



FILED

06-18-07

04:59 PM

A0706018

Exhibit G

June 1, 2007

To: All 760 NPA Code Holders and Interested Industry Members (California)

Subject: Final Minutes to May 16, 2007 Meeting

Attached are the final minutes from the May 16, 2007 follow-up relief planning meeting conducted via conference call to review and approve the draft minutes from the April 18 meeting and the draft application for relief for the CA 760 NPA.

If you have any questions, please give me a call at (805) 520-1945 or via email at joe.cocke@neustar.biz.

Sincerely,

Joseph R. Cocke
Sr. NPA Relief Planner
NANPA

C: Cherrie Conner – CPUC – Telecom Division

Attachments

**CALIFORNIA 760 NPA
FOLLOWUP RELIEF PLANNING MEETING
FINAL MINUTES
May 16, 2007, 10:00 AM (PT)
VIA CONFERENCE CALL**

WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS & AGENDA REVIEW

Mr. Joe Cocke, NeuStar NANPA Senior NPA Relief Planner opened the meeting with introductions, a review of the agenda, the objectives of the meeting and NANPA's roles and responsibilities. A list of the attendees can be found in Attachment # 1. Joe mentioned that since this is our quality survey month attendees would receive an electronic survey following the meeting.

CONSENSUS PROCESS AND NPA RELIEF PLANNING GUIDELINES

Joe stated the ATIS (Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions) approved industry consensus process would be followed. He read highlights of the consensus process and explained how consensus is determined. In addition Joe stated that issues or positions could be expressed in the form of a Statement for the Record (in writing) and they could be conveyed at any point during the meetings. Silence will be considered agreement with a proposal, based on those on the call at the time for which consensus is called.

PURPOSE OF MEETING

This meeting on May 16, 2007 was originally to review and approve the minutes from the April 18, 2007 meeting as well as approve the draft petition. But because several industry members expressed interest in making a statement for the record Joe stated he would record an additional set of minutes.

STATUS OF CALIFORNIA 760 NPA

Joe stated that as of May 16, 2007, there are still 726 codes assigned, 18 Unassignable codes and 44 codes available for assignment in the lottery plus 12 codes set aside for the Pooling Administration for a total of 56 codes remaining for assignment in this NPA. Joe informed the participants that the current April 2007 NRUF forecast projects the 760 to exhaust in 3Q2009 (he mentioned this forecast did not change from the October 2006 NRUF forecast).

REVIEW OF THE APRIL 18 MEETING MINUTES

The minutes were reviewed and approved with a minor change.

STATEMENTS FOR THE RECORD:

There were additional service providers that provided statements for the record:

1. Statement from George Guerra of AT&T

“When a Split is implemented as the form of Area Code Relief additional technical issues need to be recognized since the implementation of Local Number Portability. Industry experience demonstrates that it is difficult to comply with LNP requirements in an area where an area code split occurs. A split also imposes a technical challenge to carriers who are also required to

comply with LNP requirements. The Number Portability Administration Center (“NPAC”) houses all of the ported and pooled numbers. During the night of initiating permissive dialing, the NPAC personnel must act to update the database to include both the old and new NPA. Port request can fail or create a backlog if the carriers’ operational support systems are not in sync with the NPAC. In addition, work also is required to the carriers; Local NPAC databases (LSMS) and network to include both the old and new NPA and the NXX’s associated with each. If this is not accomplished successfully, calls will be misrouted or denied.”

Verizon and Verizon Wireless concurred with the AT&T statement.

2. Statement from Esther Northrup of Cox

“Given the public’s input provided at the 760 area code relief meetings in Cox’s service territory, it appears that consumers would prefer a split to an overlay. Cox is supportive of its customers’ needs. Therefore, Cox supports an area code split that retains the 760 area code on the San Diego County side of the split line.”

Citizens /Frontier concurred with the Cox Statement

REVIEW OF DRAFT PETITION

The industry members reviewed and approved the draft petition (application) with a minor change to a footnote.

REVIEW OF TODAY’S DRAFT MEETING MINUTES

Joe stated he would have today’s draft minutes on NNS in the next week – no later than May 23, 2007, the industry agreed that no additional meeting would be required to review and approve the minutes, but rather any corrections may be sent to Joe no later than June 1, 2007 after which they would become final. It was agreed the industry will review the draft petition one more time before being filed with the CPUC. The draft petition will be posted to NNS prior to a meeting that was scheduled for June 7, 2007 at 10 am (PT).

There were no further discussion items and the meeting was adjourned.

#

**760 NPA – CALIFORNIA
Follow-up Relief Planning Meeting
VIA CONFERENCE CALL
May 16, 2007 - PARTICIPANTS**

Attachment 1

NAME	COMPANY
Alexandra Hanson	o1 Communication
Marcy Baxter	AT&T
George Guerra	AT&T
Micki Burton	AT&T
Jerome Candelaria	CCTA
Elissa McOmber	Citizens / Frontier
Rob Powell	Citizens / Frontier
Esther Northrup	Cox California Telcom
Katherine Morehouse	CPUC
Helen Mickiewicz	CPUC
Mike Evans	CPUC
Sue Lamb	Fones 4 All
Joe Cocke	NANPA Relief Planning
Wayne Milby	NANPA Relief Planning
Kevin Gatchell	Pooling Administration
Cecilia Louie	Pooling Implementation
Craig Winters	Sprint Nextel
Shiva Khazaei	Sprint Nextel
Maureen Matthews	Telscape Comm.
Tom Pease	Time Warner Telecom
Peter Casciato	Time Warner Cable Info Svcs
Yun Lee	Verizon
Lorraine Kocen	Verizon
Joanne Edelman	Verizon Wireless