.97-05-021, from semi-annual to annual.

42. The quantity of new facilities that would need to be reported in the Cogeneration and Small Power Production Report has declined. Therefore, it is appropriate to change the reporting frequency from semi-annual to annual.

43. The Cogeneration and Small Power Production Report should not be repealed because Staff find a continued need for this report.

44. Item 16 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the frequency of the report on transmission and generation interconnection projects required pursuant to D.06-09-003 from quarterly to semi-annual.

45. There is insufficient information in the record regarding whether semi-annual updates would be sufficient for all of the information contained in the report on transmission and generation interconnection projects required pursuant to D.06-09-003.

46. Item 17 of the Staff Proposal recommends harmonizing the filing dates of the reports required pursuant to GO 77-M so that all of the reports are due on May 31 of each year.

47. Utilities routinely request from the Commission and are granted extensions to May 31 to file their GO 77-M reports.

48. Harmonizing the filing dates for all of the GO-77-M reports will eliminate the need for annual extension requests, thereby reducing the administrative
49. Item 18 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the reporting frequency of SCE’s service guarantee report required pursuant to D.04-07-022 from semi-annual to annual.

50. Annual reporting on SCE’s service guarantee program with explanations for significant variances is sufficient for the Commission’s monitoring of the program.

51. Item 19 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the frequency of SDG&E’s and SoCalGas’ mover services report required pursuant to Resolution G-3456 from semi-annual to annual.

52. The Scoping Memo included the issue of whether the frequency of PG&E’s mover services report required pursuant to Resolution G-3417 should be changed from semi-annual to annual.

53. The utilities’ mover services programs are now established and there are a low number of customer complaints. Therefore, annual reporting is sufficient to monitor these programs.

54. Item 20 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the reporting frequency of the CSI Thermal Program progress reports required pursuant to D.10-01-022 from quarterly to semi-annual.

55. Reduced activity in the CSI Thermal Program justifies changing the reporting frequency of the progress reports on the program from quarterly to semi-annual.

56. Item 21 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the reporting frequency of the expense reports on all CSI administrative activities required pursuant to D.07-05-047 from semi-annual to annual with the exception that the category of CSI M&E labor expenses be submitted quarterly.
57. Changes to the CSI Administrative Activity Expense Report are being considered in the R.12-11-005 and are best addressed in that proceeding.

58. Item 22 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the reporting frequency of the expense reports on the CSI Thermal Program required pursuant to D.10-01-022 from semi-annual to annual with the exception that the category of CSI M&E labor expenses be submitted quarterly.

59. Changes to the CSI Thermal Expense Report are being considered in the R.12-11-005 and are best addressed in that proceeding.

60. Item 23 of the Staff Proposal recommends consolidating SDG&E’s Decommissioning Report for SONGS Unit 1 into the SONGS Units 2 and 3 Decommissioning Report.

61. The reporting requirements for SONGS Unit 1 differ in frequency, method of submittal, and substance from the reporting requirements for SONGS Units 2 and 3.

62. Item 24 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the due date for PG&E’s annual report on its Gas Pipeline Replacement Program required pursuant to D.86-12-095 to April 30.

63. Every year PG&E requests and receives from the Commission an extension until April 30 to submit its Gas Pipeline Replacement Program report.

64. Changing the due date for PG&E’s Gas Pipeline Replacement Program Report will avoid staff having to deal with annual extension requests and will not affect the Commission’s oversight of the program.

65. Item 25 of the Staff Proposal recommends changing the due date for PG&E’s annual report on its Meter Protection Program required pursuant to D.89-12-057 to April 30.

66. Every year PG&E requests and receives from the Commission an extension
until April 30 to submit its Meter Protection Program report.

67. Changing the due date for PG&E’s Meter Protection Program report will avoid staff having to deal with annual extension requests and will not affect the Commission’s oversight of the program.


69. Consolidating the Rule 21 Telemetering Quarterly Report into the Rule 21 Interconnection Data Quarterly Report will increase administrative efficiency and will not affect the frequency or substance of either report.


71. Consolidating the Rule 21 Net Generation Output Metering Equipment Quarterly Report into the Rule 21 Interconnection Data Quarterly Report will increase administrative efficiency and will not affect the frequency or substance of either report.

72. Item 28 of the Staff Proposal recommends eliminating a quarterly report containing information regarding interruptible load programs and demand response programs, which was requested by Staff.

73. There is a lack of information in the record regarding the quarterly report that is the subject of Item 28 of the Staff Proposal, including information regarding which Staff imposed the report and for what purpose.

74. Staff has the authority to rescind its own data requests.

75. Item 29 of the Staff Proposal recommends revising GO 69-C to confirm that GO 69-C is final and in effect by removing “proposed” from the title and by
removing the strikethrough edits.

76. Resolution L-230 makes clear that GO 69-C is final and in effect.

Conclusions of Law

1. Item 1 of the Staff Proposal to modify the requirement in GO 65-A that public utilities file copies of their financial statements, annual reports, and statements to shareholders with the Commission should not be adopted.

2. The Staff’s recommendation in Item 2 of the Staff Proposal to repeal the requirement in D.99-12-030 that PG&E file an annual report on sole-customer facility sale transactions should be adopted.

3. The Staff’s recommendation in Item 2 of the Staff Proposal to modify Rule 10 of GO 173 should not be adopted.

4. Item 3 of the Staff Proposal to repeal GO 152-A’s reporting requirements should not be adopted.

5. Item 4 of the Staff Proposal to repeal the triennial system expansion study required pursuant to D.07-12-019 should be adopted.

6. The Staff’s recommendation in Item 5 of the Staff Proposal to repeal PG&E’s AMI reports required by D.06-07-027 is moot.

7. The Staff’s recommendation in Item 5 of the Staff Proposal to repeal SDG&E’s AMI reports required by D.07-04-043 should be adopted.

8. The Staff’s recommendation in Item 5 of the Staff Proposal to repeal SCE’s annual report on energy savings and AMI-related benefits required by D.08-09-039 should not be adopted.

9. The Staff’s recommendation in Item 6 of the Staff Proposal to repeal the Annual Caller ID Blocking Report for CLECs should be adopted.

10. The Staff’s recommendation in Item 6 of the Staff Proposal to repeal the Annual Caller ID Blocking Report for LECs should not be adopted.
11. Item 7 of the Staff Proposal to eliminate GO 107-B’s requirement that telephone corporations file an annual report on wiretaps and changes to privacy policies even when there are no incidents or changes to report should not be adopted.

12. Item 8 of the Staff Proposal to repeal SCE’s annual report on executive compensation required by D.04-07-022 should be adopted.

13. Item 9 of the Staff Proposal to repeal the quarterly reports on installed customer generation required of PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E pursuant to D.03-04-030 and Resolution E-3831 is moot.

14. Item 10 of the Staff Proposal to repeal the CSI Program Administrators’ quarterly report required pursuant to D.10-09-046 should not be adopted. The reporting requirement should be modified to allow the Program Administrators to make the information in the report available online on the official public reporting site for the CSI program in lieu of filing the report in R.10-05-004 or a successor proceeding.

15. Item 11 of the Staff Proposal to repeal the demand response estimate required of PG&E pursuant to D.06-07-027 should be adopted.

16. Item 12 of the Staff Proposal to change the frequency of PG&E’s Safety Net Program Report required by D.04-05-055 from quarterly to annual should be adopted.

17. Item 13 of the Staff Proposal to change the frequency of PG&E’s Quality Assurance Program Report required by D.04-05-055 from quarterly to annual should be adopted.

18. Item 14 of the Staff Proposal to change the frequency of PG&E’s call center performance report to an annual report should be adopted.

19. Item 15 of the Staff Proposal to change the frequency of the Cogeneration
and Small Power Production Report required pursuant to Resolution E-1738, as modified by D.97-05-021, from semi-annual to annual should be adopted.

20. Item 16 of the Staff Proposal to change the frequency of the report on transmission and generation interconnection projects required pursuant to D.06-09-003 from quarterly to semi-annual should not be adopted.

21. Item 17 of the Staff Proposal to harmonize the filing dates of the reports required pursuant to GO 77-M so that all of the reports are due on May 31 of each year should be adopted.

22. Item 18 of the Staff Proposal to change the reporting frequency of SCE’s service guarantee report required pursuant to D.04-07-022 from semi-annual to annual should be adopted.

23. Item 19 of the Staff Proposal to change the frequency of SDG&E’s and SoCalGas’ mover services report required pursuant to Resolution G-3456 from semi-annual to annual should be adopted.

24. The frequency of PG&E’s mover services report required pursuant to Resolution G-3417 should be changed from semi-annual to annual.

25. Item 20 of the Staff Proposal to change the reporting frequency of the CSI Thermal Program progress reports required pursuant to D.10-01-022 from quarterly to semi-annual should be adopted.

26. Item 21 of the Staff Proposal to change the reporting frequency of the expense reports on all CSI administrative activities required pursuant to D.07-05-047 from semi-annual to annual with the exception that the category of CSI M&E labor expenses be submitted quarterly should not be adopted.

27. Item 22 of the Staff Proposal to change the reporting frequency of the expense reports on the CSI Thermal Program required pursuant to D.10-01-022 from semi-annual to annual with the exception that the category of CSI M&E
labor expenses be submitted quarterly should not be adopted.

28. Item 23 of the Staff Proposal to consolidate SDG&E’s Decommissioning Report for SONGS Unit 1 into the SONGS Units 2 and 3 Decommissioning Report should not be adopted.

29. Item 24 of the Staff Proposal to change the due date for PG&E’s annual report on its Gas Pipeline Replacement Program required pursuant to D.86-12-095 to April 30 should be adopted.

30. Item 25 of the Staff Proposal to change the due date for PG&E’s annual report on its Meter Protection Program required pursuant to D.89-12-057 to April 30 should be adopted.

31. Item 26 of the Staff Proposal to consolidate the Rule 21 Telemetering Quarterly Report into the Rule 21 Interconnection Data Quarterly Report should be adopted.


33. Item 28 of the Staff Proposal to eliminate a quarterly report containing information regarding interruptible load programs and demand response programs, which was requested by Staff, should not be adopted.

34. Item 29 of the Staff Proposal to revise GO 69-C to confirm that GO 69-C is final and in effect by removing “proposed” from the title and by removing the strikethrough edits should be adopted.

**ORDER**

**IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. The requirement set forth on page 4 of Decision 99-12-030 that Pacific Gas
and Electric Company file an annual report on sole-customer facility sale transactions is repealed.

2. The requirement set forth on page 95 of Decision 07-12-019 that San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company perform triennial system expansion studies is repealed.

3. The requirements set forth on page 15 and Ordering Paragraph 3 of Decision 07-04-043 that San Diego Gas & Electric Company provide quarterly and annual reports on Advanced Metering Infrastructure implementation and deployment to Energy Division are repealed.

4. Rule 14 of the Competitive Local Carrier Customer Notification and Education Rules adopted in Decision 96-04-049, which requires competitive local carriers to submit annual compliance reports on Caller ID Blocking, is repealed.

5. The requirement in Ordering Paragraph 13 of Decision 04-07-022 that Southern California Edison Company file an annual report on the total compensation received by each of its ten most highly compensated executives is repealed.

6. Ordering Paragraph 6 of Decision 10-09-046 is modified as follows:

   On a quarterly basis commencing October 20, 2010, the California Solar Initiative Program Administrators shall file in this or any successor proceeding a report indicating all dollars encumbered by the program by residential and non-residential customer segments, including total incentives paid and reserved. This report should include the full amount of monies that are anticipated to be required for performance-based incentive payments to systems subscribed under the program as well as an estimate of the monies that will be needed for that Program Administrator to reach its megawatt program goals. The report should include incentives paid and reserved by step level to the extent possible. The first report should include data through September 30, 2010. The report
should be filed quarterly thereafter. The California Solar Initiative Program Administrators shall coordinate with Energy Division to ensure consistency in report formatting. In lieu of filing the report in this or any successor proceeding, the California Solar Initiative Program Administrators may make the report available online on the official public reporting site for the California Solar Initiative Program (www.californiasolarstatistics.ca.gov or successor website).

7. The requirement in Ordering Paragraph 5 of Decision 06-07-027 that Pacific Gas and Electric Company submit a demand response estimate for each Critical Price Peak season is repealed.

8. Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall continue to serve the load impact reports required pursuant to Decision 08-04-050 on the Office of Ratepayer Advocates and Energy Division.

9. Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s quarterly report on its Safety Net Program, which is required by Ordering Paragraph 1 of Decision 04-05-055 and Paragraph 11 of Appendix B to Attachment A to Decision 04-05-055, is changed to an annual report. The annual report shall be due on January 31 and cover the previous calendar year.

10. Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s quarterly report on its Quality Assurance Program, which is required by Ordering Paragraph 1 of Decision 04-05-055 and Paragraphs 6-8 of Appendix B to Attachment A to Decision 04-05-055, is changed to an annual report. The annual report shall be due on January 31 and cover the previous calendar year.

11. The requirement in Ordering Paragraph 1 of Decision 95-09-073 that Pacific Gas and Electric Company submit monthly reports on daily and monthly call center performance is modified to require annual reports. The annual report shall be due on January 31 and cover the previous calendar year.
12. Ordering Paragraph 4 of Resolution E-1738, which was previously modified by Ordering Paragraph 1 of Decision 97-05-021, is modified to require Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company to update the Cogeneration and Small Power Production Report annually on January 31 rather than on a semi-annual basis.

13. General Order 77-M is modified to require all statements required under the General Order to be filed with the Commission on or before May 31 of each and every year.

14. Southern California Edison Company’s semi-annual report on its service guarantee program, required pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 12 of Decision 04-07-022, is modified to an annual report. The report shall be due annually on January 31 and cover the previous calendar year. The report shall continue to provide an explanation for any significant variances that occur from one month to another during the reporting period.

15. The requirement in Ordering Paragraph 8 of Resolution G-3456 that San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company submit semi-annual logs of customer complaints regarding their mover services programs to the Commission’s Energy Division is modified to require annual logs. The annual logs shall be due on January 31 and cover the previous calendar year.

16. The requirement in Ordering Paragraph 6 of Resolution G-3417 that Pacific Gas and Electric Company submit semi-annual logs of customer complaints regarding its mover services program to the Commission’s Energy Division is modified to require annual logs. The annual logs shall be due on January 31 and cover the previous calendar year.
17. The requirement in Ordering Paragraph 13.c of Decision 10-01-022 that the program administrators of the California Solar Initiative Thermal Program submit quarterly progress reports on the program is modified to require semi-annual progress reports. The semi-annual reports shall be due on February 15 and August 15 of each year and include data through December 31 and June 30 of each year.

18. The due date for Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s annual report on its Gas Pipeline Replacement Program required by Decision 86-12-095 is changed to April 30.

19. The due date for Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s annual report on its Meter Protection Program required pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 13 of Decision 89-12-057 is changed to April 30.

20. The Rule 21 Telemetering Quarterly Report required by Decision 00-11-001 is consolidated into the Rule 21 Interconnection Data Quarterly Report required by Decision 14-04-003.


22. General Order 69-C is modified to remove “proposed” from the title and to remove all strikethrough edits.
23. Rulemaking 15-12-006 is closed.
   This order is effective today.

   Dated September 14, 2017, at San Francisco, California.

   MICHAEL PICKER
   President
   CARLA J. PETERMAN
   LIANE M. RANDOLPH
   MARTHA GUZMAN ACEVES
   CLIFFORD RECHTSCHAFFEN
   Commissioners