

Decision 10-04-024 April 8, 2010

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company for Review of Its Proactive De-Energization Measures and Approval of Proposed Tariff Revisions. (U902E)

Application 08-12-021
(Filed December 22, 2008)

**DECISION AWARDING INTERVENOR COMPENSATION TO DISABILITY RIGHTS
ADVOCATES FOR SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO
DECISION 09-09-030**

Claimant: Disability Rights Advocates	For contribution to D.09-09-030
Claimed (\$): \$158,020.81	Awarded (\$): \$127,302.31 (reduced 19%)
Assigned Commissioner: Timothy Alan Simon	Assigned ALJ: Timothy Kenney

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES

A. Brief Description of Decision: The decision denies San Diego Gas & Electric Company's (SDG&E) application to shut off power to certain areas when hazardous fire conditions are present.

B. Claimant must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Public Utilities Code §§ 1801-1812:

Claimant	CPUC Verified
Timely filing of notice of intent to claim compensation (§ 1804(a)):	
1. Date of Prehearing Conference:	02/10/09 Yes
2. Other Specified Date for Notice of Intent (NOI):	
3. Date NOI was filed:	03/12/09 Yes
4. Was the notice of intent timely filed?	Yes
Showing of customer or customer-related status (§ 1802(b)):	
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.08-12-021 Yes
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	03/30/09 Yes
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	

8. Has the claimant demonstrated customer or customer-related status?		Yes
Showing of “significant financial hardship” (§ 1802(g)):		
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.08-12-021	Yes
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	03/30/09	Yes
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		
12. Has the claimant demonstrated significant financial hardship?		Yes
Timely request for compensation (§ 1804(c)):		
13. Identify Final Decision	D.09-09-030	Yes
14. Date of Issuance of Final Decision:	09/18/09	Yes
15. File date of compensation request:	11/17/09	Yes
16. Was the request for compensation timely?		Yes

C. Additional Comments on Part I:

#	Claimant	CPUC	Comment
5	DisabRA		Since the NOI was filed and the ruling granting customer-related status to Disability Rights Advocates (DisabRA) was issued, DisabRA has amended its bylaws to explicitly conform to the statute that serves as the basis for customer eligibility. <i>See</i> Pub. Util. Code § 1802(b)(1)(C). The amended bylaws are attached hereto as Attachment 2 for the Commission’s information. Eligibility has previously been based on the provision of DisabRA’s Articles of Incorporation stating: “The specific purposes for which this corporation is organized are to provide education and charitable assistance to the general public by organizing a public interest litigation, advocacy and research and development center to protect the rights of people with disabilities.” While DisabRA believes that this continues to be an adequate basis for eligibility, the additional language of our bylaws is explicit, with Article I stating, in part, that the organization’s mission includes “representation of the interests of disabled residential customers, and small commercial customers who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation and other disabled customers of utilities.”

PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. Claimant’s description of its claimed contribution to the final decision (see § 1802(i), § 1803(a) & D.98-04-059)

Contribution	Citation to Decision or Record	Showing Accepted by CPUC
<p>1. Disability Rights Advocates (“DisabRA”) expressed concerns throughout this proceeding about the unique risks of prolonged power shutoffs to customers with disabilities, especially given that those shutoffs were proposed to be initiated during periods of high fire danger. Some of the risks to disabled customers cited by DisabRA and mentioned in the Final Decision were being disconnected from respirators, power wheelchairs, and other medical or mobility equipment; being cut off from assistive telecommunications devices during potential emergencies when people with disabilities are particularly likely to need to call for help; and being unable to refrigerate medications or control the temperature of the environment in order to maintain health.</p>	<p>Opening Comments of DisabRA dated March 27, 2009 (“DisabRA Opening Comments”), pp. 12-14; Comments of DisabRA on the Proposed Decision of Commissioner Simon dated August 31, 2009, p. 12; Final Decision, pp. 34-35; 37-38; 39; 40; 52, fn. 51; 57; and 60.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>2. DisabRA pointed out problems with the measures that San Diego Gas and Electric Company (“SDG&E”) proposed to implement to mitigate the effects of its shut-off plan. Specifically regarding problems for customers with disabilities, DisabRA noted that evacuating medically fragile people from their homes and sending them to hospitals because of an extended power shut-off could compromise their health, that hospital care provided under these circumstances might not be considered “medically necessary” and thus might not be reimbursed by insurance providers, and that SDG&E had not ensured that the customer care centers it planned to establish with the Red Cross</p>	<p>DisabRA Opening Comments, pp. 14-22; Final Decision, p. 55 and p. 71, Ordering Paragraph 3(ii).</p>	<p>Yes</p>

<p>would be accessible to customers with disabilities. DisabRA also questions the plea for financial support for low income and disabled consumers including the amount and distribution process. The issue of mitigation became a focal point for much of the proceeding, including the proposed decision of Assigned Commissioner Simon. DisabRA's focus on the need for mitigation in order for any shut-off plan to be acceptable was the subject of much and discussion by the parties; DisabRA believes it had more impact on the course of the proceeding than reflected simply in the citations to the record.</p>		
<p>3. DisabRA served SDG&E with a set of Informal Data Requests on March 16, 2009, which SDG&E answered on March 24. DisabRA was the only party to propound data requests upon SDG&E. The answers to these data requests became part of the record and one answer, regarding the percentage of California wildfires ignited by power lines, was cited in the comments of other parties and in the Final Decision. Again, these data requests and the information provided in response were widely relied upon and had more impact on the course of the proceeding than reflected in the citations to the record. For example, it was in response to DisabRA's data requests that SDG&E admitted that it had not examined the overall impacts of its proposal on fire risk in the community. This fact was widely used by all parties, without attribution, notwithstanding its origins.</p>	<p>Joint Reply of School Districts and Water Districts to SDG&E's Updated Informational Filing, dated April 17, 2009, p. 3, fn. 10; Final Decision, p. 43, fn. 36, and p. 50, fn. 45.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>4. DisabRA argued beginning with its opening comments that SDG&E's proposed shutoff plan could increase overall fire hazard by causing customers to light more candles,</p>	<p>DisabRA Opening Comments, pp. 3-4, 10; Final Decision, pp. 42-48, and p. 67, Finding of Fact 2.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

<p>operate more camp stoves and barbecues, and run more portable generators than they would if power remained on, thus leading to a higher risk of fires from non-power-line sources. This theme figured prominently in the Final Decision. As noted above, SDG&E acknowledged in response to a data request from DisabRA that it did not investigate the overall impact its proposal would have on risk of wildfires.</p>		
--	--	--

B. Duplication of Effort (§§ 1801.3(f) & 1802.5):

	Claimant	CPUC Verified
<p>a. Was DRA a party to the proceeding? (Y/N)</p>	Yes	Yes
<p>b. Were there other parties to the proceeding? (Y/N)</p>	Yes	Yes
<p>c. If so, provide name of other parties: Southern California Edison, Mussey Grade Road Alliance, San Diego County Superintendent Of Schools, Valley Center Municipal Water District, Ramona Municipal Water District, Padre Dam Municipal Water District, Fallbrook Public Utilities District, Rainbow Municipal Water District, Yuima Municipal Water District, County Of San Diego, SDG&E, UCAN, Division Of Ratepayer Advocates, Consumer Protection And Safety Division, AT&T California, Time Warner Cable, CTIA-The Wireless Association, Coxcom, Inc./Cox California Telcom LLC, Department Of Forestry And Fire Protection, California Cable And Telecommunications Association, California Farm Bureau Federation.</p>		Yes
<p>d. Claimant’s description of how it coordinated with DRA and other parties to avoid duplication or how claimant’s participation supplemented, complemented, or contributed to that of another party: DisabRA was the only party in the proceeding to focus on the risks and costs that SDG&E’s shutoff plan would impose on customers with disabilities. While other parties such as DRA and UCAN discussed the impact the shutoff plan would have on customers generally, and parties such as the school districts focused on the potential impacts on children, only DisabRA provided detailed analysis of the unique impacts of the plan on disabled customers, a group at unique risk because of their high reliance on electricity (including electrically powered audio-phone equipment), their risk in emergency situations, and the fact that they are disproportionately low income. <i>See</i> DisabRA Opening Comments, pp. 11-14. While DisabRA focused on the needs of its constituency, it made efforts to work in conjunction with other parties as much as possible on both procedural issues and areas of overlapping interest. This included work with parties such as the telecom carriers, whose interests in other proceedings are often adverse to DisabRA, as well as other consumer groups and private interests such as the water districts and school districts. For example, DisabRA participated in several joint filings by parties opposed to SDG&E’s application, including the Joint Motion to Deny and Dismiss SDG&E’s</p>		Yes

<p>Application filed on April 30, 2009 and the Joint Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order filed on August 5, 2009. For each such filing, DisabRA participated in making common arguments, and also provided specific information regarding the particular harms that SDG&E’s proposal would inflict on customers with disabilities.</p>	
---	--

PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION

A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§§ 1801 & 1806):

<p>Concise explanation as to how the cost of claimant’s participation bears a reasonable relationship with benefits realized through participation (include references to record, where appropriate)</p>	<p>CPUC Verified</p>
<p>DisabRA intervened in this proceeding to ensure that SDG&E would not be permitted to implement its proposed power shutoff plan without thoroughly considering and effectively mitigating the risks and costs that such a plan would place on customers with disabilities. DisabRA brought the needs of this vulnerable population to the Commissions’ attention from an early stage in this proceeding, <i>see</i> Prehearing Conference Statement of DisabRA filed February 5, 2009, and those concerns were incorporated into the questions SDG&E was asked in the Commission’s February 26 scoping memo. Throughout its written submissions, DisabRA continued to raise specific concerns about the proposal and its ability to reduce the risk of wildfire, as well as to point out deficiencies in SDG&E’s planned mitigation efforts. The Commission ultimately denied SDG&E’s application because it concluded that SDG&E had failed to meet its burden of proving that the purported benefits of its shutoff plan would outweigh the potential adverse impacts of that plan. Final Decision, p. 2. By identifying many of these adverse impacts, especially for the disabled community, and by asking the pointed questions that exposed many of the gaps in SDG&E’s proffered evidence, DisabRA played a crucial role in developing the record that enabled the Commission to reach this conclusion. Because of the central role that DisabRA played in the proceeding and the depth of its involvement, the amount of time spent by DisabRA attorneys and support staff working on the proceeding is entirely reasonable.</p> <p>DisabRA did spend considerably more time on this proceeding than the 194 hours anticipated in the NOI filed on March 12, 2009. There were several reasons for this additional expenditure of time. First, the NOI was based on the February 26 scoping memo, which anticipated only one round of opening and reply comments before the Proposed Decision. Instead, several additional rounds of comments were ordered by ALJ Kenney, and DisabRA filed three more sets of comments between its April 10 reply comments and the August 11 issuance of the Proposed Decision: one set on April 17 responding to SDG&E’s Updated Informational Filing, another set on May 19 regarding a plan to establish backup generators to assist essential customers, and one on July 2 regarding SDG&E’s proposed use of the county’s Alert San Diego auto-dialer program. DisabRA also engaged in preliminary settlement discussions with SDG&E and prepared several written submissions to SDG&E in connection with those discussions. Finally, DisabRA engaged in unanticipated discovery as well as motion practice, and conducted <i>ex parte</i> meetings with Commissioners or their advisors in early June and again in early September. None of these developments were anticipated when the NOI</p>	<p>After the adjustments and disallowance we make to this claim, the remainder of DisabRA’s request for compensation is reasonable.</p>

was filed but became necessary because of the increasing complexity of the proceeding and the numerous issues that came to light regarding impacts of the proposed shutoff plan, including proposed mitigation measures, on the disability community.	
---	--

B. Specific Claim:

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD			
ATTORNEY AND ADVOCATE FEES									
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
M. Kasnitz	2009	143.1	420	D.09-10-025	60,102	2009	126.6	420	53,172
K. Corbit	2009	123.3	290	See Attachment 3	35,757	2009	112.3	290	32,567
K. Gilbride	2009	277.6	160	See Attachment 3.	44,416	2009	207.2	160	33,152
Subtotal: \$140,275						Subtotal: \$ 118,891			
OTHER FEES: PARALEGAL									
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Paralegal	2009	97.0	120	D.09-10-025	11,640	2009	48.7	110	5,357
Subtotal: \$11,640						Subtotal: \$ 5,357			
TRAVEL AND INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM PREPARATION **									
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
M. Kasnitz	2009	10.0	210	½ hourly rate	2,100	2009	3.9	210	819.00
K. Corbit	2009	15.0	145	½ hourly rate	2,175	2009	3.9	145	565.50
Paralegal	2009	7.2	60	½ hourly rate	432	2009	7.2	55	396.00
K. Gilbride	2009	9.3	80	travel @ ½ hourly rate	744	2009	9.3	80	744.00
Subtotal: \$5,451						Subtotal: \$2,524.50			
COSTS									
#	Item	Detail			Amount \$	Amount \$			
1	Photocopying/ Printing	As you can see from the itemized list of costs, DisabRA incurred significantly more than \$250 in photocopying costs (charged per page at \$0.25). However, recognizing the Commission's disinclination to compensate intervenors for significant in-house printing, DisabRA has reduced its request to \$250, an amount previously awarded by the Commission in R.05-04-005.			250.00	125.00			
2	Postage				13.16	13.16			
3	Telephone/Fax				33.78	33.78			

4	Travel	Travel costs are for Karla Gilbride to attend the disability workshop in San Diego. As you will see from the receipts, the return flight is from Los Angeles, where Gilbride attended a separate meeting. We believe this is reasonable, as Gilbride would have required a return ticket from Southern California even if she did not attend the meeting in Los Angeles. We are not seeking reimbursement for the travel between San Diego and Los Angeles and have not been reimbursed for any of Gilbride's travel time or expenses from any other source.	357.87	357.87
Subtotal: \$654.81			Subtotal: \$529.81	
TOTAL REQUEST \$: 158,020.81			TOTAL AWARD \$:127,302.31	
<p>**Travel hours and reasonable hours spent on claim preparation are compensated at ½ of preparer's normal hourly rate.</p> <p>We remind all intervenors that Commission staff may audit their records related to the award and that intervenors must make and retain adequate accounting and other documentation to support all claims for intervenor compensation. Claimant's records should identify specific issues for which it seeks compensation, the actual time spent by each employee or consultant, the applicable hourly rates, fees paid to consultants and any other costs for which compensation was claimed. The records pertaining to an award of compensation shall be retained for at least three years from the date of the final decision making the award.</p>				

C. Attachments or Comments Documenting Specific Claim (not attached to final Decision):

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
1	Certificate of Service
2	2009 Bylaws of Disability Rights Advocates
3	Justification of Attorney Rates
4	Summary of Merits Time
5	Detailed Records for Merits Time
6	Summary of Time Spent on Compensation Request
7	Detailed Records for Time Spent on Compensation Request
8	Cost Records

D. CPUC Disallowances & Adjustments (CPUC completes):

#	Reason
2009 hourly rate requests for Kasnitz and Corbit	Kasnitz and Corbit have both had 2009 rates previously adopted by the Commission. We use these same rates here without further discussion.
2009 hourly rate request for Gilbride.	Gilbride was awarded a 2008 rate of \$150 for work done in R.07-04-015. DisabRA requests a 5% step increase for Gilbride's work in 2009 as authorized by D.08-04-010. Gilbride is a 2007 graduate of Georgetown School of Law where she graduated with honors. Upon graduation she clerked for the Honorable Ronald Gould on the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in Seattle. She joined DisabRA in 2008 as a John W. Carson Fellowship Attorney. We adopt this rate here as being reasonable and within the rate set for attorneys with 0-2 years of experience.
2009 hourly rate request for Paralegals.	Resolution ALJ 235 disallows COLA raises for intervenor participants for 2009 work. As such, we deny DisabRA's requested increase in hourly rates for its paralegals, and apply the previously adopted 2008 rate of \$110. (professional hours-reduced \$970.00; travel time- reduced \$36)
Disallowances for time spent on clerical tasks.	Kasnitz-1.0 hour and Paralegal-17.6 hours. <i>See Appendix A (reduced \$2,356)</i>
Disallowances for time spent on motion to dismiss which was denied. These hours had no bearing on substantial contributions.	Kasnitz-4.9 hours, Corbit-4.8 hours, Gilbride-7.8 hours and Paralegal-.60 hour. <i>See Appendix A (reduced \$4,764).</i>
Disallowance for time spent talking with newspaper reporters. ¹ These hours had no bearing on substantial contributions.	Kasnitz-.7 hour, Gilbride-2.0 hours and Paralegal-.20 hour. <i>See Appendix A (reduced \$636)</i>
Time spent on matters with no apparent relationship to substantial contributions. ²	Kasnitz-3.2 hours, Corbit-.3 hours, Gilbride-43.9 hours and Paralegal-21.9 hours. <i>See Appendix A (reduced \$10,864)</i>
Time spent on lobbying San Diego County and Cities and Others. ³	Kasnitz-2.3 hours, Corbit-3.3 hours, Gilbride-3.3 hours and Paralegal-4.3 hours. <i>See Appendix A (reduced \$2,924)</i>
Time spent on a motion to re-open the record. DisabRA submitted, and then withdrew, this motion. These hours had no bearing on	Kasnitz-3.9 hours, Corbit-2.2 hours, Gilbride-13.3 hours and Paralegal-3.7 hours. <i>See Appendix A (reduced \$4,811)</i>

¹ *See* D.03-10-056 and D.04-08-025.² *See* D.96-08-040, D.04-08-041 and D.04-09-050.³ *See* D.98-04-059.

substantial contributions.	
Time spent reading media reports about the Proposed Decision and alternate Proposed Decision the same day the final decision was voted out. These hours had no bearing on substantial contribution.	Kasnitz-.20 hour and Gilbride-.10 hour. <i>See Appendix A (reduced \$100)</i>
Time spent on activities after the final decision was issued on 9/10/09. These hours had no bearing on substantial contribution.	Kasnitz-.30 hour and Corbit-.40 hour. <i>See Appendix A (reduced \$242)</i>
Printing/Photocopying Expenses	DisabRA requests \$250 for printing/photocopying costs (equal to 1,000 copies @ 25¢) it claims it incurred for the “in-house” duplication of documents that were electronically filed and served by the parties in the proceeding. While DisabRA may wish to copy documents that are electronically retrievable, we see no reason why ratepayers should be expected to pay for this practice. We approve \$125 of these costs and disallow the remainder for excessiveness. It is important to note that two other parties who filed claims for intervenor compensation in this proceeding requested no reimbursement for photocopying expenses. (reduced \$125)
NOI and Intervenor Compensation Preparation	DisabRA requests a total of 32.2 hrs of compensation for preparation of its NOI and Intervenor Compensation Claim. This amount is excessive given the scope of the claim related to one Commission decision, the use of the expedited form and the experience of DisabRA in the completion of such claims. We approve a total of 15 hours for all participants. To achieve this allocation, we reduce Kasnitz’s hours spent on this task by 6.1 hours and Corbit’s hours spent on this same task by 11.1 hours. These adjusted hours more closely reflect our standards on reasonableness of hours. (reduced \$2,890.50)

PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS
Within 30 days after service of this claim, Commission Staff
or any other party may file a response to the claim (see § 1804(c))

A. Opposition: Did any party oppose the claim (Y/N)?	No
B. Comment Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived (see Rule 14.6(c)(6)) (Y/N)?	Yes

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Claimant has made a substantial contribution to Decision (D.) 09-09-030.
2. The claimed fees and costs, as adjusted herein, are comparable to market rates paid to experts and advocates having comparable training and experience and offering similar services.
3. The total of reasonable contribution is \$127,302.31.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The claim, with any adjustment set forth above, satisfies all requirements of Public Utilities Code §§ 1801-1812.

ORDER

1. Claimant is awarded \$127,302.31.
2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall pay claimant the total award. Payment of the award shall include interest at the rate earned on prime, three-month commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning January 31, 2010, the 75th day after the filing of claimant's request, and continuing until full payment is made.
3. The comment period for today's decision is waived.
4. Application 08-12-021 closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated April 8, 2010, at San Francisco, California.

MICHAEL R. PEEVEY
President
DIAN M. GRUENEICH
JOHN A. BOHN
TIMOTHY ALAN SIMON
NANCY E. RYAN
Commissioners

APPENDIX A

Summary of Disallowances

Disallowance 1: Clerical Tasks

DisabRA spent time on clerical tasks that have been disallowed in previous decisions.

<u>Page #</u>	<u>Slip #</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total \$</u>
146	124,623	9/8/09	File reply comments re: PD and alt. PD.	1.10	\$110	\$121
142	124,127	8/31/09	Finalize, file, and serve opening comments on the alternate PD.	1.70	\$110	\$187
142	124,126	8/31/09	Finalize, file, and serve opening comments on the PD.	1.50	\$110	\$165
138	124,119	8/28/09	Email confirming meeting with Advisor.	0.10	\$110	\$11
138	124,091	8/27/09	Arrange meeting with Commissioner office.	0.20	\$110	\$22
137	124,113	8/27/09	Phone call to Commissioner Office to arrange meeting.	0.10	\$110	\$11
137	124,111	8/27/09	Phone call to Commissioner Advisor to arrange meeting.	0.20	\$110	\$22
136	124,084	8/26/09	Arrange meetings with Advisors.	0.20	\$110	\$22
135	122,284	8/26/09	Arrange meeting with Commissioner office.	0.10	\$420	\$42
134	129,378	8/26/09	Arrange all-party meeting with Commissioner.	0.10	\$110	\$11
134	124,083	8/26/09	Arrange all-party meeting with Commissioner.	0.10	\$110	\$11
130	124,065	8/24/09	Schedule meetings with Commissioners.	0.10	\$110	\$11
130	121,368	8/24/09	Email re: setting ex parte meetings and calendaring time.	0.20	\$420	\$84
130	124,069	8/24/09	Preparing and submitting forms to request ex parte meetings.	2.20	\$110	\$242
130	124,072	8/24/09	Confirm date & time of ex parte meeting.	0.20	\$110	\$22
92	110,192	7/2/09	File reply comments re: PD and alt. PD.	0.50	\$110	\$55
90	117,545	6/30/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.20	\$110	\$22
88	128,715	6/29/09	Email re: scheduling all-party meeting.	0.10	\$110	\$11
87	128,297	6/29/09	Schedule all-party meeting.	0.10	\$110	\$11
82	117,439	6/18/09	Review and index documents.	0.10	\$110	\$11
81	116,407	6/17/09	Conference re: dates for ex parte meeting	0.10	\$420	\$42
80	117,405	6/17/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.40	\$110	\$44
78	117,337	6/9/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.20	\$110	\$22
77	117,317	6/8/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.20	\$110	\$22
77	117,310	6/5/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.10	\$110	\$11

77	116,181	6/5/09	Schedule and calendar ex parte meeting.	0.10	\$110	\$11
76	116,543	6/5/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.20	\$420	\$84
76	117,299	6/4/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.30	\$110	\$33
76	117,297	6/4/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.20	\$110	\$22
76	117,296	6/4/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.20	\$110	\$22
75	116,553	6/4/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.10	\$420	\$42
75	117,282	6/3/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	1.00	\$110	\$110
75	117,284	6/3/09	Schedule ex parte meeting.	0.40	\$110	\$44
74	116,565	6/3/09	Review & edit request for ex parte meeting.	0.20	\$420	\$84
74	116,584	6/2/09	Instructions to staff re: request for ex parte meeting.	0.10	\$420	\$42
74	117,280	6/2/09	Prepare forms to request ex parte meeting.	0.50	\$110	\$55
67	126,649	5/19/09	Finalize, file, and serve reply comments.	1.80	\$110	\$198
54	110,701	4/17/09	Finalize, file, and serve reply comments.	1.50	\$110	\$165
50	109,209	4/14/09	Index transcripts.	0.20	\$110	\$22
49	109,199	4/10/09	Prepare, file, and serve reply comments.	1.10	\$110	\$121
48	109,193	4/9/09	Index documents.	0.20	\$110	\$22
40	109,248	3/30/09	Index documents.	0.20	\$110	\$22
9	102,126	2/17/09	Index documents.	0.20	\$110	\$22
Subtotal						\$2,356
				18.60 hours		

Disallowance 2: Time Spent on Motion to Dismiss

DisabRA spent time on a motion to dismiss/summary judgment that was denied. Other intervenors did not request time for this matter. No bearing on substantial contributions.

<u>Page #</u>	<u>Slip #</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total \$</u>
78	116,206	6/9/09	Review ruling denying motion to dismiss.	0.10	\$420	\$42
78	114,676	6/9/09	Review ruling denying motion to dismiss.	0.10	\$160	\$16
73	117,084	6/1/09	Review filed version of joint reply in support of motion to dismiss.	0.30	\$160	\$48
73	126,778	5/29/09	Review final reply in support of motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$290	\$58
73	126,776	5/29/09	Review request to file reply and SDG&E's response to request.	0.10	\$290	\$29
73	126,772	5/28/09	Draft reply in support of motion to dismiss.	0.30	\$290	\$87
72	126,771	5/28/09	Email re: status of DisabRA's edits concerning "joint movants."	0.30	\$290	\$87
72	113,159	5/28/09	Prepare edits to joint reply in support of motion to dismiss.	1.20	\$160	\$192

72	126,774	5/28/09	Emails re: reply in support of motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$290	\$58
71	126,769	5/27/09	Teleconference with joint movants re: motion to dismiss.	0.30	\$160	\$48
71	126,768	5/27/09	Teleconference with joint movants re: motion to dismiss.	0.90	\$290	\$261
71	126,767	5/27/09	Review edits to draft joint reply in support of motion to dismiss.	0.30	\$290	\$87
71	126,765	5/27/09	Review edits to draft joint reply in support of motion to dismiss.	0.80	\$290	\$232
71	113,150	5/27/09	Draft joint reply in support of motion to dismiss.	0.30	\$160	\$48
71	113,152	5/27/09	Meeting re: joint reply in support of motion to dismiss.	0.40	\$160	\$64
70	126,764	5/24/09	External email re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.10	\$290	\$29
70	126,762	5/22/09	Internal email re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.10	\$160	\$16
70	126,761	5/22/09	Internal email re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.10	\$160	\$16
70	126,758	5/22/09	External email re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$290	\$58
69	126,755	5/21/09	Teleconference re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.80	\$290	\$232
69	112,794	5/20/09	Conference re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
69	112,790	5/20/09	Review responses to joint motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
68	126,752	5/20/09	Review email re: motion to dismiss.	0.40	\$290	\$116
67	112,615	5/20/09	Review SDG&E response to motion to dismiss.	0.40	\$160	\$64
59	111,010	5/1/09	Review media coverage of motion to dismiss.	0.10	\$420	\$42
59	111,273	5/1/09	Review media coverage of motion to dismiss.	0.30	\$110	\$33
58	111,149	4/30/09	Review final motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
58	111,125	4/29/09	Email re: motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
57	111,138	4/29/09	Email re: motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
57	111,119	4/28/09	Email re: motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
57	126,618	4/26/09	Review draft motion to dismiss.	0.30	\$420	\$126
56	111,068	4/24/09	Review draft motion to dismiss.	1.10	\$420	\$462
56	126,616	4/22/09	Email re: motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
55	111,108	4/20/09	Review joint motion to dismiss.	0.40	\$420	\$168
55	109,881	4/20/09	Review joint motion to dismiss.	1.80	\$160	\$288
55	111,054	4/20/09	Conference re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
55	111,044	4/20/09	Review draft motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
55	126,611	4/20/09	Conference re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.10	\$160	\$16

55	111,113	4/20/09	Review draft motion to dismiss. Teleconference re: motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
52	126,599	4/15/09	dismiss.	0.60	\$160	\$96
52	126,598	4/15/09	Email re: motion to dismiss. Conference re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.10	\$420	\$42
52	111,036	4/15/09	dismiss. Half of reported time allocated to motion to dismiss.	0.60	\$420	\$252
51	126,591	4/14/09	Review draft motion to dismiss.	1.40	\$160	\$224
50	126,582	4/13/09	Review draft motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$290	\$58
49	109,920	4/13/09	Review draft motion to dismiss. Conversations summarizing discussion with other parties re: motion to dismiss.	0.20	\$420	\$84
46-47	109,178	4/7/09	Conference call with parties re: joint motion to dismiss.	0.30	\$110	\$33
46	109,055	4/7/09	motion to dismiss.	0.70	\$160	\$112
Subtotal						\$4,764
				18.10 hours		

Disallowance 3: Time Spent Talking with Newspaper Reporters

DisabRA spent time talking with newspaper reporters. This had no bearing on substantial contributions.

<u>Page #</u>	<u>Slip #</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total \$</u>
123	122,610	8/14/09	Contact senior center operators and newspaper reporter.	0.30	\$160	\$48
122	128,871	8/14/09	Review media stories, email exchange with reporter.	0.30	\$160	\$48
117	122,586	8/11/09	Email exchanges with reporter. Internal review of email exchanges with reporter.	0.20	\$160	\$32
117	128,343	8/11/09	with reporter.	0.20	\$160	\$32
105	128,339	7/30/09	Email exchanges with reporter.	0.30	\$160	\$48
105	128,758	7/30/09	Email exchanges with reporter.	0.10	\$420	\$42
105	128,757	7/30/09	Email exchanges with reporter.	0.30	\$160	\$48
105	128,340	7/30/09	Email exchanges with reporter. Obtain list of outreach contacts for reporter.	0.10	\$420	\$42
98	110,342	7/16/09	reporter.	0.20	\$110	\$22
98	119,990	7/16/09	Response to reporter.	0.30	\$160	\$48
98	119,673	7/16/09	Teleconference with reporter.	0.30	\$420	\$126
97	128,315	7/16/09	Collection of information for reporter.	0.10	\$420	\$42
97	128,734	7/16/09	Collection of information for reporter.	0.10	\$160	\$16
97	128,733	7/16/09	Collection of information for reporter.	0.10	\$420	\$42
Subtotal						\$636
				2.90 hours		

Disallowance 4: Time Spent on Matters with No Apparent Relationship to Substantial Contributions

DisabRA requests compensation for time spent on matters with no apparent relationship to substantial contributions.

<u>Page #</u>	<u>Slip #</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total \$</u>
97	128,318	7/16/09	Email exchanges re: outreach contacts.	0.10	\$160	\$16
97	128,736	7/16/09	Email exchanges re: outreach contacts.	0.30	\$160	\$48
84	117,445	6/22/09	Outreach calls to CBOs	0.20	\$110	\$22
81	127,998	6/18/09	Update contacts list.	0.10	\$110	\$11
81	127,997	6/18/09	Update contacts list.	0.10	\$160	\$16
77	114,670	6/8/09	Prepare bibliography.	0.60	\$160	\$96
47	109,616	4/8/09	Call from citizen re: PPH.	0.10	\$110	\$11
47	126,566	4/7/09	Internal email re: status of outreach for PPH.	0.10	\$160	\$16
47	109,181	4/7/09	Phone calls and email re: PPH.	0.70	\$110	\$77
45	113,867	4/6/09	Review media reports on upcoming PPH.	0.20	\$290	\$58
42	109,156	4/2/09	Half of reported time allocated to matters spent preparing for PPH.	0.75	\$110	\$83
42	109,975	4/2/09	Review outreach flier for PPH.	0.20	\$420	\$84
41	109,258	3/31/09	Research community contacts; send out PPH fliers.	2.00	\$110	\$220
40	110,029	3/30/09	Email re: outreach for PPH.	0.10	\$420	\$42
39-40	109,245	3/30/09	Various activities re: outreach for PPH.	2.00	\$110	\$220
39	109,076	3/30/09	Outreach status and follow-up.	0.30	\$110	\$33
38	109,238	3/27/09	Various activities re: outreach.	0.40	\$110	\$44
37	126,546	3/26/09	Email re: questions from ILC re: informal participation in proceeding.	0.20	\$160	\$32
37	109,234	3/26/09	Phone calls to CBOs re: PPH.	1.30	\$110	\$143
37	109,231	3/26/09	Email from ILC re: transportation to PPHs and commenting w/o participating.	1.00	\$110	\$110
35	109,225	3/25/09	Send out fliers re: PPHs.	2.00	\$110	\$220
32	109,219	3/23/09	Half of reported time allocated to edits to PPH flier.	0.75	\$110	\$83
32	108,019	3/23/09	Revisions to PPH flier.	0.30	\$420	\$126
32	104,486	3/23/09	Update outreach contacts and revise outreach flier.	40.30	\$160	\$6,448
32	103,829	3/22/09	Revisions to PPH flier.	0.40	\$110	\$44
31	107,614	3/20/09	Handling outreach going forward.	0.10	\$110	\$11
31	107,608	3/20/09	Various activities re: outreach.	0.40	\$110	\$44
30	107,605	3/20/09	Various activities re: outreach.	0.20	\$110	\$22
30	103,816	3/19/09	Various activities re: outreach.	0.50	\$110	\$55
30	103,820	3/19/09	Revise PPH flier.	0.40	\$110	\$44
29	107,957	3/19/09	Revise outreach PPH flier.	0.40	\$420	\$168
29	125,609	3/19/09	Edits to PPH flier.	0.20	\$420	\$84
29	103,827	3/19/09	Revise spreadsheet to track status of outreach.	0.60	\$110	\$66
28	103,818	3/19/09	Edits to PPH flier.	0.20	\$110	\$22
28	103,813	3/18/09	Revise PPH flier.	1.30	\$110	\$143

27	107,587	3/18/09	Conference call re: outreach on PPHs.	0.10	\$420	\$42
27	107,587	3/18/09	Voicemail re: PPH.	0.10	\$110	\$11
27	125,607	3/18/09	Status of outreach for PPHs.	0.10	\$160	\$16
27	125,606	3/18/09	Internal meeting re: status of outreach for PPH.	0.10	\$110	\$11
26	107,594	3/18/09	Teleconference re: PPH	0.10	\$110	\$11
26	125,608	3/18/09	Meeting re: responses to outreach.	0.30	\$110	\$33
26	108,017	3/18/09	Update on responses to outreach.	0.30	\$110	\$33
26	107,589	3/18/09	Telephone call re: PPH.	0.10	\$110	\$11
25	103,805	3/17/09	Begin outreach spreadsheet	0.70	\$110	\$77
25	103,802	3/17/09	Revise outreach flier.	0.50	\$110	\$55
25	127,880	3/17/09	Emails re: outreach phone calls thus far.	0.20	\$110	\$22
24	103,798	3/17/09	Prepare and circulate outreach flier.	0.40	\$110	\$44
24	104,522	3/17/09	Half of reported time allocated to outreach for PPH.	0.35	\$110	\$39
24	107,936	3/17/09	Edits to PPH outreach flier.	0.30	\$420	\$126
22	104,517	3/16/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.10	\$160	\$16
22	104,511	3/16/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.15	\$160	\$24
22	103,374	3/16/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.25	\$110	\$28
21	103,371	3/16/09	Reach PHC statements to prepare for outreach.	0.20	\$110	\$22
21	103,796	3/16/09	Draft flier for PPHs.	1.30	\$110	\$143
21	103,795	3/16/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.40	\$110	\$44
21	103,379	3/16/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.10	\$110	\$11
21	103,378	3/16/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.05	\$110	\$6
20	104,565	3/13/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.15	\$160	\$24
20	125,603	3/13/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.15	\$110	\$17
20	107,909	3/13/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.15	\$420	\$63
20	104,563	3/13/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.75	\$160	\$120
20	104,561	3/13/09	Plan outreach for PPHs.	0.40	\$160	\$64
20	103,784	3/13/09	Plan outreach for PPHs.	0.40	\$110	\$44
19	125,602	3/12/09	Email re: PPH.	0.10	\$160	\$16
19	125,599	3/11/09	Email re: PPH.	0.10	\$160	\$16
19	104,550	3/11/09	Meeting re: outreach plan for PPH.	0.20	\$160	\$32
18	107,809	3/11/09	Outreach plan.	0.30	\$420	\$126
18	125,593	3/11/09	Plan outreach for PPHs.	0.20	\$110	\$22
18	125,589	3/10/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.10	\$160	\$16

15	125,579	3/4/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.05	\$420	\$21
15	125,577	3/4/09	Half of reported time appears to be associated with outreach for PPHs.	0.05	\$160	\$8
1	102,263	2/3/09	Email with TURN (not a party).	0.10	\$290	\$29
1	125,548	2/3/09	Email with TURN (not a party).	1.10	\$420	\$462
Subtotal						\$10,864
				69.30 hours		

Disallowance 5: Lobbying San Diego County and Cities and Others

DisabRA spent time lobbying the San Diego County Board of Supervisors and various cities and towns in San Diego County.

<u>Page #</u>	<u>Slip #</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total \$</u>
129	128,901	8/21/09	Review updated letter to city councils.	0.20	\$290	\$58
112	128,779	8/5/09	Review letter from water districts to San Diego Firefighters Union President.	0.20	\$290	\$58
111	124,268	8/4/09	Review letter to "F. De Clerk" re: impact of SDG&E's shut-off plan.	0.20	\$290	\$58
111	124,260	8/4/09	Review final copy of letter to Chula Vista City Council.	0.10	\$290	\$29
107	128,344	8/3/09	Internal and external email re: letter to Chula Vista City Council.	0.30	\$160	\$48
106	128,762	8/3/09	Internal and external email re: letter to Chula Vista City Council.	0.30	\$160	\$48
106	128,761	8/3/09	Internal and external email re: letter to Chula Vista City Council.	0.30	\$290	\$87
104	119,558	7/28/09	Email re: City Council	0.20	\$420	\$84
104	128,754	7/27/09	Email re: letter to San Diego City Council.	0.10	\$290	\$29
104	119,582	7/27/09	Teleconference re: statement for city council meeting.	0.10	\$420	\$42
103	128,751	7/24/09	Email re: letter to San Diego City Council.	0.50	\$290	\$145
103	119,582	7/24/09	Review letter to San Diego City Council.	0.30	\$420	\$126
103	128,332	7/24/09	Review letter to San Diego City Council.	0.30	\$420	\$126
103	122,523	7/24/09	Review letter to San Diego City Council.	0.20	\$160	\$32
101	128,745	7/23/09	Review letter to San Diego City Council.	1.30	\$290	\$377
101	119,630	7/23/09	Review letter to San Diego City Council.	0.20	\$420	\$84
85	114,728	6/24/09	Emails re: board of supervisors' vote.	0.20	\$160	\$32
85	116,401	6/24/09	Review results of board of supervisors' vote.	0.20	\$420	\$84
85	116,534	6/23/09	Emails re: board of supervisors' vote.	0.30	\$420	\$126

84	114,720	6/22/09	Prepare statement for board of supervisors' meeting	0.90	\$160	\$144
84	114,713	6/22/09	Call selected individuals to encourage participation at meeting	0.80	\$160	\$128
84	116,364	6/22/09	Outreach to encourage participation at board of supervisors' meeting	0.10	\$290	\$29
84	116,357	6/22/09	Emails re: board of supervisors' vote.	0.30	\$290	\$87
83	116,256	6/22/09	Emails and telephone calls re: board of supervisors' vote.	0.30	\$420	\$126
83	128,007	6/22/09	Outreach calls and email.	0.30	\$110	\$33
83	128,005	6/19/09	Emails re: attendance at board of supervisors' meeting.	0.20	\$420	\$84
83	128,003	6/19/09	Meeting re: outreach plan for board of supervisors' meeting.	0.20	\$110	\$22
83	117,441	6/19/09	Email re: outreach for board of supervisors' meeting.	2.90	\$110	\$319
82	117,437	6/19/09	Email re: board of supervisors' meeting.	0.80	\$110	\$88
82	114,659	6/19/09	Draft email re: outreach for board of supervisors' meeting.	0.20	\$160	\$32
82	114,656	6/19/09	Plan outreach for board of supervisors' meeting.	0.20	\$160	\$32
82	128,001	6/18/09	Schedule meeting to plan outreach.	0.10	\$110	\$11
81	127,996	6/18/09	Review board of supervisors' meeting and email re: attendance at meeting.	0.20	\$420	\$84
81	127,995	6/18/09	Review board of supervisors' meeting and email re: attendance at meeting.	0.20	\$160	\$32
Subtotal						\$2,924
				13.20 hours		

Disallowance 6: Withdrawn Motion to Reopen the Record

DisabRA submitted, and then withdrew, a motion to re-open the record. No substantial contribution.

Page #	Slip #	Date	Activity	Hours	Rate	Total \$
148	125,946	9/9/09	Internal conference re: motion to re-open the record	0.20	\$420	\$84
148	129,420	9/9/09	Internal email re: timeline for responding to motions.	0.10	\$420	\$42
148	124,645	9/9/09	Prepare and file notice to withdraw motion to re-open the record.	1.30	\$110	\$143
147-148	124,640	9/9/09	Research Rules of P&P re: timeline for responding to motions.	0.20	\$110	\$22
147	129,419	9/9/09	Internal email re: timeline for responding to motions.	0.10	\$160	\$16
147	125,953	9/9/09	Prepare notice to withdraw motion to re-open the record.	0.40	\$420	\$168

147	123,621	9/9/09	Internal meeting re: drafting notice to withdraw motion to re-open the record.	2.00	\$160	\$320
146	125,941	9/8/09	Review opposition to motion to re-open the record.	0.40	\$420	\$168
145	123,620	9/8/09	Review SDG&E response to motion to re-open the record.	0.30	\$160	\$48
142	124,129	8/31/09	Finalize & serve motion to reopen record.	0.90	\$110	\$99
140	121,390	8/31/09	Finalize motion to re-open the record and prepare declarations for filing.	1.00	\$160	\$160
140	121,389	8/31/09	Review and edit motion to re-open record.	0.70	\$420	\$294
140	121,334	8/31/09	Half of reported time allocated to time spent on motion to re-open the record.	0.10	\$290	\$29
140	121,398	8/28/09	Half of reported time allocated to time spent on motion to re-open the record.	0.10	\$420	\$42
139	129,407	8/28/09	Half of reported time allocated to email on motion to re-open the record.	0.10	\$160	\$16
139	129,406	8/28/09	Half of reported time allocated to conference on motion to re-open record.	0.10	\$160	\$16
139	129,405	8/28/09	Messages re: declarations.	0.10	\$160	\$16
139	129,403	8/28/09	Teleconference re: status of declarations.	0.10	\$160	\$16
139	129,402	8/28/09	Prepare motion to reopen the record.	2.00	\$160	\$320
139	129,401	8/28/09	Teleconference re: status of declarations.	0.20	\$160	\$32
138	129,391	8/27/09	Messages, email, and teleconference re: declaration.	0.40	\$160	\$64
137	129,397	8/27/09	Receive and review declaration.	0.20	\$290	\$58
137	129,395	8/27/09	Review declaration.	0.20	\$160	\$32
136	121,341	8/27/09	Half of reported time allocated to conference on motion to re-open the record.	1.40	\$420	\$588
136	129,388	8/26/09	Internal email re: rules for re-opening the record.	0.10	\$160	\$16
136	129,387	8/26/09	Internal email re: rules for re-opening the record.	0.10	\$290	\$29
135	129,385	8/26/09	External email re: rules for re-opening the record.	0.10	\$420	\$42
135	129,389	8/26/09	Internal email re: rules for re-opening the record.	0.10	\$420	\$42
135	129,384	8/26/09	External email re: rules for re-opening the record.	0.10	\$420	\$42
133	129,372	8/25/09	Half of reported time allocated to teleconference on declaration.	0.30	\$160	\$48
133	121,329	8/25/09	Internal email re: declarations to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.20	\$290	\$58

133	121,323	8/25/09	Internal email re: declarations to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.10	\$290	\$29
132	125,628	8/25/09	Internal email re: declarations to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.10	\$160	\$16
132	129,375	8/25/09	Message re: declarations to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.30	\$160	\$48
132	129,374	8/25/09	Discussion re: declarations to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.40	\$160	\$64
131	129,370	8/24/09	Review declarations to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.20	\$160	\$32
129	121,798	8/21/09	Review declaration to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.20	\$160	\$32
129	124,058	8/21/09	Finalize declarations to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.10	\$110	\$11
129	121,795	8/21/09	Teleconference re: declaration to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.60	\$160	\$96
129	128,902	8/21/09	Review and revise declaration to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.60	\$290	\$174
128	121,799	8/21/09	Prepare declarations to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.70	\$160	\$112
128	121,794	8/20/09	Prepare declarations to be attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.80	\$160	\$128
127	121,786	8/20/09	Half of reported time allocated to teleconference on declarations.	0.20	\$160	\$32
127	128,897	8/20/09	Conference re: strategy for submitting declarations.	0.20	\$290	\$58
127	128,896	8/20/09	Internal email re: implications of SDG&E's motion to strike on declarations.	0.10	\$290	\$29
127	128,894	8/19/09	Internal email re: including declarations in comments.	0.20	\$290	\$58
126	128,895	8/19/09	Internal email re: including declarations in comments.	0.20	\$160	\$32
126	121,779	8/19/09	Half of reported time allocated to teleconference on declarations.	0.65	\$160	\$104
126	129,778	8/19/09	Half of reported time allocated to teleconference on declarations.	0.15	\$160	\$24
126	121,777	8/19/09	Review Commission results re: attaching declarations to comments	0.80	\$160	\$128
125	128,890	8/18/09	Draft declaration that is ultimately attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.50	\$160	\$80
124	124,136	8/18/09	Draft declaration that is ultimately attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.40	\$110	\$44

124	124,883	8/17/09	Teleconference re: declaration that is ultimately attached to motion to re-open the record.	0.50	\$160	\$80
123	121,300	8/14/09	Internal email re: potential declarations.	0.30	\$420	\$126
109	128,769	8/4/09	Half of reported time allocated to internal meeting on strategy for declarations.	0.40	\$290	\$116
53	110,699	4/16/09	Attempts to call person who later signs declaration.	0.20	\$110	\$22
48	109,189	4/9/09	Half of reported time allocated to phone call to person who later signs declaration.	0.60	\$110	\$66
Subtotal						\$4,811
				23.10 hours		

Disallowance 7: Reading News Articles About PD and Alternate PD

Reading media reports about PD and alternate PD the same day final decision voted out. No substantial contribution.

<u>Page #</u>	<u>Slip #</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total \$</u>
148	131,286	9/10/09	Receive and review media reports.	0.20	\$420	\$84
149	123,627	9/10/09	Receive and review media reports.	0.10	\$160	\$16
Subtotal						\$100
				0.30 hours		

Disallowance 8: Activities After Final Decision

Activities that occurred after the final decision issued on 9/10/09. No substantial contribution and/or clerical task.

<u>Page #</u>	<u>Slip #</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total \$</u>
149	130,843	9/15/09	Index and review correspondence.	0.20	\$290	\$58
149	131,284	9/10/09	Teleconference and emails with other parties re: commission results.	0.30	\$420	\$126
149	130,776	9/10/09	Internal emails re: commission results.	0.20	\$290	\$58
Subtotal						\$242
				0.70 hours		

TOTAL APPENDIX A DISALLOWANCES	\$26,697
---------------------------------------	-----------------

(END OF APPENDIX A)

APPENDIX B**Compensation Decision Summary Information**

Compensation Decision:	D1004024	Modifies Decision? No
Contribution Decision(s):	D0909030	
Proceeding(s):	A0812021	
Author:	ALJ Timothy Kenney	
Payer(s):	San Diego Gas & Electric Company	

Intervenor Information

Intervenor	Claim Date	Amount Requested	Amount Awarded	Multiplier?	Reason Change/Disallowance
Disability Rights Advocates	11-17-09	\$158,020.81	\$127,302.31	No	excessive hours; adjusted hourly rates; communication with media not compensable; communication with cities and counties not compensable; disallowance of clerical tasks; disallowance of photocopying expenses; lack of substantial contribution.

Advocate Information

First Name	Last Name	Type	Intervenor	Hourly Fee Requested	Year Hourly Fee Requested	Hourly Fee Adopted
Melissa	Kasnitz	Attorney	Disability Rights Advocates	\$420	2009	\$420
Kasey	Corbit	Attorney	Disability Rights Advocates	\$290	2009	\$290
Karla	Gilbride	Attorney	Disability Rights Advocates	\$160	2009	\$160
	Paralegal		Disability Rights Advocates	\$120	2009	\$110

(END OF APPENDIX B)