

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Resolution ALJ 176-3159
Administrative Law Judge Division
September 22, 2005

R E S O L U T I O N

RESOLUTION ALJ 176-3159. Ratification of preliminary determinations of category for proceedings initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Article 2.5, Rules 4, and 6.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. (See also Rule 63.2(c) regarding notice of assignment.)

The Commission's rules and procedures which implement the requirements of Senate Bill (SB) 960 (Leonard, ch. 96-0856) are, for the most part, found in Article 2.5 of our Rules of Practice and Procedure. The rules and procedures were adopted by the Commission in D.97-11-021, which describes more fully the background to the development of these rules. Rule 4 describes the formal proceedings to which the SB 960 rules (Article 2.5) apply. Rule 6.1 requires the Commission to preliminarily determine a proceeding's category, whether the proceeding requires a hearing, and designate an Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge. Rule 6.1(a) states that the preliminary determination of category is not appealable but shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's ruling. Unless and until a preliminary determination is changed by such ruling, the preliminary determination of category governs the applicability of the other reforms that SB 960 requires. Rule 63.2 provides for petitioning the Commission to reassign a proceeding to another administrative law judge. Rule 63.2(c) establishes the time for filing such a petition. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

The Categories

SB 960 makes sweeping changes in many aspects of the Commission's practices in an effort to improve the quality and timeliness of Commission decision making. It creates three categories of proceedings: adjudicatory, ratesetting, and quasi-legislative. The

applicability of many of the changes it requires depends upon the category assigned to the proceeding. For example, the ex parte rules which apply differ if the proceeding is categorized as adjudicatory rather than quasi-legislative. The Legislature defined each of these procedural categories in Section 7 of SB 960. Consistent with these definitions, the rules provide that:

“‘Adjudicatory’ proceedings are: (1) enforcement investigations into possible violations of any provision of statutory law or order or rule of the Commission; and (2) complaints against regulated entities, including those complaints that challenge the accuracy of a bill, but excluding those complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future.

“‘Ratesetting’ proceedings are proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities). ‘Ratesetting’ proceedings include complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future. For purposes of this Article, other proceedings may be categorized as ratesetting as described in Rule 6.1(c).

“‘Quasi-legislative’ proceedings are proceedings that establish policy or rules (including generic ratemaking policy or rules) affecting a class of regulated entities, including those proceedings in which the Commission investigates rates or practices for an entire regulated industry or class of entities within the industry.” (Rules 5(b), 5(c), and 5(d).)

Mixed or Unclear Category Proceedings

For a proceeding that may fall into more than one category, the rules allow parties to recommend that the Commission pick the most suitable category, or to recommend dividing the subject matter of the proceeding into different phases or one or more new proceedings, each with its own category. The rules provide that a proceeding that does not clearly fit into *any* of SB 960’s defined categories will be conducted under the rules applicable to the ratesetting category. As such a proceeding matures, the Commission may determine that the rules applicable to one of the other categories, or some hybrid of those rules, would be better suited to the proceeding.

As stated in D.97-06-071, ratesetting proceedings typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding relating to a particular public utility. Because proceedings that do not clearly fall within the adjudicatory or quasi-legislative categories likewise typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding, the ratesetting procedures are, in general, preferable for those proceedings.

ALJ/hl2

Next Steps

As stated above, this preliminary determination of category is not appealable. Once interested parties have had an opportunity to respond to the initiating party's proposed category, the preliminary determination shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's Ruling pursuant to Rule 6(a)(3). This Assigned Commissioner Ruling may be appealed to the full Commission pursuant to Rule 6.4(a). Parties have 10 days after the ruling is mailed to appeal. Responses to the appeal are allowed under Rule 6.4(b), and must be filed and served not later than 15 days after the ruling is mailed. The full Commission will consider the appeal.

Any party, or person or entity declaring an intention to become a party is entitled to petition for reassignment of the proceeding to another Administrative Law Judge, as described in Rule 63.2. Such a petition must be filed no later than 10 days after notice of the assignment. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

Conclusion

The Commission has reviewed the initial pleading of the utility applicants listed in the attached schedule and has made a preliminary determination of category and need for hearing, consistent with the requirements and definitions of Article 2.5 of its rules.

IT IS ORDERED that each proceeding listed in the attached schedule is preliminarily categorized, and the need for a hearing is noted.

ALJ/hl2

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on September 22, 2005, the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

STEVE LARSON
Executive Director

MICHAEL R. PEEVEY
President
GEOFFREY F. BROWN
SUSAN P. KENNEDY
DIAN M. GRUENEICH
JOHN A. BOHN
Commissioners

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3159 (9/22/05)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A05-09-004 SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT, for authorization to construct and maintain Hazel Pedestrian Crossings #1 and #2 across the Placerville Branch of the Union Pacific Railroad in the County of Sacramento, State of California.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-007 COMCAST PHONE OF CALIFORNIA, LLC (U 5698 C), dba COMCAST DIGITAL PHONE, doing business as Comcast Digital Phone, for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to provide competitive facilities-based and Resale Competitive Local IntraLATA and interLATA telecommunications Service in the service territories of Roseville Telephone Company and Citizens Telephone Company.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-009 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 E), for recovery of Franchise Fee Remittances associated with revenues for repayment of the Rate Reduction Bonds.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-010 AERO AIRPORT SHUTTLE AND CHARTER SERVICE LLC, for authority to operate as a scheduled Passenger Stage Company between points in Lake County and the Sacramento International Airport; and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-013 SHABNAM TAJMIRI, dba AAA SHUTTLE, for authority to operate as an on-call door-to-door Passenger Stage Company between points within the County of Orange and the John Wayne (Santa Ana International) Airport.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-014 DATTA TRANSPORT SYSTEMS LLC, dba EZ RIDE SHUTTLE, for authority to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-015 IMPERIAL VALLEY TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, LLC, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate as a passenger stage service within a zone of rate freedom over the most direct routes from points in the County of Imperial, on the one hand, and points in the County of San Diego, on the other hand, and between and among cities within Imperial County and San Diego County.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3159 (9/22/05)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A05-09-016 LONG DISTANCE SAVINGS SOLUTIONS, LLC, for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-018 TELECOM HOUSE INCORPORATED (U 5675 C), for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to provide Resold Local Exchange Service in California.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-019 SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E), SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (U 904 G), pursuant to Resolution E-3921 for Adoption of their Residential Electric and Gas Line Extension Allowance Methodologies and its monthly ownership charge Methodology.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-020 CITY OF STOCKTON, for Authority to construct Morada Lane at Grade Crossing over the tracks right-of-way of the Union Pacific Railroad Company in the City of Stockton, County of San Joaquin, State of California.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-021 SAINI, BHUPINDER K., dba EAST BAY EXPRESS AIRPORTER, SINGH, KAMALJEET, dba EAST BAY TRANSPORTATION, for Approval of the transfer by sale of East Bay Express Airporter and PSC 13851 from Bhupinder K. Saini to Kamaljeet Singh, dba as East Bay Transportation.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-022 SINGH, GURMAIL, dba AAA ON TIME AIRPORTER, for authority to operate as a Passenger Stage Operations in the Counties of Alameda, Santa Clara and San Mateo, and the San Francisco, Oakland and San Jose International Airports.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-023 COUNTY OF PLUMAS, PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, for authorization pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 851 to Grant an Easement to install, use and maintain drainage improvements.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A05-09-024 TELECOM CONSULTANTS, INC., for approval of change in control.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO