



**FILED**

10-21-09  
08:00 AM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Develop  
Additional Methods to Implement the California  
Renewable Portfolio Standard Program.

Rulemaking 06-02-012  
(Filed February 16, 2006)

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue  
Implementation and Administration of California  
Renewable Portfolio Standard Program.

Rulemaking 08-08-009  
(Filed August 21, 2008)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING ON  
PETITIONS FOR MODIFICATION**

**Summary**

Solely for purposes of the proposed decision (PD) on the Joint Petitioners' Petition for Modification of Commission Decision (D.) 06-10-019 and D.06-10-050 (filed May 1, 2009), the captions of both Rulemaking (R.) 06-02-012 and R.08-08-009 should be used. Service of comments on the PD should be made to the service list of both proceedings. This ruling does not consolidate R.06-02-012 and R.08-08-009. Each proceeding remains open and separate.

**Discussion**

The Joint Petitioners' Petition for Modification of Commission D.06-10-019 and D.06-10-050 (petition) was filed May 1, 2009. Pursuant to the advice of the Commission's Docket Office Advisor, the petition was filed in both R.06-02-012 (in which D.06-10-019 was issued) and R.08-08-009 (the successor proceeding to R.06-05-027, in which D.06-10-050 was issued).

In order to facilitate efficient administration of both proceedings, one PD will be prepared. The PD will be filed in both proceedings and served on the service list for both proceedings. Parties should serve comments and reply comments, if any, on the service list for both proceedings. To provide a clear signal for this procedure, the captions of both proceedings should be used for the PD and all comments and reply comments.

The use of the captions of both proceedings is purely administrative. It does not represent the consolidation of the proceedings.

**IT IS RULED** that:

1. Solely for purposes of the proposed decision on Joint Petitioners' Petition for Modification of Commission Decision (D.) 06-10-019 and D.06-10-050 (filed May 1, 2009), the captions of both R.06-02-012 and R.08-08-009 must be used on the proposed decision and all comments and reply comments.
2. Comments and reply comments on the proposed decision must be served on the service lists for both R.06-02-012 and R.08-08-009.
3. The proposed decision and all comments and reply comments shall be filed in both R.06-02-012 and R.08-08-009. Only one submission for filing need be made.
4. R.06-02-012 and R.08-08-009 remain separate proceedings and are not consolidated.

Dated October 21, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ANNE E. SIMON  
Anne E. Simon  
Administrative Law Judge

**INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE**

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a hard copy of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the hard copy of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated October 21, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ CRISTINE FERNANDEZ  
Cristine Fernandez