

DRAFT

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ENERGY DIVISION

I.D. # 10288

RESOLUTION E-4399

May 5, 2011

R E S O L U T I O N

Resolution E-4399-E. Southern California Edison (SCE)

PROPOSED OUTCOME: This Resolution approves SCE's proposal to include a limited range of energy-efficient Light Emitting Diode technologies and lamp sizes in its Schedule LS-1, Street and Highway - Company-Owned Systems.

ESTIMATED COST: None.

By Advice Letter 2555-E Filed on February 18, 2011.

SUMMARY

SCE proposed to modify Schedule LS-1, Lighting - Street and Highway - Company-Owned System, to include a limited range of energy-efficient Light Emitting Diode (LED) technologies and lamp sizes. Since this is a new service, Commission approval is required. This Resolution approves SCE's proposal.

BACKGROUND

Current Tariff

SCE's current Schedule LS-1, offers various lighting technologies for Company-Owned Street and Highway Lighting Installations. However LED technologies are not offered under current LS-1, unlike under Schedule LS-2, Lighting - Street and Highway - Customer -Owned Installation - Unmetered Service.

NOTICE

Notice of AL 2555-E was made by publication in the Commission's Daily Calendar. SCE states that a copy of the Advice Letter was mailed and distributed in accordance with Section 4 of General Order 96-B.

PROTESTS

AL 2555-E was not protested.

DISCUSSION

Energy Division has reviewed AL 2555-E.

Proposed Tariff Changes

SCE proposes to include LED technologies as an option for customers requesting Company-owned street and highway lighting installations.

Staff analysis

Street light tariffs are offered to customers who own the lighting facilities or to customers who contract with the utility to provide the facilities and maintenance. In both cases the utility is providing the delivery service and energy.

Earlier AL 2360-E SCE was approved to add service to customer-owned LED street lighting under Schedule LS-2. The current proposal offers LED street lighting service for SCE owned street lighting facilities as a logical follow up.

G.O. 96-B, Energy Industry Rule 5.3(3) requires this AL to be submitted as Tier 3 (Effective after Commission Approval) because it is a new service (provision of the facilities),

The energy charges (\$/kWh) for the new LED street lighting facilities offered by SCE are identical to the charges for the currently offered lamp types. The facility charges are based on SCE's cost of the LED facilities calculated as for previously approved lamp type charges. These charges are however capped at the rates agreed upon in the 2009 GRC, Phase 2 and reflected in SCE's proposal.

SCE presents the LED as an option to its customers along with the existing lighting technologies.

Considering the energy savings and similar cost of the new energy efficient LED lighting offered compared to the existing options, AL 2555-E should be approved.

COMMENTS

Public Utilities Code section 311(g) (1) provides that this resolution must be served on all parties and subject to at least 30 days public review and comment prior to a vote of the Commission. Section 311(g) (2) provides that this 30-day period may be reduced or waived upon the stipulation of all parties in the proceeding.

The 30-day comment period for the draft of this resolution was neither waived nor reduced. Accordingly, the draft resolution was mailed to parties for comments on April 1, 2011.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

1. SCE current tariff LS-2 provides for customer-owned LED street-lighting, but not for company owned under tariff LS-1.
2. SCE proposes to add new LED lighting option to its company-owned street-lighting tariff LS-1.
3. The energy charges per kWh/Lamp/Month would remain the same as for the existing lamp type options.
4. The facility charges for the new LED lamp facilities are based on SCE's cost.
5. Commission approval is required for this new service per G.O. 96-B, Energy Industry Rule 5.3(3).

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The addition of LED street-light lamps to Schedule LS-1 is approved as proposed in SCE's AL 2555-E.

This Resolution is effective today.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on May 5, 2011; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

Paul Clanon
Executive Director

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



April 1, 2011

ID# 10288

Draft Resolution E-4399

May 5 Commission Meeting

TO: PARTIES TO SCE's ADVICE LETTER 2555-E.

Enclosed is draft Resolution E-4399 of the Energy Division addressing Southern California Edison Company's Advice Letter (AL) 2555-E. It will be on the agenda at the May 5 Commission meeting. The Commission may then vote on this Resolution or it may postpone a vote until later.

When the Commission votes on a draft Resolution, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend, modify or set it aside and prepare a different Resolution. Only when the Commission acts does the Resolution become binding on the parties.

Parties may submit comments on the draft Resolution no later than Wednesday, April 20, 2011.

An original and two copies of the comments, with a certificate of service, should be submitted to:

Honesto Gatchalian/Maria Salinas
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
inj@cpuc.ca.gov; mas@cpuc.ca.gov

A copy of the comments should be submitted to:

Werner Blumer
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 415-703-2200
Email: wmb@cpuc.ca.gov

Those submitting comments must serve a copy of their comments on 1) the entire service list attached to the draft Resolution, 2) all Commissioners, and 3) the Director of the Energy Division, on the same date that the comments are submitted to the Energy Division.

Comments may be submitted electronically.

Comments shall be limited to five pages in length plus a subject index listing the recommended changes to the draft Resolution, a table of authorities and an appendix setting forth the proposed findings and ordering paragraphs.

Comments shall focus on factual, legal or technical errors in the proposed draft Resolution. Comments that merely reargue positions taken in the advice letter or protests will be accorded no weight and are not to be submitted.

Replies to comments on the draft resolution may be filed (i.e., received by the Energy Division) on April 26, 2009, 6 days after comments are filed, and shall be limited to identifying misrepresentations of law or fact in the comments of other parties. Replies shall not exceed five pages in length, and shall be filed and served as set forth above for comments.

Late submitted comments or replies will not be considered.

/s/ Julie Fitch
Julie Fitch
Director
Energy Division

1.1 *Enclosure: Certificate of Service*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of
Draft Resolution E-4399 on all parties in these filings or their attorneys.

Dated April 1, 2011 at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Honesto Gatchalian

Honesto Gatchalian

NOTICE

Parties should notify the Energy Division, Public Utilities
Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 4002
San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to
ensure that they continue to receive documents. You
must indicate the Resolution number on the service list
on which your name appears.