

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



FILED

08-11-11
04:59 PM

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Examine the
Commission's Post-2008 Energy Efficiency
Policies, Programs, Evaluation, Measurement,
and Verification, and Related Issues Order

Rulemaking 09-11-014
(Filed November 20, 2009)

**JOINT RESPONSE OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U902M),
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (U904G), AND
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 M) ("JOINT IOUS") TO
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING SEEKING INFORMATION REGARDING
GAS ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS**

ANN H. KIM
MICHAEL R. KLOTZ
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale St., B30A
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, CA 94120
Telephone: (415) 973-7565
Facsimile: (415) 973-0516
E-Mail: m1ke@pge.com

Steven D. Patrick
Southern California Gas Company *and*
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1011
Phone: (213) 244-2954
Fax: (213) 629-9620
E-Mail: SDPatrick@semprautilities.com

August 11, 2011

Attorneys for
**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY,
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, *and*
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Examine the Commission's Post-2008 Energy Efficiency Policies, Programs, Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification, and Related Issues Order

Rulemaking 09-11-014
(Filed November 20, 2009)

**JOINT RESPONSE OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U902M),
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (U904G), AND
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 M) (“ JOINT IOUS”) TO
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE’S RULING SEEKING INFORMATION
REGARDING GAS ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS**

I. INTRODUCTION

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (“SDG&E”), Southern California Gas Company (“SCG”) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E”) (collectively referred to as “Joint IOUs”) hereby submit their response to *Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Seeking Information Regarding Gas Energy Efficiency Programs*, issued August 4, 2011 (“ALJ Ruling”).

II. AUTHORITY TO USE PREVIOUSLY COLLECTED GAS AND ELECTRIC FUNDS

In the *Motion of PG&E, SDG&E and SCG to Shift Unspent, Uncommitted Energy Efficiency Funds To Ensure Adequate Funding for the 2010-2012 Energy Efficiency Portfolio in the Wake of Senate Bill 87* (Joint IOU Motion) filed on July 1, 2011, the Joint IOUs set forth the authority supporting the use of combined gas and electric unspent uncommitted funds from prior program cycles to fill the budget deficit left by SB87.¹ In the parties’ comments and reply comments to *Assigned Commissioner’s Ruling and Scoping Memo Regarding Public Purpose Program Funds, Phase III* (ACR) issued on July 7, 2011, no party has established that there is a

¹ Joint IOU Motion, p. 3 and p. 6

prohibition against doing so.² In fact, virtually all parties to the proceeding, including the Division of Ratepayer Advocates, have acknowledged the Commission's authority to utilize combined gas and electric funds and have recommended that the Commission do so.

The *Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Seeking Information Regarding Gas Energy Efficiency Programs*, issued August 4, 2011 (AJL Ruling) presents a different characterization of the issue stating, "[a]s reflected in the ACR, the July 21, 2011 comments on the ACR, and the July 28, 2011 reply comments, there is substantial disagreement about whether IOUs can combine gas and electric funds, or use electric funds for gas EE programs."³ The ALJ Ruling's characterization that there is "substantial disagreement" on this issue does not appear to accurately represent the parties' comments on this issue.

In addition to the parties' comments that the Commission's ability to authorize the use of combined gas and electric funds is not prohibited by statute or by the Energy Efficiency Policy Manual Version 4.0, the Commission has ordered the IOUs to do so in practice. The clearest example of this is in D.09-09-047 where the Commission authorized the dual fuel IOUs, PG&E and SDG&E, to allocate authorized funding and expenditures to gas and electric balancing accounts based on a projected ratio of gas and electric energy savings net benefits—not based on actual expenditures incurred for any given gas or electric related program activity or actual overhead costs incurred to support them.⁴ This Commission-adopted accounting method is administratively efficient given the make up of the integrated, comprehensive portfolio and should not be undermined in the wake of SB 87.

² Joint IOU Reply Comments to ACR, filed July 28, 2011, pp. 2-3.

³ ALJ Ruling, p.4.

⁴ For example, for PG&E, what is recorded in its "gas" one-way balancing account is 18% of all PG&E energy efficiency expenses, and what recorded in its "electric" one-way balancing accounts is the remaining 82%.

Similarly, unspent funds from prior program cycles do not represent pure under-spending on gas or electric activities but the ending balances in IOU's gas and electric regulatory balancing accounts that capture the gas and electric portion of funding and expenditures as have been previously approved by the Commission.⁵

As cited in the Joint IOU Motion and in its Joint Comments to the ACR, the Commission has a substantial track record of approving co-mingling of unspent funds recorded in EE gas and electric balancing accounts in order to allow EE programs to continue and to provide benefits to customers. The Joint IOUs submit that there is overall consensus that the Commission does have the authority to approve the use of combined gas and electric funds to backfill for SB87, and avoid the significant customer impacts which will otherwise occur. The Commission, in fact, does authorize combining such funds as a matter of regular practice and should not hesitate to authorize such practice again in order to allow for the orderly continuation of EE program activities consistent with its vision.

III. RESPONSE TO ACR RULING QUESTIONS

A. State the exact amount of the pre-2010 Evaluation, Measurement and Verification (EM&V) unspent, uncommitted funds and provide notations that are sufficient to allow replication of how they calculated this amount

Energy Efficiency funds that have been previously collected from customer and are not spent for current portfolio cycle activities may be carried over to the next portfolio cycle as a reduction in future rates by lowering the amount of authorized portfolio funding that needs to be recovered from customers in the next portfolio cycle. Alternatively, carryover funds may be held and then authorized by the Commission to increase an IOUs' available funding mid-cycle. In

⁵ . For example, for PG&E, what is identified as unspent, uncommitted funds from 2009 is 17% "gas" and 83% "electric". This is reflective of the expense ratio approved by the Commission in AL 2967-G/3356-E that is used by PG&E to allocate the combined 2009 expenses and authorized funding to "gas" and "electric" balancing accounts. It does not reflect actual expenditures incurred for any given gas or electric related program activity.

Decision 09-09-047, the Commission estimated that there would be \$88 million in unspent EM&V from 2006-2008 and 2009 that had been previously collected from customers. These funds were carried over into the 2010-2012 EE Portfolio cycle to reduce the total amount of authorized funding recovered in customer rates, but did not reduce the total authorized EM&V budget.

The EM&V funding that is included in customer rates is allocated between the IOU and Energy Division managed projects. As ordered in D.10-04-029, the IOUs' submitted a report on April 23, 2010, identifying their portion of unspent (uncommitted) 2006-2008 and 2009 EM&V funds. The Energy Division's accounting of its unspent EM&V was not included in the report.

In the Joint Motion at Table 1, the IOUs identified \$17 million in gas and electric pre-2010 EM&V unspent uncommitted available funds. The amounts were not included in the pre-2010 unspent uncommitted available funds identified in the line above. Each IOU provides specific comments relevant to its particular situation below.

PG&E Response:

D.09-09-047 estimated PG&E's carryover funds from pre-2010 EM&V at \$40.5 million, of which approximately \$9 million was from PG&E's share of pre-2010 EM&V as documented in the April 23rd report. The remainder was ED's share based on its own estimate. Effective January 1, 2010 and 2011, PG&E reduced customer rates by 1/3 of the estimated \$40.5 million for a total reduction each year of \$13.5 million. The credit was allocated between gas and electric customers based on the proxy net benefit split adopted in D. 09-09-047 and later adjusted for the final allocation adopted in PG&E's Compliance AL 3065-G-A&B/3562-E-A&B.⁶

⁶ In 2010 and 2011, PG&E returned 18% of the annual \$13.5 million credit, or \$2.4 million to gas customer through its Gas PPP Surcharge AL and returned 82%, or \$11.1 million, to electric customers through its Annual Electric True-Up AL.

In the Joint Motion at Table 1, PG&E identified that it had \$55.1 million in pre-2010 unspent uncommitted available gas and electric funds, not including EM&V. The remaining unspent EM&V funds were not included in the \$55 million due to the ratemaking treatment adopted in D. 09-09-047 for these funds. On Table 1, PG&E identified the final \$13.5 million in available pre-2010 EM&V and requested that the Commission allow PG&E to augment its 2010-2012 budget with these funds (subject to final true-up) rather than crediting 2012 customer rates as previously authorized.

SDG&E Response:

As reported in the EEGA December 2009 Quarterly report, SDG&E's 2006-8 EM&V Budget augmented with 2009 Bridge Funding was \$7,549,889 with expenditures of \$2,938,743 leaving a balance of \$4,611,146. Additional charges since that report total \$275,903 leaving a balance of \$4,335,243 as of May 31, 2011. The gas portion of 7% equates to \$303,467. This is the amount reflected in the Joint Motion at Table 1, in pre-2010 unspent uncommitted available EM&V gas funds.

SCG Response:

As reported in the EEGA December 2009 Quarterly report, SCG's 2006-8 EM&V Budget augmented with 2009 Bridge Funding was \$5,261,955 with expenditures of \$1,956,500 leaving a balance of \$3,305,455. Additional charges since that report total \$69,966 leaving a balance of \$3,235,489 as of May 31, 2011. This is the amount reflected in the Joint Motion at Table 1, in pre-2010 unspent uncommitted available EM&V gas funds.

B. Clarify if and how they conclude that any portion of the \$125 million in EM&V funds is uncommitted.

In D. 09-09-047 (and affirmed in D. 10-04-029) the Commission adopted a total EM&V budget of \$125 million that was based on 4% of each IOU's 2010-2012 Portfolio cycle budget.

In the Joint IOU Motion, the Joint IOUs did not include any of the \$125 million set aside to fund 2010-2012 EM&V activities in their estimate of available funds.

However, in the response to the ALJ Ruling, Joint IOUs did include reductions to 2010-2012 EM&V, along with other reductions to the 2010-2012 portfolio, as directed. According to Footnote 2 of the ALJ Ruling, ED notes that with reduced gas funding, if not replaced, EM&V like all other programs could suffer a loss of gas funding for fiscal year 2011-12. ED estimates as a worst case this could reduce the \$125 million three-year EM&V budget by \$7.4 million.

The Joint IOUs cannot verify this value, but agree that it would be inappropriate to continue to fund EM&V activities supporting evaluation of gas energy efficiency measures to the extent the Commission directs cuts in those gas measures during this cycle. In Attachment 1 of the Joint IOUs ACR Comments and as described more fully below, PG&E included an 18% reduction of \$3.2 million to the 2010-2012 EM&V budget for the Energy Division and PG&E. In Attachment 2 of the Joint IOUs ACR Comments, SDG&E included a \$2 million reduction to the 2010-2012 EM&V budget for the Energy Division and SDG&E. In Attachment 3 of the Joint IOUs ACR Comments, SCG included a \$0.5 million reduction to the 2010-2012 EM&V budget for the Energy Division and SCG.

C. Explain why the data the IOUs reported in May and June of 2011 differ substantially from those reported in July of 2011 and, if necessary, provide a table with corrected data.

The following Revised Table 1 (revised from the table shown in the ALJ Ruling on p.5) shows the requested corrections for the Joint IOUs (additions in bold, deletions in strikethrough), and are further described below:

Revised Table 1: Comparison of Numbers in ACR and IOU Comments

	PG&E - ACR	PG&E - Comments	SDG&E - ACR	SDG&E - Comments	SCG - ACR	SCG - Comments
Unspent pre-2010 gas funds available	\$7.2	\$5.4 \$7.2	\$7.6	\$7.8 \$7.2	\$25.6	\$18.8 \$31.4
Estimated June 30, 2011 underspent gas EE funds for 2010-12 portfolio	-\$1.2	-\$1.2 -\$1.8	\$0.6	-\$1.1 \$0.7	\$47.3	\$51.9 \$53.9
Proposed allocation of total IOU \$21 million 2011-12 gas PPP funds remaining after \$155 mil "sweep"	\$21.6	\$21.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Estimated total gas FY 2012 budget available under scenario accessing only pre-20100 and 2010-11 unspent gas funds	\$27.6	\$25.8 \$27.0	\$8.2	\$6.7 \$7.9	\$72.9	\$70.7 \$85.3
Forecast of IOU Original commission authorized gas EE and collections of original commission authorized gas budget for FY 2011-12	\$89.9	\$89.9	\$20.7	\$20.7	\$66.0	\$66.0

To help parties better understand the computation of available funds under the ACR proposal and the revisions made to Table 1 above, Attachment A to these comments provides a more detailed breakdown of the ACR proposal with corrections and updates consistent with the "Computational Error" section of the Joint IOU comments to the ACR (pp. 7-8), and revised Table 1 above. Attachment A, Table 1.1 summarizes the ACR proposal for each IOU based on

February 2011 recorded data and forecasts through June 2011. Appendix A, Table 1.2 summarizes the ACR proposal based on recorded data through June 30, 2011.

PG&E Response:

The corrections shown in the revised Table 1, above, and detailed in Attachment A, Table 1.2, accurately reflect PG&E's filed comments to the ACR. With these corrections, the differences between the ACR and PG&E's Comments are minimal and only reflect the update for recorded data through June 30, 2011.

SDG&E Response:

After further review, the estimated underspending for pre-2010 EE funding for SDG&E should be \$7.2 million as shown in the revised Table 1, above, and detailed in Attachment A, Table 1.2. The difference is primarily due to the adjustment for \$0.6 million amortization related to the period April through June 2011 that was not factored into the previous estimate. In addition, the estimated EE underspending for 2010-2012 program cycle should be \$0.7 million. The differences between the previous calculations reflected in the May/June and July data are primarily due to revenue adjustments associated with amortization of the pre-2010 cycle and increased projected spending for the July through December 2011 period. Adjusting for these changes, would result in providing SDG&E with 38% of the amount collected in customer rates for its energy efficiency programs.

SCG Response:

After further review, the estimated underspending for pre-2010 EE funding for SCG should be \$31.4 million as shown in the revised Table 1, above, and detailed in Attachment A, Table 1.2. The difference is primarily due to the removal of a \$5.0 million amortization related to the period 4th Quarter 2010 reimbursement that was included in the estimate but had already been reflected in the February 2011 recorded balance. By removing this duplication in amortization in the estimate, the projected underspending for the pre-2010 period increases to \$31.4 million, which is net of some minor program expenses recorded during the period of March through June 2011. In addition, the estimated EE underspending for the 2010-2012 portfolio cycle should be \$53.9 million. The difference between the previous calculations in the May/June and July data is primarily due to revenue adjustments associated with amortization of the pre-2010 cycle. Adjusting for these changes, would result in providing SCG with 129% of the amount collected in customer rates for its energy efficiency programs.

D. Submit Total Resource Cost (“TRC”) rankings for the program proposals if not already done.

PG&E Response: This question does not apply to PG&E.

SDG&E/SCG Response: See response in Section E, below.

E. Clarify which programs would be reduced and which programs would be completely cut (with justifications where programs with low TRCs are kept), if the plan set forth in the July 7, 2011 Assigned Commissioner’s Ruling is adopted

PG&E’s Response:

As directed by the ACR and shown in Attachment 1 of the Joint IOU Comments to the ACR, PG&E cut \$61.1 million in gas funding for the fiscal year July 2011 to July 2012, leaving a remaining balance of \$19.2 million in authorized annual gas funds. With approximately \$6.7 million of these gas funds committed to continue funding Energy Upgrade California, only \$12.5

million in gas funds remain to pay gas-related incentives for other projects which have associated ARRA funding and commitments currently in the pipeline. Since the \$33.4 million in commitments in the pipeline for custom projects exceeds the remaining gas funds available under the ACR proposal, PG&E will need to suspend payment of incentives for all gas energy efficiency measures and waitlist customer applications if it is to constrain payment of incentives to \$12.5 million. Therefore, TRC was not used to prioritize funding at the sub-program level because if the ACR proposal is adopted PG&E would use all remaining funding to pay current customer commitments.

In order to remove \$61.1 million in gas expenditures from its portfolio, PG&E will need to cut \$34.9 million in gas incentives and \$26.2 million in non-incentive program costs from both resource and non-resource programs, including administrative and direct implementation costs. To achieve these reductions, PG&E proposes to decrease the authorized budgets for 46 resource subprograms and 18 non-resource subprograms. PG&E does not propose to completely eliminate any sub-program at this time, as PG&E's subprograms contain a mix of gas and electric measures. Based on the direction in the ACR, PG&E would be eliminating incentives for the gas measures within the subprograms. Note that the Ozone Laundry Energy Efficiency Program (Program No. PGE2209) is a gas-only Third Party Program and this program would be suspended for the next 12 months if the direction given in the ACR to reduce spending specifically on gas measures is adopted.

Absent prompt Commission action that would enable PG&E to supplement the limited gas funding available for the fiscal year with pre-2010 unspent, uncommitted gas and electric funds, PG&E will need to take action to suspend payment of rebates and incentives for all gas energy efficiency measures within the next few days. Pending final decision on this matter, PG&E will suspend payment of gas incentives for 98 deemed gas-only measures, as shown in Attachment B, Table 1. In addition, PG&E will suspend payment of the gas portion of custom projects for the 660 committed project applications in the pipeline. For both deemed and custom gas energy efficiency, PG&E will create a waitlist and payment of any gas-related incentives will be made to the extent gas funds are available.

SDG&E Response:

See Attachment B, Table 2 for SDG&E's as-filed TRCs and explanation of its \$14.3 million reduction in gas program activities under the ACR proposal.

SCG Response:

See Attachment B, Table 3 for SCG's as-filed TRCs and explanation of its \$9.5 million reduction in gas program activities under the ACR proposal.

F. Update Table 1 in the Joint Motion so that pre-2010 unspent, uncommitted, available funds are disaggregated by gas and electric industry.

Below is a revised Table 1 from the Joint IOU Motion showing a breakout of gas and electric pre-2010 unspent, uncommitted funds. PG&E values were updated to reflect recorded data through June 30, 2011. SDG&E and SCG gas values were revised for the corrections described in Section C. above, and for amortization for the period July through December 2011⁷.

⁷ SDG&E unspent, uncommitted and available pre-2010 electric funds based on June 2011 recorded data is \$18,584,484.

Revised Table 1 – Summary of SB 87 Funds Transfer and Available Funds to offset Transfer

	PG&E	SDG&E	SCG	IOU Total
Gas Portion of 2010-2012 EE Authorized Funding	\$240,840,000	\$55,600,000	\$285,000,000	\$581,440,000
Percent of Gas Funding	41%	10%	49%	100%
EE Funding Reduction (FY 2011-12)	\$63,550,000	\$15,500,000	\$75,950,000	\$155,000,000
<i>Pre-2010 Unspent, Uncommitted, Available Funds - Gas</i>	<i>\$7,224,190</i>	<i>\$6,856,107</i>	<i>\$28,117,304</i>	<i>\$42,197,601</i>
<i>Pre-2010 Unspent, Uncommitted, Available Funds - Electric</i>	<i>\$47,925,402</i>			
Pre-2010 Unspent, Uncommitted, Available Funds - Total	\$55,149,592	\$6,856,107	\$28,117,304	\$90,123,003
Pre-2010 EM&V Unspent, Uncommitted, Available Funds (final subject to Energy Division confirmation)	\$13,500,000	\$303,467	\$3,235,489	\$17,038,956
Total Available Funds	\$68,649,592	\$7,159,574	\$31,352,793	\$107,161,959

Dated this 11th day of August 2011.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Steven D. Patrick

Steven D. Patrick

Attorney for

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY and
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

555 West Fifth Street, Suite 1400

Los Angeles, CA 90013-1011

Phone: (213) 244-2954

Fax: (213) 629-9620

E-Mail: SDPatrick@semprautilities.com

Submitted on behalf of:

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

ATTACHMENT A

Attachment A - Table 1.1 - Summary of ACR Proposal

(Amounts Based on Recorded Expenditures as of February 2011 and Forecasted through June 2011, and corrections to ACR)

Line		PG&E	SDG&E	SCG	IOU Total
1	Gas Portion of 2010-2012 EE Authorized Funding per D.09-09-047	\$240,840,000	\$55,600,000	\$285,000,000	\$581,440,000
2	Gas Portion Annualized 2010-2012 EE Authorized Funding	\$80,280,000	\$18,533,333	\$95,000,000	\$193,813,333
3	Total EE Collections in 2011 PPP Surcharge Rates	\$89,926,496	\$20,677,065	\$66,027,000	\$176,630,561
4	ACR Proposal - SB 87 Transfer to General Fund				\$155,000,000
5	Step 1. Proposed Return of Surcharge Funds	(\$21,630,561)	\$0	\$0	(\$21,630,561)
6	Step 2. Funds due PG&E based on 40% of EE Collections (Line 3) if funds remaining after transfer exceeds \$21.6M	TBD	\$0	\$0	TBD
7	Step 3. Funds due PG&E and SDG&E for additional funds available after Step 2	50%	50%	\$0	TBD
8	<i>Pre 2010 Unspent - Gas</i>	(\$7,200,000)	(\$7,600,000)	(\$25,600,000)	(\$40,400,000)
9	<i>2010-2012 Unspent - Gas</i>	\$1,200,000	\$600,000	(\$47,300,000)	(\$45,500,000)
10	Total Unspent - Gas	(\$6,000,000)	(\$7,000,000)	(\$72,900,000)	(\$85,900,000)
11	% Total Unspent/Total 2011 EE In PPP 2011 Rates (Line 10 / Line 3)	6.7%	34%	110%	
12	ACR Return of Surcharge Funds + Total Unspent (Line 5 + Line 10)	(\$27,630,561)	(\$7,000,000)	(\$72,900,000)	(\$107,530,561)
13	% Available Funds/EE Collections (Line 12 / Line 3)	31%	34%	110%	
14	ACR Total Gas Reduction (Line 3 + Line 12)	\$62,295,935	\$13,677,065	(\$6,873,000)	\$69,100,000
15	Total Portfolio Cycle Gas Funds (Line 1 - Line 14)	\$178,544,065	\$41,922,935	\$291,873,000	\$512,340,000

Note: Assumes IOUs are reimbursed for PPP surcharges remitted to the State for 4th Qtr 2010 and 1st Qtr 2011.

Attachment A - Table 1.2 - Updated Summary of ACR Proposal

(Amounts Updated Based on Recorded Expenditures as of June 2011)

Line		PG&E	SDG&E	SCG	IOU Total
1	Gas Portion of 2010-2012 EE Authorized Funding per D.09-09-047	\$240,840,000	\$55,600,000	\$285,000,000	\$581,440,000
2	Gas Portion Annualized 2010-2012 EE Authorized Funding	\$80,280,000	\$18,533,333	\$95,000,000	\$193,813,333
3	Total EE Collections in 2011 PPP Surcharge Rates	\$89,926,496	\$20,677,065	\$66,027,000	\$176,630,561
4	ACR Proposal - SB 87 Transfer to General Fund				\$155,000,000
5	Step 1. Proposed Return of Surcharge Funds	<i>(\$21,630,561)</i>	\$0	\$0	<i>(\$21,630,561)</i>
6	Step 2. Funds due PG&E based on 40% of EE Collections (Line 3) if funds remaining after transfer exceeds \$21.6M	TBD	\$0	\$0	TBD
7	Step 3. Funds due PG&E and SDG&E for additional funds available after Step 2	50%	50%	\$0	TBD
8	<i>Pre 2010 Unspent - Gas</i>	<i>(\$7,224,190)</i>	<i>(\$7,159,574)</i>	<i>(\$31,352,793)</i>	<i>(\$45,736,557)</i>
9	<i>2010-2012 Unspent - Gas</i>	<i>\$1,859,341</i>	<i>(\$745,468)</i>	<i>(\$53,904,220)</i>	<i>(\$52,790,347)</i>
10	Total Unspent - Gas	<i>(\$5,364,849)</i>	<i>(\$7,905,042)</i>	<i>(\$85,257,013)</i>	<i>(\$98,526,904)</i>
11	% Total Unspent/Total 2011 EE In PPP 2011 Rates (Line 10 / Line 3)	6%	38%	129%	
12	ACR Return of Surcharge Funds + Unspent (Line 5 + Line 10)	<i>(\$26,995,410)</i>	<i>(\$7,905,042)</i>	<i>(\$85,257,013)</i>	<i>(\$120,157,465)</i>
13	% Available Funds/EE Collections (Line 12 / Line 3)	30%	38%	129%	
14	ACR Total Gas Reduction (Line 3 + Line 12)	\$62,931,086	\$12,772,023	<i>(\$19,230,013)</i>	\$56,473,096
15	Total Portfolio Cycle Gas Funds (Line 1 - Line 14)	\$177,908,914	\$42,827,977	\$304,230,013	\$524,966,904

Note: Reflects IOUs reimbursed for PPP surcharges remitted to the State for 4th Qtr 2010 and 1st Qtr 2011.

ATTACHMENT B

Post-2008 Energy Efficiency Rulemaking 09-11-014
 Joint IOU Comments to ALJ Ruling
 Attachment B - Table 1
 Pacific Gas and Electric Company Deemed Gas Measures

Measure Code	Measure Name
0S00	FAUCET AERATORS: GAS
0S02	LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD: GAS
B31	NATURAL GAS CLOTHES DRYER
B49	NATURAL GAS CLOTHES DRYER WITH MOISTURE SENSOR
B85	OZONE LAUNDRY
F101	COMBINATION OVEN-GAS
F103	CONVECTION OVEN-GAS
F105	FRENCH FRYER - GAS
F107	COMMERCIAL GRIDDLE, GAS
F109	HIGH EFFICIENCY GAS STEAMER
F139	COMMERCIAL LARGE VAT FRYER (GAS)
F141	COMMERCIAL RACK OVEN SINGLE
F142	COMMERCIAL RACK OVEN DOUBLE
F152	FLEXIBLE BATCH BROILER
F153	CATALYST FOR FLEXIBLE BATCH BROILER
F168	SMALL GAS CONVEYOR OVEN
F169	LARGE GAS CONVEYOR OVEN
F188	ENERGY STAR CONVECTION OVEN - GAS
F190	ENERGY STAR GRIDDLE - GAS
G11	SHOWER HEAD: LOW FLOW AND THERMOSTATIC RESTRICTION VALVE COMBO
G15	LOW FLOW SHOWERHEAD 1.5 GPM - REDUCE GAS WATER HTR
G16	LODGING LOW FLOW SHOWERHEAD
G8	SHOWER HEAD: LOW FLOW
H10	INSTANTANEOUS WATER HEATERS > 200MBTUH
H103	COMMERCIAL POOL HEATERS
H105	LARGE DOMESTIC HOT WATER BOILER
H106	PIPE INSULATION-HOT WATER-ONE INCH
H107	PIPE INSULATION-HOT WATER-TWO INCH
H108	PIPE INSULATION-LOW PRESSURE STEAM-ONE INCH
H109	PIPE INSULATION-LOW PRESSURE STEAM-TWO INCH
H11	PROCESS BOILER: WATER
H111	SPACE HEATING BOILERS - GAS - HOT WATER
H112	SPACE HEATING BOILERS - GAS - LARGE
H113	SPACE HEATING BOILERS - GAS - STEAM
H114	TANK INSULATION-HIGH TEMP-ONE INCH
H115	TANK INSULATION-LOW TEMP-ONE INCH
H12	PIPE INSULATION
H13	TANK INSULATION
H14	INSTANT WATER HEATERS <= 75MBTUH
H149	CENTRAL SYS NATURAL GAS BOILERS/SPACE HEATING
H15	PROCESS BOILER - STEAM
H150	CENTRAL SYS NATURAL GAS WATER HEATERS/SPACE HEATING
H156	HIGH EFF WTR HTR (GAS) >=.62
H16	PROCESS BOILER - DIRECT CONTACT WATER HEATER
H160	TANKLESS WATER HEATERS
H162	CENTRAL NATURAL GAS FURNACE - 90% AFUE
H18	TANK INSULATION (HIGH TEMP)
H185	CENTRAL NATURAL GAS FURNACE - 92% AFUE
H186	CENTRAL NATURAL GAS FURNACE - 94% AFUE
H190	NATURAL GAS FURNACE > 90% AFUE WITHOUT VARIABLE SPEED FAN
H201	STEAM TRAP - INDUSTRIAL LOW PRESSURE STEAM (< 15 PSIG)
H202	STEAM TRAP - INDUSTRIAL HIGH PRESSURE STEAM (> 15 PSIG)
H221	STEAM TRAP - COMMERCIAL - ANY PRESSURE
H230	NATURAL GAS FURNACE > 92% AFUE W/O VARIABLE SPEED FAN

Post-2008 Energy Efficiency Rulemaking 09-11-014
 Joint IOU Comments to ALJ Ruling
 Attachment B - Table 1
 Pacific Gas and Electric Company Deemed Gas Measures

Measure Code	Measure Name
H240	CENTRAL NATURAL GAS FURNACE - 96% AFUE
H242	GAS WATER HEATER/BOILER CONTROL
H244	CENTRAL SYSTEM NATURAL GAS WATER HEATER (>82% THERMAL EFFICIENCY)
H246	STEAM TRAPS - W/ TEST RESULTS ATTACHED
H247	CENTRAL SYSTEM NATURAL GAS SPACE HEATING
H301	BOILER TUNE-UP FOR DRY CLEANERS - KETTLE
H302	BOILER TUNE-UP FOR DRY CLEANERS - TUBE - 9.5 HP
H303	BOILER TUNE-UP FOR DRY CLEANERS - TUBE - 15 HP
H304	BOILER TUNE-UP FOR DRY CLEANERS - TUBE - 20 HP
H305	BOILER TUNE-UP FOR DRY CLEANERS - TUBE - 25 HP
H6	WATER HEATERS (GAS)
H63	NATURAL GAS STORAGE WATER HEATER
H671	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 30G-0.62EF
H672	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 30G-0.65EF
H673	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 30G-0.70EF
H674	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 40G-0.62EF
H675	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 40G-0.67EF
H676	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 40G-0.70EF
H677	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 50G-0.62EF
H678	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 50G-0.67EF
H679	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 50G-0.70EF
H680	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 60G-0.62EF
H681	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 60G-0.66EF
H682	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 60G-0.70EF
H683	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 75G-0.62EF
H684	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 75G-0.66EF
H685	HIGH EFF WTR HTR 75G-0.70EF
H721	HIGH EFF WTR HTR (GAS) >=0.65 TIER 2
H722	HIGH EFF WTR HTR (GAS) >=0.62 <0.65 TIER 1
H729	AFUE >= 96% GAS FURNACE ONLY
H731	AFUE >= 94% < 96% GAS FURNACE ONLY
H746	HIGH-EFFICIENCY CONDENSING BOILER
H802	PIPE INSULATION - GAS WATER HEATER
H804	WATER SAVING SHOWERHEADS - GAS WATER HTR
H9	INSTANTANEOUS WATER HEATERS <= 200MBTUH
I3	POOL COVERS - LARGE POOL
I4	POOL COVERS - SMALL POOL
L722	FAUCET AERATORS
P115	POOL COVERS
S234	FAUCET AERATORS - 0.5 GPM BATHROOM (GAS WH)
S236	FAUCET AERATORS - 1.0 GPM BATHROOM (GAS WH)
S238	FAUCET AERATORS - 1.5 GPM BATHROOM (GAS WH)
S245	COMMERCIAL BOILER WATER HEATING CONTROL SYSTEM
S531	FAUCET AERATORS - GAS

Attachment B - Table 2 - San Diego Gas & Electric Company

Program ID	Program Name (1)	Report Budget & Gas SWEEP 2011 Budget Reductions			Cost-Effectiveness			
		2010-2012 Adopted Program Budget	Total SWEEP Budget Reduction Amount	SWEEP Incentive Budget Reduction Amount	Adjusted Program Budget	November 2008 Compliance Filing TRC (NET)		November 2008 Compliance Filing PAC (NET)
Statewide Programs								
SDGE3100	SW-AgA - Calculated	\$ 3,830,683	\$ 142,444	\$ 142,444	\$ 3,688,239	0.91	1.44	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3101	SW-AgB - Deemed	\$ 1,065,994	\$ 328,579	\$ 328,579	\$ 737,415	2.04	2.92	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3102	SW-AgC - Nonresidential Audits	\$ 142,169			\$ 142,169	na	na	
SDGE3103	SW-AgD - Pump Test & Repair	\$ 240,477			\$ 240,477	na	na	
SDGE3104	SW-AgE - Continuous Energy Improvement	\$ 136,176	\$ 1,051	\$ 1,051	\$ 135,125	na	na	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3105	SW-ComA - Calculated	\$ 4,248,850			\$ 4,248,850	0.86	1.28	
SDGE3106	SW-ComB - Deemed	\$ 16,520,919	\$ 345,008	\$ 345,008	\$ 16,175,911	2.87	4.00	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3107	SW-ComC - Nonresidential Audits	\$ 1,562,143			\$ 1,562,143	na	na	
SDGE3108	SW-ComD - Continuous Energy Improvement	\$ 1,958,979	\$ 15,355	\$ 15,355	\$ 1,943,624	na	na	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3109	SW-IndA - Calculated	\$ 11,704,376	\$ 569,776	\$ 569,776	\$ 11,134,600	1.50	2.27	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3110	SW-IndB - Deemed	\$ 5,231,082	\$ 147,861	\$ 147,861	\$ 5,083,221	2.44	3.20	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3111	SW-IndC - Nonresidential Audits	\$ 440,165			\$ 440,165	na	na	
SDGE3112	SW-IndD - Continuous Energy Improvement	\$ 584,304	\$ 4,627	\$ 4,627	\$ 579,677	na	na	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3113	SW-ResA - Residential Basic Lighting	\$ 12,678,175			\$ 12,678,175	4.56	6.19	
SDGE3114	SW-ResB - Advanced Consumer Lighting	\$ 4,162,527			\$ 4,162,527	1.02	1.53	
SDGE3115	SW-ResG - Business/Consumer Electronics/Plug Load	\$ 2,365,240			\$ 2,365,240	0.32	0.32	
SDGE3118	SW-NCNR - NRNC Savings By Design	\$ 10,200,119			\$ 10,200,119	2.26	3.16	
SDGE3119	SW-ResC - Multi-Family	\$ 5,131,751	\$ 537,747	\$ 225,758	\$ 4,594,004	1.85	1.85	Removed all Gas ONLY measures and associated DI support
SDGE3120	SW-NCResB - E-Star Manufactured Homes	\$ 410,000			\$ 410,000	na	na	
SDGE3121	SW-ResD - Home Efficiency Rebates	\$ 8,323,916	\$ 675,000	\$ 675,000	\$ 7,648,916	1.54	2.49	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3122	SW-ResE - Home Efficiency Surveys	\$ 2,049,080			\$ 2,049,080	na	na	
SDGE3123	L-InstP01 - CA Depart of Corrections Partnership	\$ 665,975	\$ 310,000		\$ 355,975	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3124	L-InstP02 - CA Community College Partnership	\$ 1,126,756	\$ 100,000		\$ 1,026,756	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3125	L-InstP03 - UC/CSU/IOU Partnership	\$ 2,355,704	\$ 150,000		\$ 2,205,704	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3126	L-InstP04 - State of California IOU Partnership	\$ 751,957	\$ 440,000		\$ 311,957	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3127	L-InstP05 - University of San Diego Partnership	\$ 730,749	\$ 17,391		\$ 713,358	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3128	L-InstP06 - San Diego Only Water Auth Partnership	\$ 1,072,546	\$ 221,907		\$ 850,739	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3141	SW-C&SA - Building Standards Advocacy	\$ 1,174,293			\$ 1,174,293	1.89	18.58	
SDGE3142	SW-C&SB - Appliance Standards Advocacy	\$ 300,000			\$ 300,000	na	na	
SDGE3143	SW-C&SC - Compliance Training	\$ 630,000			\$ 630,000	na	na	
SDGE3144	SW-C&SD Reach Codes	\$ 99,999			\$ 99,999	na	na	
SDGE3145	SW-HVACA - Residential Energy Star Quality Instal	\$ 83,481			\$ 83,481	na	na	
SDGE3146	SW-HVACB - Commercial Quality Installation	\$ 61,695			\$ 61,695	na	na	
SDGE3147	SW-HVACC - Commercial Upstream Equipment	\$ 58,510			\$ 58,510	na	na	
SDGE3148	SW-HVACD - Quality Maintenance Program	\$ 97,751			\$ 97,751	na	na	
SDGE3149	SW-HVACE - Technology & Systems Diagnostics	\$ 496,325			\$ 496,325	na	na	
SDGE3150	SW-HVACF - HVAC WE&T	\$ 67,890			\$ 67,890	na	na	
SDGE3151	SW-HVACG - HVAC Core	\$ 46,054			\$ 46,054	na	na	
SDGE3152	SW-IDSM - SW Integrated DSM	\$ 600,122			\$ 600,122	na	na	
SDGE3153	SW-Marketing, M&O FYP	\$ 8,341,220	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 6,341,220	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3154	SW-M&O C - Strategic Plan	\$ 733,003			\$ 733,003	na	na	
SDGE3155	SW-ETA - Assessments	\$ 4,050,854	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 3,550,854	na	na	Scaled reduction
SDGE3156	SW-ResH - Prescriptive Whole House Retrofit	\$ 13,000,000			\$ 13,000,000	0.32	0.32	
SDGE3157	SW-WE&TA - Strategic Planning & Implementation	\$ 808,658	\$ 415,670		\$ 392,987	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3158	SW-WE&TB - WE&T Centers - SDERC, Food Service Cen	\$ 11,578,699	\$ 316,549		\$ 6,778,559	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3159	SW-WE&TC - WE&T Connections - PEAK Program	\$ 1,620,652	\$ 264,355		\$ 1,356,298	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3160	SW-NCResA - RNC	\$ 4,398,013			\$ 4,398,013	0.33	0.33	
Local Programs								
SDGE3116	Local01 - Local Whole House Performance	\$ 2,011,633			\$ 2,011,633			
SDGE3117	Local03 - Local Non-Residential (BID)	\$ 34,034,091	\$ 1,225,227	\$ 1,225,227	\$ 32,808,864	1.97	2.88	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3129	LGovP01 - City of Chula Vista Partnership	\$ 5,049,309	\$ 133,956		\$ 4,915,353	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3130	LGovP02 - City of San Diego Partnership	\$ 5,217,788	\$ 634,235		\$ 4,583,553	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3131	LGovP03 - County of San Diego Partnership	\$ 3,074,853	\$ 84,515		\$ 2,990,337	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3132	LGovP04 - City of San Juan Capistrano Partnership	\$ 570,019	\$ 161,123		\$ 408,896	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3133	LGovP05 - Port of San Diego Partnership	\$ 2,188,110	\$ 51,893		\$ 2,136,217	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3134	LGovP06 - SANDAG Partnership	\$ 2,088,955	\$ 49,392		\$ 2,039,562	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3135	LGovP07 - ICLEI Partnership	\$ 470,619	\$ 13,201		\$ 457,418	na	na	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3136	LGovP08 - New Cities Partnership	\$ 786,963	\$ 535,814		\$ 251,149	na	na	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3137	Local02 - Local Island Program	\$ 2,572,180	\$ 550,000	\$ 369,000	\$ 2,022,180	0.34	0.56	Reduced budget by forecasted underspend
SDGE3138	Local04 - Local Sustainable Communities (RMV)	\$ 964,081			\$ 964,081	na	na	
SDGE3139	Local05 - OFB	\$ 2,624,999			\$ 2,624,999	na	na	
SDGE3140	Local06 - Local Strategic Development & Integrat	\$ 2,096,386			\$ 2,096,386	na	na	
SDGE3176	Kitchen Learning Center	\$ -			\$ 4,483,591	na	na	
Third Party Programs								
SDGE3161	3P-NRes01 - Non-Res HVAC Tune-up/Quality Installa	\$ 5,135,117			\$ 5,135,117	6.34	6.34	
SDGE3162	3P-NRes02 - SaveGas - Hot Water Control	\$ 471,821			\$ 471,821	4.43	4.43	
SDGE3163	3P-NRes03 - Business Energy Assessment (BEA)	\$ 568,007	\$ 20,459	\$ 20,459	\$ 547,548	na	na	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3164	3P-NRes06 - Energy Efficient Water Pumping	\$ 303,247			\$ 303,247	na	na	
SDGE3165	3P-NRes07 - Healthcare Energy Efficiency Program	\$ 1,616,407	\$ 58,190	\$ 58,190	\$ 1,558,217	1.98	1.98	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3166	3P-NRes08 - Lodging Energy Efficiency Program	\$ 1,616,409	\$ 58,190	\$ 58,190	\$ 1,558,219	1.32	1.32	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3167	3P-NRes09 - Mobile Energy Clinic (MEC)	\$ 3,000,000			\$ 3,000,000	0.84	0.84	
SDGE3168	3P-NRes11 - Portfolio of the Future (PoF)	\$ 674,016			\$ 674,016	na	na	
SDGE3169	3P-NRes12 - Comprehensive Industrial Energy Effic	\$ 1,584,845	\$ 57,054	\$ 57,054	\$ 1,527,791	0.74	1.04	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3170	3P-NRes13 - Retro-commissioning (RCx)	\$ 2,043,307	\$ 73,559	\$ 73,559	\$ 1,969,747	3.89	3.89	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3171	3P-Res01 - Res HVAC Tune-up/Quality Installation	\$ 5,573,346			\$ 5,573,346	1.14	1.14	
SDGE3172	3P-Res02 - Comprehensive Mobile Home (SW)	\$ 4,754,001	\$ 445,000	\$ 445,000	\$ 4,309,001	0.69	0.69	Removed all Gas ONLY measures
SDGE3173	3P-Res04 - K-12 Energy Efficiency Education (E3)	\$ 1,651,066			\$ 1,651,066	na	na	

Program ID	Program Name (1)	Report Budget &	Gas SWEEP 2011 Budget Reductions			Cost-Effectiveness	
		2010-2012 Adopted Program Budget	Total SWEEP Budget Reduction Amount	SWEEP Incentive Budget Reduction Amount	Adjusted Program Budget	November 2009 Compliance Filing TRC (NET)	November 2009 Compliance Filing PAC (NET)
SDGE3174	SW-ComE - Direct Install	\$ 18,001,000	\$ 648,036	\$ 648,036	\$ 17,352,964	1.21	1.21
SDGE3175	SW-RasF - Appliance Recycling	\$ 8,200,000			\$ 8,200,000	1.73	1.73
		\$ -					
	Subtotal	\$ 266,879,999	\$ 12,303,164	\$ 5,410,174	\$ 254,576,836		
SDGE3177	EM&V-Evaluation Measurement & Vericator	\$ 11,120,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 9,120,000	na	na
	Low Income Energy Efficiency	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total Energy Efficiency Portfolio	\$ 277,999,999	\$ 14,303,164	\$ 5,410,174	\$ 263,696,836	-	

Removed all Gas ONLY measures

\$ 0.50
 \$ 2.00
 \$ 2.00
 \$ 2.00
 \$ 1.00
 \$ 2.60
 \$ 4.00
 \$ 14.10

\$ 1,000,000
 \$ 3,695,416
 \$ 2,900,001
 \$ 2,207,747
 \$ 2,000,000
 \$ 2,000,000
 \$ 500,000
 \$ 14,303,164

Attachment B - Table 3 - Southern California Gas Company Proposed Budget Reductions and Revised Budgets

Program ID	Program Name(1)	Gas SWEEP 2011 Budget Reductions				Cost-Effectiveness		COMMENTS
		2010-2012 Adopted Program Budget	Total SWEEP Budget Reduction Amount	SWEEP Incentive Budget Reduction Amount	Adjusted Program Budget	November 2009 Compliance Filing TRC (NET)	November 2009 Compliance Filing PAC (NET)	
Statewide Programs								
SCG3602	SW-AgA - Calculated	\$ 5,886,576			\$ 5,886,576	2.57	3.12	
SCG3603	SW-AgB - Deemed	\$ 4,561,000			\$ 4,561,000	0.72	2.50	
SCG3604	SW-AgC - Nonresidential Audits	\$ 176,524	\$ 67,500	\$ -	\$ 109,024	na	na	
SCG3605	SW-AgD - Pump Test & Repair	\$ 266,638	\$ 110,000	\$ -	\$ 156,638	na	na	
SCG3606	SW-AgE - Continuous Energy Improvement	\$ 64,223			\$ 64,223	na	na	
SCG3607	SW-ComA - Calculated	\$ 7,970,900			\$ 7,970,900	2.91	3.42	
SCG3608	SW-ComB - Deemed	\$ 15,253,471			\$ 15,253,471	4.74	4.74	
SCG3609	SW-ComC - Nonresidential Audits	\$ 1,833,302	\$ 570,000	\$ -	\$ 1,263,302	na	na	
SCG3610	SW-ComD - Continuous Energy Improvement	\$ 1,029,118			\$ 1,029,118	na	na	
SCG3611	SW-IndA - Calculated	\$ 52,350,450			\$ 52,350,450	2.37	4.01	
SCG3612	SW-IndB - Deemed	\$ 10,067,596			\$ 10,067,596	2.28	3.44	
SCG3613	SW-IndC - Nonresidential Audits	\$ 1,909,390	\$ 315,000	\$ -	\$ 1,594,390	na	na	
SCG3614	SW-IndD - Continuous Energy Improvement	\$ 1,337,885	\$ 130,000	\$ -	\$ 1,207,885	na	na	
SCG3615	SW-ResA - Multifamily EE Rebates	\$ 8,467,674	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 7,967,674	1.86	2.45	Program was not reduced as it is the most utilized program for this hard to reach segment. AG customers tend to lean towards deemed measures when initiating EE upgrades and reducing this program budget could lead to a large volume of missed opportunities.
SCG3616	SW-ResB - Home Efficiency Rebates	\$ 34,675,022			\$ 34,675,022	0.76	1.33	Reduction based on lower than expected savings results. Reduction will not impede program's future implementation. Program not reduced due to the large volume of SCG customers taking advantage of this program. Forecasts indicate this program will exhaust funding by 2012. This program touches the most customers in the largest segment of any program in the SCG Portfolio.
SCG3617	SW-ResC - Home Efficiency Energy Survey	\$ 2,378,112			\$ 2,378,112	na	na	Initial reduction based on slower than expected program roll-out and less than expected results. Low TRC and rate of program progression made this program ideal to reduce. Reduction would not have impeded program's future implementation. Elimination of program budget reduction due to CPUC direction.
SCG3618	SW-ResD - Prescriptive Whole House Retrofit	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 550,000	\$ 7,000,000	0.19	0.19	
SCG3619	L-InstP01 - CA Dept of Corrections Partnership	\$ 777,592			\$ 777,592	na	na	
SCG3620	L-InstP02 - CA Community College Partnership	\$ 1,055,150			\$ 1,055,150	na	na	
SCG3621	L-InstP03 - UC/CSUN/IOU Partnership	\$ 1,419,091			\$ 1,419,091	na	na	
SCG3622	L-InstP04 - State of California IOU Partnership	\$ 918,574			\$ 918,574	na	na	
SCG3623	SW-ME&OB - SW Marketing, E&O FYP	\$ 5,897,212	\$ 1,200,000	\$ -	\$ 4,697,212	na	na	
SCG3624	SW-ETA - Assessments	\$ 3,615,000	\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ 3,265,000	na	na	
SCG3625	SW-NCRN - NRCN Savings By Design	\$ 4,952,262	\$ 550,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 4,402,262	9.17	9.17	
SCG3626	SW-NCRN - RNC	\$ 8,570,086			\$ 8,570,086	1.92	1.92	
SCG3627	SW-WE&TA - Strategic Planning & Implementation	\$ 756,000	\$ 531,000	\$ -	\$ 225,000	na	na	
SCG3628	SW-WE&TB - WEAT Centers	\$ 8,839,587			\$ 8,839,587	na	na	
SCG3629	SW-WE&TC - WEAT Connections	\$ 1,281,871			\$ 1,281,871	na	na	
SCG3647	SW-CASA - Building Standards Advocacy	\$ 822,537			\$ 822,537	3.05	17.30	
SCG3648	SW-CASB - Appliance Standards Advocacy	\$ 250,000			\$ 250,000	na	na	
SCG3649	SW-CASC - Compliance Training	\$ 629,999			\$ 629,999	na	na	
SCG3650	SW-CASD - Reach Codes	\$ 99,999			\$ 99,999	na	na	
SCG3651	SW-HVACA - Residential Energy Star Quality Insta	\$ 87,168	\$ 15,000	\$ -	\$ 72,168	na	na	
SCG3652	SW-HVACB - Commercial Quality Installation	\$ 45,996	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 35,996	na	na	
SCG3653	SW-HVAC - Commercial Upstream Equipment	\$ 42,013	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ 36,013	na	na	
SCG3654	SW-HVACD - Quality Maintenance Program	\$ 203,209	\$ 32,000	\$ -	\$ 171,209	na	na	
SCG3655	SW-HVACE - Technology & Systems Diagnostics	\$ 463,264	\$ 80,000	\$ -	\$ 383,264	na	na	
SCG3656	SW-HVACF - HVAC WEAT	\$ 73,141	\$ 13,000	\$ -	\$ 60,141	na	na	
SCG3657	SW-HVACG - HVAC Core	\$ 55,003	\$ 9,000	\$ -	\$ 46,003	na	na	
SCG3658	SW-IDSM - SW Integrated DSM	\$ 600,122			\$ 600,122	na	na	
SCG3659	SW-ME&O C - ME&O Strategic Plan	\$ 443,876	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 243,876	na	na	
Local Programs								
SCG3600	Local02 - Local Whole Home Performance	\$ 5,656,350	\$ 1,625,000	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 4,031,350	0.55	1.01	Initial reduction based on slower than expected program roll-out and less than expected results. Low TRC and rate of program progression made this program ideal to reduce. Reduction would not have impeded program's future implementation. Elimination of program budget reduction due to CPUC direction.
SCG3601	Local05 - Local Non-Residential BID	\$ 3,114,801	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 3,064,801	1.18	2.50	Program is reducing non-incentive budget. The bulk of the remaining dollars are already committed to customers for incentive payments.
SCG3630	LGovP01 - LA County IOU Partnership	\$ 650,920			\$ 650,920	na	na	
SCG3631	LGovP02 - Kern County Energy Watch Partnership	\$ 312,696			\$ 312,696	na	na	
SCG3632	LGovP03 - Riverside County Partnership	\$ 441,178			\$ 441,178	na	na	
SCG3633	LGovP04 - San Bernardino County IOU Partnership	\$ 434,576			\$ 434,576	na	na	
SCG3634	LGovP05 - Santa Barbara County IOU Partnership	\$ 343,941			\$ 343,941	na	na	
SCG3635	LGovP06 - SBCCOG Partnership	\$ 461,898			\$ 461,898	na	na	
SCG3636	LGovP07 - San Luis Obispo County Partnership	\$ 321,846			\$ 321,846	na	na	
SCG3637	LGovP08 - Tulare City-Visalia Energy Watch Ptnr	\$ 291,434			\$ 291,434	na	na	
SCG3638	LGovP09 - Orange County Cities Partnership	\$ 402,465			\$ 402,465	na	na	
SCG3639	LGovP10 - ILG IOU Partnership	\$ 443,090			\$ 443,090	na	na	
SCG3640	LGovP11 - Community Energy Partnership	\$ 376,520			\$ 376,520	na	na	
SCG3641	LGovP12 - County Cities Partnership	\$ 75,899			\$ 75,899	na	na	
SCG3642	LGovP13 - VCREA Sub-Program Partnership	\$ 504,241			\$ 504,241	na	na	
SCG3643	LGovP14 - Palm Desert IOU Pilot Partnership	\$ 433,300			\$ 433,300	na	na	
SCG3644	Local01 - OBF	\$ 2,590,871			\$ 2,590,871	na	na	
SCG3645	Local03 - Local Sustainable Communities (RMV)	\$ 828,449			\$ 828,449	na	na	
SCG3646	Local04 - Local Strategic Develop & Inten	\$ 853,187			\$ 853,187	na	na	
Third Party Programs								
SCG3660	3P-NRes1 - Steam Trap and Compressed Air Survey	\$ 3,176,259	\$ 49,500	\$ -	\$ 3,126,759	na	na	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3661	3P-NRes2 - Energy Challenger	\$ 481,414			\$ 481,414	na	na	
SCG3662	3P-NRes3 - Small Industrial Facility Upgrades	\$ 2,084,620	\$ 222,952	\$ 220,672	\$ 1,861,668	2.22	3.58	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3663	3P-NRes4 - Program for Resource Efficiency in Private Schools	\$ 1,939,519	\$ 165,589		\$ 1,773,930	4.07	4.07	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3664	3P-Res01 - On Demand Efficiency	\$ 4,318,773			\$ 4,318,773	3.48	3.48	
SCG3665	3P-Res02 - HERS Rater Training Advancement	\$ 1,715,220	\$ 22,475	\$ -	\$ 1,692,745	na	na	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3666	3P-Res03 - Multifamily Home Tune-Up	\$ 3,758,670	\$ 465,000	\$ -	\$ 3,293,670	0.32	0.32	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3667	3P-Res04 - Multifamily Solar Pool Heating	\$ 2,415,208	\$ 90,294	\$ -	\$ 2,324,914	2.01	2.01	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3668	3P-Res05 - Community Language Effic Outreach	\$ 998,517			\$ 998,517	na	na	
SCG3669	3P-Res06 - Multifamily Direct Therm Savings	\$ 4,115,607			\$ 4,115,607	3.48	3.48	
SCG3670	3P-Res07 - LivinWise™	\$ 2,745,417			\$ 2,745,417	0.32	0.32	
SCG3671	3P-Res09 - Manufactured Mobile Home	\$ 6,675,926			\$ 6,675,926	0.98	0.98	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3672	3P-Xc01 - Gas Cooling Retrofit	\$ 1,623,716	\$ 50,000	\$ 49,500	\$ 1,573,716	0.14	0.18	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3673	3P-Xc02 - SaveGas - Hot Water Control	\$ 4,583,364	\$ 499,051	\$ 408,536	\$ 4,084,313	0.88	0.88	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3674	3P-Xc03 - Upstream High Efficiency Gas Water Heater	\$ 2,648,716	\$ 53,333	\$ 47,333	\$ 2,598,383	2.15	2.15	Reduction in funding due to less than expected results. Reduction is unawarded, incremental funds based on performance.
SCG3675	3P-Xc04 - California Sustainability Alliance	\$ 3,657,212			\$ 3,657,212	na	na	
SCG3676	3P-Xc05 - PostHVAC the Future (PcF)	\$ 4,512,437			\$ 4,512,437	na	na	
SCG3677	3P-Xc08 - PACE Energy Savings Project	\$ 3,675,118			\$ 3,675,118	na	na	
Subtotal		\$ 273,599,996	\$ 8,971,694	\$ 3,576,041	\$ 264,628,302			
SCG3678	EM&V - Evaluation Measurement & Verification	\$ 11,400,000	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 10,900,000	na	na	
Low Income Energy Efficiency		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Total Energy Efficiency Portfolio		\$ 284,999,996	\$ 9,471,694	\$ 3,576,041	\$ 275,528,302			