



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

06-06-11
04:59 PM

Application of Southern California Edison)
Company (U 338-E) To Establish Marginal)
Costs, Allocate Revenues, Design Rates, and)
Implement Additional Dynamic Pricing Rates)
_____)

A.11-06-_____

A1106007

APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) TO ESTABLISH MARGINAL COSTS, ALLOCATE REVENUES, AND DESIGN RATES

BRUCE A. REED

Attorney for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-4183
Facsimile: (626) 302-6693
E-mail:bruce.reed@SCE.com

Dated: **June 6, 2011**

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) TO
ESTABLISH MARGINAL COSTS, ALLOCATE REVENUES, AND DESIGN RATES**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND.....	1
III.	SUMMARY OF SCE’S PROPOSALS	2
A.	Marginal Costs.....	4
B.	Revenue Allocation.....	4
C.	Rate Design.....	5
1.	Residential Rate Design Background	6
2.	Residential Rate Design Proposals	7
D.	Dynamic Pricing	8
IV.	STATUTORY AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	9
A.	Statutory and Other Authority – Rule 2.1	9
B.	Legal Name and Correspondence – Rules 2.1(a) and 2.1(b)	10
C.	Proposed Categorization, Need for Hearings, Issues To Be Considered, and Proposed Schedule — Rule 2.1(c)	10
1.	Proposed Category	11
2.	Need for Hearings	11
3.	Issues to Be Considered	11
4.	Proposed Procedural Schedule.....	12
D.	Articles of Incorporation – Rule 2.2	12
E.	Authority to Increase Rates — Rule 3.2	13
1.	Balance Sheet and Income Statement – Rule 3.2(a)(1)	13
2.	Present and Proposed Rates – Rule 3.2(a)(2) And Rule 3.2(a)(3).....	13
3.	Summary of Earnings — Rule 3.2(a)(5).....	13

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) TO
ESTABLISH MARGINAL COSTS, ALLOCATE REVENUES, AND DESIGN RATES**

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

Section	Page
4. Statement Pursuant To Rule 3.2(a)(10)	13
5. Service of Notice – Rules 3.2(b), 3.2(c), 3.2(d).....	14
F. Index of the Exhibits and Appendices to This Application	14
G. Service List	14
V. CONCLUSION.....	15
APPENDIX A: STATEMENT OF INCOME AND BALANCE SHEET	
APPENDIX B: SUMMARY OF EARNINGS	
APPENDIX C: LIST OF CITIES AND COUNTIES	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison)
Company (U 338-E) To Establish Marginal)
Costs, Allocate Revenues, Design Rates, and)
Implement Additional Dynamic Pricing Rates)
_____)

A.11-06-_____

APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) TO ESTABLISH MARGINAL COSTS, ALLOCATE REVENUES, AND DESIGN RATES

I.

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission or CPUC), Southern California Edison Company (SCE) files this application to establish marginal costs, allocate revenues, and design rates for service provided to its customers. This request is related to SCE's Application (A.)10-11-015, SCE's request to increase its Commission-authorized revenues for service in 2012 and which is commonly referred to as Phase 1 of SCE's 2012 General Rate Case (GRC).

II.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Under the Rate Case Plan (RCP),¹ SCE is required to file a complete electric rate design proposal (GRC Phase 2) and serve supporting exhibits and testimony 90 days after the filing of its application in Phase 1 of SCE's GRC. Given this timetable, SCE's 2009 GRC Phase 2 was scheduled to be filed February 22, 2011. However, on January 26, 2011, SCE and the Division

¹ The Rate Case Plan was developed in Decision (D.)89-01-040 and subsequently modified in D.93-07-030 and D.07-07-004.

of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) submitted a joint request to Paul Clanon, the Commission's Executive Director, seeking (1) to allow SCE to file this application no later than June 6, 2011, instead of on February 22, 2011, as anticipated by the RCP;² and (2) to defer the implementation of certain dynamic pricing rates from January 1, 2012 until a date to be established either in A.10-09-002, or in Phase 2 of SCE's 2012 GRC. On February 1, 2011, the Executive Director granted the SCE/DRA request.

On May 16, 2011, Administrative Law Judge Wilson issued a ruling dismissing A.10-09-002 without prejudice and directing SCE to include its dynamic pricing proposals with this application.³ Exhibit SCE-5 includes SCE's revised dynamic pricing rate proposals, along with an appendix comprised of SCE's initial prepared testimony that was served with A.10-09-002 on September 1, 2010.

This application is filed in accordance with the Commission's decisions establishing procedures for the processing of SCE's GRCs. In accordance with SCE's RCP, rates resulting from the Commission's decision in this proceeding are to be implemented following the end of the summer season.⁴ SCE requests that the Commission issue a decision in this proceeding so that rates are implemented on or after October 1, 2012.

III.

SUMMARY OF SCE'S PROPOSALS

The details of SCE's 2012 GRC Phase 2 proposals are set forth in the exhibits that accompany this application. Exhibit SCE-1 describes SCE's overall rate design objectives, the results of SCE's proposed revenue allocations, and discusses specific residential rate design

² D.93-07-030, as modified by D. 07-07-004, Appendix A, pages A-5 through A-7, provides that electric utilities file their electric rate design proposals 90 days after the GRC application was filed. In this instance, SCE filed its Phase 1 application on November 23, 2010.

³ The ruling noted that A.10-09-002 would be dismissed without prejudice when SCE files its Phase 2 application, unless parties signal opposition within 10 days after the filing.

⁴ Under the RCP for SCE, a final decision in Phase 2 is scheduled 592 days after the application in Phase 1 is filed.

proposals that are intended to mitigate the large intra-class subsidies that are present in the current tiered rate structure. Exhibit SCE-2 presents SCE's updated unit marginal cost studies and sales and billing determinant forecasts. The information in Exhibit SCE-2 is used as the basis to allocate the overall revenue requirement to the individual rate groups, as described in Exhibit SCE-3. After integrating the billing determinants with the corresponding unit marginal costs, scaling marginal cost revenue responsibility to the appropriate revenue requirement, and accounting for policy considerations, rate designs were constructed for all of SCE's rate groups and those proposals are contained in Exhibit SCE-4. Exhibit SCE-5 contains SCE's revised proposals for dynamic pricing programs for nonresidential customers, primarily those with demands of less than 200 kilowatts (kW). Qualifications for SCE's witnesses are contained in Exhibit SCE-6.

SCE's proposals are described briefly below, and are set forth in detail in SCE's prepared direct testimony, which is being served or being made available via notice of availability along with this application. In Phase 2 of SCE's GRCs, the Commission addresses marginal cost, revenue allocation, and rate design issues. This application does not request any changes in SCE's adopted revenue requirements, but requests Commission authority to revise SCE's retail rates as authorized revenues change in accordance with the principles discussed in the testimony which accompanies this application. The overall effect of SCE's proposals in this application is revenue neutral; however, the results of the Commission's decision in this proceeding, when they are implemented, will be applied to SCE's then-current authorized revenues, incorporating any revenue increase adopted in Phase 1 of SCE's 2012 GRC and revenue changes from other Commission or Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) proceedings. Application of the same rate design methods approved in this proceeding to a changed revenue requirement will produce rates different from those that are shown for illustrative purposes in this application.

A. Marginal Costs

SCE has comprehensively reviewed its marginal distribution and customer costs and has conducted load research studies to determine the marginal demand measures that can be used to accurately estimate each rate group's contribution to generation and distribution system costs. The primary driver of marginal costs associated with the delivery system is design demand. Design demand is the amount of delivery capacity (in kW) that distribution planners determine to be necessary when planning to serve the additional demand of a customer or group of customers. SCE used a traditional regression methodology to analyze historical capital expenses to estimate the delivery-related marginal costs at the transmission, sub-transmission, and distribution level voltages on a \$/kW basis. SCE then used an "effective demand" methodology to allocate design demand costs at these voltage levels to the various rate groups depending upon their integrated diversified load. SCE's generation marginal costs are based on the capacity cost of a new combustion turbine with marginal energy costs based on the results of modeling, including the effect of carbon dioxide emissions.

B. Revenue Allocation

SCE allocates the unbundled revenue requirements for generation, transmission, distribution, nuclear decommissioning (NDC) and public purpose programs (PPP) to rate groups based either on established principles or proposals that are discussed in Exhibit SCE-3. SCE proposes to allocate its Commission-authorized distribution and generation revenue requirements to rate groups in accordance with the equal percent of marginal costs (EPMC) revenue allocation methodology. SCE proposes to use the marginal distribution and customer cost revenues in the calculation of the EPMC factors for allocation of distribution revenue requirement to various rate groups. Generation revenues are proposed to be allocated based on marginal generation cost revenues.

This application does not request any change in SCE's authorized revenue requirements. Thus, the rates presented by SCE illustrate the effect of SCE's marginal cost, revenue allocation,

and rate design proposals, relative to those in effect as of June 2011. When rates are implemented based on the Commission’s decision in this proceeding, they must ultimately reflect SCE’s then-current, authorized revenue requirements. Thus, revenue allocations and rate levels presented by SCE in this application are illustrative. Table III-1, below, illustrates the results of SCE’s proposed revenue allocation for bundled-service customers.

Table III-1
Illustrative Bundled-Service Average Rates by Rate Group
(cents/kWh)

	Bundled Service		
	June 2011	Proposed Rate	Proposed Change
Total Domestic	15.6	15.9	2.0%
GS-1	17.0	15.7	-8.0%
TC-1	15.3	16.2	5.7%
GS-2	15.2	14.8	-2.6%
TOU-GS-3	13.2	13.6	2.9%
Total LSMP	15.0	14.6	-2.6%
TOU-8-SEC	12.4	12.1	-2.6%
TOU-8-PRI	11.2	11.0	-1.4%
TOU-8-SUB	7.1	7.7	9.3%
Total Large Power	10.8	10.7	-0.4%
PA-1			
PA-2			
TOU-PA-2	13.0	12.7	-2.1%
TOU-PA-3	10.2	10.7	4.7%
Total Ag.&Pumping	11.9	11.9	0.2%
Total Street Lighting	18.0	18.3	2.0%
Standby-SEC	11.5	11.6	0.5%
Standby-PRI	11.3	11.5	1.6%
Standby-SUB	8.1	8.1	0.5%
Total Standby	9.2	9.3	0.8%
Total System	14.2	14.1	-0.1%

C. Rate Design

SCE’s specific rate design proposals for all customer rate groups are discussed in detail in Exhibit SCE-4. SCE’s proposed residential rate design changes are summarized below.

1. Residential Rate Design Background

Prior to the energy crisis in 2001, SCE's default residential rate was an inclining block rate with two rate tiers separated by a 15 percent rate differential and a customer charge.⁵ SCE's current residential rate structure has five usage blocks. Within each increasing usage block or tier, electricity is priced at higher rates per kWh. Rates for usage up to 130 percent of the baseline allocation in Tiers 1 and 2 are significantly lower than the rates for usage above 130 percent of baseline.

Assembly Bill (AB) 1X capped rates for Tiers 1 and 2 at February 2001 levels⁶ and capping continued until January 1, 2010 for non-CARE Tier 1 and 2 rates. During this period, the utilities' revenue requirements continued to increase. Thus, for nearly nine full years, all revenue requirement increases assigned to residential customers had to be recovered through increases to rates for Tiers 3, 4, and 5, which account for only about 30 percent of total residential usage. This resulted in an enormous disparity between rates paid for usage in Tiers 1 and 2 and rates paid for usage in Tiers 3, 4, and 5. Customers whose usage remained primarily in Tiers 1 and 2 have actually enjoyed declining real rates over the last 10 years, while higher-usage customers have endured large rate increases. The current ratio of SCE's highest tiered rate to the baseline rate (Tier 1) is over two to one.

There is no cost basis for rate differentials of this magnitude and they produce uneconomic outcomes. SCE estimates that there is currently an annual subsidy of over \$630 million paid by higher-usage to lower-usage residential customers. Moreover, the

⁵ Senate Bill (SB) 987, enacted in 1988, added Public Utilities Code §739(d)(1), which requires gradual tier differentials. At that time, the tier differential was 60 percent for gas rate tiers. After the Commission implemented reductions in the differential between baseline and nonbaseline rates, D.96-04-050 established a tier differential of 15 percent for SCE.

⁶ The baseline allocation was originally defined by the Commission as the quantity of energy necessary to supply the minimum energy needs of the average residential customer. It is now defined in PU Code §739(d)(1) as 50 to 60 percent of average residential consumption. In D.09-08-028, SCE's baseline percentages were set at 55 percent.

current tiered-rate differentials are inconsistent with the requirement of PU Code Section (§)739(d)(1) to provide an “appropriate gradual differential between the rates for the respective blocks of usage.”

2. Residential Rate Design Proposals

To address inequities present in the current residential rate structure, SCE proposes the following corrective measures:

- 1) Phase in an increase to SCE’s existing non-CARE customer charge of 88 cents per month to \$6.00 per month for single-family homes and from 70 cents per month to \$4.68 per month for multi-family homes, each discounted by 20 percent for CARE customers,⁷
- 2) Reduce the baseline allowance from 55 percent to 50 percent of average consumption within each baseline climate zone;
- 3) Establish separate baseline allowances for single-family and multi-family customers based on average consumption for each category of residential customer within each climate zone; and
- 4) Reduce the number of non-CARE rate tiers from 5 to 4, with a Tier 3 to Tier 4 rate differential of \$0.04/kWh.

The Commission concluded in D.11-05-047 that it could not implement a customer charge for Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) in addition to the maximum annual increases permitted to volumetric rates for Tiers 1 and 2 under Senate Bill 695. The facts relating to SCE are different from PG&E’s because SCE does have an existing customer charge. However, SCE does not propose to relitigate the legal issue in this proceeding. Until that decision is final, *i.e.*, until the period for applications for

⁷ To comply with PU Code §739.9(b), the customer charge must comply with the requirement that the sum of customer charge revenues and the Tier 1 rate does not exceed 90 percent of SCE’s bundled-service system average rate (SAR).

rehearing and, if applicable, the period for petitions for writ of review have lapsed, SCE will maintain its current customer charge proposal. In the event D.11-05-047 becomes final, SCE's alternate proposal is to increase its existing non-CARE customer charge on an annual basis by the percentage increase permitted under PU Code §739.9(a) and its existing CARE customer charge by the percentage increase permitted under PU Code §739.1(b)(2), as D.11-05-047 does allow such increases because it adopts a composite baseline interpretation of PU Code §739.9(a).⁸ SCE's residential rate design proposals, discussed in detail in Exhibit SCE-4, will provide modest movement toward cost-based rate levels.

D. Dynamic Pricing

Based on SCE's experience with default dynamic pricing rates for customers with demands greater than 200 kW, SCE asks the Commission to alter the sequencing of certain mandatory time-of-use (TOU) and default dynamic pricing rates that were adopted in D.09-08-028 and are reflected in A.10-09-002.⁹ For nonresidential customers with maximum demands of less than 200 kW, customer education, adoption and acceptance of new dynamic pricing rates will substantially benefit by a transition from flat rates to mandatory TOU rates and having such customers remain served on TOU rates for a period of at least two years so that they will become familiar with and understand these new rate structures. SCE would prefer a single transition date to mandatory TOU rates occurring after the summer period has ended. SCE does not support an initial transition to default critical peak pricing (CPP) rates, with customers allowed to opt-out to mandatory TOU rates. SCE believes that dynamic pricing rates, *e.g.*, CPP rate structures, should remain optional for its customers with demands of less than 200 kW.

⁸ While SCE disagrees with the interpretation adopted in D.11-05-047, SCE may request this authority by means of a separate request to the Commission, depending on when D.11-05-047 is no longer subject to appeal.

⁹ As discussed above, PG&E filed a Petition to Modify D.10-02-032 on January 14, 2011, which requests modification of schedule and sequencing of implementation of certain mandatory time-of-use (TOU) and default time-variant rates for the same types of customers also affected by SCE's proposals in A.10-09-002.

Thus, SCE requests modification of D.09-08-028 to eliminate the transition to default CPP/TOU rates for nonresidential customers with demands of less than 200 kW and for agricultural and pumping customers with demands equal to or greater than 200 kW. SCE instead proposes a transition to mandatory TOU rates for such customers effective with the implementation date of rates adopted in this proceeding, with but without a second transition to default CPP/TOU rates. Thus, SCE proposes that CPP remains an optional, not a default, tariff for these customers.

SCE recognizes that the plan to implement default CPP/TOU rates that was adopted in D.09-08-028 might not be modified as a result of this application. Thus, SCE attaches as Appendix C to Exhibit SCE-5, a copy of SCE's prepared testimony that was served when SCE filed A.10-09-002 on September 1, 2010. That testimony complies with the requirements adopted in D.09-08-028.

To address customer bill impact concerns, SCE proposes a capacity reservation level (CRL) option for customers with maximum demands of 200 kW or more so that customers can designate an amount of their load that will not be subject to CPP rates during CPP events (with proportionately lower offsetting credits). Customers with demands of less than 200 kW will also be offered a CPP option that reduces both CPP event charges and the credits to one-half of the levels in the standard CPP program.

IV.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

A. Statutory and Other Authority – Rule 2.1

This application is made pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures, and the California Public Utilities Code. Rule 2.1 requires that all applications: (1) clearly and concisely state authority or relief sought; (2) cite the statutory or other authority under which that relief is sought; and, (3) be verified by the applicant. Rules 2.1(a), 2.1(b), and 2.1(c) set forth further requirements that are addressed separately below. The relief being sought is summarized

in Sections III (Summary of SCE’s Proposals) and is further described in the prepared direct testimony accompanying this application in Exhibits SCE-1 through SCE-5, which is incorporated by reference into this application. The statutory and other authority under which this relief is being sought include California PU Code Sections 451, 454, 454.3, 491, 701, 728, 729, Article 2 and Rule 3.2 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, and prior decisions, orders, and resolutions of this Commission.. This application has been verified by an SCE officer as provided in Rules 1.11 and 2.1.

B. Legal Name and Correspondence – Rules 2.1(a) and 2.1(b)

SCE is a public utility organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. The location of SCE's principal place of business is: 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, Rosemead, California.

Correspondence or communications regarding this application should be addressed to:

Bruce A. Reed
Senior Attorney
P.O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone: 626 302-4183
e-mail: bruce.reed@sce.com

Veronica Flores, Case Administrator
Southern California Edison Company
P.O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-3104
e-mail: scegrc@sce.com

C. Proposed Categorization, Need for Hearings, Issues To Be Considered, and Proposed Schedule — Rule 2.1(c)

Commission Rule 2.1(c) requires that all applications shall state “the proposed category for the proceeding, the need for hearing, the issues to be considered, and a proposed schedule.”¹⁰

¹⁰ TITLE 20 CAL. CODE REGS. Div. 1, Art. 2, §2.1.

1. Proposed Category

SCE proposes to categorize this proceeding as ratesetting, as defined in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Rule 1.3(e) and PU Code §1701.1(c)(3).¹¹

2. Need for Hearings

The need for hearings and the issues to be considered in such hearings will depend in large part on the degree to which other parties contest SCE’s request. SCE’s proposed procedural schedule assumes evidentiary hearings will be held, as SCE believes hearings may be required in this proceeding.

3. Issues to Be Considered

In general, the issues to be considered in this proceeding involve the methods of determining marginal costs and the marginal cost revenue responsibility for each rate group, the allocation of Commission-approved revenue requirements by function to each rate group, and a variety of issues related to the design of rates within each of SCE’s rate groups. As discussed above, SCE requests modification of D.09-08-028 related to the sequence of implementing dynamic pricing rates for nonresidential customers with demands of less than 200 kW.

¹¹ “Ratesetting cases, for purposes of this article, are cases in which rates are established for a specific company, including, but not limited to, general rate cases, performance-based ratemaking, and other ratesetting mechanisms.” CAL. PUB. UTIL. CODE §1701.1(c)(3). “‘Ratesetting’ proceedings are proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities).” TITLE 20 CAL. CODE REGS §1.3(e).

4. **Proposed Procedural Schedule**

SCE proposes the following procedural schedule for this proceeding.

Activity	Proposed Schedule
SCE – Application and Service of Marginal Cost (MC), Revenue Allocation (RA) and Rate Design Testimony	6-June-2011
Protests or Responses to Application	30 days after application appears on Commission's daily calendar
Prehearing Conference	To be determined by CPUC
Scoping Memo Issued	To be determined by CPUC
DRA - MC, RA and Rate Design Testimony	6-December-2011
Other Parties - MC, RA and Rate Design Testimony	January-2012
Settlement Discussions	February – March 2012
All Parties – Rebuttal Testimony	April-2012
Phase 2 Hearings	May 2012
Opening Briefs	June-2012
Reply Briefs	June-2012
ALJ Proposed Decision (PD)	September-2012
Initial Comments on PD	October-2012
Reply Comments on PD	October-2012
CPUC - Final Decision Expected by	November-2012

D. **Articles of Incorporation – Rule 2.2**

In compliance with Rule 2.2,¹² a copy of SCE's Certificate of Restated Articles of Incorporation, effective on March 2, 2006, and presently in effect, certified by the California Secretary of State, was filed with the Commission on March 14, 2006, in connection with A.06-03-020,¹³ and is by reference made a part hereof. Certain classes and series of SCE's capital stock are listed on a "national securities exchange" as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and copies of SCE's latest Annual Report to Shareholders and its latest proxy statement sent to its stockholders has been filed with the Commission.

¹² Rule 2.2 requires the applicant to submit a copy of its organizing documents and evidence of its qualification to transact business in California, or to refer to that documentation if previously filed with the Commission.

¹³ Application 06-03-020, *For Approval of Early Transfer of Anaheim's Share of SONGS 2&3 to SCE*.

E. Authority to Increase Rates — Rule 3.2

1. Balance Sheet and Income Statement – Rule 3.2(a)(1)

Appendix A to this application contains copies of SCE's balance sheet and income statement for the period ended March 31, 2011, the most recent period available.

2. Present and Proposed Rates – Rule 3.2(a)(2) And Rule 3.2(a)(3)

The presently effective rates and the changes proposed to be made thereto are listed in Appendix B of Exhibit SCE-4. The proposed rates are illustrative and will be update consistent with the Commission's decision in this proceeding to reflect SCE's then-current authorized revenues when such rates are implemented.

3. Summary of Earnings — Rule 3.2(a)(5)

Rule 3.2(a)(5) requires: "A summary of earnings (rate of return summary) on a depreciated rate base for the test period or periods upon which applicant bases its justification for an increase." SCE's 2009 to 2011 summary of earnings is attached as Appendix B to this application.

4. Statement Pursuant To Rule 3.2(a)(10)

Rule 3.2(a)(10) requires that the "application of electrical ... corporations shall separately state whether or not the increase reflects and passes through to customers only increased costs to the corporation for the services or commodities furnished by it." SCE's request in this application does not seek recovery of any additional revenue requirements that have not been or will not be authorized in other Commission proceedings. Thus, this application does not pass through to customers any "increased costs to the corporation for the services or commodities furnished by it." The purpose of this application is to establish SCE's marginal costs, allocate revenues to rate groups, and design rates for rate schedules, but not to increase the overall level of SCE's revenues.

5. Service of Notice – Rules 3.2(b), 3.2(c), 3.2(d)

As required by Rule 3.2(b) – 3.2(d), a notice of filing this application will be (1) mailed to the designated officials of the state and the counties and cities listed in Appendix C; (2) published in a newspaper of general circulation in each county in SCE’s service territory within which the rate changes would be effective; and (3) mailed or served electronically on all customers affected by the proposed rate changes.

F. Index of the Exhibits and Appendices to This Application

SCE's submissions in support of this application include the following appendices and exhibits, which are incorporated herein by reference:

Appendices to Application

Appendix A - SCE’s Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Appendix B - SCE’s Summary of Earnings (2009 – 2011)

Appendix C - List of Cities and Counties.

Exhibits to be Served (or made available by Notice of Availability In Support of SCE’s Application)

- Exhibit SCE-1 – Policy
- Exhibit SCE-2 – Marginal Cost and Sales Forecast Proposals
- Exhibit SCE-3 – Revenue Allocation Proposals
- Exhibit SCE-4 – Rate Design Proposals
- Exhibit SCE-5 – Dynamic Pricing
- Exhibit SCE-6 – Witness Qualifications

G. Service List

This application is being served on all parties on the official service list in Phase 2 of SCE’s 2009 GRC (A.08-03-002) and Phase 1 of SCE’s 2012 GRC (A.10-11-015). A list of the cities and counties affected by the increases proposed in this application is attached as Appendix C.

V.

CONCLUSION

SCE respectfully requests that the Commission approve SCE's marginal costs, revenue allocation, rate design, and dynamic pricing proposals.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE A. REED

/s/ Bruce A. Reed

By: Bruce A. Reed

Attorney for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-4183
Facsimile: (626) 302-6693
E-mail:bruce.reed@SCE.com

June 6, 2011

VERIFICATION

I am an officer of Southern California Edison Company, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the document are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 6th day of June, 2011, at Rosemead, California.

/s/ Akbar Jazayeri

Akbar Jazayeri
Vice President, Regulatory Operations
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
COMPANY
8631 Rush Street
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Appendix A

Statement of Income and Balance Sheet

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

BALANCE SHEET

MARCH 31, 2011

A S S E T S

(Unaudited)

(Millions of Dollars)

UTILITY PLANT:

Utility plant, at original cost	\$28,127
Less - Accumulated depreciation	(6,488)
	<hr/> 21,639
Construction work in progress	3,267
Nuclear fuel, at amortized cost	370
	<hr/> <hr/> 25,276

OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS:

Nonutility property - less accumulated depreciation of \$101	75
Nuclear decommissioning trusts	3,619
Other Investments	76
	<hr/> <hr/> 3,770

CURRENT ASSETS:

Cash and cash equivalents	53
Receivables, less allowances of \$87 for uncollectible accounts	625
Accrued unbilled revenue	410
Inventory	328
Prepaid taxes	225
Derivative assets	77
Regulatory assets	407
Other current assets	101
	<hr/> <hr/> 2,226

DEFERRED CHARGES:

Regulatory assets	4,450
Derivative assets	289
Other long-term assets	333
	<hr/> <hr/> 5,072
	<hr/> <hr/> \$36,344

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

BALANCE SHEET

MARCH 31, 2011

CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

(Unaudited)

(Millions of Dollars)

CAPITALIZATION:

Common stock	\$2,168
Additional paid-in capital	575
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(24)
Retained Earnings	5,673
Common shareholder's equity	<u>8,392</u>
Preferred and preference stock not subject to redemption requirements	1,045
Long-term debt	7,627
	<u>17,064</u>

CURRENT LIABILITIES:

Short-term debt	200
Accounts payable	958
Accrued taxes	47
Accrued interest	118
Customer deposits	211
Derivative liabilities	215
Regulatory liabilities	778
Other current liabilities	482
	<u>3,009</u>

DEFERRED CREDITS:

Deferred income taxes	5,096
Deferred investment tax credits	117
Customer advances	112
Derivative liabilities	461
Pensions and benefits	1,851
Asset retirement obligations	2,508
Regulatory liabilities	4,733
Other deferred credits and other long-term liabilities	1,393
	<u>16,271</u>
	<u>\$36,344</u>

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

STATEMENT OF INCOME

3 MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

(Unaudited)

(Millions of Dollars)

OPERATING REVENUE	<u>\$2,232</u>
OPERATING EXPENSES:	
Fuel	76
Purchased power	508
Other operation and maintenance	784
Depreciation, decommissioning and amortization	344
Property and other taxes	<u>77</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>1,789</u>
OPERATING INCOME	443
Interest income	2
Other income	38
Interest expense - net of amounts capitalized	(111)
Other expenses	<u>(13)</u>
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX	359
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	<u>123</u>
NET INCOME	236
Less: Dividends on preferred and preference stock not subject to mandatory redemption	<u>14</u>
NET INCOME AVAILABLE FOR COMMON STOCK	<u><u>\$222</u></u>

Appendix B
Summary Of Earnings

**Southern California Edison
Summary of Earnings
2009 - 2011 GRC-Related Adopted Revenue Requirement^{1/}
Thousands of Dollars**

Line No.	Item	2009	2010		2011		Remove SONGS 2&3 Refueling & Maintenance Outage Rev. Req.	OOR Adjustment Rev. Req.	2011 Rev. Req.
		Rev. Req.	Multiplier	Rev. Req.	Multiplier	Rev. Req.			
1.	Base Revenues	4,829,742	1.0425	5,035,006	1.0435	5,254,029	(51,303)	(409)	5,202,317
2.	Expenses:								
3.	Operation & Maintenance	2,130,052							
4.	Depreciation	1,037,452							
5.	Taxes	723,783							
6.	Revenue Credits	(178,615)							
7.	Total Expenses	3,712,672							
8.	Net Operating Revenue	1,117,070							
9.	Rate Base	12,766,518							
10.	Rate of Return	8.75%							

^{1/} D.09-03-025

Includes one SONGS 2&3 refueling and maintenance outage for 2009 & 2010 and zero in 2011.

Appendix C

List of Cities and Counties

Citizens or some of the citizens of the following counties and municipal corporations will or may be affected by the changes in rates proposed herein.

COUNTIES

Fresno	Kings	Orange	Tuolumne*
Imperial	Los Angeles	Riverside	Tulare
Inyo	Madera	San Bernardino	Ventura
Kern	Mono	Santa Barbara	

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS

Adelanto	Covina	Irwindale	Newport Beach	Santa Barbara
Agoura Hills	Cudahy	La Canada Flintridge	Norco	Santa Clarita
Alhambra	Culver City	La Habra	Norwalk	Santa Fe Springs
Aliso Viejo	Cypress	La Habra Heights	Ojai	Santa Monica
Apple Valley	Delano	La Mirada	Ontario	Santa Paula
Arcadia	Desert Hot Springs	La Palma	Orange	Seal Beach
Artesia	Diamond Bar	La Puente	Oxnard	Sierra Madre
Avalon	Downey	La Verne	Palm Desert	Signal Hill
Baldwin Park	Duarte	Laguna Beach	Palm Springs	Simi Valley
Barstow	Eastvale	Laguna Hills	Palmdale	South El Monte
Beaumont	El Monte	Laguna Niguel	Palos Verdes Estates	South Gate
Bell	El Segundo	Laguna Woods	Paramount	South Pasadena
Bell Gardens	Exeter	Lake Elsinore	Perris	Stanton
Bellflower	Farmersville	Lake Forest	Pico Rivera	Tehachapi
Beverly Hills	Fillmore	Lakewood	Placentia	Temecula
Bishop	Fontana	Lancaster	Pomona	Temple City
Blythe	Fountain Valley	Lawndale	Port Hueneme	Thousand Oaks
Bradbury	Fullerton	Lindsay	Porterville	Torrance
Brea	Garden Grove	Loma Linda	Rancho Cucamonga	Tulare
Buena Park	Gardena	Lomita	Rancho Mirage	Tustin
Calabasas	Glendora	Long Beach	Rancho Palos Verdes	Twentynine Palms
California City	Goleta	Los Alamitos	Rancho Santa Margarita	Upland
Calimesa	Grand Terrace	Lynwood	Redlands	Victorville
Camarillo	Hanford	Malibu	Redondo Beach	Villa Park
Canyon Lake	Hawaiian Gardens	Mammoth Lakes	Rialto	Visalia
Carpinteria	Hawthorne	Manhattan Beach	Ridgecrest	Walnut
Carson	Hemet	Maywood	Rolling Hills	West Covina
Cathedral City	Hermosa Beach	McFarland	Rolling Hills Estates	West Hollywood
Cerritos	Hesperia	Menifee	Rosemead	Westlake Village
Chino	Hidden Hills	Mission Viejo	San Bernardino	Westminster
Chino Hills	Highland	Monrovia	San Buenaventura	Whittier
Claremont	Huntington Beach	Montclair	San Dimas	Wildomar
Commerce	Huntington Park	Montebello	San Fernando	Woodlake
Compton	Indian Wells	Monterey Park	San Gabriel	Yorba Linda
Corona	Industry	Moorpark	San Jacinto	Yucaipa
Costa Mesa	Inglewood	Moreno Valley	San Marino	Yucca Valley
	Irvine	Murrieta	Santa Ana	

*SCE provides electric service to a small number of customer accounts in Tuolumne County and is not subject to franchise requirements.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, I have this day served a true copy of **APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) TO ESTABLISH MARGINAL COSTS, ALLOCATE REVENUES, AND DESIGN RATES** on all parties identified on the attached service list(s). Service was effected by one or more means indicated below:

Transmitting the copies via e-mail to all parties who have provided an e-mail address. First class mail will be used if electronic service cannot be effectuated.

Executed this **6th day of June, 2011**, at Rosemead, California.

/s/ Veronica Flores _____
Veronica Flores
Project Analyst
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

SCE's prepared testimony may be accessed through SCE's website electronically within one day of this e-mail service. To access this testimony from SCE's website, go to the following URL:

1. Go to www.sce.com;
2. Click on "Regulatory Information" on the bottom right hand side of the screen;
3. On the Regulatory home page, click "CPUC Open Proceedings";
4. In search box type in "A.11-06-XXX" (SCE will provide the actual application number when the Commission assigns an application number) or "2012 GRC Phase 2"; select "Go".
5. The testimony is presented in Adobe Acrobat (pdf) format and can be viewed online, printed, or saved to your hard drive.

As an alternative to accessing SCE's on SCE's website, SCE will provide a print copy of its prepared testimony to any party upon request. To request a copy of these materials please direct your request to SCE as follows:

Veronica Flores, Case Administrator
Southern California Edison Company
Post Office Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-3104
Email: Veronica.Flores@sce.com

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE A. REED

/s/ Bruce A. Reed

By: Bruce A. Reed

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-4183
Facsimile: (626) 302-6693
E-mail: bruce.reed@sce.com

Dated: June 6, 2011

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, pursuant to the Commissioner's Rules of Practice and Procedure, I have this day served a true copy of **SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U338-E) NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF 2012 GENERAL RATE CASE PHASE 2 PREPARED TESTIMONY** on all parties identified in the attached service list(s).

Transmitting the copies via e-mail to all parties who have provided an e-mail address. First class mail will be used if electronic service cannot be effectuated.

Executed this **6th day of June, 2011**, at Rosemead, California.

/s/ Veronica Flores
Veronica Flores
Project Analyst
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Ave.
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770



California Public
Utilities Commission

CPUC Home

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Service Lists

PROCEEDING: A0803002 - EDISON - TO ESTABLIS
filer: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
LIST NAME: LIST
LAST CHANGED: MAY 31, 2011

[DOWNLOAD THE COMMA-DELIMITED FILE](#)
[ABOUT COMMA-DELIMITED FILES](#)

[Back to Service Lists Index](#)

Parties

DONALD C. LIDDELL
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000
FOR: DEBENHAM ENERGY, LLC / ICE ENERGY,
INC.

GREGORY S.G. KLATT
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000
FOR: ALLIANCE FOR RETAIL ENERGY MARKETS

KAREN N. MILLS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000
FOR: CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

HAYLEY GOODSON
STAFF ATTORNEY
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000-0000
FOR: THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

KEITH MCCREA
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SUTHERLAND ASHILL & BRENNAN
1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2415
FOR: CA MANUFACTURERS & TECHNOLOGY

RANDALL W. KEEN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD.
LOS ANGELES, CA 90064
FOR: LOS ANGELES COUNTY

S. NANCY WHANG
ATTORNEY AT LAW
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD.
LOS ANGELES, CA 90064
FOR: LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL

MARICRUZ PRADO
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
PO BOX 800, 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

R. OLIVIA SAMAD
ATTORNEY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. / PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

KENDALL H. MACVEY, ESQ.
BEST, BEST & KRIEGER, LLP
3750 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 300
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-1028
FOR: WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNCIL OF
GOVERNMENTS

DOUGLAS A. AMES
ATTORNEY AT LAW
TRANSPHASE SYSTEMS, INC.
4971 LOS PATOS AVENUE
HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92649
FOR: TRANSPHASE CO.

PAUL KERKORIAN
UTILITY COST MANAGEMENT LLC
6475 N. PALM AVENUE, SUITE 105
FRESNO, CA 93704
FOR: PLEASANT VALLEY RECREATION AND
PARK DISTRICT / "CITRUS PACKERS"
(PARAMOUNT CITRUS, LIMONERIA COMP.,
LOBUE BROTHERS, INC.)

DAVID J. BYERS, ESQ.
ATTORNEY AT LAW
MCCRACKEN, BYERS & HAESLOOP, LLP
870 MITTEN ROAD
BURLINGAME, CA 94010
FOR: CALIFORNIA CITY-COUNTY STREET
LIGHT ASSOCIATION CAL-SLA

PAUL ANGELOPULO
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
ROOM 4107
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
FOR: DRA

NORMAN J. FURUTA
FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES
1455 MARKET ST., SUITE 1744
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103-1399
FOR: FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

NORA SHERIFF
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
FOR: ENERGY PRODUCERS AND USERS
COALITION

SHIRLEY A. WOO
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A, PO BOX 7442
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC

EDWARD G. POOLE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ANDERSON, DONOVAN & POOLE
601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1300
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108-2818
FOR: WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING
COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION.

DAVID L. HUARD
ATTORNEY AT LAW
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: LOWE'S HOME IMPROVEMENT

STEVEN F. GREENWALD
ATTORNEY AT LAW
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: SIMON PROPERTY GROUP, INC.

THOMAS J. MACBRIDE, JR.
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP
505 SANSOME STREET, 9TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS
ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM H. BOOTH
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. BOOTH
67 CARR DRIVE
MORAGA, CA 94556
FOR: CALIFORNIA LARGE ENERGY CONSUMERS
ASSOCIATION

BILL F. ROBERTS, PH. D.
 ECONOMIC SCIENCES CORPORATION
 1516 LEROY AVENUE
 BERKELEY, CA 94708
 FOR: BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS
 ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA (BOMA)

R. THOMAS BEACH
 CALIFORNIA COGENERATION COUNCIL
 2560 NINTH STREET, SUITE 213A
 BERKELEY, CA 94710-2557
 FOR: SOLAR ALLIANCE

CAROLYN KEHREIN
 ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES
 2602 CELEBRATION WAY
 WOODLAND, CA 95776
 FOR: ENERGY USERS FORUM

SCOTT BLAISING
 BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN P.C.
 915 L STREET, STE. 1270
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
 FOR: SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY POWER AUTHORITY

Information Only

MRW & ASSOCIATES, LLC
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000
 FOR: MRW & ASSOCIATES, INC.

JUDY PAU
 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
 EMAIL ONLY
 EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000-0000

KHOJASTEH DAVOODI
 DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
 1322 PATTERSON AVENUE SE
 WASHINGTON NAVY YARD, DC 20374-5018

LARRY R. ALLEN
 UTILITY RATES AND STUDIES OFFICE
 SUITE 1000
 1322 PATTERSON AVENUE SE
 WASHINGTON NAVY YARD, DC 20374-5065

RALPH E. DENNIS
 DENNIS CONSULTING
 2805 BITTERSWEET LANE
 LA GRANGE, KY 40031

JACK L. BOYD
 ASST. GENERAL COUNSEL
 SIMON PROPERTY GROUP, INC.
 225 WEST WASHINGTON ST.
 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204
 FOR: SIMON PROPERTY GROUP, INC.

JIM ROSS
 RCS, INC.
 500 CHESTERFIELD CENTER, SUITE 320
 CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

MAURICE BRUBAKER
 BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.
 16690 SWINGLEY RIDGE ROAD, SUITE 140
 CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017

KEVIN J. SIMONSEN
 ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES
 646 EAST THIRD AVENUE
 DURANGO, CO 81301

JACK ROSENTHAL
 P2S ENGINEERING, INC.
 5000 EAST SPRING STREET, 8TH FLOOR
 LONG BEACH, CA 90815

BRUCE REED
 SR. ATTORNEY
 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770
 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

CASE ADMINISTRATION
 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
 LAW DEPARTMENT, ROOM 370
 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, ROOM 370
 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770
 FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

JENNIFER M. TSAO SHIGEKAWA
 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SHARON YANG
 ATTORNEY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. / PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

KELLY M. FOLEY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH STREET, HQ12
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017
FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

CAROL MANSON
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO.
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT CP32D
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1530
FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO.

DAVID ORTH
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY POWER AUTHORITY
ADMIN OFF @KINGS RIVER CONSERV DISTRICT
4886 EAST JENSEN AVENUE
FRESNO, CA 93725
FOR: SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY POWER AUTHORITY

EVELYN KAHL
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94015
FOR: ENERGY USERS AND PRODUCER'S
COALITION

BRUCE FOSTER
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
601 VAN NESS AVENUE, STE. 2040
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

DANIEL PEASE
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET; B10A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103
FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

NINA SUETAKE
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

ROBERT FINKELSTEIN
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

KAREN TERRANOVA
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

JEANNE B. ARMSTRONG
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

MICHAEL B. DAY
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3133

JOSH DAVIDSON
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
505 MONTGOMERY ST, STE 800
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-6533

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS
425 DIVISADERO STREET, STE 303
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117

CASE COORDINATION
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000; MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177
FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH
BARKOVICH & YAP, INC.
PO BOX 11031
OAKLAND, CA 94611

DOCKET COORDINATOR
5727 KEITH ST.
OAKLAND, CA 94618

REED V. SCHMIDT
BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES
1889 ALCATRAZ AVENUE
BERKELEY, CA 94703-2714

WENDY L. ILLINGWORTH
ECONOMIC INSIGHTS
320 FEATHER LANE
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060

BILL MARCUS
 JBS ENERGY
 311 D STREET, STE. A
 WEST SACRAMENTO, CA 95605

DOUGLAS M. GRANDY, P.E.
 CALIFORNIA ONSITE GENERATION
 DG TECHNOLOGIES
 1220 MACAULAY CIRCLE
 CARMICHAEL, CA 95608
 FOR: CALIFORNIA ONSITE GENERATION

RICHARD MCCANN, PH.D
 M. CUBED
 2655 PORTAGE BAY ROAD, SUITE 3
 DAVIS, CA 95616

MELANIE GILLETTE
 WESTERN REGULATORY AAFAIRS
 ENERNOC, INC.
 115 HAZELMERE DRIVE
 FOLSOM, CA 95630

MICHAEL BOCCADORO
 AGRICULTURAL ENERGY CONSUMERS ASSN.
 925 L STREET, SUITE 800
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
 FOR: AGRICULTURAL ENERGY CONSUMERS
 ASSOCIATION.

RYAN BERNARDO
 BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN, P.C.
 915 L STREET, SUITE 1270
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

ANDREW BROWN
 ATTORNEY AT LAW
 ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS LLP
 2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-5905

JEDEDIAH J. GIBSON
 ATTORNEY AT LAW
 ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS LLP
 2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-5905

KAREN LINDH
 LINDH & ASSOCIATES
 7909 WALERGA ROAD, SUITE 112, PMB 119
 ANTELOPE, CA 95843

State Service

AMY C. YIP-KIKUGAWA
 CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
 EXECUTIVE DIVISION
 ROOM 5102
 505 VAN NESS AVENUE
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

DEXTER E. KHOURY
 CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
 ELECTRICITY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAM
 ROOM 4209
 505 VAN NESS AVENUE
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
 FOR: DRA

DINA S. MACKIN
 CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
 ENERGY DIVISION
 AREA 4-A
 505 VAN NESS AVENUE
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

DONALD J. LAFRENZ
 CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
 ENERGY DIVISION
 AREA 4-A
 505 VAN NESS AVENUE
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ERIC GREENE
 CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
 ENERGY DIVISION

KARL MEEUSEN
 CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
 EXECUTIVE DIVISION

AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ROOM 5217
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

MARYAM GHADDESSI
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ROBERT BENJAMIN
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

TAARU CHAWLA
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ELECTRICITY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAM
ROOM 4209
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

[TOP OF PAGE](#)
[BACK TO INDEX OF SERVICE LISTS](#)



California Public Utilities Commission

[CPUC Home](#)

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Service Lists

PROCEEDING: A1009002 - EDISON - FOR ADDITIO
FILER: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
LIST NAME: LIST
LAST CHANGED: MAY 17, 2011

[DOWNLOAD THE COMMA-DELIMITED FILE](#)
[ABOUT COMMA-DELIMITED FILES](#)

[Back to Service Lists Index](#)

Parties

DONALD C. LIDDELL
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000
FOR: CALIFORNIA ENERGY STORAGE
ALLIANCE; ICE ENERGY, INC.; DEBENHAM
ENERGY, LLC

MARCEL HAWIGER
ENERGY ATTORNEY
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000-0000
FOR: TURN

BRUCE A. REED
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE / PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

GREGORY HEIDEN
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
ROOM 5039
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
FOR: DRA

NORA SHERIFF
ALCANTAR & KAHL
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
FOR: ENERGY PRODUCERS AND USERS
COALITION

SHIRLEY WOO
ATTORNEY AT LAW
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE ST., B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

WILLIAM H. BOOTH
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. BOOTH
67 CARR DRIVE
MORAGA, CA 94556
FOR: CALIFORNIA LARGE ENERGY CONSUMERS
ASSOCIATION

STEPHANIE CHEN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVE., 2ND FLOOR
BERKELEY, CA 94704
FOR: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

MELISSA KASNITZ

CAROLYN KEHREIN

DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
2001 CENTER STREET, THIRD FLOOR
BERKELEY, CA 94704-1204
FOR: DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES

ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES
2602 CELEBRATION WAY
WOODLAND, CA 95776
FOR: ENERGY USERS FORUM

KAREN NORENE MILLS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION
2300 RIVER PLAZA DRIVE
SACRAMENTO, CA 95833
FOR: CALIFORNIA BUREAU FEDERATION

Information Only

SUE MARA
RTO ADVISORS, LLC
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

MRW & ASSOCIATES, LLC
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

KHOJASTEH DAVOODI
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
1322 PATTERSON AVENUE SE
WASHINGTON NAVY YARD, DC 20374-5018

KEVIN SIMONSEN
ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES
646 E. 3RD AVENUE
DURANGO, CO 81301

CASE ADMINISTRATION
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
PO BOX 800 / 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

J. ERIC ISKEN
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, SUITE 342
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

TODD CAHILL
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8306 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32D
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

CENTRAL FILES
SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK CT, CP32D, RM CP31-E
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1530

NORMAN J. FURUTA
FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES
10TH FLOOR, MS 1021A
333 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2195

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS
425 DIVISADERO STREET, SUITE 303
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117

JANET LIU
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000; MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

RYAN BRISCOE YOUNG
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR
BERKELEY, CA 94704

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH
44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE
MENDOCINO, CA 95460

SCOTT BLAISING
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN, P.C.
915 L STREET, SUITE 1270
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

ANDREW B. BROWN
ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, L.L.P.
2600 CAPITAL AVENUE, SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-5905

State Service

NIKI BAWA

DEXTER E. KHOURY

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA 00000

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ELECTRICITY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAM
ROOM 4209
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
FOR: DRA

DONALD J. LAFRENZ
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

SEAN WILSON
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES
ROOM 5022
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

STEVE ROSCOW
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES
ROOM 5041
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

[TOP OF PAGE](#)
[BACK TO INDEX OF SERVICE LISTS](#)