

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



SSI (U.S.) Inc., doing business as Spencer Stuart,

Complainant,

vs.

Verizon California Inc.,

Defendant.

Case No. 06-10-032

Certified Mail

7004 0550 0000 1509 6130

INSTRUCTIONS TO ANSWER

Verizon California Inc.
Attn.: Elaine M. Duncan, Esq.
711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94102

You are hereby notified that the above-entitled complaint has been filed against you as defendant. You are directed to answer the complaint in writing within 30 days after today. The answer shall be in compliance with Rule 4.4 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Your answer shall be sent to California Public Utilities Commission, Attn.: Docket Office, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102.

This matter has been assigned to Commissioner Dian M. Grueneich and Administrative Law Judge Myra J. Prestidge. It has been determined that the complaint will be categorized as Adjudicatory. A hearing will be scheduled by the assigned Administrative Law Judge, unless the matter is otherwise resolved by the parties.

Dated at San Francisco, California this 2nd day of November, 2006.

/ s /Angela K. Minkin

By Martin Nakahara

Angela K. Minkin
Chief Administrative Law Judge

AM/mak

Enclosures: Complaint and Rule 4.4

cc: Complainant

cc via email only, w/o copy of encls.: Cmmr. Grueneich and ALJ Prestidge

4.4. (Rule 4.4) Answers

The answer must admit or deny each material allegation in the complaint and shall set forth any new matter constituting a defense. Its purpose is to fully advise the complainant and the Commission of the nature of the defense. At least one of the defendants filing an answer must verify it, but if more than one answer is filed in response to a complaint against multiple defendants, each answer must be separately verified. (See Rule 1.11.) The answer should also set forth any defects in the complaint which require amendment or clarification. Failure to indicate jurisdictional defects does not waive these defects and shall not prevent a motion to dismiss made thereafter.

The answer must state any comments or objections regarding the complainant's statement on the need for hearing, issues to be considered, and proposed schedule. The proposed schedule shall be consistent with the categorization of the proceeding, including a deadline for resolving the proceeding within 12 months or less (adjudicatory proceeding) or 18 months or less (ratesetting or quasi-legislative proceeding). (See Article 7.)

Answers must include the full name, address, and telephone number of defendant and the defendant's attorney, if any, and indicate service on all complainants.