



FILED

05-24-12

04:19 PM

KK2/acr 5/24/2012

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Approval of its 2012-2014 California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) and Energy Savings Assistance Programs and Budgets.

Application 11-05-017
(Filed May 16, 2011)

And Related Matters.

Application 11-05-018
Application 11-05-019
Application 11-05-020

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING GRANTING
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR
ONE-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY COMMENT**

This ruling grants Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Request¹ for a one-day extension of time to Wednesday, May 30, 2012, for the filing of reply comment in the above-captioned proceeding.

Comment on the proposed decision in the above-captioned proceeding is currently due to be submitted to this Commission on Thursday, May 24, 2012, and reply comment is due five days thereafter on Tuesday, May 29, 2012.

¹ PG&E filed its request for a one day extension to file reply comments via email dated May 1, 2012 and served on the parties to the above-captioned proceeding.

Due to the Memorial Day (Monday, May 28th, which falls between the comments and reply comments due dates), Pacific Gas and Electric Company correctly notes the current schedule affords the parties only one business day between the date the comment is served to when they all must file their reply comments. Further noting that the more than 20 parties to this proceeding maybe filing comments, Pacific Gas and Electric Company points out those same parties would have only one working day to digest, prepare and file reply comments.

Preceding its filing of the one-day extension request, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, in compliance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Rule 11.6, claims that it has contacted and solicited other parties agreement to this one-day extension. Pacific Gas and Electric Company reports the following positions of the other parties:

1. The following parties support the one-day extension request:
 - Division of Ratepayers Advocates
 - National Housing Law Project
 - Greenlining Institute
 - Center for Accessible Technology
 - The Utility Reform Network
 - Niagara Conservation Corp.
 - National Consumer Law Center
 - San Francisco Power
 - National Resources Defense Counsel
 - The National Asian American Coalition, Black Economic Council and Latino Business Chamber of Greater Los Angeles
 - Southern California Edison

- San Diego Gas and Electric Company
 - Southern California Gas Company
 - California Housing Partnership Corporation
 - Energy Efficiency Council
2. No parties oppose the one-day extension request.
 3. The following parties were either neutral on the one-day extension request or did not respond to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company's solicitation email:
 - Opower
 - Brightline Defense Project
 - The East Los Angeles Community Union, The Association of California Community and Energy Services, and the Maravilla Foundation
 - Green for All

The Commission has received no opposition or objection to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's one-day extension request.

GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS RULED that:

1. Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Request for one-day extension to file reply comment is granted, and the one-day extension to file reply comment shall apply to all parties to this proceeding; and
2. The reply comment in the above-captioned proceeding is now due Wednesday, May 30, 2012.

Dated May 24, 2012, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ KIMBERLY H. KIM

Kimberly H. Kim
Administrative Law Judge