

Date of Issuance: 1/25/2010

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**Communications Division
Carrier Oversight & Programs Branch**

**RESOLUTION T- 17247
January 21, 2010**

R E S O L U T I O N

Resolution T-17247 Fourteen Small Local Exchange Carriers and the California High Cost Fund-A Administrative Committee Fund.

This Resolution Sets Forth The California High Cost Fund-A Support For Each of the 14 Small Local Exchange Carriers For Calendar Year 2010.

BY ADVICE

LETTER

DATE

NO.

FILED BY:

FILED:

312	Calaveras Telephone Company	(Calaveras)	9/29/09
328	California-Oregon Telephone Company	(Cal-Ore)	9/29/09
329	Ducor Telephone Company	(Ducor)	9/30/09
293	Foresthill Telephone Company	(Foresthill)	9/29/09
313	Happy Valley Telephone Company	(Happy Valley)	9/25/09
286	Hornitos Telephone Company	(Hornitos)	9/25/09
372	Kerman Telephone Company	(Kerman)	9/29/09
237	Pinnacles Telephone Company	(Pinnacles)	9/29/09
392	The Ponderosa Telephone Company	(Ponderosa)	10/1/09
377	Sierra Telephone Company	(Sierra)	9/30/09
357	Siskiyou Telephone Company	(Siskiyou)	9/28/09
697	Verizon West Coast Incorporated	(Verizon-WC)	10/1/09
352	Volcano Telephone Company	(Volcano)	9/29/09
206	Winterhaven Telephone Company	(Winterhaven)	9/25/09

Summary

This resolution adopts a total Calendar Year (CY) 2010 California High Cost Fund-A (CHCF-A) support of \$35,535,158.38 for Calaveras, Cal-Ore, Ducor, Foresthill, Happy Valley, Hornitos, Kerman, Pinnacles, Ponderosa, Sierra, Siskiyou, Verizon-WC, Volcano, and Winterhaven. The development of the CHCF-A support for each small Local Exchange Carrier (LEC) is shown in Appendix A and explained in the Discussion section. The table below summarizes the monthly and yearly CHCF-A support authorized by this resolution for each of the 14 small LECs:

<u>Small Local Exchange Carrier</u>	<u>Monthly Support 2010</u>	<u>Yearly Support 2010</u>
Calaveras	\$179,087.02	\$2,149,044.26
Cal-Ore	\$57,611.54	\$691,338.49
Ducor	\$207,523.40	\$2,490,280.80
Foresthill	\$168,580.64	\$2,022,967.66
Happy Valley	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hornitos	\$0.00	\$0.00
Kerman	\$306,132.15	\$3,673,585.76
Pinnacles	\$18,511.14	\$222,133.68
Ponderosa	\$325,474.46	\$3,905,693.50
Sierra	\$1,094,158.45	\$13,129,901.39
Siskiyou	\$281,312.88	\$3,375,754.51
Verizon West Coast	\$0.00	\$0.00
Volcano	\$322,871.53	\$3,874,458.33
Winterhaven	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total	\$2,961,263.21	\$35,535,158.38

This resolution directs the Communications Division (CD) in concert with the Information and Management Services Division (IMSD) to pay the respective small LECs monthly support as indicated above within 30 days after the close of each calendar month. The prompt payment of monthly support to the small LECs is contingent on the availability of funds and the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) and State adoption of the budgets covering the payment of the 2010 CHCF-A support. Should the monthly support payments due the small LECs not be paid within 30 days after the close of each calendar month, CD shall include in those payments interest equal to the 3-month commercial paper rate.¹

¹ This is consistent with directives set forth in D.01-02-018 and D.01-09-064.

Background

The California High Cost Fund (HCF) was implemented by Decision (D.) 88-07-022, as modified by D.91-05-016 and D.91-09-042, to provide a source of supplemental revenues to three mid-size and seventeen small LECs whose basic exchange access line service rates would otherwise be increased to levels that would threaten universal service. These decisions provided program guidelines, referred to as Implementation Rules, as well as an annual filing process to simply adjust straightforward and non-controversial program adjustments. Pacific Bell was appointed as the administrator of the fund and established a separate trust.

D.96-10-066 changed the name of HCF to CHCF-A and created the California High Cost Fund-B (CHCF-B). This decision included Pacific Bell (now SBC), GTE California Incorporated (now Verizon)², Roseville Telephone Company (now SureWest), and Citizens Telecommunications Company of California (now Frontier) in the CHCF-B for the purpose of determining universal service subsidy support and maintained the CHCF-A for the 17 small LECs. This decision also directed the Commission to take over the administration of the CHCF-A from Pacific Bell.

Resolution T-16092 approved the transfer of administrative control of the CHCF-A from Pacific Bell to the Commission effective January 1, 1998. This resolution appointed three Commission staff members as committee members of the CHCF-A Trust Administrative Committee (AC) charged with the responsibility of administering the CHCF-A on behalf of the Commission. D.98-06-065 renamed the committee as California High Cost Fund-A AC and revised the governance of the CHCF-A consistent with State rules and procedures.

In October 1999, Public Utilities (PU) Code § 270-281 were codified as a result of the enactment of Senate Bill (SB) 669 (Stats. 1999, Chapter 677). Section 270(b) requires that the monies in the CHCF-A and five other funds be expended pursuant to § 270-281 and upon appropriation in the annual Budget Act. Since Fiscal Year (FY) 2001-02, the CHCF-A Fund expenditures have been authorized in the State's Annual Budget Act.

D.01-09-064 revised the charter of CHCF-AC to conform to SB 669, and D.02-04-059 established a three-member board for the CHCF-AC.

PU Code § 739.3 requires the Commission to implement a program for universal service support to reduce rate disparity in rural and small metropolitan areas. PU Code § 739.3

² Verizon Telephone Company resulted from the merger of GTE of CA and GTE Contel.

was scheduled to sunset on January 1, 2009, but was extended by the Legislature to January 1, 2013.

D.08-10-010 authorized the consolidation of three small LECs: Citizens Telecommunications Company of Tuolumne, Citizens Telecommunications Company of the Golden State and Global Valley Networks, Inc., with the midsize LEC of, Citizens Telecommunications Company of California Inc. The consolidation authorized by D.08-10-010 became effective on January 1, 2009, and therefore, reduced the total number of small LECs eligible for CHCF-A support from 17 to 14 small LECs for the proposed support for Calendar Year 2010.

On or about October 1, 2009, the 14 small LECs made their annual CHCF-A advice letter filings in accordance with D.91-09-042. These 14 small LECs requested a total CY 2010 CHCF-A support of approximately \$38.886 million.

Notice/Protests

The 14 small LECs 2010 CHCF-A advice letter filings appeared in the Commission's Daily Calendar in September and October 2009. No protests to the advice letter filings have been received.

Discussion

In this Resolution, the Commission adopts a CHCF-A support of \$35,535,158.38 for CY 2010. This total support is broken down for each of the 14 small LECs as noted in the summary section of this Resolution.

A. 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirements and Supports

CD reviewed the advice letter filings made by the 14 small LECs in connection with the 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirements and revised their requests for the following reasons discussed below:

1. Pursuant to guidelines adopted in D.88-07-022³; and
2. Updated estimates of the Net Interstate Expense Adjustment as provided by the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA).

³ D.88-07-022 was modified by D.91-05-016, D.91-09-042, Resolution T-16117, D.00-09-072, D.01-02-018, and D.01-05-031. These guidelines are summarized in the Appendix of D. 91-09-042, which are hereinafter referred to as the Implementation Rules.

Specific implementation rules and Commission orders that guided CD's revisions include, but are not limited to, the following:

General Rate Cases (GRCs)

Siskiyou's last GRC filing was in 2005 for test year 2006. On October 1, 2009, Siskiyou filed a GRC Application for test year 2011. Calaveras, Cal-Ore, Ducor, Pinnacles and Ponderosa latest filing was in 2007 for test year 2009. Kerman's and Sierra's latest GRC filing was in 2006 for test year 2008. Volcano's latest GRC filing was in 2006 for test year 2008. Foresthill filed a GRC in 2005 for test year 2007. Happy Valley, Hornitos, Verizon – West Coast and Winterhaven's last GRC filing was for test year 1997.

In the 1997, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 test year GRCs, the carriers were authorized a 10% rate of return.

Means Test

Section B of the Implementation Rules requires that each CHCF-A support request be subject to a means test, i.e. a small LECs CHCF-A support is limited to forecasted intrastate results of operations not to exceed the small LECs authorized rate of return. The forecasted earnings must be based on at least seven months of recorded financial data, annualized for the year in which the advice letter is filed. D. 91-09-042 also provides that the means test is not required in determining a LEC's CHCF-A funding 12 months after a decision or resolution is rendered by the Commission in a GRC proceeding.

Waterfall

Pursuant to § D of the Implementation Rules, the phase down of the CHCF-A funding level is reinitiated effective January 1 following the year after the completion of a GRC. The funding levels are 100% for the first 3 years, 80% the fourth year, 50% the fifth year, and 0% thereafter. This 6-year phase down of funding level is known as the Waterfall.

The funding levels for the 14 small LECs for 2010 are summarized below:

<u>Small LEC</u>	<u>GRC Test Year</u>	<u>2010</u>
Calaveras	2009	100%
Cal-Ore	2009	100%
Ducor	2009	100%
Foresthill	2007	100%
Happy Valley	1997	0%
Hornitos	1997	0%
Kerman	2008	100%
Pinnacles	2009	100%
Ponderosa	2009	100%
Sierra	2008	100%
Siskiyou	2006	100%
Verizon-WC	1997	0%
Volcano	2008	100%
Winterhaven	1997	0%

Net Interstate Expense Adjustment

Section B of the Implementation Rules authorizes the small LECs to include the changes of their federal Universal Service Fund (USF) funding in the annual CHCF-A filings. Under Resolution T-16117, the change of USF funding level must be determined for each carrier by the difference between the forecasted USF support for the current year and the forecasted USF support for the coming year. The current year's forecasted USF support is the amount adopted by the Commission for the current year CHCF-A revenue requirement. The coming

year's forecasted USF is the amount projected by NECA, the administrator of USF.⁴

In response to NECA funding changes, each carrier's CHCF-A 2009 support has been adjusted for 2010.

Revenue Effect Associated with GRC Modification

As part of their 2010 annual CHCF-A advice letter filings, Calaveras, Cal-Ore and Ducor have included proposed modifications to their 2009 and 2010 CHCF-A support. These carriers are requesting modification to their support for items which are currently pending in ongoing GRC Petitions for Modification and Applications for Rehearing filed in response to their 2008 GRCs. Since these items are currently being reviewed and considered by the Commission in the above formal proceedings, we will not address these modification requests in this Resolution. After the Commission issues a decision in the above formal proceedings, we will make the necessary adjustments, if any, to Calaveras, Cal-Ore and Ducor's CHCF-A support.

Revenue Effect Associated with NECA Separations Methodology Changes

In their annual advice letter filing, Calaveras, Pinnacles and Ponderosa included adjustments for the revenue effect associated with NECA separations methodology changes.

The carriers assert that in 2008, NECA notified member companies that they are no longer authorized to utilize a central office and cable and wire facility categorization procedure commonly referred to as Voice Grade Equivalency, beginning with the 2008 annual cost study. Calaveras, Pinnacles and Ponderosa assert that they have as of 2008, adopted the NECA approved methodology, "a circuit is a circuit". This change carriers contend, has resulted in an increase in their intrastate revenue requirement and they are therefore requesting an increase in their CHCF-A support.

The Commission envisioned the annual CHCF-A advice letter filing process to be relatively simple and non-controversial. D.91-09-042 states that, "Using annualized earnings based on at least seven months of recorded data for the year in which the CHCF advice letter is filed and adjusted for known Commission regulatory decisions as the baseline for determining eligibility for CHCF support would be relatively simple and non-controversial".

⁴ Data used is the NECA projected 2010 USF Payments provided by NECA on October 2, 2009.

Due to the complexity of this regulatory change, the Commission will defer its decision on this item to another resolution in order to conduct further review and analysis.

Revenue Effect Associated with Virtual NXX Calls

In their annual advice letter filing, Foresthill and Kerman included adjustments for revenue effects associated with virtual NXX calls.

In D.07-02-031, Opinion Regarding Treatment of Virtual NXX Calls. small LECs and Competitive LECs are each directed to interconnect, transport and terminate traffic, and to pay intercarrier compensation in a manner consistent with the Decision.

Foresthill and Kerman assert that they have entered into an agreement with O1 Communications as directed, and as a result of the newly agreed upon rates, have lost intrastate revenue. The carriers are therefore, requesting an increase to their CHCF-A draw for the difference in the rates.

This proposed regulatory change also raises issues that are not simple and non-controversial, accordingly the Commission will also need to do a further review and a decision on this item will also be deferred to another resolution.

Revenue Effect Associated with Wireless Intercarrier Compensation

In their annual advice letter filing for 2010, CHCF-A funding Foresthill, Kerman, Ponderosa, Sierra, Siskiyou, and Volcano included recovery of revenues attributable to the Final Arbitrator's Report A.06-02-028 dated January 14, 2008 and the FCC Docket No. 01-92.

The "Small Entity Compliance Guide" dated July 14, 2005, DA 05-2002, CC Docket No. 01-92, from the FCC.gov website discusses compliance requirements for small entities. Section 3, "Prohibition on imposing termination charges pursuant to tariff on or after April 29, 2005, includes "Pursuant to new rules, LEC's are prohibited from imposing charges pursuant to tariff on other carriers for the termination of non-access traffic unless the charges accrued prior to April 29, 2005..."

Foresthill, Kerman, Ponderosa, Sierra, Siskiyou, and Volcano assert that due to the FCC's change in non-access traffic charges post April 29, 2005, they have lost

intrastate revenue and as a result are requesting an increase in their respective CHCF-A draws.

Due to the complexity of this regulatory change and the need for further discovery and analysis, the Commission will also defer its decision on this item to another resolution.

B. CHCF-A Summary by Carrier

The CHCF-A support and the rate designs for each of the 14 small LECs for CY 2010 are summarized below. The detailed tables showing the CHCF-A support for the 14 small LECs are shown in Appendix A.

Calaveras, Page 1 of Appendix A

Calaveras has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$2,149,044.26 (at Line 6, Page 1 of Appendix A). Thus, Calaveras shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$179,087.02, i.e. one-twelfth of \$2,149,044.26, for January through December 2010.

Cal-Ore, Page 2 of Appendix A

Cal-Ore has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$691,338.49 (at Line 6, Page 2 of Appendix A). Thus, Cal-Ore shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$57,611.54, i.e. one-twelfth of \$691,338.49, for January through December 2010.

Ducor, Page 3 of Appendix A

Ducor has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$2,490,280.80 (at Line 6, Page 3 of Appendix A). Thus, Ducor shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$207,523.40, i.e. one-twelfth of \$2,490,280.80, for January through December 2010.

Foresthill, Page 4 of Appendix A

Foresthill has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$2,022,967.66 (at Line 6, Page 4 of Appendix A). Thus, Foresthill shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$168,580.64, i.e. one-twelfth of \$2,022,967.66, for January through December 2010.

Happy Valley, Page 5 of Appendix A

Happy Valley has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$2,800,875.27 (at Line 6, Page 5 of Appendix A). In its advice letter, Happy Valley stated that they are not requesting

a draw from the CHCF-A. Thus, Happy Valley will not receive any CHCF-A support for 2010.

Hornitos, Page 6 of Appendix A

Hornitos has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$448,336.35 (at Line 6, Page 6 of Appendix A). In its advice letter, Hornitos stated that they are not requesting a draw from the CHCF-A. Thus, Hornitos will not receive any CHCF-A support for 2010.

Kerman, Page 7 of Appendix A

Kerman has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$3,673,585.76 (at Line 6, Page 7 of Appendix A). Thus, Kerman shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$306,132.15, i.e. one-twelfth of \$3,673,585.76, for January through December 2010.

Pinnacles, Page 8 of Appendix A

Pinnacles has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$222,133.68 (at Line 6, Page 8 of Appendix A). Thus, Pinnacles shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$18,511.14, i.e. one-twelfth of \$222,133.68, for January through December 2010.

Ponderosa, Page 9 of Appendix A

Ponderosa has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$3,905,693.50 (at Line 6, Page 9 of Appendix A). Thus, Ponderosa shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$325,474.46, i.e. one-twelfth of \$3,905,693.50, for January through December 2010.

Sierra, Page 10 of Appendix A

Sierra has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$13,760,707.27 (at Line 6, Page 10 of Appendix A). After calculation of the means test, Sierra shall receive CHCF-A support in the amount of \$13,129,901.39. Thus, Sierra shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$1,094,158.45, i.e. one-twelfth of \$13,129,901.39, for January through December 2010.

Siskiyou, Page 11 of Appendix A

Siskiyou has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$3,375,754.51 (at Line 6, Page 11 of Appendix A). Thus, Siskiyou shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$281,312.88, i.e. one-twelfth of \$3,375,754.51, for January through December 2010.

Verizon-WC, Page 12 of Appendix A

Verizon-WC has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$811,335 (at Line 6, Page 12 of Appendix A). In its advice letter, Verizon-WC stated that they are not requesting a

draw from the CHCF-A. Thus, Verizon-WC will not receive any CHCF-A support for 2010.

Volcano, Page 13 of Appendix A

Volcano has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$3,874,458.33 (at Line 6, Page 13 of Appendix A). Thus, Volcano shall receive monthly CHCF-A support in the amount of \$322,871.53, i.e. one-twelfth of \$3,874,458.33, for January through December 2010.

Winterhaven, Page 14 of Appendix A

Winterhaven has a 2010 CHCF-A revenue requirement of \$149,362.55 (at Line 6, Page 14 of Appendix A). In its advice letter, Winterhaven stated that they are not requesting a draw from the CHCF-A. Thus, Winterhaven will not receive any CHCF-A support for 2010.

The Commission finds CD's recommended CHCF-A support amounts for the 14 small LECs for 2010 reasonable and consistent after review of the Advice Letter filings, adjustments based on CD and FCC orders and calculation of a means test, if applicable, and are therefore adopted.

C. CHCF-A Budget for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009-10 and FY 2010-11

In Resolution T- 17177, the Commission adopted a CHCF-A program budget of \$64.713 million for FY 2009-10. For FY 2010-11, in Resolution T-17213, the Commission adopted a CHCF-A program budget of \$57.591 million. Of the budgeted amounts, \$48.822 million and \$41.685 million have been allocated as program payments to the small LECs for FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11, respectively.

There are enough funds in both the FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11 budgets to cover the \$35.535 million in 2010 CHCF-A support to the small LECs.

CD, in concert with the IMSD, shall make the monthly support payments within 30 days after the close of each calendar month.⁵ In the event that the monthly support payments due to the small LECs are not paid within 30 days after the close of each calendar month, CD shall include in those payments interest equal to the 3-month commercial paper rate.

Comments on Draft Resolution

⁵ The January 2010 monthly support will be paid in February 2010; the December 2010 monthly support will be paid in January 2011.

In compliance with PU Code § 311(g), a notice letter was emailed on December 22, 2009, informing the 14 small LECs, CHCFA-AC, parties of record in Rulemaking 01-08-002 and parties on the service list of Application 99-09-044 of the availability of the draft of this Resolution for public comments at the Commission's web site <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov>. This letter also informed parties that the final Resolution adopted by the Commission will be posted and will be available at the same web site.

Cooper, White Cooper LLP (Cooper) filed comments on January 6, 2010, addressing the Draft Resolution and made the following points:

- The Draft Resolution contains serious legal errors that will deprive the Small LECs of an opportunity to earn their authorized rate of return;
- The lost revenues associated with recently executed wireless interconnection agreements must be recognized to be the result of “regulatory changes of industry-wide effect”;
- The additional reciprocal compensation payments associated with recently-executed VNXX agreements with 01 Communications should be recognized as the result of “regulatory changes of industry-wide effect”;
- The impacts associated with shift in NECA’s interpretation of the FCC’s separation rules for high-capacity circuits should be recognized as a valid “regulatory change of industry-wide effect”;
- There is no basis for the Commission to defer consideration of these issues to a further proceeding;
- The Commission does not need to collect further evidence or conduct a further examination of these issues.

Department of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) filed comments on January 6, 2010, addressing the Draft Resolution:

- The relief sought by the Small LECs must be sought in an Application, not an Advice Letter;
- Much of the relief requested by the Small LECs requires analysis and discussion of substantive and complex regulatory issues;
- The GRC-related modifications are currently under Commission consideration elsewhere in pending Applications for Rehearing and in Petitions to Modify;
- The changes in NECA separations methodology have not yet received the required review and consideration;
- Lost revenues caused by intercarrier compensation issues should be considered in a generic fashion and not addressed piecemeal for each individual carrier via the Advice Letter process;

- Lost revenues as a result of 2005 FCC rules regarding termination of non-access traffic should have been accounted for in each GRC filed subsequent to the rule change.

On January 11, 2010, Cooper filed reply comments in response to DRA's opening comments and made the following points:

- The Draft Resolution misapplies the CHCF-A rules in disallowing revenue adjustments associated with three clear "regulatory changes of industry-wide effect";
- The adjustments sought in the Small LECs CHCF-A draws for "regulatory changes of industry-wide effect" are not subject of pending Applications for Rehearing or Applications for Modification;
- The policy issues underlying each of the proposed adjustments for "regulatory changes of industry-wide effect" have already been determined in prior FCC and CPUC proceedings;
- The lost wireless revenues have not been accounted for, nor should they have been accounted for, as part of previous rate case Decisions or Resolutions;
- These adjustments are clearly justified under the CHCF-A Rules; accordingly this Draft Resolution should be revised to also approve them.

On January 11, 2010, DRA submitted reply comments with the following main points:

- DRA supports the Draft Resolution and urges the Commission to adopt it;
- As is clear from the Draft Resolution, the Commission's stated intent is to examine these problems rather than to accept or deny all of the Small LECs claims outright.

The Commission has considered the comments of Cooper and DRA in response to the proposed resolution and upon review proposes no changes to the document.

Contrary to Cooper's assertion that the Commission, "does not need to collect further evidence or conduct a further examination of these issues" DRA asserts, as does the Commission, that, "the relief requested...requires analysis and discussion". The Commission and DRA understand that the Commission must do its due diligence and complete a thorough review and analysis of the requested adjustments by carriers. The Commission owes a specific responsibility to rate payers to assure it is only increasing the A-fund draw of carriers in response to appropriate factors. For this reason the Commission will review the proposed adjustments by Carriers in another resolution that will provide it with the necessary time and resources to conduct a thorough review.

Furthermore, proceeding in this manner will allow it to approve more than 95% of the requested A-funding to carriers at this time, by this resolution.

At this time, the Commission does not believe that an Application process, as recommended by DRA, is necessary to review these proposed adjustments.

Findings

1. The California High Cost Fund (HCF) was implemented by Decision (D.) 88-07-022, as modified by D.91-05-016 and D.91-09-042. The Implementation Rules governing the California High Cost Fund (CHCF)-A can be found in their entirety in the Appendix of D.91-09-042. The purpose of the HCF was to provide a source of supplemental revenues to three mid-size Local Exchange Carriers (LECs) and seventeen small LECs whose basic exchange access line service rates would otherwise be increased to levels that would threaten universal service.
2. D.96-10-066 changed the name of HCF to California High Cost Fund-A and created the California High Cost Fund-B (CHCF-B). This decision included the three mid-size LECs in the CHCF-B for the purpose of determining universal service subsidy support and maintained the CHCF-A for 17 small LECs. D.98-06-065 renamed the CHCF-A Trust Administrative Committee to CHCF-A Administrative Committee (CHCFA-AC) and revised the governance of CHCF-A to be consistent with State rules and procedures.
3. In October 1999, Public Utilities (PU) Code § 270-281 were codified as a result of the enactment of Senate Bill 669.
4. D.08-10-010, effective January 1, 2009, authorized the consolidation of three small LECs: Citizens Telecommunications Company of Tuolumne, Citizens Telecommunications Company of the Golden State and Global Valley Networks, Inc., with the midsize LEC of, Citizens Telecommunications Company of California Inc. and reduced the number of small LECs from 17 to 14.
5. PU Code § 270(b) requires that the monies in CHCF-A may only be expended pursuant to § 270-281 and upon appropriation in the annual Budget Act.
6. The Communications Division (CD) reviewed the filings made by the 14 small LECs in connection with the 2010 CHCF-A payment requirements.
7. CD revised the 2010 CHCF-A support requests submitted by the 14 small LECs in accordance with the Implementation Rules and Guidelines set forth in D.88-07-022 as modified by D.91-05-016, D.91-09-042, Resolution T-16117, D.00-09-072 and D.01-02-018.

8. In response to NECA funding changes, each carrier's CHCF-A 2009 support has been adjusted for 2010 as provided by NECA, in their report named "Study Area Detail for All Exchange Carriers" provided to CD on October 2, 2009.
9. CD reviewed the Advice Letter filings by the 14 small LECs and net settlement effects based on regulatory changes ordered by the Commission and the Federal Communications Commission.
10. D.91-09-042 states that "Using annualized earnings based on at least seven months of recorded data for the year in which the CHCF advice letter is filed and adjusted for known Commission regulatory decisions as the baseline for determining eligibility for CHCF support would be relatively simple and non-controversial".
11. As part of their 2010 annual CHCF-A advice letter filing Calaveras, Cal-Ore and Ducor included modification to their test year 2009 General Rate Cases (GRC). As these GRCs are now in the Application process, these modification requests are not addressed in the Resolution because they are being addressed in their respective proceedings.
12. In their annual advice letter filing, Calaveras, Pinnacles and Ponderosa included adjustments for the revenue effect associated with NECA separations methodology changes.
13. In their annual advice letter filing, Foresthill and Kerman included adjustments for revenue effects associated with virtual NXX calls.
14. In their annual advice letter filing, Foresthill, Kerman, Ponderosa, Sierra, Siskiyou, and Volcano included recovery of revenues attributable to the Final Arbitrator's Report A.06-02-028 dated January 14, 2008, and the FCC Docket No. 01-92.
15. Due to the complexity of the regulatory changes, and the need for further discovery and analysis, the Commission will defer its decision to another resolution for the following adjustments: (1) Revenue Effect Associated with NECA Separations Methodology Changes; (2) Revenue Effect Associated with Virtual NXX Calls; and (3) Revenue Effect Associated with Wireless Intercarrier Compensation.
16. The total approved 2010 CHCF-A draw for Calaveras, Cal-Ore, Ducor, Foresthill, Happy Valley, Hornitos, Kerman, Pinnacles, Ponderosa, Sierra, Siskiyou, Verizon-WC, Volcano, and Winterhaven should be \$35,535,158.38.
17. The monthly support payments for January 2010 through December 2010 are to be paid by the CD in concert with the Information and Management Services Division within 30 days after the close of each calendar month subject to the availability of funds and the Commission and State adoption of the budgets covering the payment of the 2010 CHCF-A support. In the event that the monthly support payments due the small LECs are not paid within 30 days after the close of each calendar month,

CD shall include in those payments interest equal to the 3-month commercial paper rate.

18. In Resolution T- 17177, the Commission adopted a CHCF-A program budget of \$64.713 million for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009-10. For FY 2010-11, in Resolution T-17213, the Commission adopted budget for the CHCF-A program is \$57.591 million. Therefore, there are funds for the FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11 budgets to cover the 2010 CHCF-A support to the small LECs.
19. A notice letter was emailed on December 22, 2009, informing the 14 small LECs, the CHCFA-AC, the parties of record in Rulemaking 01-08-002 and the parties on the service list of Application 99-09-044 of the availability of the draft resolution for public comments at the Commission's web site <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov>. In addition they were informed of the availability of the conformed resolution, when adopted by the Commission, at the same web site.
20. The Commission finds CD's recommended CHCF-A support for each of the 14 small LECs as summarized in Appendix A of this Resolution reasonable and consistent with Commission orders and should be adopted.
21. Cooper, White & Cooper LLP and Department of Ratepayer Advocates filed comments and reply comments regarding Draft Resolution T-17247.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The respective California High Cost Fund-A support for each of the 14 small Local Exchange Carriers, as described in the body and summarized in Appendix A of this Resolution, is adopted.
2. The total approved California High Cost Fund-A support of \$35,535,158.38 for the small Local Exchange Carriers for 2010 is listed below:

<u>Small Local Exchange Carrier</u>	<u>Monthly Support 2010</u>	<u>Yearly Support 2010</u>
Calaveras	\$179,087.02	\$2,149,044.26
Cal-Ore	\$57,611.54	\$691,338.49
Ducor	\$207,523.40	\$2,490,280.80
Foresthill	\$168,580.64	\$2,022,967.66
Kerman	\$306,132.15	\$3,673,585.76
Pinnacles	\$18,511.14	\$222,133.68
Ponderosa	\$325,474.46	\$3,905,693.50
Sierra	\$1,094,158.45	\$13,129,901.39
Siskiyou	\$281,312.88	\$3,375,754.51
Volcano	\$322,871.53	\$3,874,458.33
	\$2,961,263.21	\$35,535,158.38

The monthly support payments for January 2010 through December 2010 are to be paid by the Communications Division in concert with the Information and Management Services Division within 30 days after the close of each calendar month. The prompt payment of monthly support to the Local Exchange Carriers is contingent on the availability of funds and the Commission and State adoption of the budgets covering the payment for the 2010 California High Cost Fund-A support. In the event that the monthly support payments due the small Local Exchange Carriers are not paid within 30 days after the close of each calendar month, Communications Division shall include in those payments interest equal to the 3-month commercial paper rate.

3. The program support payment of \$35,535,158.38 for the 14 small Local Exchange Carriers shall be paid out of the California High Cost Fund-A fund.

This Resolution is effective today.

I hereby certify that this Resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on January 21, 2010. The following Commissioners approved it:

/s/ Paul Clanon

Paul Clanon
Executive Director

MICHAEL R. PEEVEY
President
DIAN M. GRUENEICH
JOHN A. BOHN
TIMOTHY ALAN SIMON
Commissioners

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Calaveras AL312	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement Resolution T-17184, Appendix D, line 17	\$2,071,163.00	\$2,071,163.00	\$2,071,163.00
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$716,089.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$553,570.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$162,519.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$661,916.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$553,570.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$108,346.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17184, App C, line 4, col. E)	\$2,111,529.00	\$2,111,529.00	\$2,111,529.00
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$2,118,233.00)</u>	<u>(\$2,033,647.74)</u>	<u>(\$2,033,647.74)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	(\$6,704.00)	\$77,881.26	\$77,881.26
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$3,442,464.00	\$2,149,044.26	\$2,149,044.26
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$3,442,464.00	\$2,149,044.26	\$2,149,044.26
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$3,442,464.00	\$2,149,044.26	\$2,149,044.26
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$3,442,464.00	\$2,149,044.26	\$2,149,044.26
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	\$1.00
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$3,442,464.00	\$2,149,044.26	\$2,149,044.26
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$3,442,464.00	\$2,149,044.26	\$2,149,044.26
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	\$286,872.00	\$179,087.02	\$179,087.02

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Cal-Ore AL 328	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement Resolution T-17133, Appendix D, line 17	\$471,499.00	\$471,499.00	\$471,499.00
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$169,177.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$169,177.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$169,177.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$169,177.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17133, App C, line 3, col. E)	\$1,489,197.00	\$1,489,197.00	\$1,489,197.00
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$1,319,548.00)</u>	<u>(\$1,269,357.51)</u>	<u>(\$1,269,357.51)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	\$169,649.00	\$219,839.49	\$219,839.49
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$979,502.00	\$691,338.49	\$691,338.49
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$979,502.00	\$691,338.49	\$691,338.49
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$979,502.00	\$691,338.49	\$691,338.49
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$979,502.00	\$691,338.49	\$691,338.49
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	\$1.00
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$979,502.00	\$691,338.49	\$691,338.49
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$979,502.00	\$691,338.49	\$691,338.49
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	\$81,625.17	\$57,611.54	\$57,611.54

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	<u>Ducor AL329</u>	<u>Staff Proposed</u>	<u>Commission Adopted</u>
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement Resolution T-17157, Appendix D, line 17	\$2,514,450.00	\$2,514,450.00	\$2,514,450.00
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$202,909.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$202,909.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$202,909.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$202,909.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17157, App C, line 3, col. E)	\$922,918.00	\$922,918.00	\$922,918.00
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$971,855.00)</u>	<u>(\$947,087.20)</u>	<u>(\$947,087.20)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	<u>(\$48,937.00)</u>	<u>(\$24,169.20)</u>	<u>(\$24,169.20)</u>
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$2,871,331.00	\$2,490,280.80	\$2,490,280.80
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$2,871,331.00	\$2,490,280.80	\$2,490,280.80
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$2,871,331.00	\$2,490,280.80	\$2,490,280.80
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$2,871,331.00	\$2,490,280.80	\$2,490,280.80
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	\$1.00
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$2,871,331.00	\$2,490,280.80	\$2,490,280.80
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$2,871,331.00	\$2,490,280.80	\$2,490,280.80
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	\$239,277.58	\$207,523.40	\$207,523.40

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Foresthill AL 293	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 8)	\$1,902,161.00	\$1,902,161.09	\$1,902,161.09
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	(\$171,037.00)	(\$171,037.00)	(\$171,037.00)
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$374,635.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$33,179.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$341,456.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$48,372.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$2,082.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$46,290.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 5b)	\$1,855,020.00	\$1,855,019.91	\$1,855,019.91
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$1,600,000.00)</u>	<u>(\$1,563,176.34)</u>	<u>(\$1,563,176.34)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	\$255,020.00	\$291,843.57	\$291,843.57
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$2,409,151.00	\$2,022,967.66	\$2,022,967.66
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$2,409,151.00	\$2,022,967.66	\$2,022,967.66
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$2,409,151.00	\$2,022,967.66	\$2,022,967.66
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$2,409,151.00	\$2,022,967.66	\$2,022,967.66
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$2,409,151.00	\$2,022,967.66	\$2,022,967.66
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$2,409,151.00	\$2,022,967.66	\$2,022,967.66
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	\$200,762.58	\$168,580.64	\$168,580.64

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Happy Valley AL 313	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 8)	\$2,800,875.27	\$2,800,875.27	\$2,800,875.27
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 5b)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$2,800,875.27	\$2,800,875.27	\$2,800,875.27
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$2,800,875.27	\$2,800,875.27	\$2,800,875.27
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$2,800,875.27	\$2,800,875.27	\$2,800,875.27
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12 Waterfall effect	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Hornitos	Staff	Commission
	<u>AL 286</u>	<u>Proposed</u>	<u>Adopted</u>
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 8)	\$503,846.15	\$503,846.15	\$503,846.15
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 5b)	\$66,617.85	\$66,617.85	\$66,617.85
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$117,997.00)</u>	<u>(\$122,127.65)</u>	<u>(\$122,127.65)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	(\$51,379.15)	(\$55,509.80)	(\$55,509.80)
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$452,467.00	\$448,336.35	\$448,336.35
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$452,467.00	\$448,336.35	\$448,336.35
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$452,467.00	\$448,336.35	\$448,336.35
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12 Waterfall effect	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Kerman AL 372	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 8)	\$4,639,001.00	\$4,639,000.96	\$4,639,000.96
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	(\$977,425.00)	(\$977,425.00)	(\$977,425.00)
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$633,581.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$35,950.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$597,631.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$6,761.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$6,761.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 5b)	\$2,049,613.00	\$2,049,613.04	\$2,049,613.04
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$2,007,000.00)</u>	<u>(\$2,037,603.24)</u>	<u>(\$2,037,603.24)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	\$42,613.00	\$12,009.80	\$12,009.80
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$4,344,531.00	\$3,673,585.76	\$3,673,585.76
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$4,344,531.00	\$3,673,585.76	\$3,673,585.76
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$4,344,531.00	\$3,673,585.76	\$3,673,585.76
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$4,344,531.00	\$3,673,585.76	\$3,673,585.76
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$4,344,531.00	\$3,673,585.76	\$3,673,585.76
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$4,344,531.00	\$3,673,585.76	\$3,673,585.76
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	\$362,044.25	\$306,132.15	\$306,132.15

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Pinnacles	Staff	Commission
	<u>AL 237</u>	<u>Proposed</u>	<u>Adopted</u>
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement Resolution T-17158, Appendix D, line 17	\$234,490.00	\$234,490.00	\$234,490.00
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$27,877.50</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$27,877.50	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$18,585.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$18,585.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17158, App C, line 3, col. E)	\$415,842.00	\$415,842.00	\$415,842.00
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$434,247.00)</u>	<u>(\$428,198.32)</u>	<u>(\$428,198.32)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	<u>(\$18,405.00)</u>	<u>(\$12,356.32)</u>	<u>(\$12,356.32)</u>
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$262,547.50	\$222,133.68	\$222,133.68
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$262,547.50	\$222,133.68	\$222,133.68
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$262,547.50	\$222,133.68	\$222,133.68
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$262,547.50	\$222,133.68	\$222,133.68
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$262,547.50	\$222,133.68	\$222,133.68
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$262,547.50	\$222,133.68	\$222,133.68
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	<u>\$21,878.96</u>	<u>\$18,511.14</u>	<u>\$18,511.14</u>

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Ponderosa AL 392	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement R. T-17181, App. A, line 8 plus R. T-17132, App. D, line 17	\$4,648,518.00	\$4,648,518.00	\$4,648,518.00
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	(\$967,524.00)	(\$967,524.00)	(\$967,524.00)
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$705,599.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$87,006.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$618,593.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$58,004.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$58,004.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17132, App C, line 3, col. E)	\$6,997,308.00	\$6,997,308.00	\$6,997,308.00
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$7,160,065.00)</u>	<u>(\$6,772,608.50)</u>	<u>(\$6,772,608.50)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	<u>(\$162,757.00)</u>	<u>\$224,699.50</u>	<u>\$224,699.50</u>
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$4,281,840.00	\$3,905,693.50	\$3,905,693.50
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$4,281,840.00	\$3,905,693.50	\$3,905,693.50
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$4,281,840.00	\$3,905,693.50	\$3,905,693.50
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$4,281,840.00	\$3,905,693.50	\$3,905,693.50
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$4,281,840.00	\$3,905,693.50	\$3,905,693.50
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$4,281,840.00	\$3,905,693.50	\$3,905,693.50
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	<u>\$356,820.00</u>	<u>\$325,474.46</u>	<u>\$325,474.46</u>

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Sierra AL 377	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 8)	\$12,104,196.00	\$13,086,249.81	\$13,086,249.81
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	(\$75,251.00)	(\$75,251.00)	(\$75,251.00)
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$88,391.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Inter-carrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$88,391.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$29,414.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Inter-carrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$29,414.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 5b)	\$5,498,903.00	\$5,498,903.19	\$5,498,903.19
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$4,752,022.00)</u>	<u>(\$4,749,194.73)</u>	<u>(\$4,749,194.73)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	\$746,881.00	\$749,708.46	\$749,708.46
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$12,893,631.00	\$13,760,707.27	\$13,760,707.27
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$12,893,631.00	\$13,760,707.27	\$13,760,707.27
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$12,893,631.00	\$13,760,707.27	\$13,760,707.27
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$12,893,631.00	\$13,129,901.39	\$13,129,901.39
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$12,893,631.00	\$13,129,901.39	\$13,129,901.39
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$12,893,631.00	\$13,129,901.39	\$13,129,901.39
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	\$1,074,469.25	\$1,094,158.45	\$1,094,158.45

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Siskiyou AL 357	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 8)	\$3,617,063.00	\$3,617,062.93	\$3,617,062.93
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	(\$41,860.00)	(\$41,860.00)	(\$41,860.00)
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$167,773.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$167,773.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$38,885.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$38,885.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 5b)	\$3,125,363.00	\$3,125,363.07	\$3,125,363.07
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$3,325,399.00)</u>	<u>(\$3,324,811.49)</u>	<u>(\$3,324,811.49)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	<u>(\$200,036.00)</u>	<u>(\$199,448.42)</u>	<u>(\$199,448.42)</u>
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$3,581,825.00	\$3,375,754.51	\$3,375,754.51
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$3,581,825.00	\$3,375,754.51	\$3,375,754.51
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$3,581,825.00	\$3,375,754.51	\$3,375,754.51
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$3,581,825.00	\$3,375,754.51	\$3,375,754.51
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$3,581,825.00	\$3,375,754.51	\$3,375,754.51
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$3,581,825.00	\$3,375,754.51	\$3,375,754.51
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	\$298,485.42	\$281,312.88	\$281,312.88

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Verizon-WC <u>AL 697</u>	Staff <u>Proposed</u>	Commission <u>Adopted</u>
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 8)	\$811,335.00	\$811,335.00	\$811,335.00
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 5b)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$811,335.00	\$811,335.00	\$811,335.00
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$811,335.00	\$811,335.00	\$811,335.00
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$811,335.00	\$811,335.00	\$811,335.00
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12 Waterfall effect	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Volcano AL 352	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 8)	\$4,467,413.45	\$4,467,413.45	\$4,467,413.45
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	(\$1,213,977.00)	(\$1,213,977.00)	(\$1,213,977.00)
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$164,020.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Inter-carrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$164,020.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$5,300.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Inter-carrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$5,300.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 5b)	\$2,292,980.55	\$2,292,980.55	\$2,292,980.55
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$1,896,165.00)</u>	<u>(\$1,671,958.67)</u>	<u>(\$1,671,958.67)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	\$396,815.55	\$621,021.88	\$621,021.88
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$3,819,572.00	\$3,874,458.33	\$3,874,458.33
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$3,819,572.00	\$3,874,458.33	\$3,874,458.33
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$3,819,572.00	\$3,874,458.33	\$3,874,458.33
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$3,819,572.00	\$3,874,458.33	\$3,874,458.33
12 Waterfall effect	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$3,819,572.00	\$3,874,458.33	\$3,874,458.33
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$3,819,572.00	\$3,874,458.33	\$3,874,458.33
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	\$318,297.67	\$322,871.53	\$322,871.53

APPENDIX A
Resolution T- 17247

	Winterhaven AL206	Staff Proposed	Commission Adopted
1 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 8)	\$113,947.57	\$113,947.57	\$113,947.57
2 Adjustments to 2009 CHCF-A Requirement (Non-Recurring)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Year 2009 Impacts (Non-Recurring)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4 Year 2010 Impacts (Recurring)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>
a GRC Modifications	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
b NECA Separations Methodology Changes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
c VNXX Compensation (CPUC Decision 07-02-031)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
d Wireless Intercarrier Compensation (FCC Docket 01-92)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5 Net Interstate Expense Adjustment			
a Projected 2009 USF (Resolution T-17181, Appendix A line 5b)	\$242,016.93	\$242,016.93	\$242,016.93
b Projected Year 2010 USF (Per NECA.)	<u>(\$199,163.00)</u>	<u>(\$206,601.95)</u>	<u>(\$206,601.95)</u>
c Net Interstate Expense Adjustment (sum of lines 5a and 5b)	\$42,853.93	\$35,414.98	\$35,414.98
6 2010 CHCF-A Revenue Requirement (sum of lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 5c)	\$156,801.50	\$149,362.55	\$149,362.55
7 Rate Increase (1-Party Residential Flat-Rate Service)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8 Total 2010 Revenue Requirement (Beginning CHCF-A Revenue Requirement for 2011)	\$156,801.50	\$149,362.55	\$149,362.55
9 Temporary Surcredit	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10 2010 Revenue Requirement eligible for CHCF-A Support	\$156,801.50	\$149,362.55	\$149,362.55
11 2010 Revenue Requirement after Means Test	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12 Waterfall effect	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
13 2010 Revenue Requirement after Waterfall	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14 Adjustments	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15 2010 CHCF-A Support	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16 Monthly Payment for Periods Jan - Dec 2010 (Line 16/12)	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>

End of Appendix A