BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED
06-29-12
04:59 PM

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) To Establish Marginal Costs, Allocate Revenues, And Design Rates	)))	Application 11-06-007 (Filed June 6, 2011)

MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E), CALIFORNIA CITY-COUNTY STREET LIGHT ASSOCIATION AND COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE STREET LIGHTS FOR ADOPTION OF STREET LIGHT AND TRAFFIC CONTROL RATE GROUP SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

BRUCE A. REED
FADIA KHOURY

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-4183
Facsimile: (626) 302-6693
E-mail: bruce.reed@SCE.com

Dated: June 29, 2012

Motion Of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E), California City-County Street Light Association and Coalition for Affordable Street Lights For Adoption Of Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Group Settlement Agreement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
I.	BACKGROUND	2
II.	SUMMARY OF POSITIONS AND SETTLEMENT	2
III.	REQUEST FOR ADOPTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	5
	A. The Settlement Is Reasonable In Light Of The Record	6
	B. The Settlement Agreement Is Consistent With Law	6
	C. The Settlement Agreement Is In The Public Interest	6
IV.	SCHEDULE FOR COMMENTS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	7
V.	CONCLUSION	8
ATTACHMENT A:	STREET LIGHT AND TRAFFIC CONTROL RATE GROUP SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) To Establish Marginal Costs, Allocate Revenues, And Design Rates	))))	Application 11-06-007 (Filed June 6, 2011)

MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E), CALIFORNIA CITY-COUNTY STREET LIGHT ASSOCIATION AND COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE STREET LIGHTS FOR ADOPTION OF STREET LIGHT AND TRAFFIC CONTROL RATE GROUP SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 12.1 *et seq* of the Commission's Rule of Practice and Procedure, Southern California Edison Company (SCE), on behalf of itself, the California City-County Street Light Association (CAL-SLA), and the Coalition for Affordable Street Lights (CASL)[1] requests that the Commission adopt and find reasonable the "Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Group Settlement Agreement," (Settlement Agreement) which is appended to this motion as AttachmentA.

The Parties have reached a Settlement Agreement that resolves all issues that have been raised in this proceeding with respect to rate design and tariff matters for street light and traffic control rate schedules. The Parties anticipate that street light and traffic control rate schedules may be further affected by a possible settlement or litigated outcome in this proceeding on the allocation of SCE's authorized revenue requirement to customer groups. As soon as practicable following a Commission decision adopting the Settlement Agreement, but no earlier than January 1, 2013, SCE will adjust its rates for street light, area lighting, and traffic control customers pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

[1] Southern California Edison Company (SCE); the California City-County Street Light Association (CAL-SLA); and the Coalition for Affordable Street Lights (CASL) are collectively referred to herein as the Parties.

Section I of this motion provides background related to this proceeding. Section II describes in general the positions advocated by the Parties and the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Section III demonstrates that the Settlement Agreement is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with law, and in the public interest, and that it should be adopted without modification. Section IV discusses the requests of the Parties related to processing of this request and the implementation of revised rates.

I.

BACKGROUND

This proceeding was initiated by the filing of SCE's application on June 6, 2011, along with SCE's prepared direct testimony regarding marginal costs, revenue allocation and rate design. On October 7, 2011, SCE revised its initial testimony, primarily to remove its initial proposal to increase SCE's current residential customer charge. The Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) served its initial testimony on December 20, 2011, but did not specifically address street light rates or service-related issues. Interveners, including CAL-SLA and CASL, served their initial testimony on February 6, 2012. CAL-SLA's testimony addressed rate design for street lights and traffic control signals. CASL's testimony addressed street light rates and service issues. CAL-SLA and CASL represent street lighting, area lighting, and traffic control customer interests.

SCE provided notice to all parties of its intent to conduct a settlement conference and an initial settlement conference was held on February 22, 2012. Continuing discussions related to the potential settlement of issues in this proceeding occurred among the interested parties after the settlement conference.

II.

SUMMARY OF POSITIONS AND SETTLEMENT

Appendix A to the Settlement Agreement provides a comparison of the Parties' positions related to rate design and service issues affecting the Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Groups that have

been resolved by the Settlement Agreement.[2] In its prepared direct testimony, SCE proposed changes to customer charges, facilities charges, and energy rates for its existing rate schedules related to the Street Lighting and the Traffic Control Rate Groups. SCE used the Real Economic Carrying Cost (RECC) marginal cost methodology to determine the level of Non-Allocated revenues to be directly assigned to the Street Light Rate Group to recover the costs of street light facilities such as lamps and street light poles. However, because that methodology produced substantial rate increases, SCE proposed to escalate current facilities charges by 4.8 percent per year, continuing the same escalation that had been adopted in SCE's 2009 General Rate Case (GRC) for the applicable street light tariffs. This resulted in an $82.8 million proposed Non-Allocated revenue requirement for facilities charges in the Test Year. The estimated Non-Allocated Revenues, if any, in excess of the escalation cap would be recovered through differential facilities costs recovered from street light developers and through revenues allocated to all rate groups. Unbundled revenues that also apply to customers in the Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Groups are allocated in the same manner as for all other rate groups. SCE proposed no change to the current differential facilities rate that is applied to street light facilities installation costs that exceed the cost of a standard installation. SCE also proposed to eliminate Schedule AL-2, Option A, which is an area lighting schedule that required a photocell which had presented some customer concerns. SCE proposed to move such customers to the remaining option for Schedule AL-2 and to eliminate the current photocell requirement.[3]

CAL-SLA agreed with SCE that Non-Allocated Revenues for street light service should be $82.8 million, with annual increases limited to no more than 4.8 percent, consistent with the 2009 Street Light Settlement Agreement, of which CAL-SLA was a party. However, CAL-SLA asserted that Non-Allocated Revenues are incorrectly calculated by SCE's model when applying a specified percentage increase. CAL-SLA asserted that SCE's proposed customer charge of $21.75 per meter per month for Schedule TC-1 should be reduced to $9.49 per month based on the New Customer Only (NCO)

---

[2] Capitalized terms are defined in the Settlement Agreement in Paragraph 3.
[3] SCE's proposals are primarily included in Exhibit SCE-04, dated October 7, 2011 at pages 82 and 93 through 97.

marginal cost methodology, instead of SCE's proposed RECC methodology. CAL-SLA also asserted that SCE should provide itemized estimates of costs for street light installations, instead of only a single line item cost estimate. CAL-SLA raised other issues related to the revenue allocation methodology that are not addressed in the Settlement Agreement.

CASL asserted that SCE should use an actual cost-based methodology to establish street light facilities charges in future proceedings. In this proceeding, CASL proposed that SCE should use the NCO methodology instead of SCE's RECC marginal cost study to establish Non-Allocated Revenues. CASL asserted that the wood pole allowance should be eliminated, or conditioned on approval by the affected street light agency (and directed to the street light agency, not the developer) and that customers should receive an Energy Charge credit reflecting the period of time that street lights are out of service. CASL additionally asserted the following:

- SCE should provide an annual report on SCE's use of funds authorized for street light replacement;
- SCE should expedite the replacement of street lights included in the street light replacement program (including those in the city of Torrance);
- SCE should provide monthly reports to street light agencies to confirm the status of all street light repair requests and work orders; and
- SCE should provide electronic street light data to street light agencies for use in the street light agencies' geographic information system (GIS).

The Settlement Agreement resolves all issues raised in this proceeding with respect to rate design and tariff matters for street light and traffic control rate schedules. Among other things, the Settlement Agreement provides the means of establishing Non-Energy Charges when this Agreement is first implemented and for the term of the Agreement. Additionally, the Settlement Agreement also resolves issues related to the establishment of Customer Charges and Traffic Control rates, as well as all service-related issues raised in this proceeding. Appendix A to the Settlement Agreement summarizes the positions of the Parties in their prepared testimony and how each issue is resolved by the Settlement Agreement. Illustrative rates based on the Settlement Agreement are provided in Appendix B to the

Settlement Agreement. Appendix C to the Settlement Agreement includes a proposed detailed invoice related to the costs of street light facilities installations.

III.

REQUEST FOR ADOPTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Settlement Agreement is submitted pursuant to Rule 12.1 *et seq.* of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules). The Settlement Agreement is consistent with Commission decisions on settlements which express the strong public policy favoring settlement of disputes if they are fair and reasonable in light of the whole record.[4] This policy supports many worthwhile goals, including reducing the expense of litigation, conserving scarce Commission resources, and allowing parties to reduce the risk that litigation will produce unacceptable results.[5] As long as a settlement taken as a whole is reasonable in light of the record, consistent with the law, and in the public interest it should be adopted without change.

The Settlement Agreement complies with Commission guidelines and relevant precedent for settlements. The general criteria for Commission approval of settlements are stated in Rule 12.1(d) as follows:

> The Commission will not approve stipulations or settlements, whether contested or uncontested, unless the stipulation or settlement is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with law, and in the public interest.[6]

The Settlement Agreement meets the criteria for a settlement pursuant to Rule 12.1(d), as discussed below.

---

[4] *See, e.g.,* D.88-12-083 (30 CPUC 2d 189, 221-223) and D.91-05-029 (40 CPUC 2d, 301, 326).

[5] D.92-12-019, 46 CPUC 2d 538, 553.

[6] *See also*, *Re San Diego Gas & Electric Company,* (D.90-08-068), 37 CPUC 2d 360: "[S]ettlements brought to this Commission for review are not simply the resolution of private disputes, such as those that may be taken to a civil court. The public interest and the interest of ratepayers must also be taken into account and the Commission's duty is to protect those interests."

A. The Settlement Agreement Is Reasonable In Light Of The Record

The prepared testimony, the Settlement Agreement itself, and this motion contain the information necessary for the Commission to find the Settlement Agreement reasonable in light of the record. Prior to the settlement, parties conducted discovery, and served testimony on the issues related to rate design for the Street Light and Traffic Control rate groups. The prepared testimony and related exhibits should be made part of the Commission's record of this proceeding.

The Settlement Agreement represents a reasonable compromise of the Parties' positions. The prepared testimony of the Parties, comprising the record for this proceeding, contains sufficient information for the Commission to judge the reasonableness of the Settlement Agreement.

B. The Settlement Agreement Is Consistent With Law

The Parties believe that the terms of the Settlement Agreement comply with all applicable statutes and prior Commission decisions, and reasonable interpretations thereof. In agreeing to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Parties have explicitly considered the relevant statutes and Commission decisions and believe that the Commission can approve the Settlement Agreement without violating applicable statutes or prior Commission decisions.

C. The Settlement Agreement Is In The Public Interest

The Settlement Agreement is a reasonable compromise of the Parties' respective positions. The Settlement Agreement is in the public interest and in the interest of SCE's customers. It fairly resolves issues and provides more certainty to street light customers regarding their present and future costs, which is in the public interest. The Settlement Agreement, if adopted by the Commission, avoids the cost of further litigation, and frees up Commission resources for other proceedings. Given that the Commission's workload is extensive, the impact on Commission resources is doubly important. The Settlement Agreement frees up the time and resources of other parties as well, so that they may focus on other proceedings. The prepared direct testimony contains sufficient information for the Commission to

judge the reasonableness of the Settlement Agreement and for it to discharge any future regulatory obligations with respect to this matter.

Each portion of the Settlement Agreement is dependent upon the other portions of the Settlement Agreement. Changes to one portion of the Settlement Agreement would alter the balance of interests and the mutually agreed upon compromises and outcomes which are contained in the Settlement Agreement. As such, the Parties request that the Settlement Agreement be adopted as a whole by the Commission, as it is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with law, and in the public interest.

IV.

SCHEDULE FOR COMMENTS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Parties seek approval of the terms of the Settlement Agreement so that SCE may implement rates as soon as practicable following the issuance of a final Commission decision approving the Settlement Agreement but no earlier than January 1, 2013. In order to accomplish this, the Parties recommend following the time periods provided by Rule 12.2 for comments and replies to comments on the Settlement Agreement. In order to accommodate questions about the Settlement Agreement in the event there are any material contested issues of fact or questions from the Commission following the filing of comments, the Parties request that a portion of one day be scheduled for a hearing (with a panel of sponsoring witnesses) in accordance with the following schedule:

Event	Date
Motion filed for Adoption of the Settlement Agreement	June 29, 2012
Opening comments, if any, on the Settlement Agreement	July 30, 2012
Reply comments on the Settlement Agreement	August 15, 2012
Hearing on the Settlement Agreement, if necessary	September 20, 2012

V.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Parties respectfully request that the Assigned Commissioner, Assigned ALJ, and the Commission:

1. Approve the attached Settlement Agreement as reasonable in light of the record, consistent with law, and in the public interest; and
2. Authorize SCE to implement changes in rates and tariffs in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

FADIA KHOURY
BRUCE A. REED

/s/ Bruce A. Reed
By: Bruce A. Reed

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-4183
Facsimile: (626) 302-6993
E-mail: Bruce.Reed@SCE.com

And on behalf of
CALIFORNIA CITY-COUNTY STREET LIGHT ASSOCIATION
And COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE STREET LIGHTS[7]

June 29, 2012

[7] In accordance with Rule 1.8(d), counsel for CAL-SLA and CASL have authorized SCE's counsel to sign and file this motion on their behalf.

Attachment A

STREET LIGHT AND TRAFFIC CONTROL RATE GROUP SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) To Establish Marginal Costs, Allocate Revenues, And Design Rates	))))	Application 11-06-007 (Filed June 6, 2011)

STREET LIGHT AND TRAFFIC CONTROL RATE GROUP SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Dated: June 29, 2012

Street Light And Traffic Control Rate Group Settlement Agreement

Paragraph	Title	Page
1.	Parties	1
2.	Definitions	1
3.	Recitals	3
4.	Agreement	5
5.	Implementation of Agreement	11
6.	Record Evidence	11
7.	Incorporation of Complete Agreement	11
8.	Signature Date	12
9.	Regulatory Approval	12
10.	Compromise Of Disputed Claims	12
11.	Non Precedent	12
12.	Previous Communications	12
13.	Non Waiver	13
14.	Effect Of Subject Headings	13
15.	Governing Law	13
16.	Number Of Originals	13

APPENDIX A: COMPARISON OF POSITIONS AND SETTLEMENT.....

APPENDIX B: JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES.....

APPENDIX C: PRO FORMA DETAILED INVOICE.....

STREET LIGHT AND TRAFFIC CONTROL RATE GROUP SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Group Settlement Agreement (Agreement) is entered into by and among the undersigned Parties hereto, with reference to the following:

1. Parties

The Parties to this Agreement are Southern California Edison Company (SCE), California City-County Street Light Association (CAL-SLA), and the Coalition for Affordable Street Lights (CASL) (referred to collectively as Parties or individually as Party).

a. SCE is an investor-owned public utility and is subject to the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission or CPUC) with respect to providing electric service to its CPUC-jurisdictional retail customers.

b. CAL-SLA represents cities and counties that take street and area lighting and traffic control services from SCE, Pacific Gas & Electric Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company.

c. CASL is an *ad hoc* group of public agencies concerned about SCE's street light rates and services, consisting of the following participants: the cities of Moreno Valley, Downey, Huntington Beach, Murrieta, Rancho Cucamonga, Torrance, Upland, and Yorba Linda.

2. Definitions

Capitalized terms in this Agreement, whether in singular or plural, shall (i) if identified in parentheses, have the meaning given to such term in the body of this Agreement, or (ii) if unidentified in parentheses, have the following meanings:

a. "Energy Charges" mean the dollar per kilowatt-hour (kWh) charges applicable to Street Light Rate Group and Traffic Control Rate Group rate

schedules. Energy Charges recover SCE's variable costs for delivery service and generation.

b. "Customer Charges" mean the fixed dollar-per-month charges applicable to certain Street Light Rate Group and Traffic Control rate schedules.

c. "Non-Energy Charges" mean the distribution charges applicable to street and area lighting facilities owned and maintained by SCE and are expressed as dollars per lamp per month. Non-Energy Charges are synonymous with "service charges," and "other charges" applicable to street and area lighting. They include facilities charges and operations and maintenance (O&M) charges.

d. "Street Light Rate Group" means the following SCE rate schedules: Schedule LS-1 Lighting – Street and Highway Company-Owned System– Unmetered Service, Schedule LS-2 Lighting – Street and Highway Customer-Owned Installation – Unmetered Service, Schedule LS-3, Lighting – Street and Highway Customer-Owned Installation – Metered Service, Schedule OL-1 Outdoor Area Lighting Service– Unmetered Service, Schedule DWL Residential Walkway Lighting– Unmetered Service, and Schedule AL-2 Outdoor Area Lighting Service Metered.

e. "Traffic Control Rate Group" means Schedule TC-1.

f. "Allocated Revenues" mean the amount of SCE's authorized revenue requirement that is allocated to the Street Light Rate Group and the Traffic Control Rate Group. Allocated Revenues are used to establish the Energy Charges and the Customer Charges applicable to the Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Group.

g. "Non-Allocated Revenues" mean the revenues collected from Non-Energy Charges. These revenues will be assigned directly to the Street Light Rate Group and are excluded from SCE's allocation of its revenue requirement to all other rate groups. Non-Allocated Revenues shall be established according to Paragraph 4 of this Agreement.

h. "Street Light Agency" means a city, county or any other entity that serves as the customer of record on a street light service account.

i. "Standard Installation" means an installation that includes an overhead multiple service installation where SCE furnishes bracket or mast arm construction and standard luminaire attached to a wood pole.

j. "Functional SAPC Allocation" means allocation of SCE's revenue requirement to each of SCE's rate groups based on the system average percentage change for the particular function, e.g., distribution or generation.

3. <u>Recitals</u>

a. Paragraph 4.f. of the 2009 Phase 2 General Rate Case (GRC) Streetlight Settlement Agreement, which was approved by Decision 09-08-028, applies to changes to be made to Non-Energy Charges when the revenue requirement change for Phase 1 of SCE's 2012 GRC is implemented. If SCE's 2012 GRC Phase 1 revenue change is implemented in rates in 2012, the resulting revised Non-Energy Charges will remain in effect thereafter until rates established pursuant to this Agreement are implemented. The resulting revised Non-Energy Charges will not recover retroactively any incremental increase in Non-Energy Charges for any period prior to the date that SCE's 2012 GRC Phase 1 revenue change is implemented.

b. In Phase 2 of SCE's 2012 GRC, the Commission allocates SCE's authorized revenue requirement among rate groups and authorizes rate design changes for rate schedules in each rate group.

c. On June 6, 2011, SCE served its initial prepared testimony regarding marginal costs, revenue allocation and rate design in Application 11-06-007. On October 7, 2011, SCE revised its initial testimony, primarily to remove its initial proposal to increase SCE's current residential customer charge.

d. On November 2, 2011, SCE conducted a street light facilities charge modeling workshop.

e. The Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) served its initial testimony on December 20, 2011, but did not specifically address street light rates or service-related issues. Interveners, including CAL-SLA and CASL, served their initial testimony on February 6, 2012.

f. Among other things, CASL (1) proposed that SCE use an actual cost-based methodology to establish street light facilities charges in future proceedings (2) proposed use of the New Customer Only (NCO) methodology to establish street light facilities charges in this proceeding; (3) proposed the elimination of the wood pole allowance provided to developers; (4) sought improvements in street light repairs and replacements; and (5) sought periodic reports from SCE on various street light service-related issues.

g. Among other things, CAL-SLA (1) proposed the use of the NCO methodology instead of the Real Economic Carrying Cost (RECC) methodology that SCE used to establish marginal customer access costs, (2) sought more detail in the estimates provided by SCE for street light installations; and (3) proposed that SCE's proposed customer charge of $21.75 per meter per month for Schedule TC-1 should be reduced to $9.49 per month based on the NCO method.

h. SCE provided notice to all parties of its intent to conduct a settlement conference and an initial settlement conference was held on February 22, 2012.

i. Continuing settlement discussions occurred among the interested parties and specifically among the Parties to this Agreement after February 22, 2012.

j. The Parties have evaluated the impacts of the various proposals in this proceeding and desire to resolve all issues related to rate design and service-related issues for the Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Group as indicated in Paragraph 4 of this Agreement.

k. Appendix A to this Agreement provides a comparison of the Parties' positions related to Street Light and Traffic Control rates and service issues that have

been resolved by this Agreement. In the event of a conflict between the terms of this Agreement and the terms listed in Appendix A, the terms of this Agreement shall control.

4. Agreement

In consideration of the mutual obligations, covenants and conditions contained herein, the Parties agree to the terms of this Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to constitute an admission by any Party that its position on any issue lacks merit or that its position has greater or lesser merit than the position taken by any other Party. This Agreement is subject to the express limitation on precedent described in Paragraph 11. Unless specifically stated otherwise herein, this Agreement and its terms are intended to remain in effect from the date rate changes are implemented as a result of a CPUC decision in this proceeding until a decision is implemented in Phase 2 of SCE's next GRC.

Non-Allocated Revenues

a. Non-Allocated Revenues collected through Non-Energy Charges will be set at $76,120,632, based on street light facilities-related capital and O&M embedded costs. Non-Allocated Revenues will remain fixed at this level until implementation of rate changes related to SCE's next GRC Phase 2 proceeding regardless of other revenue changes implemented in the interim period, including SCE's distribution-related revenue increases for years following the 2012 test year GRC, such as the 2013 and 2014 attrition increases. The Parties agree that rate levels for Non-Energy Charges may need to be increased or decreased in order to maintain Non-Allocated Revenues at this fixed level. In order to facilitate future assessments of Non-Allocated Revenues, SCE will make a good faith effort to record street light installations, replacements, removals, repairs, and other capital and O&M activities in street light-related accounts that itemize the activity and the associated costs, beginning when a final decision approving this Agreement is issued. If this Agreement is approved by the CPUC in its entirety, SCE will

continue to propose the use of the same methodology reflected in this Agreement to establish Non-Allocated Revenues in future GRC rate design proceedings unless directed otherwise by the Commission.

Rate Design and Allocation of Revenues Among Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Schedules

b. The current rate structure, consisting of Customer Charges, Energy Charges and Non-Energy Charges shall be maintained for all applicable Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Group rate schedules.

c. Non-Allocated Revenues specified in Paragraph 4.a for the Street Light Rate Group will be allocated proportionally among the lamp options based on SCE's proposed street light facilities marginal cost revenue requirement to establish Non-Energy Charges. The initial Non-Energy Charges for the different lamp options are shown in Appendix B (as "other charges"). If the Non-Energy Charges need to be adjusted to maintain Non-Allocated Revenues at a fixed level, as described in Paragraph 4.a, the Non-Energy Charges will be modified according to lamp counts, lamp type and wattage in the same proportion as the Non-Energy Charges shown in Appendix B, and will be set to collect the Non-Allocated Revenues.

d. The illustrative Energy Charges and Customer Charges set forth in Appendix B reflect an estimated consolidated SCE system revenue requirement which results in a bundled-service system average rate of 15.6 ¢/kWh. These Customer Charges and Energy Charges shall be adjusted to reflect SCE's actual authorized revenue requirements when this Agreement is first implemented consistent with the treatment of allocated revenues adopted in this proceeding.

e. After this Agreement is first implemented, any changes to Allocated Revenues that are collected through Energy Charges and Customer Charges for the Street Light and Traffic Control Rate Group shall be implemented on a

Functional SAPC basis whenever changes to SCE's authorized revenues are implemented in rates, using the then-current forecast lamp count and the applicable kWh consumption per lamp.

f. The illustrative rates set forth in Appendix B provide that the following Customer Charges shall be applicable for street light service under Schedule LS-3: (1) for metered street lights with multiple service, $14.75 per month per meter, and (2) for metered street lights with series service, $642.90 per month per meter.

g. The illustrative rates set forth in Appendix B for Schedules LS-1and LS-2 reflect a lamp outage rate adjustment, which shall be implemented when SCE first implements rate changes based on this Agreement. This adjustment will reduce Energy and Non-Energy revenues for Schedules LS-1 and LS-2 by the average rate of lamp outages of SCE-owned lamps served on Schedule LS-1. SCE will reflect this adjustment in rates by reducing the Non-Energy Charges and the generation component of the Energy Charges for Schedules LS-1 and LS-2 on a system-wide (not city-by-city) basis. For this purpose, SCE's current system-wide average rate of lamp outages is 0.26 percent, which reduces the Non-Energy Charges for Schedules LS-1 and LS-2 by 0.26 percent, and the generation component of Energy Charges by 0.42 percent, resulting in equal generation Energy Charges for Schedules LS-1 and LS-2. The lamp outage adjustment factor, accounting for lamp outages, will be reviewed and determined in future Phase 2 SCE GRC proceedings based on the outage rates that are available for the then most recent three-year period.

h. Schedule TC-1 shall consist of a monthly Customer Charge and a flat Energy Charge. With an estimated bundled-service system average rate of 15.6 ¢/kWh, the Customer Charge for Schedule TC-1, as illustrated in Appendix B, shall be $0.561 per day per meter ($16.83 per month per meter). However, the Customer Charge shall be adjusted pursuant to Paragraph 4.d to reflect SCE's actual authorized revenue requirement when rates subject to this

Agreement are implemented. The Schedule TC-1 Energy Charge shall be established based on the flat load profile applied to such service by SCE and the marginal distribution and generation costs consistent with the treatment of allocated revenues adopted in this proceeding. After this Agreement is first implemented, changes to Energy Charges and Customer Charges for Schedule TC-1 shall be implemented on a Functional SAPC basis whenever changes to SCE's authorized revenues are implemented in rates, using the then-current forecast number of service accounts, and the applicable kWh consumption per lamp.

Tariff-Related Issues

i. Consistent with movement away from SCE's RECC-based methodology, beginning no later than January 1, 2014, SCE will stop providing the wood pole allowance to developers for Schedule LS-1 service.

j. Customers applying for Schedule LS-1 service with a non-Standard Installation will be responsible for all capital and installation costs associated with the initial street light facility and will have the two following installation options:

    1. **Option 1:** The applicant provides installation, labor and material by the applicant's qualified contractor or sub-contractor in accordance with SCE's design and engineering specifications, covers all costs associated with the installation of the street light facility (including excavation, installation of any substructures, conduit, and surface repair), pays SCE for inspection costs of the street light facility, and upon completion of facility installation and subsequent acceptance by SCE, the applicant transfers and conveys ownership of all necessary installed structures and facilities to SCE. SCE will be responsible for ongoing O&M and replacement service.

2. **Option 2:** The applicant requests that SCE provide the design, labor and material for the street light facilities installation in accordance with SCE's design and engineering specifications, and the applicant covers the full cost of installation. SCE will retain ownership of the facilities. SCE will be responsible for ongoing O&M and replacement service.

k. For customers applying for service under Schedule LS-1 with a Standard Installation, SCE will be responsible for all capital and installation costs associated with the initial street light installation, as well as ongoing O&M and replacement service.

l. SCE will conduct studies necessary to be able to propose in SCE's next Phase 2 GRC proceeding a rate option for lamps that are mounted on SCE's distribution poles as opposed to being mounted on poles that solely support street lights. As this rate option will reflect the lower costs associated with street light installations on distribution poles, Non-Energy Charges for street lights not installed on distribution poles will necessarily increase to account for the reduced revenues collected for the street lights installed on distribution poles. After SCE has identified the inventory of lamps mounted on distribution poles and after SCE has developed this rate option, SCE will provide relevant information to a requesting Street Light Agency as may be reasonably necessary for the Street Light Agency to assess how a distribution pole rate would affect such agency.

m. Schedule AL-2 shall continue to include two options through the phase-in period of SCE's SmartConnect meter deployment: Option A and Option B. Outdoor area lighting customers without daytime incidental loads shall receive service on Schedule AL-2, Option A. At the end of the SmartConnect meter phase-in period, Schedule AL-2, Option A, will be eliminated and customers will be transferred to Schedule AL-2, Option B. At that time,

Schedule AL-2, Option B, shall be named "Schedule AL-2" because it will then be the only available option.

Service and Maintenance Issues

n. Beginning in 2013 following a CPUC decision approving this Agreement, SCE will provide reports, as specified below, to Street Light Agencies about street light replacements and expenditures, street light outage information, and geographic structure locations.

    1. SCE will report annually to Parties and to Street Light Agencies, upon request of a Street Light Agency, SCE's annual recorded expenditures for street light replacement. Assuming that the Commission adequately identifies "funds authorized for street light replacement" in the Commission's decision in Phase 1 of SCE's 2012 GRC, SCE will additionally report the amount authorized by the CPUC for street light replacement.

    2. Upon request of a Street Light Agency, SCE will make available, on a quarterly basis, the information on street light outages that SCE collects in the ordinary course of business, including the SCE structure number, general location of the structure, general description of the work performed, and the number of days it took SCE to repair lamps. SCE will not make changes to its reporting any earlier than October 1, 2015, but will instead provide the report using information currently being collected by SCE. The Street Light Agencies and SCE will work together to develop a format of the report, recognizing the inherent constraints of SCE's reporting capabilities.

    3. SCE will provide the structure number and geographic information system (GIS) coordinates for Schedule LS-1 street lights in an Excel file to any Street Light Agency who makes such a request. Upon request, SCE will provide the lamp type and date installed if this

information is available. SCE will not provide shape files or confidential information from its database.

o. SCE will use its best efforts to provide, beginning in the third quarter of 2013, a more detailed invoice than currently provided to customers who install new street light facilities for service under Schedule LS-1. The invoice shall contain cost information including labor, material, and taxes in substantially the same form as indicated in Appendix C.

p. SCE plans to complete, by December 31, 2012, the replacement of 200 Schedule LS-1 steel street light poles in the City of Torrance. SCE will use reasonable, good faith efforts to complete this installation. Thereafter, SCE will use reasonable, good faith efforts to complete the replacement of the remaining Schedule LS-1 steel street light poles in the City of Torrance in an expeditious manner.

5. <u>Implementation of Agreement</u>

It is the intent of the parties that SCE should be authorized to implement the rates resulting from this Agreement as soon as practicable following the issuance of a final Commission decision approving this Agreement but no earlier than January 1, 2013.

6. <u>Record Evidence</u>

The Parties recommend that all of their related prepared testimony be admitted as part of the evidentiary record for this proceeding.

7. <u>Incorporation of Complete Agreement</u>

This Agreement is to be treated as a complete package and not as a collection of separate agreements on discrete issues. To accommodate the interests related to diverse issues, the Parties acknowledge that changes, concessions, or compromises by a Party or Parties in one section of this Agreement resulted in changes, concessions, or compromises by the Parties in other sections. Consequently, the Parties agree to oppose any modification of this Agreement not agreed to by all Parties. If the Commission does not approve this

Agreement without modification, the terms and conditions reflected in this Agreement shall no longer apply to the Parties.

8. Signature Date

This Agreement shall become binding as of the last signature date of the Parties.

9. Regulatory Approval

The Parties, by signing this Agreement, acknowledge that they pledge support for Commission approval and subsequent implementation of all the provisions of the Agreement. The Parties shall use their best efforts to obtain Commission approval of the Agreement. The Parties shall jointly request that the Commission approve the Agreement without change, and find the Agreement to be reasonable, consistent with law and in the public interest.

10. Compromise Of Disputed Claims

This Agreement represents a compromise of disputed claims between the Parties. The Parties have reached this Agreement after taking into account the possibility that each Party may or may not prevail on any given issue. The Parties assert that this Agreement is reasonable, consistent with law and in the public interest.

11. Non Precedent

Consistent with Rule 12.5 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Agreement is not precedential in any other proceeding before this Commission, except as expressly provided in this Agreement or unless the Commission expressly provides otherwise.

12. Previous Communications

The Agreement contains the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties as to the subject matter of this Agreement, and supersedes all prior agreements, commitments, representation, and discussions between the Parties. In the event there is any conflict

between the terms and scope of the Agreement and the terms and scope of the accompanying joint motion, the Agreement shall govern.

13. Non Waiver

None of the provisions of this Agreement shall be considered waived by any Party unless such waiver is given in writing. The failure of a Party to insist in any one or more instances upon strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement or to take advantage of any of their rights hereunder shall not be construed as a waiver of any such provisions or the relinquishment of any such rights for the future, but the same shall continue and remain in full force and effect.

14. Effect Of Subject Headings

Subject headings in this Agreement are inserted for convenience only, and shall not be construed as interpretations of the text.

15. Governing Law

This Agreement shall be interpreted, governed and construed under the laws of the State of California, including Commission decisions, orders and rulings, as if executed and to be performed wholly within the State of California.

16. Number Of Originals

This Agreement is executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original. The undersigned represent that they are authorized to sign on behalf of the Party represented.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

By: /s/ Bruce Reed

Title: Senior Attorney Date: 6/29/2012

CALIFORNIA CITY-COUNTY STREET LIGHT ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ Reed Schmidt

Title: Consultant Date: Date: 6/29/2012

COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE STREET LIGHTS

By: /s/ Scott Blaising

Title: Attorney Date: Date: 6/29/2012

Appendix A

Comparison of Positions and Settlement

COMPARISON EXHIBIT

Issue	SCE's Initial Position	CASL's Initial Position	CAL-SLA's Initial Position	Settlement
Revenue Allocation Methodology (Allocation of PPP revenues)	Allocate Public Purpose Program (PPP) revenues are on a System Average Percent Change basis	Not addressed in initial testimony	PPP revenues should be allocated on an equal cents per kWh basis.	Not addressed in this Agreement (assumed to be addressed in separate Marginal Cost and Revenue Allocation settlement agreement)
Revenue Allocation Methodology (Capping)	Did not propose capping of revenue increases	Not addressed in initial testimony	Revenue increase to the street light class should be capped at 2.75% above the SAPC.	Not addressed in this Agreement (assumed to be addressed in separate Marginal Cost and Revenue Allocation settlement agreement)
Revenue Allocation Methodology (Use of RECC or NCO methods)	Use RECC method to determine marginal customer costs for revenue allocation	Not addressed in initial testimony	Use NCO method to determine marginal customer costs for revenue allocation	Not addressed in this Agreement (assumed to be addressed in separate Marginal Cost and Revenue Allocation settlement agreement)
Level of Non-Allocated Revenues	Non-Allocated revenues for unmetered street light service should be $82.8 million, based on capped RECC marginal cost rate levels, with 4.8% annual increases thereafter. Lamp charges for 100 watt HPSV should be $9.49 per month.	SCE should use an actual cost-based methodology to establish street light facilities charges in future proceedings. In this proceeding, SCE should use the NCO methodology instead of SCE's RECC marginal cost study to establish Non-Allocated revenues. Lamp charges should be set at $4.46 per month for 100 watt HPSV.	Non-allocated revenues for street light service should be $82.8 million, with annual increases limited to no more than 4.8%, consistent with the 2009 Street Light Settlement Agreement, of which CAL-SLA was a party. Non-allocated revenues are incorrectly calculated by SCE's model when applying a specified percentage increase.	Non-allocated revenue requirements for un-metered street light service will be set at $76.1 million, based on the level of embedded costs related to provision of street light service. This revenue requirement will remain fixed until rates resulting from SCE's next GRC Phase 2 proceeding are implemented. Lamp charges for 100 watt HPSV should be $8.81 per month.

Issue	SCE's Initial Position	CASL's Initial Position	CAL-SLA's Initial Position	Settlement
Tariff Related (Wood Pole Allowance)	Not addressed in initial testimony.	The wood pole allowance should be eliminated, or conditioned on approval by the affected city (and directed to the city, not the developer).	Not addressed in initial testimony	Beginning no later than January 1, 2014, SCE will stop providing the wood pole allowance to developers for Schedule LS-1 service.
Tariff Related (Outage Credit)	Not addressed in initial testimony	Customers should receive an Energy Charge credit reflecting the period of time that street lights are out of service.	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE will reduce revenues for Non-Energy and Energy Charges for Schedules LS-1 and LS-2 by the average rate of lamp outages of SCE-owned lamps, and will reflect this adjustment in rates by reducing Non-Energy Charges and the generation component of Energy Charges on a system-wide (not city-by-city) basis.
Tariff Related (Traffic Control Customer Charge)	SCE's proposed Schedule TC-1 customer charge is $21.75 per meter per month based on the RECC method.	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE's proposed customer charge of $21.75 per meter per month for Schedule TC-1 should be reduced to $9.49 per month based on the NCO method	The Schedule TC-1 customer charge shall be established at $16.83 per meter per month based on the estimated bundled-service consolidated revenue requirement reflected in Appendix B.
Service Related (Report on Street Light Expenditures)	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE should provide an annual report on SCE's use of funds authorized for street light replacement	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE will report SCE's annual recorded expenditures for street light replacement. Assuming that the Commission adequately identifies "funds authorized for street light replacement" in the Commission's decision related to Phase 1 of SCE's 2012 GRC, SCE will additionally report the amount authorized by the CPUC for street light replacement.
Service Related (Replacement of Steel Poles in Torrance)	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE should expedite the replacement of street lights included in the street light replacement program (including those in the city of Torrance).	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE plans to complete, by December 31, 2012, the replacement of 200 Schedule LS-1 steel poles in the City of Torrance. SCE will use reasonable, good faith efforts to complete this installation. Thereafter, SCE will use reasonable, good faith efforts to complete the replacement of the remaining Schedule LS-1 steel poles in the City of Torrance in an

Issue	SCE's Initial Position	CASL's Initial Position	CAL-SLA's Initial Position	Settlement
				expeditious manner
Service Related (Reports on Street Light Repairs)	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE should provide monthly reports to cities to confirm the status of all street light repair requests and work orders.	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE will make available to Street Light Agencies the information on street light outages that SCE collects in the ordinary course of business, including the number of days it took SCE to repair lamps, on a quarterly basis.
Service Related (Provision of Electronic Street Light data)	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE should provide electronic street light data to cities for use in the cities' GIS systems.	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE will provide the structure number and GIS coordinates for Schedule LS-1 street lights to any Streetlight Agency who requests it. Upon request, SCE will provide the lamp type and date installed if this information is available. SCE will not provide shape files or confidential information from its database.
Service Related (Estimated cost of installations)	Not addressed in initial testimony	Not addressed in initial testimony	SCE should provide itemized estimates of costs for street light installations. Current invoice is a single line item cost.	SCE will use its best efforts to provide a more detailed invoice than currently provided to customers who install new street light facilities for service under Schedule LS-1 beginning in the third quarter of 2013.

Appendix B

June 2011 and Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates

JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES

	June 2011 Rates			Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates			
	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Total Rate Change
AL-2							
Option B							
Energy Charge - $/kWh							
Summer Season							
On-Peak	0.06361	0.11052	0.17413	0.01976	0.10575	0.12551	-27.9%
Off-Peak	0.02339	0.04126	0.06465	0.01976	0.04324	0.06300	-2.6%
Winter Season							
On-Peak	0.06361	0.06267	0.12628	0.01976	0.07581	0.09557	-24.3%
Off-Peak	0.02339	0.04126	0.06465	0.01976	0.04324	0.06300	-2.6%
Both Option							
Customer Charge - $/month	14.15	0.00	14.15	14.75	0.00	14.75	4.2%
DWL							
Energy Charge - $/kWh	0.03090	0.04125	0.07215	0.02721	0.04333	0.07054	-2.2%
Rate A - Other Charges							
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamp - $/lamp/month							
50 Watt	8.57	0.00	8.57				
70 Watt	8.66	0.00	8.66	5.52	0.00	5.52	-36.3%
100 Watt	9.11	0.00	9.11				
150 Watt	9.11	0.00	9.11				
Metal Halide Lamp - $/lamp/month							
100 Watt	15.99	0.00	15.99				
175 Watt	14.69	0.00	14.69				
Mercury Vapor Lamp - $/lamp/month							
75 Watt	8.72	0.00	8.72	5.53	0.00	5.53	-36.6%
Rate B - Other Charges							
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamp - $/lamp/month							
50 Watt	8.57	0.00	8.57				
70 Watt	8.66	0.00	8.66				
100 Watt	9.11	0.00	9.11				
150 Watt	9.11	0.00	9.11				
Metal Halide Lamp - $/lamp/month							
100 Watt	15.99	0.00	15.99				
175 Watt	14.69	0.00	14.69				
Mercury Vapor Lamp - $/lamp/month							
75 Watt	3.91	0.00	3.91	2.48	0.00	2.48	-36.6%
DWL (Continued)							
Rate C - Other Charges							
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamp - $/lamp/month							
50 Watt	0.59	0.00	0.59				
70 Watt	0.59	0.00	0.59				
100 Watt	0.59	0.00	0.59				
150 Watt	0.59	0.00	0.59				
Metal Halide Lamp - $/lamp/month							
100 Watt	2.26	0.00	2.26				
175 Watt	2.00	0.00	2.00				
Mercury Vapor Lamp - $/lamp/month							
75 Watt	0.61	0.00	0.61	0.29	0.00	0.29	-52.5%
Minimum Charge - $/month							
Rate A	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.0%
Rate B	50.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.0%

JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES

	June 2011 Rates			Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates			
	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Total Rate Change
LS-1							
Energy Charge - $/kWh							
All Night Service	0.03090	0.04125	0.07215	0.02721	0.04315	0.07036	-2.5%
Midnight Service	0.03090	0.04125	0.07215	0.02721	0.04315	0.07036	-2.5%
Other Charges - All Night/Midnight Service							
Incandescent Lamps - $/lamp/month							
103 Watt	9.72	0.00	9.72	9.53	0.00	9.53	-2.0%
202 Watt	9.77	0.00	9.77	9.48	0.00	9.48	-3.0%
327 Watt	9.91	0.00	9.91	9.48	0.00	9.48	-4.3%
448 Watt	10.44	0.00	10.44				
Mercury Vapcr Lamps - $/lamp/month							
100 Watt	8.84	0.00	8.84	8.87	0.00	8.87	0.3%
175 Watt	8.89	0.00	8.89	8.81	0.00	8.81	-0.9%
250 Watt	9.50	0.00	9.50	9.29	0.00	9.29	-2.2%
400 Watt	10.01	0.00	10.01	9.75	0.00	9.75	-2.6%
700 Watt	11.28	0.00	11.28	9.68	0.00	9.68	-14.2%
1,000 Watt	10.88	0.00	10.88				
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps - $/lamp/month							
50 Watt	8.81	0.00	8.81	8.87	0.00	8.87	0.7%
70 Watt	8.91	0.00	8.91	8.81	0.00	8.81	-1.1%
100 Watt	9.39	0.00	9.39	8.81	0.00	8.81	-6.2%
150 Watt	9.39	0.00	9.39	9.31	0.00	9.31	-0.9%
200 Watt	10.01	0.00	10.01	9.75	0.00	9.75	-2.6%
250 Watt	10.10	0.00	10.10	9.61	0.00	9.61	-4.9%
400 Watt	10.53	0.00	10.53	9.68	0.00	9.68	-8.1%
Low Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps - $/lamp/month							
35 Watt	11.54	0.00	11.54	11.39	0.00	11.39	-1.3%
55 Watt	11.22	0.00	11.22	11.39	0.00	11.39	1.5%
90 Watt	14.00	0.00	14.00	11.92	0.00	11.92	-14.9%
135 Watt	14.37	0.00	14.37	11.80	0.00	11.80	-17.9%
180 Watt	14.41	0.00	14.41	12.36	0.00	12.36	-14.2%
LS-1 (Continued)							
Other Charges - All Night/Midnight Service							
Metal Halide Lamps - $/lamp/month							
75 Watt	17.94	0.00	17.94				
100 Watt	15.79	0.00	15.79	9.59	0.00	9.59	-39.3%
175 Watt	14.46	0.00	14.46	10.00	0.00	10.00	-30.8%
250 Watt	11.70	0.00	11.70	10.17	0.00	10.17	-13.1%
400 Watt	11.16	0.00	11.16				
1,000 Watt	15.12	0.00	15.12				
1,500 Watt	22.12	0.00	22.12				
Light Emitting Diode (LED) Lamps - $/Lamp/Month							
52 Watt	10.47	0.00	10.47	9.82	0.00	9.82	-6.2%
67 Watt	11.39	0.00	11.39	10.69	0.00	10.69	-6.1%
90 Watt	11.70	0.00	11.70	10.98	0.00	10.98	-6.2%
145 Watt	13.39	0.00	13.39	12.56	0.00	12.56	-6.2%
155 Watt	13.57	0.00	13.57	12.73	0.00	12.73	-6.2%
Tap Device Annual Charge							
$/device	21.86	0.00	21.86	14.13	0.00	14.13	-35.4%

JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES

	June 2011 Rates			Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates			
	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Total Rate Change
LS-2							
Energy Charge - $/kWh							
All Night Service	0.03090	0.04125	0.07215	0.02721	0.04315	0.07036	-2.5%
Midnight Service	0.03090	0.04125	0.07215	0.02721	0.04315	0.07036	-2.5%
Multiple Service (Other Charges)							
All Night/Midnight Service							
Rate A							
Incandescent Extended Service Lamps							
- $/lamp/month	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
Mercury Vapor Lamps							
- $/lamp/month	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
Light Emitting Diode (LED) Lamps							
- $/lamp/month	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps - $/lamp/month							
50 Watt	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
70 Watt	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
100 Watt	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
150 Watt	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
200 Watt	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
250 Watt	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
310 Watt	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
400 Watt	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
Low Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
Metal Halide Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
Induction Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
All Other Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.82	0.00	0.82	-59.0%
Rate B							
Incandescent Extended Service Lamps							
- $/lamp/month	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
Mercury Vapor Lamps							
- $/lamp/month	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
Light Emitting Diode (LED) Lamps							
- $/lamp/month	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps - $/lamp/month							
50 Watt	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
70 Watt	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
100 Watt	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
150 Watt	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
200 Watt	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
250 Watt	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
310 Watt	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
400 Watt	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
Low Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
Metal Halide Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
Induction Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%
All Other Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	4.12	0.00	4.12	2.47	0.00	2.47	-40.1%

JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES

	June 2011 Rates			Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates			
	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Total Rate Change
LS-2 (Continued)							
Series Service							
Series Service Power Fabtor - $/kVar	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.51	0.00	0.51	75.9%
All Night/Midnight Service							
Incandescent Extended Service Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	9.19	0.00	9.19	11.86	0.00	11.86	29.1%
Mercury Vapor Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	9.19	0.00	9.19	11.86	0.00	11.86	29.1%
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps - $/lamp/month							
50 Watt	9.19	0.00	9.19	11.86	0.00	11.86	29.1%
70 Watt	9.19	0.00	9.19	11.86	0.00	11.86	29.1%
100 Watt	9.19	0.00	9.19	11.86	0.00	11.86	29.1%
150 Watt	9.19	0.00	9.19	11.86	0.00	11.86	29.1%
200 Watt	9.19	0.00	9.19	11.86	0.00	11.86	29.1%
250 Watt	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A			
310 Watt	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A			
400 Watt	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A			
Low Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	9.19	0.00	9.19	11.86	0.00	11.86	29.1%
Metal Halide Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A			
All Other Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	9.19	0.00	9.19	11.86	0.00	11.86	29.1%
Series Service Voltage Discount, Energy - $/kWh	0.00000	(0.00164)	(0.00164)	0.00000	(0.00136)	(0.00136)	17.1%
LS-2 Continued - (Optional Service - Relamp)							
Incandescent Extended Service Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A			
Mercury Vapor Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A			
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps - $/lamp/month							
50 Watt	0.52	0.00	0.52	0.67	0.00	0.67	28.8%
70 Watt	0.52	0.00	0.52	0.65	0.00	0.65	25.0%
100 Watt	0.52	0.00	0.52	0.65	0.00	0.65	25.0%
150 Watt	0.52	0.00	0.52	0.66	0.00	0.66	26.9%
200 Watt	0.53	0.00	0.53	0.66	0.00	0.66	24.5%
250 Watt	0.53	0.00	0.53	0.66	0.00	0.66	24.5%
310 Watt	N/A	0.00	N/A				
400 Watt	0.54	0.00	0.54	0.68	0.00	0.68	25.9%
Low Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A			
Metal Halide Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A			
All Other Lamps							
-$/lamp/month	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A			

JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES

	June 2011 Rates			Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates			
	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Total Rate Change
LS-3							
Energy Charge - $/kWh	0.02339	0.04125	0.06464	0.01972	0.04333	0.06305	-2.5%
Customer Charge - $/month							
Multiple Service	14.15	0.00	14.15	14.75	0.00	14.75	4.2%
Series Service	812.28	0.00	812.28	642.90	0.00	642.90	-20.9%
Optional Relamp Service Charge ($/lamp/month)							
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps							
50 Watt	0.52	0.00	0.52	0.67	0.00	0.67	28.8%
70 Watt	0.52	0.00	0.52	0.65	0.00	0.65	25.0%
100 Watt	0.52	0.00	0.52	0.65	0.00	0.65	25.0%
150 Watt	0.52	0.00	0.52	0.66	0.00	0.66	26.9%
200 Watt	0.53	0.00	0.53	0.66	0.00	0.66	24.5%
250 Watt	0.53	0.00	0.53	0.66	0.00	0.66	24.5%
400 Watt	0.54	0.00	0.54	0.68	0.00	0.68	25.9%
Series Service							
Voltage Discount, Energy - $/kWh	0.00000	(0.00164)	(0.00164)	0.00000	(0.00136)	(0.00136)	17.1%
OL-1							
Energy Charge - $/kWh							
All Night Service	0.03090	0.04125	0.07215	0.02721	0.04333	0.07054	-2.2%
Midnight Service	0.03090	0.04125	0.07215	0.02721	0.04333	0.07054	-2.2%
Pole Charge - $/pole/month	5.32	0.00	5.32	2.05	0.00	2.05	-61.5%
Other Charges - All Night/Midnight Service							
Mercury Vapor Lamps - $/lamp/month							
175 Watt	6.97	0.00	6.97	8.83	0.00	8.83	26.7%
400 Watt	7.68	0.00	7.68	9.77	0.00	9.77	27.2%
High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps - $/lamp/month							
50 Watt	6.88	0.00	6.88	8.90	0.00	8.90	29.4%
70 Watt	6.97	0.00	6.97	8.83	0.00	8.83	26.7%
100 Watt	6.97	0.00	6.97	8.84	0.00	8.84	26.8%
150 Watt	6.97	0.00	6.97	9.33	0.00	9.33	33.9%
200 Watt	7.68	0.00	7.68	9.77	0.00	9.77	27.2%
250 Watt	7.80	0.00	7.80	9.63	0.00	9.63	23.5%
400 Watt	8.27	0.00	8.27	9.70	0.00	9.70	17.3%
Low Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps - $/lamp/month							
35 Watt	9.04	0.00	9.04				
55 Watt	9.04	0.00	9.04	11.42	0.00	11.42	26.3%
90 Watt	10.77	0.00	10.77	11.95	0.00	11.95	11.0%
135 Watt	10.45	0.00	10.45	11.83	0.00	11.83	13.2%
180 Watt	10.60	0.00	10.60	12.40	0.00	12.40	17.0%
Metal Halide Lamps - $/lamp/month							
70 Watt	7.56	0.00	7.56				
100 Watt	8.28	0.00	8.28	9.62	0.00	9.62	16.2%
175 Watt	7.25	0.00	7.25	10.02	0.00	10.02	38.2%
250 Watt	7.42	0.00	7.42	10.20	0.00	10.20	37.5%
400 Watt	7.81	0.00	7.81	9.97	0.00	9.97	27.7%
1,000 Watt	9.61	0.00	9.61	9.97	0.00	9.97	3.7%
1,500 Watt	11.02	0.00	11.02				
TC-1							
Energy Charge - $/kWh	0.05915	0.05145	0.11060	0.03196	0.09093	0.12289	11.1%
Customer Charge - $/day	0.519	0.000	0.519	0.561	0.000	0.561	8.1%
Three-Phase Service - $/day	0.032	0.000	0.032	0.035	0.000	0.035	9.4%

Appendix C

Pro Forma Detailed Invoice

INVOICE NUMBER:
INVOICE DATE:
INVOICE TERM: 90 days

CUSTOMER NAME:
PROJECT LOCATION:
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

PROJECT WORK ORDER:

PROJECT COST INFORMATION

				TOTAL
LABOR				**$0.00**
MATERIAL				**$0.00**
CONTRACT				**$0.00**
SUBTOTAL				**$0.00**
CREDITS				**$0.00**
RELOCATION ADVANCE				**$0.00**
TAX				**$0.00**
		Rate	Base	Subtotal
	RELOCATION ADVANCE AMT			
	TAXABLE ITEMS			
	APPLICANT FURNISHED DUCT & TRENCH			
TOTAL PROJECT INVOICE AMOUNT				**$0.00**

SCE PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE PARTY NAMED HEREIN. ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS DOCUMENT BY OR FOR THIRD PARTIES IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED WITHOUT SCE'S EXPRESS CONSENT.