

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

AT&T Communications of California, Inc.,

Complainant,

vs.

Pacific Bell Telephone Company,

Defendant.

Case 99-12-029
(Filed December 21, 1999)

Pacific Bell Telephone Company,

Complainant,

vs.

AT&T Communications of California, Inc.,

Defendant.

Case 00-02-027
(Filed February 6, 2000)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
ADDRESSING MOTION OF CONSUMER SERVICES DIVISION
TO FILE REPORT UNDER SEAL**

On August 7, 2001, pursuant to Decision (D.) 01-02-017, Consumer Services Division (CSD) filed a report addressing whether Case (C.) 99-12-029 and C.00-02-027 should be dismissed. CSD submitted its report under seal because AT&T Communications of California, Inc. (AT&T) and Pacific Bell Telephone

Company (Pacific Bell) both provided information pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 583 which prohibits public disclosure.

CSD's motion to file under seal recommends that the Commission authorize disclosure of the report because the public interest in releasing information pertaining to violations of law outweighs the confidentiality concerns of AT&T and Pacific Bell.

CSD also recommends that the Commission retain the services of an outside auditor to provide a detailed report regarding the accuracy of Pacific Bell's process for tracking and billing of intraLATA disputes, currently and for the years 1999-2000. CSD proposes to work closely with the auditor by selecting the firm, directing the audit and maintaining oversight of project goals.

AT&T and Pacific Bell should address CSD's request for Commission authorization to disclose its report. AT&T and Pacific Bell should also address CSD's request to hire an outside auditor to be paid for by Pacific Bell.

IT IS THEREFORE RULED that on or before August 28, 2001, parties should file and serve responses addressing Consumer Services Division's (CSD) recommendations for authorization to publicly disclose its August 7, 2001 report and also for retaining the services of an outside consultant to perform an audit of Pacific Bell Telephone Company's process for tracking and billing of intraLATA disputes. Parties supporting an audit should also address the scope of CSD's proposed audit.

Dated August 13, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JOSEPH R. DEULLOA

Joseph R. DeUlloa
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Addressing Motion of Consumer Services Division to File Report Under Seal on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated August 13, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID

Fannie Sid

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.