



FILED

06-14-12

03:26 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Application of San Diego
Gas & Electric Company (U 902 G) and
Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G)
for Authority to Revise Their Rates Effective
January 1, 2013, in Their Triennial Cost
Allocation Proceeding

A.11-11-002
(Filed November 1, 2011)

**EXPEDITED MOTION OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
INDICATED PRODUCERS FOR PARTY STATUS**

Evelyn Kahl
Seema Srinivasan
Alcantar & Kahl LLP
33 New Montgomery Street
Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94105
415.421.4143 office
415.989.1263 fax
ek@a-klaw.com
sls@a-klaw.com

Counsel to the Southern California
Indicated Producers

June 14, 2012

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 G) and Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) for Authority to Revise Their Rates Effective January 1, 2013, in Their Triennial Cost Allocation Proceeding

A.11-11-002
(Filed November 1, 2011)

**EXPEDITED MOTION OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
INDICATED PRODUCERS FOR PARTY STATUS**

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Rule 1.4 of the California Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Southern California Indicated Producers (SCIP)¹ respectfully requests party status in the above-captioned rulemaking. SCIP is separate and distinct from the Indicated Producers, who are already parties to this proceeding.

II. COMMUNICATIONS

Communications and correspondence regarding this proceeding should be directed to the following individuals:

Evelyn Kahl
Seema Srinivasan
Alcantar & Kahl LLP
33 New Montgomery Street
Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94105
415.421.4143 office
415.989.1263 fax
ek@a-klaw.com
sls@a-klaw.com

¹ Member companies include ConocoPhillips Company, Chevron U.S.A. Inc., and Exxon Mobil Gas Corporation.

III. DESCRIPTION OF PARTY

SCIP members consume natural gas at their oil and gas production and petroleum refining operations. These operations will be affected by rate increases and potential service disruptions under SoCalGas/SDG&E's pipeline safety enhancement plan (PSEP). SCIP also engages in the marketing and transportation of natural gas in the SoCalGas/SDG&E service territory.

IV. STATEMENT OF INTEREST

SoCalGas/SDG&E's PSEP anticipates incremental expenditures totaling \$1.7 billion in the first phase of upgrade efforts alone. The resulting revenue requirement and rate design decisions made in this proceeding will directly impact SCIP members. In addition, service disruptions caused by anticipated pipeline upgrades are likely to impact SCIP's business operations. The interests of SCIP are not represented by any other party in this proceeding.

SCIP has already been granted party status to examine SoCalGas/SDG&E's PSEP. SoCalGas/SDG&E's PSEP was originally filed on August 26, 2011 in the pipeline safety rulemaking, R.11-02-019. On January 5, 2012, SCIP was granted party status in the pipeline safety rulemaking to examine SoCalGas/SDG&E's PSEP. Subsequently, in a ruling dated April 19, 2012, the Commission determined that the SoCalGas/SDG&E PSEP should be evaluated in the TCAP docket (A.11-11-002). An April 17, 2012 ruling issued in the TCAP proceeding admitted several documents from pipeline safety

rulemaking into the TCAP record, including SCIP's motion for party status and the January 5, 2012 ruling granting SCIP party status.²

Expedited consideration of SCIP's motion is warranted in light of the unusual procedural circumstances in this proceeding. As noted above, the Commission has already granted SCIP party status for purposes of examining the merits of SoCalGas/SDG&E's PSEP. Expedited treatment is also required to allow the Commission to consider the response filed by SCIP to SoCalGas/SDG&E's May 25, 2012 motion seeking interim recovery of costs recorded in pipeline safety and reliability memorandum accounts. SCIP filed a response to this motion on June 11, 2012. The docket office cannot accept this filing until the Commission confirms SCIP's party status in this proceeding. For these reasons, SCIP requests that the Commission shorten the response time to this motion to five days.

² See Attachment 1 to the April 17, 2012 Ruling.

V. CONCLUSION

SCIP respectfully requests that the Commission shorten the time to respond to this motion to 5 days and grant this motion for party status.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Evelyn Kahl Seema Srinivasan".

Evelyn Kahl
Seema Srinivasan

Counsel to the
Southern California Indicated
Producers

June 14, 2012