ALJ/RL8/jt2 **PROPOSED DECISION**

Agenda ID #17700 (Rev. 1) Ratesetting 9/12/2019 Item #45

Decision _____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902M) for Authority to Implement the Customer Information System Replacement Program.	Application 17-04-027 (Filed April 28, 2017)
---	---

DECISION GRANTING INTERVENOR COMPENSATION FOR CONTRIBUTION TO DECISION 18-08-008 TO THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

Intervenor: The Utility Reform Network (TURN)	For contribution to Decision (D.) 18-08-008
Claimed: \$70,036.18	Awarded: \$70,036.18
Assigned Commissioner: Liane Randolph ¹	Assigned ALJ: Rafael Lirag

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES

A. Brief description of	San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) requested
Decision:	authority to establish and implement a Customer Information
	System (CIS) replacement program, and to establish a balancing
	account to recover in rates the costs of the program. In D.18-
	08-008, the Commission adopted an all-party settlement that
	slightly reduced the requested implementation cost, and adopted
	a number of ratemaking provisions.

¹ Reassigned from Michael Picker to Commissioner Liane Randolph on August 15, 2019.

B. Intervenor must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812²:

	CPUC Verification								
Timely filing of notice of intent to claim compensation (NOI) (§ 1804(a)):									
1. Date of Prehearing Conference:	7/17/17	7/17/17							
2. Other specified date for NOI:	N/A	N/A							
3. Date NOI filed:	8/16/17	8/16/17							
4. Was the NOI timely filed?		YES							
	Showing of eligible customer status (§ 1802(b) or eligible local government entity status (§§ 1802(d), 1802.4):								
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.16-08-006	A.16-08-006							
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	11/28/16	11/28/16							
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	N/A								
8. Has the Intervenor demonstrated customer statu government entity status?	YES								
Showing of "significant financial ha	§1803.1(b)):								
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.16-08-006	A. 16-08-006							
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	11/28/16	11/28/16							
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	N/A								
12. Has the Intervenor demonstrated significant fin	ancial hardship?	YES							
Timely request for com	pensation (§ 1804(c)):								
13. Identify Final Decision:	D.18-08-008	D.18-08-008							
14. Date of issuance of Final Order or Decision:	8/10/18	8/10/18							
15. File date of compensation request:	9/27/18	9/27/18							
16. Was the request for compensation timely?		YES							

PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION

A. Did the Intervenor substantially contribute to the final decision (*see* § 1802(j), § 1803(a), 1803.1(a) and D.98-04-059):

² All statutory references are to California Public Utilities Code unless indicated otherwise.

PROPOSED DECISION (Rev. 1)

Intervenor's Claimed Contribution(s)	Specific References to Intervenor's Claimed Contribution(s)	CPUC Discussion
1. Settlement Outcomes On Rate Recovery of Costs In Excess of Adopted Forecast: TURN's testimony raised concerns with SDG&E's proposed ratemaking, in that it sought to permit the utility to recover all recorded costs, even if the recorded amount exceeded the forecasted amount, without a clear opportunity for reasonableness review of the above- forecast amount. The Settlement Agreement provided for a Tier 3 Advice Letter for review of the reasonableness where actual costs exceed forecasted costs by up to 10%. Should the actual costs come in at 110% or higher of the forecasted costs, SDG&E will submit an application in which all project implementation costs will be subject to reasonableness review.	TURN Testimony, pp. 3-5. D.18-08-008, pp. 9-10 and Attachment A (Settlement Agreement), Section 2.3.4 (pp. 5-6).	Verified.
2. Settlement Outcomes on Future Reporting and Review of Actual Benefits: TURN's testimony called for ratemaking treatment that would ensure SDG&E customers will realize the forecasted cost savings as actual revenue requirement reductions, rather than face the risk that "actual" benefits might be lower than forecasted. The Settlement Agreement requires SDG&E to provide updated forecasts of project benefits in its upcoming GRCs, with the benefits broken out to the extent possible in the same categories SDG&E used for its forecasts here, and in a manner that permits direct comparison to the benefit forecasts here.	TURN Testimony, pp. 4-6. D.18-08-008, pp. 8-9 and Attachment A (Settlement Agreement), Section 2.2 (p. 4) and Appendix B (CIS Replacement Program Benefit Cards).	Verified

PROPOSED DECISION (Rev. 1)

3. Settlement Outcomes on Accounting Treatment of Cloud- Based Software Costs: TURN's testimony challenged the capitalization treatment SDG&E proposed for cloud-based software costs. The Settlement Agreement provides that CIS cloud-based software will be treated as an O&M expense rather than capitalized, and the determination of the appropriate treatment of cloud-based software would be determined in future general rate cases.	TURN Testimony, pp. 6-8. D.18-08-008, p. 11 and Attachment A (Settlement Agreement), Section 2.5 (p. 7).	Verified

B. Duplication of Effort (§ 1801.3(f) and § 1802.5):

		Intervenor's Assertion	CPUC Discussion
a.	Was the Public Advocate's Office of the Public Utilities Commission (Cal PA) a party to the proceeding? ³	Yes	Yes
b.	Were there other parties to the proceeding with positions similar to yours?	Yes	Yes
c.	If so, provide name of other parties: Utility Consumers' Network (UCAN) was also an active party in the proceedi		Yes as well as ORA
d.	Intervenor's claim of non-duplication:	Noted	
	TURN worked closely with ORA and UCAN to avoid dup throughout this proceeding where the intervenors' position The coordination began before the prehearing conference, worked with the other intervenors to develop scheduling of consideration and similar potential joint positions. TURN with UCAN so that each party would focus on different su in the prepared testimony. TURN also played an instrume the intervenor parties for development of strategies, evaluat offers and communicating with SDG&E.		

³ The Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) was renamed the Public Advocate's Office of the Public Utilities Commission pursuant to Senate Bill No. 854, which the Governor approved on June 27, 2018.

The Commission should find that TURN's participation was efficiently coordinated with the participation of ORA and UCAN wherever possible, so as to avoid undue duplication and to ensure that whenever duplication occurred, it served to supplement, complement, or contribute to the showing of the other intervenor. And consistent with such a finding, the Commission should determine that all of TURN's work is compensable consistent with the conditions set forth in Section 1802.5.

C. Additional Comments on Part II:

PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION

CPUC Discussion a. Intervenor's claim of cost reasonableness: Noted TURN's request for intervenor compensation seeks an award of approximately \$70,000 as the reasonable cost of our participation in this proceeding. Although it is difficult to put a firm dollar benefit on TURN's participation, the Commission should have little trouble concluding that the benefits to SDG&E customers outweigh these costs. TURN's participation in this proceeding sought to promote the interests of SDG&E's customers in ensuring that if the costs of the CIS Replacement Program exceed the adopted forecast here, they would be reviewed in a manner that would ensure the recorded costs are reasonable before that above-forecast increment is recovered in rates. TURN also sought to create conditions that made it more certain that the benefits of the program, which are forecast to exceed the costs, appear in the forecasted amounts and are captured in future authorized revenue requirements. TURN submits that both of these elements of the settlement and the final decision demonstrate benefits to SDG&E's customers that are hard to quantify with specificity, but are likely to be far in excess of the cost of TURN's intervenor compensation. The Commission should conclude that TURN's request for intervenor compensation is reasonable under the circumstances here. b. Reasonableness of hours claimed: Accepted TURN seeks compensation for approximately 130 hours of time devoted to substantive work in this proceeding. This amount reflects the equivalent of just over three weeks of full-time work (spread over a number of months) for a single attorney who also served as TURN's witness. Given the work associated with reviewing and understanding SDG&E's original proposal in testimony, preparing testimony, preparing for and actively participating in evidentiary hearings, and actively participating in all-party settlement

A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§ 1801 and § 1806):

discussions, the total number of hours should be found reasonable.	
Robert Finkelstein, TURN's General Counsel, served both as TURN's attorney and witness for this matter. There are single entries for two other TURN attorneys. Hayley Goodson performed the initial high level review of the application and reached out to another intervenor to get an initial sense for the need for TURN's participation in the proceeding. Thomas Long discussed settlement strategy with Mr. Finkelstein at a particularly critical juncture of the settlement discussions.	
TURN has included in this request the work associated with the brief submitted in support of consolidation of this application with SDG&E's General Rate Case. This effort was undertaken pursuant to the briefing schedule established in the Scoping Memo. Although the Commission determined not to consolidate this matter, TURN submits that the 4.0 hours recorded for this briefing effort should be found compensable under the circumstances.	
TURN has also included hours associated with the initial efforts toward preparing the post-hearing brief. At the time this work was undertaken, there was not as yet a settlement agreement among the parties. Therefore it was reasonable to proceed as if briefing on the merits of TURN's position on the disputed issues would be required. Once it became clear that the all- party settlement efforts had achieved "critical mass" such that a comprehensive settlement was likely to be achieved, TURN ceased all briefing efforts. Under the circumstances, the Commission should decide that hours devoted to the briefing effort are reasonable and should be compensated, even though no brief was ultimately filed by TURN.	
TURN is also seeking compensation for 6.5 hours devoted to compensation-related matters, primarily 6.0 hours associated with the preparation of this request by Mr. Finkelstein. TURN assigned the task to Mr. Finkelstein despite his relatively high hourly rate because the combination of his in-depth familiarity with the proceeding and his extensive experience with compensation requests ensured that the request could be prepared in a relatively small number of hours and a lower total cost, even at the relatively high hourly rate.	
TURN submits that our recorded attorney hours in this proceeding, as adjusted for purposes of this request, are reasonable. As such, TURN requests an award of compensation in the full amount requested.	
c. Allocation of hours by issue: TURN has allocated all of our attorney by issue area or activity, as is evident in our attached timesheets (Attachment 2) and in Attachment 4, which shows the allocation of TURN's time by attorney and issue/activity	Verified

Code	Description	Total Hours
GP	General participation – work of a general nature such as the initial review of the application and testimony, preparing the protest, preparing for and attending PHC, dealing with procedural matters, other activities that tend to not be issue- or task-specific	19.0
RM	Work devoted to ratemaking issues such as general issues regarding manner of review for recorded costs, treatment of forecasted benefits, and treatment of spending on Software as a Service spending	48.5
HP	Hearing prep – Work devoted to testimony review, cross- examination preparation, and other hearing-related tasks	11.75
Cons	Briefing on issue of consolidation per Scoping Memo	4.0
Brief	Early work on post-hearing brief before settlement discussions reached fruition	13.75
Settle	Work devoted to development and presentation of the all-party, all-issue settlement adopted in D.18-08-008.	29.75
Coord	Work devoted to coordination with ORA and UCAN on non-settlement issues where intervenors had similar positions or similar interests.	4.5
Comp	Intervenor Compensation: work preparing TURN's NOI and Request for Compensation	6.5
	TOTAL – Non-compensation work	137.75

B. Specific Claim:

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD		
ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCA						ATE FEF	ËS	
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Robert Finkelstein	2017	123.5	\$520	D.17-11-032	\$64,220.00	123.5	\$520	\$64,220.00

A.17-04-027 ALJ/RL8/jt2

PROPOSED DECISION (Rev. 1)

R. Fink	celstein	2018	6.75	\$530	See Comment 1, below	\$3,577.50	6.75	\$530	\$3,577.50
Hay Goo	ley odson	2017	0.75	\$405	D.18-01-020	\$303.75	0.75	\$405	\$303.75
Tho Lon		2017	0.25	\$585	D.17-11-029	\$146.25	0.25	\$585	\$146.25
					Subtota	l: \$68,247.50		Sub	total: \$68,247.50
		IN	TERVE	NOR CO	OMPENSATI	ON CLAIM P	REPARA	TION **	
	Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate	Total \$
Rob Fink	ert celstein	2017	0.5	\$260	50% of 2017 rate	\$130.00	0.5	\$260	\$130.00
Rob Fink	ert kelstein	2018	6.0	\$265	50% of 2018 rate (See Comment 1, below)	\$1,590.00	6.0	\$265	\$1,590.00
			·		Subtot	al: \$1,720.00		Su	btotal: \$1,720.00
					CO	STS			
#	Ite	m		Deta	il	Amount		Am	ount
1.	Lexis/No	exis	Computerized research costs associated with analysis of utility proposal, alternatives			\$62.61	\$62.61		
2.	Photoco	pies	Copies re	elated to A	.17-04-027	\$2.50	\$2.50		
3.	Postage		Postage for mailing filings in A.17-04-027			\$3.57	\$3.57		
					Sub	ototal: \$68.68			Subtotal: \$68.68
				ТОТ	AL REQUES	T: \$70,036.18	T	OTAL AW	ARD: \$70,036.18
the adea Inte	extent ne quate acc rvenor's	ecessary counting records	to verify and othe should id	the basis r docume entify spe	for the award on the the for the award of the formation to suppression of the formation of	(§1804(d)). Int port all claims for which it seeks	ervenors for intervents compensations	must make nor compe sation, the	

for which compensation was claimed. The records pertaining to an award of compensation shall be retained for at least three years from the date of the final decision making the award.

**Travel and Reasonable Claim preparation time are typically compensated at ½ of preparer's normal hourly rate

A.17-04-027 ALJ/RL8/jt2

ATTORNEY INFORMATION								
Attorney	Date Admitted to CA BAR ⁴	Member Number	Actions Affecting Eligibility (Yes/No?) If "Yes", attach explanation					
Robert Finkelstein	June 1990	146381	No					
Hayley Goodson	December 2003	228535	No					
Thomas Long	December 1986	124776	No					

C. Attachments Documenting Specific Claim and Comments on Part III:

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
Attachment 1	Certificate of Service
Attachment 2	Timesheets for TURN's Attorney
Attachment 3	TURN Direct Expenses Associated with D.18-08-008
Attachment 4	TURN Hours Allocated by Issue
Comment 1	Hourly Rates for TURN Attorneys
	The Commission has authorized an hourly rate of \$520 for work performed by Mr. Finkelstein in 2017, as indicated in the table above.
	For 2018, TURN seeks an hourly rate of \$530 for Mr. Finkelstein's work. This represents the 2.3% COLA for 2018 provided for in Res. ALJ-352, rounded down to the nearest \$5 increment.

PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS

Within 30 days after service of this Claim, Commission Staff or any other party may file a response to the Claim (see § 1804(c))

A. Opposition: Did any party oppose the Claim?	No	
--	----	--

B. Comment Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived (*see* Yes Rule 14.6(c)(6))?

⁴ This information may be obtained through the State Bar of California's website at <u>http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/MemberSearch/QuickSearch</u>.

PROPOSED DECISION (Rev. 1)

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Utility Reform Network has made a substantial contribution to D.18-08-008.
- 2. The requested hourly rates for The Utility Reform Network's representatives are comparable to market rates paid to experts and advocates having comparable training and experience and offering similar services.
- 3. The claimed costs and expenses are reasonable and commensurate with the work performed.
- 4. The total of reasonable compensation is \$70,036.18.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The Claim, with any adjustment set forth above, satisfies all requirements of Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The Utility Reform Network shall be awarded \$70,036.18.
- 2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall pay The Utility Reform Network the total award. Payment of the award shall include compound interest at the rate earned on prime, three-month non-financial commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning September 11, 2018, the 75th day after the filing of The Utility Reform Network's request, and continuing until full payment is made.
- 3. The comment period for today's decision is waived.
- 4. This decision is effective today.

Dated _____, at Los Angeles, California.

A.17-04-027 ALJ/RL8/jt2

PROPOSED DECISION (Rev. 1)

APPENDIX

Compensation Decision Summary Information

Compensation Decision:		Modifies Decision?	No
Contribution Decision(s):	D1808008		
Proceeding(s):	A1704127		
Author:	ALJ Lirag		
Payer(s):	San Diego Gas & Electric Company		

Intervenor Information

Intervenor	Date Claim	Amount	Amount	Multiplier?	Reason
	Filed	Requested	Awarded		Change/Disallowance
The Utility	09/28/2017	\$70,036.18	\$70,036.18	N/A	N/A
Reform Network					

Hourly Fee Information

First Name	Last Name	Attorney, Expert,	Hourly Fee	Year Hourly	Hourly Fee
		or Advocate	Requested	Fee Requested	Adopted
Robert	Finkelstein	Attorney	\$520	2017	\$520
Robert	Finkelstein	Attorney	\$530	2018	\$530
Hayley	Goodson	Attorney	\$405	2017	\$405
Thomas	Long	Attorney	\$585	2017	\$585

(END OF APPENDIX)