



FILED

07-10-12
02:58 PM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902E) For Authority To Update Marginal Costs, Cost Allocation, And Electric Rate Design.

Application 11-10-002
(Filed October 3, 2011)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING REGARDING
FURTHER REVISING SCHEDULE**

This Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) ruling confirms the e-mail ruling granting the expedited motion of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) to extend the date for serving concurrent rebuttal testimony and revising the schedule. The schedule in this proceeding is revised as noted in this ruling.¹

On July 6, 2012, SDG&E sent an e-mail requesting that the scheduling for the proceeding be revised as follows:

- Concurrent Rebuttal Testimony - July 17, 2012
- Initial Settlement Conference - July 25, 2012
- Meet and Confer (if necessary) - September 27, 2012
- Hearings (if necessary) - October 9 - 19, 2012

SDG&E states that this extension of time will allow parties additional time for settlement discussions, which could, potentially, narrow or eliminate issues

¹ The original schedule for this proceeding was established in the Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling, issued on January 18, 2012. This schedule was subsequently revised on April 11, 2012.

for evidentiary hearings. SDG&E represents that it has made a good-faith effort to contact all of the parties to reach agreement on its proposed changes to the schedule and that none of the 21 parties it contacted has opposed the proposed changes.²

SDG&E's proposed schedule will allow two months for parties to pursue settlement negotiations. ALJ Roscow and I are encouraged that parties are willing to devote additional time to exploring settlement of the disputed issues. However, as noted in ALJ Roscow's April 11, 2012 Ruling Revising Schedule, changes to the schedule to allow for additional time for these discussions would delay the date for resolution of this proceeding.

On July 6, 2012, I issued an e-mail ruling granting the expedited motion and revising the schedule of the proceeding. This ruling confirms that the schedule is further revised as follows:

EVENT	DATE/LOCATION (if applicable)
Concurrent Rebuttal Testimony	July 17, 2012
Mandatory Settlement Conference	July 25, 2012
Meet and Confer	September 27, 2012
Witness Schedule and Cross-Examination Estimates submitted to ALJs	October 5, 2012

² SDG&E has stated that of the 21 parties it had contacted, the only party it had been unable to reach was the City of Chula Vista. The other 20 parties had supported, agreed with or did not oppose the proposed schedule changes.

Evidentiary Hearings	October 9, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. and at 9:30 a.m. each weekday thereafter through October 19, 2012, as needed. Commission Courtroom State Office Building 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, California 94102
Opening Briefs	November 16, 2012
Reply Briefs	December 14, 2012
Request for Final Oral Argument	December 24, 2012
Proposed Decision Mailed	March 2013
Comments on Proposed Decision	20 days after mailing
Reply Comments on Proposed Decision	5 days after Opening Comments
Final Commission Decision	April 2013

IT IS RULED that the schedule of the proceeding is revised as indicated in this ruling.

Dated July 10, 2012, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ AMY C. YIP-KIKUGAWA
Amy C. Yip-Kikugawa
Administrative Law Judge