



FILED

02-11-10

09:57 AM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Gill Ranch Storage, LLC, and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U39G),

Complainants,

v.

Will Gill, Jr., Robert Cadenazzi and Lee L. Gill, Co-Trustees of the Gill OG&M Trust; Gary Player Ventures; Southern States Realty, a California corporation; All of the heirs and devisees of Barbara A. Williams, aka Barbara Jane Williams, deceased, including, but not limited to, Julie Williams, aka Julie H. Williams; Brooke S. Antrim, Michael F. Antrim & Laurel C. Antrim, as Successor Co-Trustees of the Calvin and Ines Antrim Revocable Trust created on December 28, 1992; Kingdon R. Hughes Family Limited Partnership, a Texas limited partnership; Neil F. Ormond and/or Kingdon R. Hughes Family Limited Partnership, a Texas limited partnership, to the extent that it owns the executive rights of the interest of Neil F. Ormond; Joseph Curtis Edmondson; Borel Private Bank & Trust Company, Trustee of Trust #1 Under the Hanna Family Trust dated February 27, 1990 and any and all beneficiaries or former beneficiaries under such Trust including, but not limited to, Wilson Sherman and Kimberly McCloud; Jimmy L. Graham, Trustee of the Jim Graham Trust dated October 30, 1984; Vern Jones Oil & Gas Corporation; All of the heirs and devisees of Blanche G. Brown, deceased, including, but not limited to Janice Fry, aka Janice Darlene Fry,

(EDM)
Case 10-02-001
(Filed February 2, 2010)

V. Lynn Grigsby, aka Virginia Lynn Grigsby, as an individual, and V. Lynn Grigsby, as Trustee of the Blanche G. Brown Revocable Living Trust Agreement dated 9/30/94; Clayton Brown, as Successor Trustee Under the Last Will and Testament of Alfred R. Brown and any and all beneficiaries or former beneficiaries under such Trust, including, but not limited to Janice Darlene Fry, aka Janice Fry and V. Lynn Grigsby, aka Virginia Lynn Grigsby; All of the heirs and devisees of Harold Williams, deceased, including, but not limited to, Julie Williams, aka Julie H. Williams and all of the heirs and devisees of Barbara A. Williams, aka Barbara Jane Williams, deceased, including, but not limited to, Julie Williams, aka Julie H. Williams, held originally as husband and wife as community property; Earl Brix Fenston, Jr.; Daniel L. Herman, Executor of the Estate of Jeffrey Fenston, and all of the heirs and devisees of Jeffrey Allen Fenston, aka Jeffrey Fenston and Jeffrey Alan Fenston, deceased, including, but not limited to, Daniel L. Herman; All of the heirs and devisees of J.G. Imeson, aka James G. Imeson, including, but not limited to: (a) all of the heirs and devisees of Rose I. Kepford, aka Rose Imeson Kepford and Susannah Rose Imeson Kepford, deceased, including, but not limited to, Chauncey R. Kepford, an individual, Jean Kepford Day, an individual, and Chauncey R. Kepford and Jean Kepford Day, Trustees of the Kepford M. Rev. Trust; and (b) all of the heirs and devisees of Joyce Imeson Lucas, aka Joyce I. Lucas, excluding Jennifer R. Lucas, aka Jennifer L. Flanagan and Jennifer R. Flanagan, Robert I. Lucas and Lee S. Lucas; All of the heirs and devisees of Rose I. Kepford, aka Rose Imeson Kepford and Susannah Rose Imeson Kepford, deceased, including, but not

limited to, Chauncey R. Kepford, an individual, Jean Kepford Day, an individual, and Chauncey R. Kepford and Jean Kepford Day, Trustees of the Kepford M. Rev. Trust; Duane Lee Soares; Pat Decker and Thomas A. Miller, Successor Trustees of the William Sherman Thomas Revocable Trust of 1987, established on October 28, 1987; Paramount Orchards Partners VI, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and Spreckels Sugar Company, Inc., a New York corporation,

Defendants.

ASSIGNED ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING CLARIFYING THAT ANSWER MUST BE FILED NO LATER THAN MARCH 4, 2010

On February 5, 2010, the assigned Commissioner and the Chief Administrative Law Judge issued their ruling containing instructions to answer, notice of prehearing conference/evidentiary hearing and scoping memo. That ruling adopted a procedural schedule and set out an alternative schedule should one of the defendants request a 30-day extension in the schedule. The alternative schedule incorrectly included alternative dates for filing the answer and requesting the 30-day extension. The adopted schedule and the corrected alternative schedule are set out below.

Adopted Schedule (unchanged from February 5, 2010, ruling)	
Date	Event
March 4, 2010	Defendant files answer to complaint.
March 4, 2010	Last day for Defendant to request hearing extension pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 625(a)(2)(A).
March 11, 2010	Concurrent written direct testimony served (not filed).
March 15, 2010 NOON	Concurrent written reply testimony served (not filed).
March 19 and 22, 2010	Prehearing conference/evidentiary hearing at 10:00 a.m.
April 19, 2010 ¹	Concurrent opening briefs filed.
April 30, 2010	Concurrent reply briefs filed. Proceeding submitted.
June 7, 2010	Presiding Officer's decision to be issued.

If a defendant requests the 30-day extension in the schedule, the following schedule will apply:

Schedule, if 30-day Extension Requested (corrected from February 5, 2010, ruling)	
Date	Event
April 9, 2010	Concurrent written direct testimony served (not filed).
April 15, 2010 NOON	Concurrent written reply testimony served (not filed).
April 19 and 20, 2010	Prehearing conference/evidentiary hearing at 10:00 a.m.

¹ The briefing dates and date of issuance of the presiding officer's decision are subject to modification at the hearing.

May 14, 2010 ²	Concurrent opening briefs filed.
June 4, 2010	Concurrent reply briefs filed. Proceeding submitted.
July 6, 2010	Presiding Officer's decision to be issued.

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated February 11, 2010, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MARIBETH A. BUSHEY
Maribeth A. Bushey
Administrative Law Judge

² The briefing dates and date of issuance of the presiding officer's decision are subject to modification at the hearing.

INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a hard copy of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the hard copy of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated February 11, 2010, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ GLADYS M. DINGLASAN
Gladys M. Dinglasan

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to ensure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074 or TDD# (415) 703-2032 five working days in advance of the event.