



FILED

11-16-11
02:09 PM

JHE/acr 11/16/2011

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion Into the Planned Purchase and Acquisition by AT&T Inc. of T-Mobile USA, Inc., and its Effect on California Ratepayers and the California Economy.

Investigation 11-06-009
(Filed June 9, 2011)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
ESTABLISHING DEADLINES FOR COMMENTS ON MERGER-RELATED
ECONOMIC AND ENGINEERING ANALYSES**

This ruling re-establishes deadlines for parties to file comments and reply comments on economic and engineering analyses developed and previously filed in this proceeding by AT&T in support of the proposed merger of AT&T, Inc. (AT&T) and T-Mobile USA, Inc. (T-Mobile).

In July 2011, AT&T filed with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) initial versions of economic and engineering analyses conducted through computer models that AT&T developed in support of its proposed merger with T-Mobile. AT&T later provided updated versions of these analyses and underlying models to the FCC. AT&T filed these analyses and models with the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) in compliance with Commission directions requiring AT&T and T-Mobile to file and serve in this proceeding on an ongoing basis all information they provide to the FCC in WT Docket No. 11-65.

On August 11, 2011, I issued a ruling requesting parties' comments on several issues relevant to the proposed merger, including the following question related to the economic and engineering models:

9) Please analyze and discuss the implications, if any, for California of the economic and engineering analysis that the respondents filed at the FCC in WT Docket No. 11-65 on July 25-26, 2011.

The August 11, 2011 Ruling set a due date of August 22, 2011, for parties' comments in response to those questions, with reply comments due on August 29, 2011. In order to ensure that parties had sufficient access to these analyses to review them thoroughly, I later extended indefinitely the due date for responses to this question from the August 11, 2011, ruling. That indefinite extension was confirmed in a formal ruling issued on September 19, 2011.

Now that parties have had additional time to familiarize themselves with the computer models and review the associated analyses, this ruling establishes new deadlines for parties to file comments and reply comments. Comments on Question 9 from the August 11, 2011, ruling (reproduced above) shall be filed and served not later than December 12, 2011, and replies to those comments shall be filed and served not later than December 20, 2011.

The schedule for this proceeding otherwise remains as established in my ruling issued on September 19, 2011.

IT IS RULED that comments on Question 9 contained in the August 11, 2011, ruling requesting additional information shall be filed and served not later than December 12, 2011. Replies to those comments shall be filed and served not later than December 20, 2011.

Dated November 16, 2011, at San Francisco, California.

 /s/ JESSICA T. HECHT
Jessica T. Hecht
Administrative Law Judge