



FILED

02-07-12

04:59 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Charles I. Donald,

Complainant

vs.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U39E),

Defendant

Case No. (C.)11-09-005
(Filed September 8, 2011)

**JOINT STIPULATION
TO LIMIT THE SCOPE OF THE PROCEEDINGS**

CHARLES I. DONALD
254 Spencer Avenue
Sausalito, CA 94965
Telephone: (415) 332-2503
Email: chuck.donald@Att.net

COMPLAINANT

STEPHEN L. GARBER
GRANT GUERRA
Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, B30A
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 973-3728
Facsimile: (415) 973-5520
Email: GXGw@pge.com

Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

February 6, 2012

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Charles I. Donald,

Complainant

Case No. (C.)11-09-005
(Filed September 8, 2011)

vs.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U39E),

Defendant

**JOINT STIPULATION
TO LIMIT THE SCOPE OF THE PROCEEDINGS**

Complainant Charles I. Donald, and Defendant, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E”), having conferred, hereby stipulated and agree as follows:

The Assigned Commissioner’s Scoping Memo and Ruling dated November 15, 2011 (the “Scoping Memo”) identified four separate issues to be determined in the proceeding. The parties stipulate and agree that Issues 1 and 2 identified on page 4 of the Scoping Memo and quoted below shall be treated as informally resolved and withdrawn from the Complaint, without the need for any further proceedings:

1. Inadequate clearance between the 12 kV conductor and the residence at 176 Bulkley Avenue; and
2. Unsafe residential service drop from the pole complex to the residence at 176 Bulkley Avenue.

Issues 3 and 4 identified on page 4 of the Scoping Memo and quoted below remain at issue in the proceeding, and will be addressed by the parties in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Scoping Memo:

3. Is there excessive mass attached to the distribution pole near 176 Bulkley Avenue in violation of GO 95 and/or industry standards; and
4. If so, should PG&E be ordered to remedy the violation by bringing the facilities into compliance or by removing or undergrounding the facilities?

This Stipulation may be signed in counterparts, each of which will be deemed an original and all of which together will constitute one document.

DATED: February 4, 2012

/s/
Charles I. Donald, Complainant

DATED: February 6, 2012

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

/s/
Grant Guerra

Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, B30A
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 973-3728
Facsimile: (415) 973-5520
Email: GXGw@pge.com

Attorney for Defendant,
Pacific Gas and Electric Company