

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



November 16, 2010

Draft Resolution W-4856  
Agenda ID #9993

TO: All Interested Parties

Enclosed is draft Resolution W-4856 of the Division of Water and Audits. It will be on the Commission's December 16, 2010 agenda. The Commission may act then act on this resolution or it may postpone action until later.

When the Commission acts on a draft resolution, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend, modify or set it aside and prepare a different resolution. Only when the Commission acts does the resolution become binding on the parties.

This draft resolution proposes adopting a new rate structure for Lake Alpine Water Company. The rate structure that would be adopted by this resolution differs from the proposed rate structure about which all customers were previously given individual notice. Associations representing most of the customers were notified of this revised proposal. However, several customers were not notified, through their associations, of the currently proposed rate structure. The key difference is that under the currently proposed rate structure the service charge would vary for non-residential customers based on the size of their meters. This resolution is being mailed to all customers who were not represented by an association at the time the associations considered this proposal. Any customers who wish to object to the current proposal may do so by submitting comments in accordance with the instructions contained in this letter.

Interested persons may submit comments on this draft resolution. An original and two copies of the comments, with a certificate of service, should be submitted to:

Division of Water and Audits, Third Floor  
Attention: Peter Liu  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Parties may submit comments on or before December 6, 2010. The date of submission is the date the comments are received by the Division of Water and Audits. Parties must serve a copy of their comments on the utility on the same date that the comments are submitted to the Division of Water and Audits.

Comments shall be limited to five pages in length.

Persons interested in obtaining copies of comments submitted by others may write to Peter Liu, email him at [ptl@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:ptl@cpuc.ca.gov), or telephone him at (415) 703-1390.

/s/RAMIS. KAHLON

Rami S. Kahlon, Director  
Division of Water and Audits

Enclosures: Draft Resolution W-4856  
Certificate of Service  
Service List

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DIVISION OF WATER AND AUDITS  
Water and Sewer Advisory Branch

RESOLUTION NO. W-4856  
December 16, 2010

R E S O L U T I O N

**(RES. W-4856), LAKE ALPINE WATER COMPANY  
(LAWC). ORDER AUTHORIZING A REVISION TO  
THE RATE STRUCTURE OF RES. W-4809.**

SUMMARY

By Advice Letter (AL) 92 filed on August 19, 2010, LAWAC requested a revision to the current rate structure to allow LAWAC to collect the adopted revenue requirements authorized in Res. W-4809.

This Resolution grants a revision to the rate structure which will not result in revenue requirements greater than those authorized in Res. W-4809.

BACKGROUND

LAWAC currently provides service to approximately 303 metered and 180 residential flat rate customers in a service area located about three miles west of Lake Alpine adjacent to State Highway 4, Alpine County. The 180 residential flat rate customers represent customers who live in condominiums, whose condominiums can't be metered due to the buildings' plumbing structure.

By AL 90 filed on May 3, 2010, LAWAC requested a revision to the rate structure to eliminate flat rate service. Under this proposal, the service charge would have been the same for *all* customers (i.e., both those who are currently metered and those who are currently flat rate) regardless of type of user or meter size. The same quantity *rate* would apply to all customers. Because the amount of water used by each individual condominium cannot be determined, the quantity charge for the owner of each condominium would be determined by dividing the total quantity used by that condominium homeowners association divided by the number of condominium units (and multiplying that quantity by the quantity rate).

A public meeting was held on July 15, 2010. Numerous complaints about the proposed rate structure were received and different rate structures were also suggested. LAWAC

agreed to withdraw AL 90 and to file a new AL with a proposed rate structure that is supported by the majority of customers. LAWC withdrew AL 90 on July 19, 2010.

### **NOTICE, PROTESTS, AND PUBLIC MEETING**

On June 25, 2010, a notice of the rate structure proposed in AL 90 and of an informal public meeting on July 15 was mailed to each customer. On June 25, 2010 copies of AL 90 were mailed to LAWC's GO 96-B service list.

An informal public meeting was held in Bear Valley on July 15, 2010. Numerous complaints were received and LAWC agreed to withdraw AL 90 and to file a new AL with a proposed rate structure that is supported by the majority of customers.

The new AL (AL 92) was filed on August 19, 2010, and on that same date notice of the revised rate structure proposal was mailed for comment to associations that represent the vast majority of the customers. The boards of all of these associations (representing 471 members) voted to support the revised rate structure. One email was received from a member of one of the associations supporting a different rate structure. 7 new customers were not yet members of the associations at the time their boards voted and 4 customers are commercial customers not represented by any association.

By failing to serve AL 92 on its GO 96-B service list, and failing to provide individual customer notice of the revised rate structure contained in AL 92, LAWC did not comply with the service and notice requirements of GO 96-B.<sup>1</sup> However, because all customers did receive notice of the original meeting and because associations representing the vast majority of the customers received notice of the revised rate proposal, and the boards of those associations have voted in favor of it, we will waive LAWC's non-compliance in this particular instance, but will serve a copy of the draft resolution on those customers not represented by an association at the time of the associations' votes, to allow those customers a chance to comment on the current proposal.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Regarding service of advice letters, see General Rules 4.3 and 7.2 and Water Industry Rule 4.1. Regarding individual notice to customers, see General Rule 4.2 and Water Industry Rule 3.1.

<sup>2</sup> General Rule 1.3 of General Order 96-B permits a waiver or variance from the rules under specified circumstances. More specifically, it provides, pertinent part: "The

*Footnote continued on next page*

## DISCUSSION

By AL 92 filed on August 19, 2010, LAWC proposed to convert all connections to metered service rates, eliminate the flat rate service, and adjust the Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Loan surcharge<sup>3</sup> to allow LAWC to collect the adopted revenue requirements authorized in Res. W-4809.

AL 92 eliminates the flat residential rate for condos and treats all individual condo units as a 5/8-inch connection just like the single-family homes. All other connections have a service charge based on meter size. The proposed rate structure is supported by associations representing 471 or 98% of customers. Letters and emails were received from the following associations/organizations - BV Condo Management Company, Alpine Condo Management, Creekside Condo Association 2B, Bear Valley Business Association, Alpine County CSA #1, Alpine County, and Bear Valley Residents, Inc. representing the 471 customers. LAWC has a total of 483 customers. One customer prefers a different rate structure and the remaining 11 customers were not at the time represented by an association.

The Division of Water & Audits (Division) made an independent analysis of LAWC's proposed rate structure. The Division concludes that the proposal contained in AL 92

---

General Rules and Industry Rules shall be liberally construed to secure just, speedy, and inexpensive handling of informal matters, as set forth in this General Order. The Commission in a specific instance may authorize an exception to the operation of this General Order where appropriate.

At present, under the current structure, LAWC is in fact not recovering its revenue requirement. In order to remedy this problem expeditiously, rather than requiring complete compliance with the service and notice requirements of the General Order, it is reasonable to provide individual notice, by means of the draft resolution, to those customers not then represented by the associations that did receive notice (which associations have voted in favor of the current proposal)."

<sup>3</sup> The Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Loan is repaid by a surcharge on the service charge. Accordingly, a change in the service charges requires a change in this surcharge to ensure that the proper amount is collected.

should result in collection of LAWC's authorized revenue requirement. Furthermore, and in light of the support of the proposed structure by associations representing the

vast majority of customers, the Division finds the rates attached to AL 92 are reasonable and recommends approval.

### **COMPLIANCE**

LAWC has no outstanding compliance orders.

### **COMMENTS**

Public Utilities Code Section 311(g)(1) generally requires that resolutions must be served on all parties and be subject to at least 30 days public review and comment prior to a vote of the Commission. Accordingly, on November 16, 2010, the draft resolution was mailed for comment to all persons and entities who informed the Division of their views on the rate structure proposed in AL 92 and to the 11 customers who were not represented by an association at the time the association boards voted in favor of the proposal contained in AL 92.

### **FINDINGS**

1. LAWC currently provides service to approximately 303 metered and 180 residential flat rate customers in a service area located about three miles west of Lake Alpine adjacent to State Highway 4, Alpine County.
2. By AL 90 filed on May 3, 2010, LAWC requested a revision to the rate structure to eliminate flat rate service, with the same service charge for every customer, regardless of the type of user or the size of meter. Because the amount of water used by each individual condominium cannot be determined, the quantity charge for the owner of each condominium would be determined by dividing the total quantity used by that condominium homeowners association divided by the number of condominium units (and multiplying that quantity by the quantity rate).
3. On June 25, 2010, a notice of the proposed rate structure was mailed to each customer and the advice letter was served on LAWC's GO 96-B service list.
4. A public meeting was held on July 15, 2010 and per customers' request, LAWC agreed to withdraw AL 90 and to file a new AL with a proposed rate structure that is supported by the majority of customers.
5. LAWC withdrew AL 90 on July 19, 2010.

6. By AL 92 filed on August 19, 2010, LAWC proposed to convert all connections to metered service rates, eliminate the flat rate service, and adjust the Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Loan surcharge to allow LAWC to collect the adopted revenue requirements that authorized in Resolution W-4809.
7. AL 92 eliminates the flat residential rate for condominiums and treats each individual condominium unit as a 5/8-inch connection, just like a single-family home, for setting the service charge. All other connections have a service charge based on meter size. The method for determining the quantity attributable to each condominium remains unchanged from AL 90.
8. LAWC did not properly serve AL 92, nor did it provide individual notice to each customer of the proposal in AL 92, as required by General Order 96-B. LAWC did notify associations representing the vast majority of the customers of the proposal contained in AL 92.
9. Letters and emails were received from the following associations/organizations - BV Condo Management Company, Alpine Condo Management, Creekside Condo Association 2B, Bear Valley Business Association, Alpine County CSA #1, Alpine County, and Bear Valley Residents, Inc. representing 471 customers or 98% of the customers. These associations all supported the proposal contained in AL 92.
10. One email was received supporting a different rate structure, instead.
11. General Rule 1.3 of General Order 96-B permits a waiver of rules contained in the General Order, and notes the goal of securing just, speedy, and inexpensive handling of informal matters.
12. At present, under the current structure, LAWC is in fact not recovering its revenue requirement.
13. In order to remedy this problem expeditiously, it is reasonable in this particular situation for the Commission to waive the service and notice requirements applicable to AL 92 that LAWC did not comply with, and instead provide individual notice, by means of the draft resolution, to those customers who were not represented by an association at the time the associations voted in favor of the AL 92's rate structure, to allow those customers a chance to comment on the proposal contained in AL 92.
14. Division of Water & Audits concludes that the proposal contained in AL 92 should result in collection of LAWC's authorized revenue requirement.
15. The rates attached to AL 92 are reasonable and should be adopted.

**THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

1. The rate schedules Nos. 1A, Annual Metered Service, and 1B, Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Loan General Metered Service, attached to Advice Letter 92 are adopted.
2. Lake Alpine Water Company is authorized to file a supplemental advice letter incorporating the adopted rate schedules and concurrently cancels its presently effective Schedule Nos. 1A, Annual Metered Service; 1B, Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Loan General Metered Service; 2AR, Annual Residential Flat Rate Service; and 3AR, Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Loan Residential Flat Rate Service. The effective date of the revised rate schedules shall be five days after the date of filing.
3. This resolution is effective today.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on December 16, 2010; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

---

PAUL CLANON  
Executive Director

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of Draft Resolution No. W-4856 on all persons shown on the attached list.

Dated November 16, 2010, at San Francisco, California.

## NOTICE

Parties should notify the Division of Water and Audits, Third Floor, California Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to ensure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the Resolution number on which your name appears.

SERVICE LIST  
DRAFT RESOLUTION W-4856

Eric Jung  
PO Box 5250  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Joel Barnett  
PO Box 5250  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

David Johnston  
PO Box 5242  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Michelle Collay  
1115 Glendora Avenue  
OAKLAND CA 94602

Jack Shuck  
6368 Melville Drive  
OAKLAND CA 94611

CSA, Alpine  
PO Box 5383  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

County of Alpine  
PO Box 387  
MARKLEEVILLE CA 96120

Bear Valley Cross Country  
PO Box 5120  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Bear Valley School  
43 Hawkside Drive  
MARKLEEVILLE CA 96120

William Bort  
48310 Paso Tiempo Lane  
LA QUINTA CA 92253

William Bowie  
PO Box 5337  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Scott Brodek  
PO Box 5224  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Michel Budowski  
2001 Drake Drive  
OAKLAND CA 94611

Bonnie Burdett  
1080 Autumn Lane  
LOS ALTOS CA 94024

Robert Burns  
1667 Springer Road  
MOUNTAIN VIEW CA 94040

BV Alpine Ski Company  
PO Box 5038  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Joseph Rihn  
6678 Harrisburg Place  
STOCKTON CA 95207

Gustav Carlson  
381 Valley Vista Drive  
TRACY CA 95376

Gary & Geri Carson-Hull  
PO Box 5026  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

James & Roberta Clarke  
14122 Seven Acres Lane  
LOS ALTOS HILLS CA 94022

Community Center  
50 Diamond Valley Road  
MARKLEEVILLE CA 96120

Jonathan Cross  
19984 Wellington Court  
SARATOGA CA 95070

Michael Denicola  
PO Box 5088  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Edward Diekmann  
14901 N. Pennsylvania Ave., #10B  
OKLAHOMA CITY OK 73134

Edna Downie  
1105 Elmsford Drive  
CUPERTINO CA 95014

John Dralla  
242 Verano Drive  
LOS ALTOS HILLS CA 94022

John Ennals  
10481 Florence Drive  
CUPERTINO CA 95014

Ken Enzi  
PO Box 5102  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Fire & Sheriff  
50 Diamond Valley Road  
MARKLEEVILLE CA 96120

Michael Goddard  
278 - 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94121

Herbert Goodman  
1300 Cabrillo Street  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94118

John Goodrich  
13625 Hill Way  
LOS ALTOS HILLS CA 94022

Jeff Gouveia  
PO Box 5407  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Beverly Hess  
838 Virginia Avenue  
LODI CA 95242

Johan Hultin  
850 Powell St., #703  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94108

John Hymes  
4524 Winding River Circle  
STOCKTON CA 95219

James & Christine Jenkins  
601 Swain Avenue  
SEBASTOPOL CA 95472

Daniella Karo  
6578 Stanton Court  
PLEASANTON CA 94566

Stephen Kaylor  
1153 Cherry Avenue  
SAN JOSE CA 95125

Brandon and Trisha Kett  
39 Cutter Drive  
WATSONVILLE CA 95076

Dick Klingenberg  
PO Box 5268  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

John Korn  
PO Box 5147  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Jim & Dory Kranz  
2020 Broderick Street  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94115

Robert Kuechler  
1516 Cherry Valley Drive  
SAN JOSE CA 95125-4429

Steven & Montana Lamarre  
2661 Barbara Way  
TURLOCK CA 95380

Richard LeBlanc  
1972 Desert Circle 4  
WALNUT CREEK CA 94598

Richard Lyon  
3641 S. Merrimac Circle  
STOCKTON CA 95219

Lance Machovsky  
PO Box 5183  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Shelley Matthews  
9138 River Look Lane  
FAIR OAKS CA 95628

Ronald Murphy  
PO Box 5408  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Jearl Nelson  
PO Box 5133  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Jan Parelius  
367 Pimlico Drive  
WALNUT CREEK CA 94597

Greg & Jolee Peterson  
PO Box 5264  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Dave & Ann Radford  
PO Box 502  
ALTAVILLE CA 95221

Donald Ruch  
17688 Rolling Oaks Drive  
JAMESTOWN CA 95327-9222

Gary & Pamela Rudy  
5814 Pilar Court  
SAN JOSE CA 95120

Eric Seamon  
6470 Upper Lake Circle  
WESTERVILLE OH 43082

Morley Singer  
177 Belgrave Avenue  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94117

David Smith  
PO Box 475  
GRATON CA 95444

Kathy Snyder  
PO Box 5058  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Gerald Solberg  
4735 St. Andrews Drive  
STOCKTON CA 95219

Tom Stillman  
11141 E. Childs Avenue  
LA GRAND CA 95333

William & Cindy Strickland  
19800 Skyline Boulevard  
LOS GATOS CA 95033

Sundowner Condo  
PO Box 5010  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Charles Tyler  
831 Cathedral Drive  
SUNNYVALE CA 94087

Irene Wagner  
2230 Homestead Road  
LOS ALTOS CA 94024

Martin & Jill Wegenstein  
228 Lexington Drive  
MENLO PARK CA 94025

John & Kathleen Whiting  
1459 N. San Pablo Avenue  
FRESNO CA 93728

Terry Woodrow  
PO Box 5194  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

ATT  
BVLYCA 11 UE 197  
PO Box 182552  
COLUMBUS OH 43218-2552

PG&E Substation #302034  
77 Beale Street Rm 2B19  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

Bear Valley Homeowners Assn.  
PO Box 5208  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

Alpine County Unified School District  
Bear Valley School  
43 Hawkside Drive  
MARKLEEVILLE CA 96120

Steve Harrick  
390 Cotton Street  
MENLO PARK CA 94025

John and Amy Boyle- Unit 302  
541 Fanita Way  
MENLO PARK CA 94025

Julie McDonnell - 303  
3584 Milbridge Court  
DUBLIN CA 94568

Ivonne Felix - 307  
15333 Kenney Road  
LOS GATOS CA 95032

Cassidy O'Hara-308  
1123 Cherry Avenue  
SAN JOSE CA 95125

KBK Properties - 311  
44 Palm Court  
MENLO PARK CA 94025

Robert Scheffel  
Creekside Condo, #82  
BEAR VALLEY CA 95223

C. Bruce Orvis, President  
Lake Alpine Water Co.  
Star Route Box 370  
FARMINGTON CA 95230