



**FILED**

11-19-09

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Policies and Protocols for Demand Response Load Impact Estimates, Cost-Effectiveness Methodologies, Megawatt Goals and Alignment with California Independent System Operator Market Design Protocols.

Rulemaking 07-01-041  
(Filed January 25, 2007)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING TRANSFERRING FILINGS ON DEMAND RESPONSE COST-EFFECTIVENESS METHODOLOGIES INTO THE RECORD AND ESTABLISHING A COMMENT PERIOD**

On January 25, 2007, the California Public Utilities Commission opened Rulemaking (R.) 07-01-041 to address several specific issues related to the Commission's efforts to develop effective Demand Response programs for Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (collectively, the utilities). The consolidated proceeding on the utilities' demand response activities and budgets for 2009-2011, Application (A.) 08-06-001 et al., considered cost effectiveness as one of several factors in the evaluation of proposed demand response activities. This ruling recognizes several documents related to cost effectiveness that were filed in A.08-06-001 et al. within the record of this rulemaking, and establishes a comment period on these documents in order to ensure parties have an opportunity to respond to or update the documents' contents, or object to their use in this proceeding.

## 1. Background

In order to ensure that all information relevant to the cost effectiveness methodologies currently used by the utilities may be considered in the development of a standardized methodology in this proceeding, it is desirable to recognize relevant documents entered into the record of A.08-06-001 et al. within this proceeding. The following documents filed in A.08-06-001 et al., shall be considered part of the record of R.07-01-041, and may be referred to in a future decision in this Rulemaking.

- Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) Ruling Requiring Additional Cost Effectiveness Information, filed February 11, 2009.
- Comments filed in response to the February 11, 2009, ALJ Ruling:
  - Response of Southern California Edison Company(SCE) to ALJ Ruling Requiring Additional Cost Effectiveness Information, filed February 23, 2009, Public and Confidential Versions.
  - Data Response of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SD&GE) to ALJ Ruling, filed February 23, 2009, Public and Confidential Versions.
  - Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) Response to ALJ Ruling Requiring Additional Cost Effectiveness Information, filed February 23, 2009, Public and Confidential Versions.
  - Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Supplemental Response to ALJ Ruling Requiring Additional Cost Effectiveness Information, filed February 27, 2009.
  - Amendment to SCE Response to ALJ Ruling Requiring Additional Cost Effectiveness, filed March 27, 2009.
- Response of the California Large Energy Consumers Association (CLECA) to ALJ Ruling Requiring Additional Cost Effectiveness Information, filed March 2, 2009.
- Reply Comments to the CLECA Comments:
  - Reply of SCE to Response of CLECA to ALJ Ruling Requiring Additional Cost Effectiveness Information, Filed March 5, 2009.
  - PG&E's Reply to Comments of CLECA, filed March 5, 2009.

- Reply of SDG&E to Comments of CLECA, filed March 5, 2009.

Some of these documents contain information considered to be confidential, and may be subject to non-disclosure agreements or other restrictions that the parties may work out as needed.

## 2. Schedule for Comments

I encourage parties to provide comments on the contents of the listed documents and their relevance to the development of standardized cost effectiveness protocols. Objections to the use of the documents listed above must be filed along with opening comments, and must describe the basis of the objection. I remind parties that any comments previously made about cost-effectiveness in any document filed in proceeding A.08-06-001 et al., other than those documents listed above, are not and will not be part of the record in this proceeding unless submitted in response to this ruling. Party comments or objections are due according to the following schedule:

Schedule	Due Date
Opening comments and objections	December 2, 2009
Reply comments	December 16, 2009

### **IT IS RULED** that:

1. The documents enumerated in Section 1, above, which were originally filed in Application 08-06-001 et al., are hereby recognized within the record of Rulemaking 07-01-041.
2. Parties may file comments in this proceeding on the contents of these documents and their relevance to the development of a standardized cost effectiveness methodology for demand response activities by December 2, 2009. Objections to the use of the listed documents in this proceeding must be filed by this comment deadline.

3. Parties may file reply comments by December 16, 2009.

Dated November 19, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

          /s/ JESSICA T. HECHT            
          Jessica T. Hecht  
          Administrative Law Judge

**INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE**

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a Notice of Availability of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the Notice of Availability of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated November 19, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ SANDRA M. JACKSON

Sandra M. Jackson