

DOT/acr 11/17/2011



FILED

11-17-11
04:02 PM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Policies, Procedures and Rules for the California Solar Initiative, the Self-Generation Incentive Program and Other Distributed Generation Issues.

Rulemaking 10-05-004
(Filed May 6, 2010)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING DENYING SHORTENED RESPONSE TIME FOR SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF DECISION 11-07-031

This ruling confirms an electronic mail ruling that I sent to the parties in this proceeding on November 2, 2011.

On October 31, 2011,¹ San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) filed a petition for modification of Decision (D.) 11-07-031. The petition was accompanied by a motion to shorten the response time for the petition to 15 days. SDG&E would like a decision by December 15 on its petition.

Even if the shortened response time was granted, the Commission has no time to issue a proposed decision (PD) before year end as the deadline for mailing PDs for the December 15 Commission meeting is now passed.

The substance of SDG&E's petition concerns the California Solar Initiative (CSI) revenue requirement and collections, as most recently adopted in Table 5 of

¹ All dates are 2011 unless otherwise noted.

D.11-07-031. SDG&E should have raised its proposed modifications regarding CSI revenue collections in response to the "ALJ Ruling Requesting Comment on Modification of D.10-09-046, D.11-07-031, and D.06-08-028 to Implement Senate Bill 585," issued September 27 in this same docket. The subject of the CSI revenue requirement was squarely within that ruling and now the subject of a Proposed Decision issued for public comment on October 28.

In my electronic mail to the parties in this proceeding on November 2, I proposed that in order to address the substance of SDG&E's petition before year-end, SDG&E may raise the revenue collection proposal contained in its October 31 petition in comments to the PD mailed on October 28. Comments on that PD are due on November 17. Parties may respond to SDG&E's comments on November 22. This will allow the substance of SDG&E's petition to be considered within the PD already mailed on the CSI revenue requirement. I will allow parties 5 extra pages in order to address this additional subject. Comments may be 20 pages, and reply comments may be 10 pages. No party objected to my proposal and I confirm it in this ruling.

Therefore **IT IS RULED** that:

1. SDG&E's motion to shorten the response time to its October 31, 2011 Petition for Modification of Decision 11-07-031 is denied.
2. SDG&E may raise the substance of its October 31, 2011 petition in comments on the proposed decision mailed in this proceeding for comment on October 28, 2011.

3. Comments on the October 28, 2011 proposed decision may be 20 pages and reply comments may be 10 pages to accommodate comments on this additional issue.

Dated November 17, 2011, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ DOROTHY J. DUDA

Dorothy J. Duda
Administrative Law Judge