
Closed to the Public

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

***Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting Agenda No. 44
Thursday, April 29, 2004, 9:30 a.m.
San Francisco, California***

**Commissioners
Michael R. Peevey, President
Geoffrey F. Brown
Susan P. Kennedy
Loretta M. Lynch
Carl W. Wood**

This Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting will commence at the above date and time and may be continued from day to day up to the convening of the May 6, 2004, Business Meeting.

Website: <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov>

Ratesetting Deliberative Meetings
9:30 a.m.
Room 5305
505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco

For further information contact the Public Advisor
Phone: (415) 703-2074 E-mail: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

AGENDA

(Item numbers correspond to the May 6, 2004 Business Meeting Agenda numbers.)

NOTE: Ex Parte Communications are prohibited on Agenda Items 3268 and 3269 from the day of the Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting through the conclusion of the Business Meeting at which a vote on the Proposed Decisions is scheduled. (Rule 7(c)(4)).

3268 A02-05-004 – Southern California Edison Company (SCE).
I02-06-002 – Related matters. SCE’s test year revenue requirement is established, a late payment charge and adjustments to other service charges are approved, a post-test year ratemaking mechanism is approved, 1997-98 capital additions are approved, and proposals for performance incentives are denied.
(Comr Wood - ALJ Wetzell)
Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.
Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.
Agenda 3130, Item 41 3/16/2004 (Wood);
Agenda 3131, Item 29 4/1/2004 (Kennedy);
Agenda 3132, Item 37 4/22/2004.

3269 ALTERNATE ORDER TO ITEM NO. 3268
This alternate differs from the Proposed Decision in 3 ways, none of which affect the revenue requirement:
1.It modifies Section 5.5 Service Guarantees to adopt ORA’s proposed 8-part service standard.
2.It modifies Section 6.2.7 Employee Compensation Issues to point out weaknesses in the current Total Compensation Study methodology, order SCE to develop a new study for the next GRC, and adopt Greenlining’s proposal to require SCE to annually report to the Commission on total compensation to its ten most highly-compensated employees.
3.It modifies Section 13.2 The Case for Performance Incentives. CCUE had proposed benchmarks and incentives. SCE and CCUE then reached a settlement adopting modified benchmarks and incentives. The PD rejects both, stating that incentives are inconsistent with Cost of Service ratemaking. The alternate adopts CCUE’s proposal.
There are changed Findings, Conclusions and Ordering Paragraphs to match these text changes. In all other respects, the drafts are the same.
(Comr Wood)
Agenda 3130, Item 41a 3/16/2004 (Wood);
Agenda 3131, Item 29a 4/1/2004 (Kennedy);
Agenda 3132, Item 37a 4/22/2004.