

Decision 00-09-004 September 7, 2000

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) for Approval of Program Year 2000 and 2001 Energy Efficiency Program Plans, Budgets, and Performance Award Mechanism.	Application 99-09-049 (Filed September 27, 1999)
Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of Program Years 2000 and 2001 Energy Efficiency Programs (U 39 M).	Application 99-09-050 (Filed September 27, 1999)
Compliance Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902-M) for Approval of 2000 and 2001 Energy Efficiency Programs, Budgets, Performance Incentive Structure.	Application 99-09-057 (Filed September 27, 1999)
Compliance Application of Southern California Gas Company (U 904-G) for Approval of 2000 and 2001 Energy Efficiency Programs, Budgets, Performance Incentive Mechanism.	Application 99-09-058 (Filed September 27, 1999)

**ORDER ADOPTING CORRECTIONS TO DECISION 00-07-017**

It has come to our attention that Decision (D.) 00-07-017, adopted on July 6, 2000, contains certain inadvertent errors. We adopt the following corrections:

1. On pages 196-197, we delete subsection B entitled "Redirection of Funds," which conflicts with the following section XIII, entitled "Summer Energy Efficiency Initiative."

2. On page 272, Ordering Paragraph 86 is modified to delete the phrase “funds redirected from CBEE support.” This correction is made to clarify, as we do in the body of the decision, that the funds allocated for CBEE support are not to be used for the Summer Energy Efficiency Initiative.

### **Comments on Draft Decision**

The draft decision was mailed to the parties in accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 311(g) and Rule 77.7 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure. Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed comments on July 31, 2000. No reply comments were filed. PG&E agrees with modification 1 and disagrees with modifications 2 and 3 because it believes that the CBEE funds should be used for other purposes. With respect to modification 3, PG&E also points out that revised budgets should be filed incorporating all budget changes and not simply changes to the MA&E budgets.

PG&E’s objection to modification 2 reflects a substantive disagreement with the Decision and is contrary to the language and intent of the Decision. Modification 2 is adopted merely to correct an inadvertent error in the Decision, that is, to conform Ordering Paragraph 86 to the adopted language in the body of the Decision. PG&E’s comments are thus rejected. PGE’s comments on modification 3 regarding proposed modification of Ordering Paragraph 87 is reasonable and is adopted. Modification 3 is deleted from the Decision.

### **Finding of Fact**

Certain inadvertent errors exist in D.00-07-017 that must be corrected.

### **Conclusions of Law**

1. It is reasonable to adopt the corrections delineated herein to clarify D.00-07-017.
2. This order should be effective today to allow these corrections to be made expeditiously.

**ORDER**

**IT IS ORDERED** that Decision 00-07-017 shall be modified as follows:

1. Subsection B entitled "Redirection of Funds," on pages 196-197, is deleted.
2. Ordering Paragraph 86, on page 272, is modified to delete the phrase "funds redirected from CBEE support."

This order is effective today.

Dated September 7, 2000, at San Francisco, California.

LORETTA M. LYNCH  
President  
HENRY M. DUQUE  
JOSIAH L. NEEPER  
RICHARD A. BILAS  
CARL W. WOOD  
Commissioners