

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298**FILED**12-14-09  
02:19 PM

December 14, 2009

**Agenda ID #9089**  
**Ratesetting**

TO PARTIES OF RECORD IN APPLICATION 09-06-006

This is the proposed decision of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Karl J. Bemederfer. It will not appear on the Commission's agenda sooner than 30 days from the date it is mailed. The Commission may act then, or it may postpone action until later.

When the Commission acts on the proposed decision, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend or modify it, or set it aside and prepare its own decision. Only when the Commission acts does the decision become binding on the parties.

Parties to the proceeding may file comments on the proposed decision as provided in Article 14 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules), accessible on the Commission's website at [www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov). Pursuant to Rule 14.3, opening comments shall not exceed 15 pages.

Comments must be filed either electronically pursuant to Resolution ALJ-188 or with the Commission's Docket Office. Comments should be served on parties to this proceeding in accordance with Rules 1.9 and 1.10. Electronic and hard copies of comments should be sent to ALJ Bemederfer at [kjb@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:kjb@cpuc.ca.gov) and the assigned Commissioner. The current service list for this proceeding is available on the Commission's website at [www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov).

/s/ KAREN V. CLOPTONKaren V. Clopton, Chief  
Administrative Law Judge

KVC:avs

Attachment

Decision PROPOSED DECISION OF ALJ BEMESDERFER (Mailed 12/14/2009)**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Application of Sprint Communications Company L.P. (U5112C), for Commission Approval of an Amendment Extending its Existing Interconnection Agreement for Three Years with the Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California pursuant to the Merger Commitment Voluntarily Created and Accepted by AT&T, Inc. (AT&T), as a Condition of Securing Federal Communications Commission Approval of AT&T's Merger with BellSouth Corporation.

Application 09-06-006  
(Filed June 8, 2009)

**DECISION GRANTING APPLICANT'S  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION**

This case arises out of the 2006 merger between Pacific Bell Telephone Company dba AT&T California (AT&T) and BellSouth Corporation. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) imposed certain conditions on that merger including the condition whose meaning is disputed in this proceeding, Merger Commitment 7.4:

The AT&T/BellSouth ILEC shall permit a requesting telecommunications carrier to extend its current interconnection agreement, regardless of when its initial term

expired, for a period of up to three years, subject to amendment to reflect prior and future changes of law.<sup>1</sup>

Although this language plainly grants an interconnecting CLEC an unqualified right to extend an expired interconnection agreement (ICA) for an additional three-year term, AT&T argues that the language should be construed to mean an additional three year term *beyond the original expiration date*. In this case, the original expiration date of the Sprint-AT&T ICA occurred in 2002. Accepting the AT&T interpretation of Merger Commitment 7.4 would mean that Sprint could not extend the term any further.

However, nothing in the FCC's BellSouth order supports AT&T's proposed interpretation. Indeed, the plain language of Merger Commitment 7.4 negates that interpretation. Since it would have been a simple matter for the limiting language that AT&T asks us to imply in the document to have been explicitly set forth therein, and since the language of the Merger Commitment was the product of negotiation between AT&T and the FCC, we conclude that the FCC deliberately omitted such limiting language.

The state utility commissions in Connecticut, Kentucky, Missouri and Nevada have previously considered the meaning of Merger Commitment 7.4 as applied to expired ICAs between local AT&T affiliates and Sprint. All four commissions have concluded that Sprint is entitled to extend its expired ICAs for an additional three years. The reasoning of the recent Connecticut decision is typical. After noting that Sprint and its local AT&T affiliates had been exchanging traffic in accordance with the terms of their expired ICA and that the

---

<sup>1</sup> *In the Matter of AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation Application for Transfer of Control*, W.C. Docket No. 06-74, Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 06-189 at ¶ 227.

FCC order imposed the Merger Commitments for a 42-month period ending June 29, 2010, the Connecticut commissioners concluded that:

...Merger Commitment 7.4 permits the 'current' agreement to be extended for a period of up to three years, 'regardless of whether its initial term has expired...' In the instant proceeding, Sprint has requested to extend its existing ICA with the Telco for an additional three year term by its March 30, 2009 letter to AT&T. Since this request has been made within the 42-month period established within the Merger Conditions, the Department finds that the Sprint/Telco ICA should be extended.<sup>2</sup>

The instant case is on all fours with the Connecticut case. In both, the parties have been exchanging traffic pursuant to the terms of an expired ICA. In both, Sprint has sought a three-year extension within the 42-month time frame established in the BellSouth Merger Conditions. And in neither has AT&T been able to demonstrate that the FCC intended any other result than that reached by the Commissions in Connecticut and three other states. Accordingly, AT&T will be directed to extend its ICA with Sprint for an additional three years from the effective date of this decision.

### **Comments on Proposed Decision**

The proposed decision of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Karl J. Bemederfer in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with § 311 of the Public Utilities Code and comments are allowed pursuant to Rule 14.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Opening comments were filed on \_\_\_\_\_, and reply comments were filed on \_\_\_\_\_.

---

<sup>2</sup> State of Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 07-12-19REO1 Decision September 16, 2009.

**Assignment of Proceeding**

Michael R. Peevey is the assigned Commissioner and Karl J. Bemesderfer is the assigned ALJ in this proceeding.

**Findings of Fact**

1. The AT&T/BellSouth Merger Commitments are in effect until June 29, 2010.
2. Merger Commitment 7.4 permits requesting telecommunications carriers to extend their current interconnection agreements, regardless of whether the initial term has expired, for a period of up to three years.
3. Sprint and AT&T have been exchanging traffic on the terms of their expired interconnection agreement from the time of its expiration to date.

**Conclusions of Law**

1. The expired interconnection agreement is the current interconnection agreement for purposes of applying the BellSouth Merger Commitments.
2. Sprint has made a timely request to extend the current interconnection agreement for three years.
3. The Sprint/AT&T interconnection agreement should be extended for three additional years from the effective date of this decision.

**O R D E R**

**IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. Within 15 days of the effective date of this decision, Pacific Bell Telephone Company dba AT&T California shall prepare, execute, and deliver to Sprint Communications Company, L.P. a revised interconnection agreement identical to the current interconnection agreement with an expiration date of three years from the effective date of this decision.

2. Application 09-06-006 is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_, at San Francisco, California.

**INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE**

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a Notice of Availability of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the Notice of Availability of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated December 14, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ANTONINA V. SWANSEN  
Antonina V. Swansen

**N O T I C E**

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to ensure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

\*\*\*\*\*

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074 or TDD# (415) 703-2032 five working days in advance of the event.

A.09-06-006 ALJ/KJB/avs

\*\*\*\*\* PARTIES \*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\* SERVICE LIST \*\*\*\*\*

**Last Updated on 14-DEC-2009 by: RC4  
A0906006 LIST**

Stephanie Holland  
General Attorney  
AT&T CALIFORNIA  
525 MARKET STREET, ROOM 2026  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 778-1465  
stephanie.holland@att.com  
For: AT&T California

---

Earl Nicholas Selby  
Attorney At Law  
LAW OFFICES OF EARL NICHOLAS SELBY  
530 LYTON AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR  
PALO ALTO CA 94301-1705  
(650) 323-0990  
ens@loens.com  
For: Sprint Communications Company, L.P.

---

Stephanie Chen  
Legal Associate  
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE  
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR  
BERKELEY CA 94704  
(510) 898-0506  
stephaniec@greenlining.org  
For: The Greenlining Institute

---

\*\*\*\*\* STATE EMPLOYEE \*\*\*\*\*

Karl Bemesderfer  
Administrative Law Judge Division  
RM. 5006  
505 VAN NESS AVE  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-1199  
kjb@cpuc.ca.gov

\*\*\*\*\* INFORMATION ONLY \*\*\*\*\*

Michele G. Parker  
AT&T CALIFORNIA  
2600 CAMINO RAMON RM 2W700G  
SAN RAMON CA 94583-5000  
(925) 823-7046  
mp1321@att.com

Michelle Choo  
AT&T CALIFORNIA  
525 MARKET STREET, 20TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 778-1489  
michelle.choo@att.com

Thomas Selhorst  
Senior Paralegal  
AT&T CALIFORNIA  
525 MARKET STREET, 20TH FLR, RM 2023  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 778-1482  
thomas.selhorst@att.com

Jeffrey M. Pfaff  
SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION  
6450 SPRINT PARKWAY, DISNEY A  
OVERLAND PARK KS 66251-6100  
(913) 315-9294  
Jeff.M.Pfaff@sprint.com

Margaret Tobias  
Attorney At Law  
TOBIAS LAW OFFICE  
460 PENNSYLVANIA AVE  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94107  
(415) 641-7833  
marg@tobiaslo.com