

DRAFT

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENERGY DIVISION
I.D. # 10079
RESOLUTION E-4381
January 27, 2011

R E S O L U T I O N

Resolution E-4381. Pacific Gas and Electric Company requests approval of its proposed metrics for its Peak Day Pricing and Time-of-Use customer education and outreach activities for non-residential customers.

PROPOSED OUTCOME: This Resolution approves with modifications PG&E's proposed metrics.

ESTIMATED COST: None

By Advice Letter 3693-E filed on June 25, 2010.

SUMMARY

This Resolution approves with modifications Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) proposed performance metrics for its Time-of-Use (TOU) and Peak Day Pricing (PDP) outreach and education plan.

PG&E proposes metrics that quantify and measure PG&E's outreach and education activities for the new TOU and Peak Day Pricing Rates that are being introduced over the next two years to all non-residential customer classes. PG&E's proposed metrics emphasize the utility's efforts to reach customers and do not adequately measure customer understanding of the new rates. The proposed metrics will not provide adequate information to enable PG&E, the Commission or other parties to determine if PG&E's outreach efforts are preparing customers for the new rates. The Commission directs PG&E to eliminate some metrics that focus on the utility's efforts and further directs PG&E to add several metrics that measure customer understanding of the new rates. PG&E is also directed to adjust its reporting schedule so that results can inform its outreach and education in a timely manner.

BACKGROUND

Time-differentiated rates are designed to mirror the variation in the cost of energy and will therefore vary by time of day. A Time-of-Use (TOU) rate divides the day into time periods and charges a different rate for each period, with the highest rate charged during “peak” hours when demand is highest, but is offset by low rates during off peak periods (evenings and early morning hours). TOU rates do not vary by the day.¹ Peak Day Pricing (PDP) is a critical peak pricing rate that includes two components: a TOU rate and a peak pricing signal. On most days of the year PDP customers will operate as usual on their TOU rate. However, on days when electricity demand is expected to be exceptionally high, the utility will declare a peak event day which triggers a very high energy charge for the peak period. PDP customers are given a day-ahead notice of a peak event day and are advised to shift their usage away from the peak period to avoid the high energy charge. TOU rates and peak charges are different for each customer class. All non-residential customers under 200kW will be defaulted on to TOU or PDP after they have 12 months of smart meter data.

Time-differentiated and dynamic rates are an integral part of the Commission’s demand response policy in that they provide customers a price signal that electricity generation during periods of high demand is more expensive than other times of the day. That price signal acts as an incentive for customers to lower their demand during peak hours. The aggregate response by customers to reduce or shift their demand away from peak periods lessens the utility’s need to rely on less efficient peaker plants that also have higher greenhouse gas emissions.

On February 25, 2010 the Commission issued D.10-02-032, which approved default TOU for PG&E’s small agricultural customers (Small Ag) and small and medium business customers (SMB). This rate will replace their otherwise applicable rate (OAR). Small Ag service agreements will default to TOU starting on February 1, 2012.² 450,000 SMB service agreements will change to a TOU rate starting on November 1, 2011.

¹ Some TOU rates can vary by season.

² D.10-02-032 ordered PG&E to default small agricultural customers to TOU by February 1, 2011. On November 8, 2010, the Commission’s Executive Director extended the

Footnote continued on next page

D.10-02-032 also approved default PDP rates for large commercial and industrial customers (Large C&I), large agricultural customers (Large Ag), and SMB. Each group has a different default date at which time customers will be defaulted onto PDP. Customers defaulting to the PDP rate may choose to opt off the rate and go back to their OAR before the default date or at any time after they default.

Customers have bill protection throughout their first year on the PDP rate, meaning that if their monthly bill under PDP is higher in comparison to their bill under their OAR, they can opt off PDP at any time and be provided a credit for the difference in the following month. Additionally, if they opt out of PDP at the end of the first year, and their PDP bills during the 12 months on the rate were in aggregate higher than what their bills would have been under their OAR (in aggregate), they will receive a credit for the difference. Large C&I customers were defaulted onto PDP on May 1, 2010. Large Ag customers will default to PDP on February 1, 2011 and SMB customers will default to PDP on February 1, 2012 (after having been defaulted to TOU in November 2011).

D.10-02-032 also approved \$ 30.78 million for PG&E's outreach and education activities that are intended to prepare customers for the new rates. Ordering Paragraph 12 requires PG&E to submit an outreach plan 60 days after the decision. The purpose of the plan is to describe customer education goals and the activities that PG&E will implement to achieve the goals. The decision also directed PG&E to receive comments and feedback from interveners on the plan and gave PG&E the option to revise the plan based on comments.³ Ordering Paragraph 12 also gives authority to the Energy Division Director to direct the utility to make additions to the plan if necessary.

Ordering Paragraph 15 directs the utility to file an Advice Letter 120 days after the decision that includes a proposed timeline to develop customer surveys, a description of the information the utility will gather, and specific performance measurements for each of its customer classes. PG&E and the Commission will

implementation date for default TOU for small agricultural customers to February 1, 2012. The Executive Director also extended the implementation date for optional Peak Day Pricing for residential customers from February 1, 2011 to November 1, 2011.

³ PG&E did not receive any input from stakeholders about the plan.

use the performance measurements to determine if its outreach and education campaign is preparing customers for the new rates, and to identify adjustments that PG&E can make to its campaign.

PG&E complied with the decision by submitting an Outreach Plan on April 26, 2010 and AL 3693-E on June 25, 2010. As directed, PG&E included the April 26th Outreach Plan in AL 3693-E. In the Plan, PG&E defines successful implementation as:

1. **Awareness:** Customers must be made aware that their existing pricing plan may either be eliminated or significantly modified.
2. **Education:** Customers must be helped to understand their own energy usage and which rate option is best for them. In addition, customers need to understand how they can change their behavior to leverage the rate. This includes education on conservation, load shifting, DR, and energy efficiency opportunities
3. **Drive Action:** Customers need to know when to act. As default date nears, customers need to understand that they can affirm to PDP or opt out. If they don't actively select an option, customers need to be informed that they will default to PDP.
4. **Provide On-going Support:** Whatever their choice, customers need to understand how managing their peak usage will impact energy costs. PG&E will provide on-going support on rate education. PG&E will continue to educate customers about benefits associated with energy efficiency and demand response technologies.

The decision offers examples of performance metrics to be included in the Advice Letter, but clarifies that the suggested metrics should not be limited to the list provided. PG&E's proposed metrics include timeframes for establishing a baseline population, providing target values and reporting dates for each metric.

PG&E proposes two ways of gathering information to track whether they are achieving target values: (1) tracking its efforts to reach customers and (2) gathering information through customer awareness and customer experience surveys. Customers that opt off of PDP will also be surveyed. Some survey

questions will be used as metrics, but others will provide additional data that is tracked to aid PG&E in making adjustments to future outreach.

PG&E explains in its Advice Letter that results from surveys will be compared against target metric values to determine if PG&E's outreach and education is achieving the desired results. PG&E also states that it will periodically review metrics and target values and propose to modify, add or eliminate metrics and target values as appropriate. PG&E proposed the following eighteen metrics:

1. Customers aware of TOU or PDP.
2. Customers aware of receiving information about new technologies that can help them manage energy use on the PDP pricing plan (ex. programmable thermostats).
3. Percent of Service Agreements sent a pre-default communication about rate change.
4. Number of community organizations from which PG&E requests support for local PDP outreach (Ex: Chambers and Trade Groups).
5. Number of community organizations that agree to assist PG&E with local PDP outreach efforts.
6. Percent of Service Agreements that PG&E attempted to directly contact.
7. Percent of Service Agreements that PG&E is able to directly contact.
8. Percent of Service Agreements that PG&E is unable to directly contact that receive a customized information kit (via mail, email).
9. Percent of Service Agreements that had an educational contact with PG&E.
10. Percent of Service Agreements that were provided a customized bill analysis (in-person, online, direct mail) prior to the start of their PDP

event season or transition to TOU.

11. Customers were provided with information to make an informed decision regarding their PDP participation.

12. Customers understood how their monthly bill was impacted by their participation on the PDP or TOU (Small Ag only) pricing plan.

13. Customers knew they may need to manage their electricity use differently on PDP event days or on TOU (Small Ag only).

14. PG&E information and tools were informative in helping customers participate in PDP.

15. Customers (they or someone in their company) knew that a PDP event was coming.

16. Percent of Service Agreements sent a Welcome Kit.

17. Percent increase in frequency of visits to customers' online accounts by all eligible Business Service Agreements.

18. Percent of escalated customer complaints received of all Business Service Agreements.

NOTICE

Notice of AL 3693-E was made by publication in the Commission's Daily Calendar. PG&E states that a copy of the Advice Letter was mailed and distributed in accordance with Section 3.14 of General Order 96-A.

PROTESTS

AL 3693-E was not protested.

DISCUSSION

PG&E is responsible for educating customers on all aspects of the new rates. The utility must track its efforts and measure the effectiveness of the outreach and education campaigns. In order to evaluate PG&E's proposed tracking studies and metrics, it is necessary to review the utility's Outreach Plan and the directives in D. 10-02-032. Ordering Paragraphs 2, 3, 6, and 11 of the decision provide details about the new rates that PG&E overlooked in its proposed metrics. Modifications to the proposed tracking studies and metrics are described below.

Several of PG&E's proposed metrics focus on tracking the utility's efforts to reach customers. PG&E should track these efforts, but they should not be considered metrics for measuring customer understanding of the new rates.

PG&E proposed six metrics that focus on the utility's efforts to contact customers. The Commission agrees with the utility that it should be keeping track of this information. These activities show that PG&E has attempted to reach and provide information to customers. However, this data alone is not a strong indicator of whether customers understand the materials and tools that they can use to help them respond on the new rates. The following PG&E proposed metrics must be tracked, but are not considered to be metrics and measured against a target value.

3. Percent of Service Agreements sent a pre-default communication about rate change
4. Number of community organizations from which PG&E requests support
5. Number of community organizations that agree to assist PG&E with local PDP outreach efforts
9. Percent of Service Agreements that had an educational contact with PG&E
10. Percent of Service Agreements that were provided a customized bill analysis prior to the start of their PDP event season or transition to TOU
16. Percent of Service Agreements sent a welcome kit

PG&E must add these activities to the other information that it proposed to track through its customer awareness and experience surveys. PG&E's proposed studies will track whether customers implemented new technologies, the specific actions they took, how many events they responded to and their satisfaction with the rate. PG&E will also survey customers on the types and frequency of

communication they received and which materials and marketing channels were most useful to them. The Commission agrees that PG&E must collect this data to inform the amount and types of communication customers need to understand and be prepared for the new rates.

All of the findings from the tracking studies will be reported in quarterly presentations and semi-annual reports. PG&E proposed reporting dates for its tracking studies, which are outdated and need to be revised. PG&E must provide revised reporting dates to Demand Response and Business and Community Outreach staff at the CPUC one week prior to the March 2011 quarterly presentation.

PG&E explains that the benefit of measuring survey results against target values is to determine if the utility is achieving outreach objectives. However, PG&E must also use the results to inform how to modify and improve its outreach and education so that customers understand the new rates.

In the Advice Letter, PG&E describes that the intent of measuring results against target values is to allow, "PG&E to better understand the efficacy of its outreach efforts".⁴ The utility also states in the Advice Letter that it will review and modify metrics as needed. PG&E is focused on the metrics and target values, and how results convey if the utility has successfully met its objectives. PG&E fails to explain how it will use this information. The purpose of knowing how the utility's efforts measure up to the target values is to determine what is effective, and what is not effective at reaching and educating customers. PG&E must then use this information in combination with the results gathered from the tracking studies to adjust and improve their outreach tactics where needed.

The Commission agrees that metrics and target values may need to be adjusted and that PG&E can propose changes. However, the utility must recognize that a greater priority is identifying how to adjust their outreach and education in response to results gathered from customer surveys. In its quarterly and semi-annual reports, PG&E must report on successes and failures to reach and educate customers that have been identified through metrics and target metric values.

⁴ PG&E Advice Letter 3693-E p. 6

The reports must also include PG&E's strategies to capitalize on aspects of outreach that are successful and its proposed solutions to overcome challenges.

PG&E's Outreach Plan includes most of the information that customers need to understand prior to TOU and PDP default dates. However, its metrics and tracking studies do not determine if PG&E customer service representatives (CSRs) are accurately providing this information to customers prior to their default date.

PG&E includes five points that customers should understand before their default date to their new rate: 1) their existing pricing plan may be eliminated or significantly modified, 2) they need to understand which rate option is best for them, 3) they need to know when their default date is coming, 4) they can affirm or opt out, and 5) if they do neither they will be defaulted onto the new rate. The Commission agrees that PG&E is responsible for educating customers about this information.

PG&E proposed metrics that will monitor if customers are receiving information from the utility. However, most large customers and at least ten percent of SMB customers will be educated about the new rate options directly from a PG&E CSR. PG&E did not propose a method to evaluate if CSRs are properly educating customers so they can make an informed choice. CSRs should not recommend which rate option is best for customers as an alternative to properly educating them so they can make a decision. PG&E must track whether customers that had contact with a PG&E CSR were able to make a choice based on the information provided, or if they were advised to opt out of new rate.

Additionally, PG&E fails to address the importance of educating customers about the bill protection policy of the new rate. In Ordering Paragraph 6, D. 10-02-032 approves one year of bill protection for all customer classes. Customers are able to opt out at any time during the first year, and they will receive a credit for the difference in the following month. An advantage of first year bill protection is that a customer who cannot determine which rate is best can have up to a year to see how they perform on the rate without being negatively impacted. This protection is an advantage to customers and to PG&E. PG&E can use the first year to continue to educate customers about DR and load shifting strategies.

Accordingly, PG&E should add metrics to identify if customers were informed about bill protection. The utility also needs to measure if customers understand what bill protection means and that it applies to their first year on the rate. Bill protection metrics that PG&E must add include the following:

- Customers understand what bill protection⁵ means,
- Customers understand that on PDP there is bill protection for the first year they are on the rate, and
- Customers understand that they can opt out of PDP in the first year and their bill will be trued up the following month.

PG&E fails to emphasize the necessity of educating all small agricultural and small business customers about the change in their rates from a flat rate to a TOU rate.

Ordering Paragraphs 2 and 3 of D.10-02-032 state that flat rates will no longer be available to small agricultural and small/medium commercial customers by certain dates,⁶ and that these customer classes will be on TOU rates. PG&E describes TOU rates as complex to understand. The utility also mentions that customers need to understand how the new rate can impact them and that there are opportunities for them to adjust their energy usage to respond to the rate during on-peak hours. Since these customers will not be able to opt off of this rate it is critical to success of the outreach campaign that PG&E's customers are aware of the rate change before it happens. PG&E proposed several metrics that are important for customers defaulting to TOU to understand:

1. Customers aware of TOU or PDP,
12. Customers understood how their monthly bill was impacted by their participation on the PDP or TOU (Small Ag only) pricing plan, and
13. Customers knew they may need to manage their electricity use differently on PDP event days or on TOU (Small Ag only).

⁵ If the utility changes or modifies this phrase, the utility must report customer understanding of this concept

⁶ As noted in Footnote 1, some of the default dates in D.10-02-032 have subsequently been modified by the Commission's Executive Director.

The utility specified that it will report its results of customer awareness of TOU in the December 2011 semi-annual report. However, SMB customers default onto TOU in November 2011. Customer awareness of the rate needs to be measured before the default date, so that the utility has information to make adjustments to outreach as needed to prepare customers. Therefore, PG&E must report customer awareness of TOU in September 2011 for SMB customers and in December 2011 for Small Ag customers.

Similarly, the utility proposes that results of the second and third metrics will be reported in the December 2012 semi-annual report. This reporting date occurs after the customer default dates, and after the customers have been on the rate for a summer season. It is crucial for SMB and Small Ag customers to understand that their monthly bills can change as a result of the rate and there are actions that they can take to reduce impacts to their bills. The utility needs to educate customers about this before the summer season begins, and if customers do not understand this information the utility must adjust its outreach accordingly. Therefore, PG&E must report on these metrics in the June 2012 semi-annual report.

Additionally, because these customers will not be able to opt off of the TOU rate, it is critical to the success of the outreach campaign that PG&E's customers are aware of the rate change. The Commission directs the utility to add the following metrics for small customers:

- Customers on TOU understand that their rate increases during certain hours of the day,
- Small Ag and SMB customers understand that TOU is their new applicable rate and they cannot opt out to return to their previous rate,
- SMB customers understand that if they opt out of PDP they will return to a TOU rate, and
- SMB customers agree or disagree that PG&E tools and information enabled them to understand how their bill was impacted by the TOU rate.

The first three of these additional metrics must be reported at the September 2011 quarterly report presentation for SMB customers and in the December 2011 semi-annual report for Small Ag customers.

In Ordering Paragraph 11, D.10-02-032 directed PG&E to have customer service representatives directly contact at least 10 percent of the SMB customers whose bills are likely to be increased by the largest percentage based on the previous year's usage if they stay on PDP. PG&E proposed three metrics to evaluate their attempts to reach these customers:

6. Percent of Service Agreements that PG&E attempted to directly contact,
7. Percent of Service Agreements that PG&E is able to directly contact, and
8. Percent of Service Agreements that PG&E is unable to directly contact that receive a customized information kit (via mail, email).

Of these three proposed metrics, the only one that directly relates back to Ordering Paragraph 11 is the Percent of Service Agreements that PG&E is able to directly contact. It is important to note that the decision specifically directs the utility to directly contact those customers whose bills are likely to see the largest percentage increase (the top 10% of such customers). We clarify here that the target value for the direct contact metric is that all SMB customers identified in the top 10% for bill increases will be contacted. PG&E may track its efforts with regard to the percentage of service agreements it attempted to directly contact and those that it sent a customized information kit, but these efforts are not considered metrics.

PG&E does not provide customers with foundational understanding of the meaning of "peak".

PG&E explains that part of its ongoing support is to explain that managing peak usage can impact their energy costs. Helping customers understand this information after their default date is not sufficient. Outreach and education prior to PDP and TOU default dates is an opportunity to educate customers about the meaning of peak, and the timing component of the rates. If customers understand the concept of peak before they are defaulted onto their new rates they will better understand the link between their usage and the impact on their bills. Understanding of the peak hours is crucial for their participation in reducing load. Metrics to determine understanding of peak include:

- Customers understand that there are peak hours during the day when demand for electricity is the greatest and the cost of providing electricity is more expensive,
- Customers understand that the reduction of the peak is dependant on customer actions on very few specific days and times, and
- Customers understand that by taking action during these few specific days they can reduce the cost of supplying electricity.

Summary of Revised Metrics and Timelines

In summary via this resolution, the following revised set of education and outreach metrics are to be used for PG&E's Peak Day Pricing and Time-of-Use outreach and education activities for non-residential customer classes:

1. Customers aware of TOU or PDP.
2. Customers are aware of receiving information about new technologies that can help them manage energy use on the PDP pricing plan (ex. programmable thermostats).
3. Percent of SMB customers (that are the 10 percent of all customers whose bills are likely to be increased by the largest percentage based on the previous year's usage) that PG&E customer service representatives have directly contacted.
4. Customers were provided with information to make an informed decision regarding their PDP participation.
5. Customers understood how their monthly bill was impacted by their participation on the PDP or TOU (Small Ag only) pricing plan.
6. Customers knew they may need to manage their electricity use differently on PDP event days or on TOU (Small Ag only).
7. PG&E information and tools were informative in helping customers participate in PDP.

8. Customers (they or someone in their company) knew that a PDP event was coming.
9. Percent increase in frequency of visits to customers' online accounts by all eligible Business Service Agreements.
10. Percent of escalated customer complaints received of all Business Service Agreements.
11. Customers understand what bill protection⁷ means (they can opt out of PDP any time in the first year or at the end of the first year and they will be credited for the difference of their OAR bill).
12. Customers understand that on PDP there is bill protection for the first year they are on the rate.
13. Customers on TOU understand that their rate increases during certain hours of the day.
14. Small Ag and SMB customers understand that TOU is their new applicable rate and they cannot opt out to return to their previous rate.
15. SMB customers understand that if they opt out of PDP they will return to a TOU rate.
16. SMB customers agree or disagree that PG&E tools and information enabled them to understand how their bill was impacted by the TOU rate.
17. Customers understand that there are peak hours during the day when demand for electricity is the greatest and the cost of providing electricity is more expensive.

⁷ If the utility changes or modifies this phrase, the utility must report customer understanding of this concept

18. Customers understand that the reduction of the peak is dependant on customer actions on very few specific days and times.

19. Customers understand that by taking action during these few specific days they can reduce the cost of supplying electricity.

The results for metrics numbered 1, 13, 14 and 15 must be reported by PG&E at the September 2011 quarterly report presentation for SMB customers and in the December 2011 semi-annual report for Small Ag customers. Results for metrics numbered 5 and 6 must be reported in the June 2012 semi-annual report for Small Ag customers. The remaining metrics require new or revised timeframes to identify the baseline populations, metric target values and reporting dates. PG&E must provide these timeframes to Demand Response and Business and Community Outreach staff at the CPUC one week prior to the March 2011 quarterly presentation. The newly proposed timelines will be reviewed and discussed during the quarterly presentation.

COMMENTS

Public Utilities Code section 311(g)(1) provides that this resolution must be served on all parties and subject to at least 30 days public review and comment prior to a vote of the Commission. Section 311(g)(2) provides that this 30-day period may be reduced or waived upon the stipulation of all parties in the proceeding.

The 30-day comment period for the draft of this resolution was neither waived nor reduced. Accordingly, this draft resolution was mailed to parties for comments, and will be placed on the Commission's agenda no earlier than 30 days from today.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

1. Decision 10-02-032 directed Pacific Gas and Electric Company to file an Advice Letter to propose metrics to measure the success of its Time-of-Use and Peak Day Pricing outreach and education campaign for non-residential customers.

2. PG&E filed AL 3693-E on June 25, 2010 proposing 18 metrics for its Time-of-Use and Peak Day Pricing outreach and education campaign.
3. The six proposed metrics that focus on PG&E's efforts to contact customers must be tracked but are not considered metrics for measuring customer understanding of the new rates.
4. The purpose of measuring customer responses against target metric values is to inform PG&E's approach to reaching and educating customers.
5. PG&E must use the results of its surveys to modify its outreach and education to customers as needed, and report on successes, challenges, and proposed adjustments to its outreach campaign in its regular reporting requirements.
6. PG&E failed to propose that interactions between CSRs and customers must be tracked to ensure that CSRs are educating customers and not advising them to opt out of PDP.
7. PG&E failed to propose metrics that measure successful education about bill protection for PDP participants.
8. PG&E failed to propose metrics to adequately determine if small agricultural and business customers understand that their rates will change from a flat rate to a Time-of-Use rate.
9. The target value for the direct contact metric is that all SMB customers identified in the top 10% for bill increases will be contacted.
10. PG&E's proposed reporting dates for small agricultural and small and medium business customer metrics will not provide the utility with timely information about its outreach since these customers will have already defaulted onto the new rates.
11. PG&E failed to provide adequate measurements of customer understanding of peak.
12. The timelines for identifying target values and baselines for metrics must be revised.

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The request of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to measure the success of educating non-residential customers about Time-of-Use and Peak Day Pricing rates as requested in AL 3693-E is approved with the modifications outlined in this resolution.
2. PG&E must add survey questions to its tracking studies to identify if CSRs are adequately educating customers so they can make an informed decision. Survey questions must ask if customers were advised by a CSR to opt off of PDP or stay on PDP prior to having the opportunity to understand information about their rate choices and make a decision based on their understanding.
3. The 18 metrics listed under the "Summary of Revised Metrics and Timelines" in this resolution shall be used to evaluate PG&E's customer education and outreach for Peak Day Pricing and Time-of-Use rates for non-residential customers.
4. The results for metrics numbered 1, 13, 14 and 15 must be reported by PG&E at the September 2011 quarterly report presentation for SMB customers and in the December 2011 semi-annual report for Small Ag customers.
5. Results for metrics numbered 5 and 6 must be reported by PG&E in the June 2012 semi-annual report for Small Ag customers.
6. The remaining metrics require new or revised timeframes to identify the baseline populations, metric target values and reporting dates. PG&E must provide these timeframes to Demand Response and Business and Community Outreach staff at the CPUC one week prior to the March 2011 quarterly presentation. The newly proposed timelines will be reviewed and discussed during the quarterly presentation.

This Resolution is effective today.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on January 27, 2011; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

Paul Clanon
Executive Director

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



December 30, 2010

I. D. # 10079
RESOLUTION E-4381
January 27, 2010

TO: PARTIES TO PG&E ADVICE LETTER 3693-E:

Enclosed is draft Resolution Number E-4381 of the Energy Division. It is in response to PG&E 3693-E and will appear on the agenda at the next Commission meeting held 27 days after the date of this letter. The Commission may vote on this Resolution at that time or it may postpone a vote until a later meeting. When the Commission votes on a draft Resolution, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend, modify or set it aside and prepare a different Resolution. Only when the Commission acts does the Resolution become binding on the parties.

All comments on the draft Resolution are due by **January 18, 2010**. Comments shall be served on parties, as outlined below.

1) An original and two copies, along with a certificate of service to:

Honesto Gatchalian

Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

2) Parties described above (attached).

3) Jennifer Caron
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102

Email: jc8@cpuc.ca.gov

Comments shall be limited to five pages in length plus a subject index listing the recommended changes to the draft Resolution, a table of authorities and an appendix setting forth the proposed findings and ordering paragraphs.

Comments shall focus on factual, legal or technical errors in the proposed draft Resolution.

Replies to comments on the draft resolution may be filed (i.e., received by the Energy Division) on **January 24, 2010**, and shall be limited to identifying misrepresentations of law or fact contained in the comments of other parties. Replies shall not exceed five pages in length, and shall be filed and served as set forth above for comments.

Late submitted comments or replies will not be considered.

An accompanying declaration under penalty of perjury shall be submitted setting forth all the reasons for the late submission.

Please contact me at 415-355-5499 if you have questions or need assistance.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jennifer Caron

Jennifer Caron
Public Utilities Regulatory Analyst
Energy Division

Enclosure: Service List

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by electronic mail this day served a true copy of Draft Resolution E-4381 on all parties on the service list for PG&E 3693-E, or their attorneys as shown on the attached list.

Dated December 30, 2010 at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Jennifer Caron

Jennifer Caron

NOTICE

Parties should notify the Energy Division, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 4002 San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the Resolution number on the service list on which your name appears.

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL SERVICE LIST FOR A0902022
Last updated on: December 27, 2010

douglass@energyattorney.com; klatt@energyattorney.com; kmills@cxbf.com;
hayley@turn.org; mtierney-lloyd@enernoc.com; ek@a-klaw.com; ljt@cpuc.ca.gov;
norman.furuta@navy.mil; steven@moss.net; saw0@pge.com; wbooth@booth-
law.com; bill@econsci.com; mgillette@enernoc.com; cmkehrein@ems-ca.com;
clamasbabbini@comverge.com; cassandra.sweet@dowjones.com;
liddell@energyattorney.com; mrw@mrwassoc.com; khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil;
larry.r.allen@navy.mil; jimross@r-c-s-inc.com; mbrubaker@consultbai.com;
kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com; Bruce.Reed@sce.com; case.admin@sce.com;
TCahill@SempraUtilities.com; CManson@SempraUtilities.com;
CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com; pk@utilitycostmanagement.com;
mramirez@sfgwater.org; tburke@sfgwater.org; marcel@turn.org; nsuetake@turn.org;
RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com; filings@a-klaw.com; kmsn@pge.com; nes@a-
klaw.com; steven@moss.net; bdille@jmpsecurities.com; cem@newsdata.com;
ssmyers@att.net; J4LR@pge.com; Sean.Beatty@mirant.com;
sbeserra@sbcglobal.net; philm@scdenergy.com; wendy@econinsights.com;
brbarkovich@earthlink.net; bill@jbsenergy.com; rmccann@umich.edu;
dgeis@dolphingroup.org; abb@eslawfirm.com; agc@cpuc.ca.gov;
ctd@cpuc.ca.gov; crv@cpuc.ca.gov; dkf@cpuc.ca.gov; dm1@cpuc.ca.gov;
dlf@cpuc.ca.gov; ec2@cpuc.ca.gov; kkm@cpuc.ca.gov; zaf@cpuc.ca.gov;
mjd@cpuc.ca.gov; rhd@cpuc.ca.gov; bkb@cpuc.ca.gov; rl4@cpuc.ca.gov;
som@cpuc.ca.gov; scr@cpuc.ca.gov; tar@cpuc.ca.gov

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
SERVICE LIST FOR A0902022

Last update on: December 27, 2010

DANIEL W. DOUGLASS
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000
FOR: ALLIANCE FOR RETAIL ENERGY
MARKETS/DIRECT ACCESS CUSTOMER COALITION

GREGORY S.G. KLATT
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000
FOR: ALLIANCE FOR RETAIL ENERGY
MARKETS

KAREN NORENE MILLS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000
FOR: CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

HAYLEY GOODSON
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000-0000
FOR: THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

KEITH MC CREA
ATTORNEY
SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP
1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2415
FOR: CALIFORNIA MANUFACTURERS &
TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION

MONA TIERNEY-LLOYD
SENIOR MANAGER WESTERN REG. AFFAIRS
ENERNOC, INC.
PO BOX 378
CAYUCOS, CA 93430
FOR: ENERNOC, INC.

EVELYN KAHL
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94015
FOR: THE ENERGY PRODUCERS AND USERS
COALITION

LAURA J. TUDISCO
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
ROOM 5032
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
FOR: DIVISION OR RATEPAYER

ADVOCATES

NORMAN J. FURUTA
FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENICIES
1455 MARKET ST., SUITE 1744
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103-1399
FOR: FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENICES

DAN GEIS
2325 THIRD ST., SUITE 344
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107
FOR: AGRICULTURAL ENERGY CONSUMER
ASSOCIATION

SHIRLEY A. WOO
ATTORNEY AT LAW
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 7442, MC B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120-7442
FOR: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

WILLIAM H. BOOTH
ATTORNEY AT LAW
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. BOOTH
67 CARR DRIVE
MORAGA, CA 94556
FOR: CALIFORNIA LARGE ENERGY
CONSUMERS ASSOCIATION

BILL F. ROBERTS, PH. D.
ECONOMIC SCIENCES CORPORATION
1516 LEROY AVENUE
BERKELEY, CA 94708
FOR: BUILDING OWNERS & MANAGERS
ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA

MELANIE GILLETTE
WESTERN REGULATORY AAFAIRS
ENERNOC, INC.
115 HAZELMERE DRIVE
FOLSOM, CA 95630
FOR: ENERNOC, INC.

CAROLYN M. KEHREIN
ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES
2602 CELEBRATION WAY
WOODLAND, CA 95776
FOR: CALIFORNIA MANUFACTURERS AND
TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION/ENERGY USERS
FORUM

CARLOS LAMAS-BABBINI
COMVERGE, INC.
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

CASSANDRA SWEET
DOW JONES NEWSWIRES
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

DONALD C. LIDDELL
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

MRW & ASSOCIATES, LLC
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

KHOJASTEH DAVOODI
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
1322 PATTERSON AVENUE SE
WASHINGTON NAVY YARD, DC 20374-5018

5018

LARRY R. ALLEN
UTILITY RATES AND STUDIES OFFICE
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
1322 PATTERSON AVENUE, SE, STE 1000
WASHINGTON NAVY YARD, DC 20374-

JIM ROSS
RCS, INC.
500 CHESTERFIELD CENTER, SUITE 320
140
CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

MAURICE BRUBAKER
BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.
16690 SWINGLEY RIDGE ROAD, SUITE

CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

KEVIN J. SIMONSEN
ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES
646 EAST THIRD AVENUE
DURANGO, CO 81301

BRUCE REED
SENIOR ATTORNEY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

CASE ADMINISTRATION
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. RM 370
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

TODD CAHILL
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8306 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32D
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

CAROL MANSON
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO.
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT CP32D
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1530

CENTRAL FILES
SDG&E AND SOCALGAS
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP31-E
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1550

PAUL KERKORIAN
UTILITY COST MANAGEMENT LLC
ENTERPRISE
6475 N. PALM AVENUE, SUITE 105
FRESNO, CA 93704

MANUEL RAMIREZ
SAN FRANCISCO PUC - POWER
1155 MARKET STREET, 4TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

THERESA BURKE
SAN FRANCISCO PUC
1155 MARKET STREET, 4TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

MARCEL HAWIGER
ENERGY ATTY
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

NINA SUETAKE
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

CASE COORDINATION
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE ST., PO BOX 770000 MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

KAREN TERRANOVA
ALCANTAR & KAHL
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

KASIA SMOLEN
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B10A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

NORA SHERIFF
ALCANTAR & KAHL
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

STEVEN MOSS
M-CUBED
673 KANSAS STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107

BRYCE DILLE
CLEAN TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH
JMP SECURITIES
600 MONTGOMERY ST. SUITE 1100
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

HILARY CORRIGAN
CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS
425 DIVISADERO STREET, SUITE 303
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117

SARA STECK MYERS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
122 28TH AVE.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121

JANET LIU
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000; MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

SEAN P. BEATTY
MIRANT CALIFORNIA, LLC
696 WEST 10TH STREET
PITTSBURG, CA 94565

SARAH BESERRA
CALIFORNIA REPORTS
39 CASTLE HILL CT
VALLEJO, CA 94591

PHILLIP MULLER
SCD ENERGY SOLUTIONS
436 NOVA ALBION WAY
SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903

WENDY L. ILLINGWORTH
ECONOMIC INSIGHTS
320 FEATHER LANE
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH
BARKOVICH & YAP, INC.
44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE
MENDOCINO, CA 95460

BILL MARCUS
J B S ENERGY, INC.
311 D STREET, SUITE A
WEST SACRAMENTO, CA 95605

RICHARD MCCANN
ASPEN GROUP FOR WESTERN MANUFACTURED
2655 PORTAGE BAY AVE E, SUITE 3
DAVIS, CA 95616

DAN GEIS
AGRICULTURAL ENERGY CONSUMERS ASSN.
925 L STREET, SUITE 800
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

ANDREW B. BROWN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP (1359)
2600 CAPITAL AVENUE, SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-5905

ANDREW CAMPBELL
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
PROGRAMS
ROOM 5203
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

CHRISTOPHER DANFORTH
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER
DRA ROOM 4209
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

CHRISTOPHER R VILLARREAL
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
POLICY & PLANNING DIVISION
ROOM 5119
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

DAVID K. FUKUTOME
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
JUDGES
ROOM 5042
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

DINA S. MACKIN
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE

DONALD J. LAFRENZ
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ELAINE CHAN LAU
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

KARL MEEUSEN
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
ROOM 5217
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

MARZIA ZAFAR
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
PUBLIC ADVISOR OFFICE
ROOM 2-B
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

MATTHEW DEAL
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
POLICY & PLANNING DIVISION
ROOM 5119
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

RASHID A. RASHID
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
ROOM 4107
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ROBERT BENJAMIN
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ROBERT LEVIN
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS BRA
ROOM 4102
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

SOMMER C. HARVEY
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
ROOM 5223
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

STEVE ROSCOW
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
PROGRAMS
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

TAARU CHAWLA
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER
DRA
ROOM 4209
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214