



FILED

04-15-11
08:00 AM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

A1104006

Application of Southern California Edison)
Company (U 338-E) to Recover O&M Costs)
Associated with the San Onofre Nuclear)
Generating Station Units Nos. 2 and 3 On-going)
Seismic Program, and New Seismic Research)
Projects and Analyses)
_____)

A. 11-04-_____

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) TO
RECOVER O&M COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR
GENERATING STATION UNITS NOS. 2 AND 3 ON-GOING SEISMIC PROGRAM,
AND NEW SEISMIC RESEARCH PROJECTS AND ANALYSES**

DOUGLAS K. PORTER
GLORIA M. ING
WALKER A. MATTHEWS III

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-1999
Facsimile: (626) 302-3990
E-mail:Gloria.Ing@sce.com

Dated: April 15, 2011

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Southern California Edison)
Company (U 338-E) to Recover O&M Costs)
Associated with the Preparation of the San)
Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units Nos. 2)
and 3 NRC License Renewal Application,)
On-going Seismic Program, and New Seismic)
Research Projects and Analyses)

A. 11-04-_____

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E)) TO
RECOVER O&M COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR
GENERATING STATION UNITS NOS. 2 AND 3 ON-GOING SEISMIC PROGRAM,
AND NEW SEISMIC RESEARCH PROJECTS AND ANALYSES**

I.

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Rule 2.1, et. seq. of the California Public Utilities Commission’s (“CPUC” or “Commission”) Rules of Practice and Procedure and the direction provided by the CPUC in the March 1, 2011 Ruling in Application No. 10-11-015, Southern California Edison Company (“SCE”) submits this application to request authority to recover Operation and Maintenance (“O&M”) expenses associated with:

- The San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (“SONGS”) Unit Nos. 2 & 3 on-going seismic program.
- New SONGS seismic research projects and SONGS specific seismic analyses.

By way of background, SCE requested cost recovery for the above items in its 2012 General Rate Case Application No. 10-11-015.¹ However, in the March 1, 2011 ruling in Application No. 10-11-015, the CPUC instructed SCE to address these requests outside of the General Rate Case and through a separate application so that the “Commission may act more quickly and uniformly on these issues.”² Based on the Commission’s instructions in the March 2011 ruling, SCE is removing from Application No. 10-11-015 its request for O&M funding associated with the on-going seismic program and new seismic research projects, and is now requesting recovery of these costs in this application. Pursuant to the March 1, 2011 ruling, the Commission also directed SCE to remove the O&M costs associated with the preparation of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission license renewal application from Application No. 10-11-015,³ which SCE will submit in a separate application at a later date.

Per the Commission’s statement that the filing of a separate application would allow the Commission to act more quickly and for the reasons set forth in Section III of this Application, SCE requests that the Commission expeditiously review this Application so that SCE can have a Commission decision as soon as possible, but no later than October 1, 2011. This time frame is consistent with the Commission’s approval of a similar application by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E”) in Application No. 10-01-014,⁴ whereby PG&E received Commission approval for funding of seismic studies.⁵ The Commission approved PG&E’s application without evidentiary hearings in less than seven months after the application was filed.⁶ Further,

¹ See Chapters IV and V in the November 2010 Exhibit No. SCE-02, Volume 10 of SCE’s pending 2012 General Rate Case Application (A. 10-11-015).

² The March 1, 2011 Scoping Memo in A. 10-11-015, mimeo, p. 15, states: “The primary issue identified by A4NR is its request for removal from the GRC of all funding for seismic studies recommended by the California Energy Commission as a result of AB 1632, and funding related to renewal of SCE’s nuclear license. A4NR argued that these issues should be submitted to the Commission in separate proceedings similar to PG&E’s applications in A. 10-01-014 (seismic) and A. 10-01-022 (license renewal). I agree with A4NR that these issues should be addressed through separate applications so that the Commission may act more quickly and uniformly on these issues.” (emphasis added).

³ Id.

⁴ PG&E’s Application was filed on January 15, 2010, and approved by the Commission on August 12, 2010.

⁵ See D. 10-08-003 (August 12, 2010).

⁶ Although the Commission approved PG&E’s application without the submission of testimony, SCE has submitted testimony in support of its application.

given that the CPUC expects to adopt a decision in SCE's 2012 GRC application in December 2011⁷ and given that the Commission indicated its desire to act quickly on this application,⁸ SCE believes that it is reasonable to expect a decision in this application well before the December 2011 time period.

As explained in more detail in SCE's testimony, the current application includes SCE's request for the O&M costs associated with the SONGS on-going seismic program and the new seismic research projects that SCE requested in its 2012 GRC application. However, the timing and scope for certain projects has been accelerated and/or increased as appropriate based on the latest information available. For example, because of the rate case cycle, SCE's request for costs associated with the 2D/3D project was limited to three years (i.e., years 2012-2014), while in this application, SCE has requested the funding for the entire project. Thus, this application covers the period of 2011-2014 for the on-going seismic program and the period 2011-2015 for the new seismic research projects and analyses.

In addition, SCE is requesting funding for additional seismic studies that SCE believes are prudent to pursue. In light of the March 11, 2011, Tohoku earthquake and subsequent tsunami that severely damaged the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear station in Japan, SCE decided that it was prudent to re-evaluate the scope of the seismic work. SCE believes that the proposed studies will broaden scientific understanding of the seismic and tsunami conditions that could affect the plant and include the use of new technologies not available when SCE's original assessments were developed.

⁷ See the schedule in the March 1, 2011 Scoping Memo in A. 10-11-015, mimeo, p. 20.

⁸ See the March 1, 2011 Scoping Memo in A. 10-11-015, mimeo, p. 15.

II.

DESCRIPTION AND SUMMARY OF REQUEST

As part of this application, SCE is submitting testimony in support of its request for funding of the O&M costs for the areas described in Section I above. In summary, SCE is requesting Commission approval of \$64 million (nominal \$, 100% level),² of which SCE's share is \$50.1 million.¹⁰ These areas are:

(1) **O&M expenses for years 2011-2014 associated with SCE's on-going seismic program.**

Description: As part of the NRC's licensing process for SONGS, SCE thoroughly studied the seismic environment of SONGS. Subsequently, throughout the operating history of SONGS 2 & 3, SCE evaluated new seismic information and updated the seismic analysis impact on the units. In 2010, SCE formally created a seismic program to establish set frequencies for the SONGS seismic hazard review and to bring independent experts together to review SONGS seismic information and make recommendations. SCE never asked for nor received specific authorization for O&M funding in its 2009 GRC proceeding (submitted in November 2007) for its formal seismic program. To continue this activity, SCE is requesting the CPUC to authorize O&M funding for this activity for 2011-2014.

Summary of SCE's Request: The cost for this activity is \$4.4 million (nominal \$) for years 2011-2014, and SCE's requested share is approximately \$3.5 million (nominal \$).

² As indicated in SCE's testimony, this amount includes \$4.4 million (nominal \$, 100% level) for the on-going seismic program, \$53.6 million (nominal \$, 100% level) for the new seismic research projects, \$2.3 million (nominal \$, 100% level) for SONGS specific analyses, and \$3.7 million (nominal \$, 100% level) for related project management costs.

¹⁰ In Chapter VIII of SCE's testimony, SCE provides the cash flow forecasted for years 2011-2015.

(2) **O&M expenses for years 2011-2015 associated with new SONGS 2 & 3 seismic research projects, SONGS specific analyses, and related project management.**

Description: As indicated in SCE’s testimony, SCE has identified several categories of new seismic research projects that SCE believes are prudent, including those projects identified in SCE’s 2012 GRC testimony and additional seismic studies and analyses that should be included within the scope. As with the on-going seismic program, SCE currently does not have CPUC approval for funding these new projects. However, because SCE believes these projects are important and may require significant time to complete, SCE has begun performing work relating to some of these projects. To continue this activity, SCE is requesting the CPUC to authorize O&M funding for the activity for the years 2011-2015. Indeed, as indicated in Chapter VIII of SCE’s testimony, SCE expects to spend \$3.1 million (100% level) in year 2011 upon the Commission’s approval of this application.

Summary of SCE’s Request: Since SCE is not limited to the three-year cycle in the rate case, SCE’s request for these activities includes the entire project cost, including new projects and analyses not identified in SCE’s 2012 GRC. The cost for these new seismic research projects and analyses, including associated project management costs, is \$59.6 million (nominal \$) for years 2011-2015,¹¹ and SCE’s requested share is \$46.6 million (nominal \$).

In addition, SCE seeks the following:

(3) **Recovery of SCE’s O&M expenses through the Base Revenue Requirement Balancing Account (“BRRBA”).**

¹¹ As indicated in SCE’s testimony, for years 2011-2014, SCE forecasts that the cost is \$53.6 million (nominal \$, 100% level) for the work relating to seismic research projects, \$2.3 million (nominal \$, 100% level) for SONGS specific analyses, and \$3.7 million (nominal \$, 100% level) for associated project management, for a total of \$59.6 million (nominal \$, 100% level).

Description: Upon the Commission’s approval of this Application, SCE intends to recover and track SCE’s 78.21 percent share of the O&M expenses associated with the two areas identified above in the BRRBA.¹² In a future Energy Resource Recovery Account (“ERRA”) compliance proceeding, SCE will provide support for the amounts actually incurred and recorded in the BRRBA.

Summary of SCE’s Request: The Commission should authorize SCE to record in the BRRBA the O&M expenses associated with SCE’s request in this application.

(4) **Approval of the use of the Tier III Advice Letter process for future seismic projects and studies**

Description: Given the inherent uncertainty of the scope of work for seismic research projects, SCE proposes to file a Tier III advice letter and obtain CPUC authorization to track, in the BRRBA, any additional O&M costs above SCE’s \$50.1 million share.

Summary of SCE’s Request: The Commission should authorize SCE to record in the BRRBA any O&M expenses above SCE’s \$50.1 million share, subject to Commission approval through the use of the Tier III Advice Letter process.

(5) **Grant such additional relief as the CPUC may deem proper.**

III.

**IMPORTANCE OF TIMELY APPLICATION REVIEW
AND EXPEDITED RESOLUTION**

With respect to SCE’s request for O&M expenses for the SONGS on-going seismic program, the Commission has not yet authorized funding for this activity. SCE respectfully

¹² SCE will also record in the BRRBA its 78.21 percent share of pensions and benefits applied to SCE labor that are not recovered through other balancing or memorandum accounts.

requests a Commission decision no later than October 1, 2011 so that SCE can continue this activity.

Finally, with respect to SCE's request for O&M expenses for the new seismic research projects, SCE has commenced some of these research projects even though it does not have CPUC authorization for funding. SCE requests a CPUC decision no later than October 1, 2011, so that SCE can continue this important work and complete these projects. As indicated in Chapter VIII of SCE's testimony, SCE expects to spend \$3.1 million (100% level) in year 2011 upon the Commission's approval of this application.

As indicated in Section I, the CPUC approved a similar request for seismic studies by PG&E in Application No. 10-01-014 in less than seven months after it was filed, without evidentiary hearings.¹³ Therefore, SCE also requests expedited treatment of its application and approval without the need for evidentiary hearings.

IV.

SONGS 2 & 3 BACKGROUND

SONGS 2 & 3 consists of two pressurized water reactor (PWR) nuclear power generators rated at 1,070 megawatts (MW) and 1,080 MW, respectively, enough to serve 1.4 million average southern California homes.¹⁴ Each nuclear generating unit consists of a nuclear steam supply system, a turbine-generator and all related equipment and facilities necessary for the safe and reliable generation of electrical energy.

Nuclear safety and regulatory compliance are the top priorities at SONGS. SCE is committed to operating SONGS safely and reliably. Throughout its operating history, SONGS 2 & 3 has provided safe and reliable operation that has served customers well.

¹³ See D. 10-08-003 (August 12, 2010).

¹⁴ SONGS operating reactors are two out of 104 nuclear units in the U.S. that generate almost 20% of the total U.S. electricity generation.

SONGS 2 & 3 is jointly owned by SCE (78.21%), San Diego Gas & Electric (20%), and the City of Riverside (1.79%). SCE acquired Anaheim's prior ownership interest in SONGS 2 & 3 pursuant to a December 20, 2005, Settlement Agreement. SCE is authorized to act as agent for the co-owners. The SONGS 2 & 3 site is located on the coast of southern California in San Diego County, near the city of San Clemente, 62 miles southeast of Los Angeles. The SONGS 2 & 3 site is located entirely within the boundaries of the United States Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, under an easement granted by the United States government.

V.

LEGAL AUTHORITY

As indicated in Section I of this application, SCE is filing this application pursuant to the Commission's March 1, 2011 scoping memo in Application No. 10-11-015. The CPUC possesses broad constitutional and statutory authority to grant the relief requested by SCE in this Application.¹⁵ Public Utilities Code Sections 454 and 701 give the CPUC authority to approve rate increases.¹⁶ Public Utilities Code Sections 380 and 454.5 provide guidance regarding the CPUC's review of the investor-owned utilities' (IOUs) procurement plan process, and require the CPUC, among other things, to establish policies for energy procurement and to ensure that IOUs implement a long-term resource planning process.¹⁷ The relief sought in this Application is consistent with the CPUC's role in establishing energy policies and reviewing the IOUs' long-term resource plans.

¹⁵ Cal. Pub. Util. Code Sections 454, 454.5, and 701.

¹⁶ Cal. Pub. Util. Code Sections 454 and 701.

¹⁷ Cal. Pub. Util. Code Sections 380 and 454.5.

VI.

ACCOMPANYING VOLUMES

This Application is supported by the prepared direct testimonies of Mark Nelson and Michael Parise in Exhibit SCE-1. In SCE-1, SCE witness Mr. Nelson explains:

- The background and policy overview of SCE's request in this application.
- The basis for SCE's request for O&M expenses for the O&M expenses for the SONGS on-going seismic program, along with SCE's request for O&M expenses for the new seismic research projects and studies and associated project management costs.

In addition, in SCE-1, SCE witness Mr. Michael Parise provides testimony on SCE's ratemaking proposal for this application.

VII.

STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

A. Statutory and Procedural Authority

As indicated in Section I of this application, SCE is filing this application pursuant to the Commission's March 1, 2011 scoping memo in Application No. 10-11-015. This Application is submitted in accordance with the CPUC's Rules of Practice and Procedure and the California Public Utilities Code. SCE's authority for this request includes Sections 380, 454, 454.5, and 701 of the Public Utilities Code of the State of California. SCE's request complies with Article 1, which specifies the procedures for the filing of documents, specifically:

1. Form and size of tendered documents (Rule 1.5);
2. Title page (Rule 1.6);
3. Scope of filing (Rule 1.7);
4. Signatures (Rule 1.8);

5. Service (Rule 1.9 to 1.10);
6. Verification (Rule 1.11); and
7. Tendering and review of document for filing (Rule 1.13).

In addition, this request complies with Article 2 of the CPUC's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and prior decisions, orders and resolutions of this CPUC.

B. Rule 2.1

1. Categorization (Rule 2.1(c))

Rule 2.1 requires that applications state "the proposed category for the proceeding, the need for hearing, the issues to be considered, and a proposed schedule." SCE proposes this Application be designated as a "ratesetting" proceeding.

2. Need for Hearing and Proposed Schedule (Rule 2.1(c))

Consistent with the Commission's Decision No. 10-08-003, where the Commission approved PG&E's similar seismic application without the need for evidentiary hearings, SCE urges the Commission to expeditiously grant this application without the need for evidentiary hearings. In addition, SCE does not believe there are any issues of material facts in dispute.

Because SCE does not believe there are any issues of material fact in dispute, SCE does not believe evidentiary hearings are necessary. Because time is of essence, SCE respectfully requests a Commission decision on this application no later than October 1, 2011. SCE requests that this application be processed on an expedited basis.

3. Issues to be Considered (Rule 2.1(c))

The principal issue is whether SCE's proposed revenue requirements to support the requested ratepayer funding of the O&M costs associated with the on-going SONGS seismic program and the SONGS seismic research project and analyses are just and

reasonable and that the Commission should authorize SCE to reflect the adopted revenue requirement in its rates.

C. Legal Name and Correspondence (Rule 2.1(a))

SCE is an electric public utility organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. The location of SCE's principal place of business is 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, Rosemead, California 91770. Correspondence or communications regarding this Application should be addressed to:

Gloria M. Ing
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-1999
Facsimile: (626) 302-3990
E-mail: gloria.ing@sce.com

Walker A. Matthews, III
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6879
Facsimile: (626) 302-3990
E-mail: walker.matthews@sce.com

Case Administration
Southern California Edison Company
P. O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-1063
Facsimile: (626) 302-3119
E-mail: caseadmin@sce.com

D. Articles of Incorporation – Rule 2.2

A copy of SCE's Restated Articles of Incorporation, effective March 2, 2006 and presently in effect, and certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the CPUC on

March 14, 2006, in connection with Application No. 06-03-020¹⁸ and is incorporated herein by reference pursuant to CPUC Rule 2.2.

A copy of SCE's Certificate of Determination of Preferences of the Series D Preference Stock filed with the California Secretary of State on March 7, 2011, and as presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission in connection with SCE's Application No. 11-04-001 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Certain classes and series of SCE's capital stock are listed on a "national securities exchange" as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and copies of SCE's latest Annual Report to Shareholders and its latest proxy statement sent to its stockholders has been filed with the CPUC with a letter of transmittal dated April 5, 2006, pursuant to General Order Nos. 65-A and 104-A of the CPUC.

E. CEQA Does Not Apply

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to this Application. First, it is long established that the act of ratemaking by the CPUC is exempt from CEQA review. As stated in the California Public Resources Code, the "establishment, modification, structuring, restructuring, or approval of rates, tolls, fares, or other charges by public agencies" including "obtaining funds for capital projects necessary to maintain service areas" is exempt from CEQA.¹⁹ This exemption applies to this Application because this Application is a funding request for the costs associated with the seismic program, projects, and analyses.

Second, the regulatory proceedings for which SCE requests funding in this Application do not meet the definition of a "project" subject to CEQA. CEQA applies only when a government agency considers a discretionary approval for a project. CEQA defines a "project" as:

¹⁸ A.06-03-020, filed March 14, 2006, for approval of early transfer of Anaheim's share of SONGS 2 & 3 to SCE.

¹⁹ Cal. Pub. Resources Code Section 21080 (b)(8).

An activity which may cause either a direct or physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and which is any of the following:

- (a) An activity which is directly undertaken by any public agency.
- (b) An activity by a person which is supported, in whole or in part, through contracts, grants, subsidies, loans or other forms of assistance from one or more public agencies.
- (c) An activity that involves the issuance to a person of a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement for use by one or more public agencies.²⁰

A “project” is “the whole of an action”; “the term ‘project’ does not mean each separate governmental approval.”²¹

SCE’s request does not meet the threshold requirement of an activity that may cause direct or indirect physical changes in the environment. Where the agency’s action merely establishes the ability of other agencies to take a later action that could affect the environment, but does not commit those later reviewing agencies to a definite course of action, that agency’s action is not a “project” subject to CEQA.²²

Finally, with respect to PG&E’s Application No.10-01-014 relating to the utility’s requested funding for Diablo Canyon seismic research program, the Commission determined in Decision No. 10-08-003 that PG&E’s application is exempt from CEQA. The Commission should likewise determine that CEQA does not apply to SCE’s application.

F. Authority to Increase Rates – Rule 3.2

Rule 3.2 requires that applications for authority to increase rates, or to implement changes that would result in increased rates, contain the following data.

²⁰ Cal. Pub. Resources Code Section 21065.

²¹ Cal. Pub. Resources Code Section 15378.

²² See *Kaufman & Broad v. Morgan Hill Unified School District*, 9 Cal. App. 4th 464 (1992), citing to *Bozung v. Local Agency Formation Commission*, 13 Cal.3d 263 (1975); *Fullerton Joint Union High Sch. Dist. v. State Bd. Of Edu.*, 32 C.3d 779, 796 (1982).

1. Balance Sheet and Income Statement – Rule 3.2(a)(1)

Appendix A to this application contains copies of SCE's balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, and income statement for the period ended December 31, 2010, the most recent period available.

2. Description of SCE's Service Territory and Utility System – Rule 3.2(a)(4)

Because this submittal is not a general rate application, this requirement is not applicable.

3. Summary of Earnings – Rule 3.2(a)(5)

Rule 3.2(a)(5) requires:

A summary of earnings (rate of return summary) on a depreciated rate base for the test period or periods upon which applicant bases its justification for an increase.

SCE's 2010 Summary of Earnings is attached hereto as Appendix B.

4. Present and Proposed Rates – Rule 3.2(a)(2) and (a)(3)

The cost-recovery mechanism proposal is discussed in Exhibit SCE-1 and the presently effective rates and the impact of this application on these rates is shown in Appendix C.

5. Depreciation – Rule 3.2(a)(7)

Because this submittal is not a general rate application, this requirement is not applicable.

6. Capital Stock and Proxy Statement – Rule 3.2(a)(8)

Because this submittal is not a general rate application, this requirement is not applicable.

7. Statement Pursuant to Rule 3.2(a)(10)

Rule 3.5(a)(10) requires the applicant to state whether its request is limited to passing through to customers “only increased costs to the corporation for the services or commodities furnished by it.” This request is not a pass through of costs.

8. Service of Notice – Rule 3.2(b), (c), and (d)

A list of the cities and counties affected by the rate changes resulting from this application is attached as Appendix D. The State of California is also an SCE customer whose rates would be affected by the proposed revisions.

As provided in Rule 3.2(b) – (d), notice of filing of this application will be:

(1) mailed to the appropriate officials of the state and the counties and cities listed in Appendix D; (2) published in a newspaper of general circulation in each county in SCE’s service territory within which the rate changes would be effective; and (3) mailed to all customers affected by the proposed changes.

G. Service List

Since SCE’s request was initially made in its 2012 GRC Application, SCE is serving this Application and its exhibits on all parties on the CPUC’s service list in A. 10-11-015. SCE notes that this Application will initiate a new proceeding. As such, no official service list has yet been established.

VIII.

REQUESTED RELIEF

SCE respectfully requests that the CPUC issue an order authorizing:

- O&M funding of \$4.4 million (100 percent share; nominal \$) for years 2011-2014 for the on-going seismic program. SCE’s share would be \$3.5 million.

- O&M funding of \$59.6 million (100 percent share; nominal \$) for years 2011-2015 for new seismic research projects and studies, and associated project management expenses. SCE's share would be \$46.6 million.
- The use of the Base Revenue Requirement Balancing Account for SCE to track and record SCE's 78.21 percent share of the O&M expenses associated with the requests in this application.
- The use of the Tier III advice letter process to recover any unforeseen work at this time and in excess of SCE's \$50.1 million share.

Respectfully submitted,

DOUGLAS K. PORTER
GLORIA M. ING
WALKER A. MATTHEWS III

/s/ Gloria M. Ing

By: Gloria M. Ing

Attorneys for

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue

Post Office Box 800

Rosemead, California 91770

Telephone: (626) 302-1999

Facsimile: (626) 302-3990

E-mail:Gloria.Ing@sce.com

April 15, 2011

VERIFICATION

(See Rule 2.4)

Southern California Edison Company

I am an officer of the applicant corporation herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The statements in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge, except as to the matters that are herein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

/s/ Stephen E. Pickett

By: Stephen E. Pickett
Executive Vice President, External Relations
Southern California Edison Company

April 15, 2011

Appendix A

SCE's Balance Sheet and Income Statement

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

BALANCE SHEET

DECEMBER 31, 2010

A S S E T S

(Unaudited)

(Millions of Dollars)

UTILITY PLANT:

Utility plant, at original cost	\$27,437
Less - Accumulated depreciation	(6,319)
	<u>21,118</u>
Construction work in progress	3,291
Nuclear fuel, at amortized cost	369
	<u>24,778</u>

OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS:

Nonutility property - less accumulated depreciation of \$100	71
Nuclear decommissioning trusts	3,480
Other Investments	68
	<u>3,619</u>

CURRENT ASSETS:

Cash and equivalents	257
Receivables, less allowances of \$85 for uncollectible accounts	715
Accrued unbilled revenue	442
Inventory	332
Prepaid taxes	168
Derivative assets	87
Regulatory assets	378
Other current assets	81
	<u>2,460</u>

DEFERRED CHARGES:

Regulatory assets	4,347
Derivative assets	367
Other long-term assets	335
	<u>5,049</u>
	<u>\$35,906</u>

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

BALANCE SHEET

DECEMBER 31, 2010

CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

(Unaudited)

(Millions of Dollars)

CAPITALIZATION:

Common stock	\$2,168
Additional paid-in capital	572
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(25)
Retained Earnings	5,572
Common shareholder's equity	<u>8,287</u>
Preferred and preference stock not subject to redemption requirements	920
Long-term debt	7,627
	<u>16,834</u>

CURRENT LIABILITIES:

Accounts payable	1,271
Accrued taxes	45
Accrued interest	169
Customer deposits	217
Derivative liabilities	212
Regulatory liabilities	738
Other current liabilities	663
	<u>3,315</u>

DEFERRED CREDITS:

Deferred income taxes	4,829
Deferred investment tax credits	118
Customer advances	112
Derivative liabilities	449
Pensions and benefits	1,838
Asset retirement obligations	2,507
Regulatory liabilities	4,524
Other deferred credits and other long-term liabilities	1,380
	<u>15,757</u>
	<u>\$35,906</u>

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

STATEMENT OF INCOME

12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

(Unaudited)

(Millions of Dollars)

OPERATING REVENUE	<u>\$9,983</u>
OPERATING EXPENSES:	
Fuel	363
Purchased power	2,930
Operation and maintenance	3,291
Depreciation, decommissioning and amortization	1,273
Property and other taxes	263
Gain on sale of assets	<u>(1)</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>8,119</u>
OPERATING INCOME	1,864
Interest income	7
Other income	141
Interest expense - net of amounts capitalized	(429)
Other expenses	<u>(51)</u>
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX	1,532
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	<u>440</u>
NET INCOME	1,092
Less: Dividends on preferred and preference stock not subject to mandatory redemption	<u>52</u>
NET INCOME AVAILABLE FOR COMMON STOCK	<u><u>\$1,040</u></u>

Appendix B

SCE's Summary of Earnings

**Southern California Edison
Summary of Earnings
2009 - 2011 GRC-Related Adopted Revenue Requirement ^{1/}
Thousands of Dollars**

Line No.	Item	2009	2010		2011		Remove SONGS 2&3 Refueling & Maintenance Outage Rev. Req.	OOR Adjustment Rev. Req.	2011
		Rev. Req.	Multiplier	Rev. Req.	Multiplier	Rev. Req.			Rev. Req.
1.	Base Revenues	4,829,742	1.0425	5,035,006	1.0435	5,254,029	(51,303)	(409)	5,202,317
2.	Expenses:								
3.	Operation & Maintenance	2,130,052							
4.	Depreciation	1,037,452							
5.	Taxes	723,783							
6.	Revenue Credits	(178,615)							
7.	Total Expenses	3,712,672							
8.	Net Operating Revenue	1,117,070							
9.	Rate Base	12,766,518							
10.	Rate of Return	8.75%							

1/ D.09-03-025

Includes one SONGS 2&3 refueling and maintenance outage for 2009 & 2010 and zero in 2011.

Appendix C

Presently Effective Rates and Impact On These Rates

Presently Effective Rates and Impact On These Rates

	Revenue Change (\$Millions)	% Change	Present Rates (¢/kWh)	Proposed Rate (¢/kWh)
Residential	3,795.6	0.09%	15.98	16.00
Lighting – Small and Medium Power	3,599.2	0.09%	15.34	15.36
Large Power	2,217.6	0.11%	10.88	10.89
Agricultural and Pumping	338.3	0.09%	11.61	11.62
Street and Area Lighting	60.3	0.04%	19.25	19.25
Total	10,011.0	0.09%	14.38	14.39

Appendix D

List of Cities and Counties Affected by Rate Change

Citizens or some of the citizens of the following counties and municipal corporations will or may be affected by the changes in rates proposed herein.

COUNTIES

Fresno	Kings	Orange	Tuolumne*
Imperial	Los Angeles	Riverside	Tulare
Inyo	Madera	San Bernardino	Ventura
Kern	Mono	Santa Barbara	

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS

Adelanto	Cudahy	Irwindale	Newport Beach	Santa Barbara
Agoura Hills	Culver City	La Canada Flintridge	Norco	Santa Clarita
Alhambra	Cypress	La Habra	Norwalk	Santa Fe Springs
Aliso Viejo	Delano	La Habra Heights	Ojai	Santa Monica
Apple Valley	Desert Hot Springs	La Mirada	Ontario	Santa Paula
Arcadia	Diamond Bar	La Palma	Orange	Seal Beach
Artesia	Downey	La Puente	Oxnard	Sierra Madre
Avalon	Duarte	La Verne	Palm Desert	Signal Hill
Baldwin Park	Eastvale	Laguna Beach	Palm Springs	Simi Valley
Barstow	El Centro	Laguna Hills	Palmdale	South El Monte
Beaumont	El Monte	Laguna Niguel	Palos Verdes Estates	South Gate
Bell	El Segundo	Laguna Woods	Paramount	South Pasadena
Bell Gardens	Exeter	Lake Elsinore	Perris	Stanton
Bellflower	Farmersville	Lake Forest	Pico Rivera	Tehachapi
Beverly Hills	Fillmore	Lakewood	Placentia	Temecula
Bishop	Fontana	Lancaster	Pomona	Temple City
Blythe	Fountain Valley	Lawndale	Port Hueneme	Thousand Oaks
Bradbury	Fullerton	Lindsay	Porterville	Torrance
Brea	Garden Grove	Loma Linda	Rancho Cucamonga	Tulare
Buena Park	Gardena	Lomita	Rancho Mirage	Tustin
Calabasas	Glendora	Long Beach	Rancho Palos Verdes	Twenty-nine Palms
California City	Goleta	Los Alamitos	Rancho Santa Margarita	Upland
Calimesa	Grand Terrace	Lynwood	Redlands	Vernon
Camarillo	Hanford	Malibu	Redondo Beach	Victorville
Canyon Lake	Hawaiian Gardens	Mammoth Lakes	Rialto	Villa Park
Carpinteria	Hawthorne	Manhattan Beach	Ridgecrest	Visalia
Carson	Hemet	Maywood	Rolling Hills	Walnut
Cathedral City	Hermosa Beach	McFarland	Rolling Hills Estates	West Covina
Cerritos	Hesperia	Menifee	Rosemead	West Hollywood
Chino	Hidden Hills	Mission Viejo	San Bernardino	Westlake Village
Chino Hills	Highland	Monrovia	San Buenaventura	Westminster
Claremont	Huntington Beach	Montclair	San Dimas	Whittier
Commerce	Huntington Park	Montebello	San Fernando	Wildomar
Compton	Indian Wells	Monterey Park	San Gabriel	Woodlake
Corona	Industry	Moorpark	San Jacinto	Yorba Linda
Costa Mesa	Inglewood	Moreno Valley	San Marino	Yucaipa
Covina	Irvine	Murrieta	Santa Ana	Yucca Valley

*SCE provides electric service to a small number of customer accounts in Tuolumne County and is not subject to franchise requirements.



California Public
Utilities Commission

[CPUC Home](#)

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Service Lists

PROCEEDING: A1011015 - EDISON - FOR AUTHORI
filer: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
LIST NAME: LIST
LAST CHANGED: APRIL 6, 2011

[DOWNLOAD THE COMMA-DELIMITED FILE](#)
[ABOUT COMMA-DELIMITED FILES](#)

[Back to Service Lists Index](#)

Parties

DANIEL W. DOUGLASS
 DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000
 FOR: WESTERN POWER TRADING FORUM

MARCEL HAWIGER
 ENERGY ATTORNEY
 THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000-0000
 FOR: THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

NORMAN A. PEDERSEN
 ATTORNEY
 HANNA AND MORTON LLP
 444 SOUTH FLOWER ST. SUITE 1500
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2916
 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GENERATION
 COALITION

FRANK A. MCNULTY
 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. / PO BOX 800
 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770
 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

JAMES F. WALSH
 SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
 101 ASH STREET, HQ12B
 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
 FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

JOHN A. PACHECO
 SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
 101 ASH STREET, HQ12B
 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017
 FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC

CARL WOOD
 AFL-CIO, NATL REGULATORY AFFAIRS DIR.
 UTILITY WORKERS UNION OF AMERICA
 10103 LIVE OAK AVENUE
 CHERRY VALLEY, CA 92223
 FOR: UTILITY WORKERS UNION OF AMERICA

ROCHELLE BECKER
 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
 ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY
 PO BOX 1328
 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93406
 FOR: ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY

KATHLEEN M. BELLOMO
 ATTORNEY AT LAW
 KATHLEEN MALONEY BELLOMO
 PO BOX 217
 LEE VINING, CA 93541
 FOR: EASTERN SIERRA RATEPAYER
 ASSOCIATION

DAVID J. BYERS, ESQ.
 ATTORNEY AT LAW
 MCCrackEN, BYERS & HAESLOOP, LLP
 870 MITTEN ROAD
 BURLINGAME, CA 94010
 FOR: CALIFORNIA CITY-COUNTY STREET
 LIGHT ASSOCIATION "CAL-SLA"

RACHAEL E. KOSS
 ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO
 601 GATEWAY BOULEVARD, SUITE 1000
 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080
 FOR: COALITION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY
 EMPLOYEES (CUE)

LAURA J. TUDISCO
 CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
 LEGAL DIVISION
 ROOM 5032
 505 VAN NESS AVENUE
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
 FOR: DRA

ROBERT FINKELSTEIN
 LEGAL DIRECTOR
 THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
 115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
 FOR: TURN

NORA SHERIFF
 ALCANTAR & KAHL
 33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
 FOR: ENERGY PRODUCERS & USERS COALITION

STEVEN W. FRANK
 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC CO
 77 BEALE STREET, B30A
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
 FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

EDWARD G. POOLE
 ANDERSON & POOLE
 601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1300
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108-2812
 FOR: WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING
 COMMUNITIES ASSOCIATION

BRIAN T. CRAGG
 GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY
 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
 FOR: INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS
 ASSOCIATION

DAVID L. HUARD
 ATTORNEY AT LAW
 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
 ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FLOOR
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
 FOR: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HOWARD V. GOLUB
 NIXON PEABODY, LLP
 1 EMBARCADERO CENTER, STE. 1800
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
 FOR: CITY OF LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

ROBERT GNAIZDA
 OF COUNSEL
 200 29TH STREET, NO. 1
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94131
 FOR: NATIONAL ASIAN AMERICAN
 COALITION/LATINO BUSINESS CHAMBER OF
 GREATER LOS ANGELES/BLACK ECONOMIC
 COUNCIL

SUMA PEESAPATI
 EARTHJUSTICE
 426 17TH STREET, 5TH FLOOR
 OAKLAND, CA 94612
 FOR: SIERRA CLUB

STEPHANIE CHEN
 ATTORNEY AT LAW
 THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
 1918 UNIVERSITY AVE., 2ND FLOOR
 BERKELEY, CA 94704
 FOR: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

MELISSA W. KASNITZ
 DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
 2001 CENTER STREET, FOURTH FLOOR
 BERKELEY, CA 94704-1204
 FOR: DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES

JAMES WEIL
 DIRECTOR
 AGLET CONSUMER ALLIANCE
 PO BOX 1916
 SEBASTOPOL, CA 95473
 FOR: AGLET CONSUMER ALLIANCE

KAREN NORENE MILLS
 ATTORNEY AT LAW
 CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION
 2300 RIVER PLAZA DRIVE
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95833
 FOR: CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

ANN TROWBRIDGE
 ATTORNEY AT LAW
 DAY CARTER MURPHY LLC
 3620 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE, SUITE 205
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95864
 FOR: AGRICULTURAL ENERGY CONSUMER ASSOC.

Information Only

CLEO ZAGREAN
 MACQUARIE CAPITAL (USA)
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, NY 00000

JESSIE BAIRD
 EARTHJUSTICE
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

JOHN W. LESLIE, ESQ.
 LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS, LLP
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

KEITH NAKATANI
 CALIFORNIA HYDROPOWER REFORM COALITION
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

LAUREN DUKE
 DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES INC.
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, NY 00000

NAAZ KHUMAWALA
 MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, TX 00000

ALISON LECHOWICZ
 BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000-0000

JAMES J. HECKLER
 LEVIN CAPITAL STRATEGIES
 595 MADISON AVENUE
 NEW YORK, NY 10022

DANIEL J. BRINK
 COUNSEL
 EXXON MOBIL CORP.
 800 BELL ST., RM. 3497-0
 HOUSTON, TX 77002

JOHNNY J. PONG
 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
 555 W. 5TH ST. GT14E7, SUITE 1400
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1034
 FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC

JORGE CORRALEJO
 CHAIRMAN / PRESIDENT
 LAT. BUS. CHAMBER OF GREATER L.A.
 634 S. SPRING STREET, STE 600
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90014
 FOR: LATINO BUSINESS CHAMBER OF GREATER
 LOS ANGELES

HOWARD CHOY
 DIR. - OFFICE OF SUSTAINABILITY
 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
 1100 NORTH EASTERN AVENUE, ROOM 300
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90063
 FOR: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

GREGORY KLATT
 DOUGLASS & LIDDELL

CASE ADMINISTRATION
 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

411 E. HUNTINGTON DR., NO. 107-356
ARCADIA, CA 91006

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE./ PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

KRIS G. VYAS
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE./PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

RUSSELL WORDEN
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE./PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

KEITH MELVILLE
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH STREET, HQ-12B
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

LAURA EARL
SR. COUNSEL - REGULATORY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH STREET
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

DON LIDDELL
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
2928 2ND AVENUE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92103

ONELL SOTO
SAN DIEGO UNION-TRIBUNE
PO BOX 120191
SAN DIEGO, CA 92112-0191

MARCIE A. MILNER
SHELL ENERGY NORTH AMERICA (US), L.P.
4445 EASTGATE MALL, STE. 100
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

PETE GIRARD
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC
8330 CENTURY PARK CT., STE. 32E
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

FAITH BAUTISTA
PRESIDENT
NATIONAL ASIAN AMERICAN COALITION
9580 BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD, STE. A
SAN DIEGO, CA 92126
FOR: NATIONAL ASIAN AMERICAN COALITION

DANIEL DOMINGUEZ
UTILITY WORKERS UNION OF AMERICA LCL 246
10355 LOS ALAMITOS BLVD.
LOS ALAMITOS, CA 92673

SUE MARA
RTO ADVISORS, LLC
164 SPRINGDALE WAY
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94062

MARC D. JOSEPH
ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO
601 GATEWAY BLVD., STE. 1000
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080-7037

JACK STODDARD
MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

PHYLLIS A. MARSHALL
ATTORNEY
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FL
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: THE CALIFORNIA BLACK CHAMBER OF
COMMERCE

RANDY KEEN
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES; THE
CALIFORNIA BLACK CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

TARA KAUSHIK
ATTORNEY
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES; THE
CALIFORNIA BLACK CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

AARON LEWIS

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS

721 BAKER STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115

425 DIVISADERO ST STE 303
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117-2242

S. JULIO FRIEDMANN
ENERGY & ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY
PO BOX 808 L-184
LIVERMORE, CA 94551

LEN CANTY
CHAIRMAN
BLACK ECONOMIC COUNCIL
484 LAKEPARK AVE. SUITE 338
OAKLAND, CA 94610
FOR: BLACK ECONOMIC COUNCIL

DAVID MARCUS
PO BOX 1287
BERKELEY, CA 94701

REED SCHMIDT
BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES
1889 ALCATRAZ AVENUE
BERKELEY, CA 94703-2714

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH
44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE
MENDOCINO, CA 95460

GARRICK JONES
JBS ENERGY
311 D STREET
WEST SACRAMENTO, CA 95605

RICHARD MCCANN
ASPEN GROUP FOR WESTERN MANUFACTURED
2655 PORTAGE BAY AVE E, SUITE 3
DAVIS, CA 95616

SCOTT BLAISING
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN P.C.
915 L STREET, SUITE 1270
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

STEVEN KELLY
INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSN
1215 K STREET, SUITE 900
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-3947

LYNN M. HAUG
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, L.L.P.
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-5931

DONALD W. SCHOENBECK
RCS, INC.
900 WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 780
VANCOUVER, WA 98660

State Service

ROLAND ESQUIVIAS
CPUC
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

CHRISTOPHER R VILLARREAL
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
POLICY & PLANNING DIVISION
ROOM 5119
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

CLAYTON K. TANG
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4205
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

CRISTHIAN ESCOBER
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
ROOM 5306
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

DONALD J. LAFRENZ
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

DONNA-FAY BOWER
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4205
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ERIC GREENE
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

FELIX ROBLES
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

MELANIE DARLING
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES
ROOM 5041
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

PAUL S. PHILLIPS
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
ROOM 5212
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ROBERT M. POCTA
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4205
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

TRACI BONE
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
ROOM 5027
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

[TOP OF PAGE](#)
[BACK TO INDEX OF SERVICE LISTS](#)